#### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

### CLINICAL GUIDELINE EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Clinical guideline: Obesity: guidance on the prevention, identification, assessment and management of overweight and obesity in adults and children.

As outlined in <u>The guidelines manual (2012)</u>, NICE has a duty to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations. The purpose of this form is to document the consideration of equality issues in each stage of the guideline production process. This equality impact assessment is designed to support compliance with NICE's obligations under the Equality Act 2010 and Human Rights Act 1998.

Table 1 below lists the protected characteristics and other equality factors NICE needs to consider, i.e. not just population groups sharing the 'protected characteristics' defined in the Equality Act but also those affected by health inequalities associated with socioeconomic factors or other forms of disadvantage. The table does not attempt to provide further interpretation of the protected characteristics.

This form should be drafted before first submission of the guideline, revised before the second submission (after consultation) and finalised before the third submission (after the quality assurance teleconference) by the guideline developer. It will be signed off by NICE at the same time as the guideline, and published on the NICE website with the final guideline. The form is used to:

- record any equality issues raised in connection with the guideline by anybody involved since scoping, including NICE, the National Collaborating Centre, GDG members, any peer reviewers and stakeholders
- demonstrate that all equality issues, both old and new, have been given due consideration, by explaining what impact they have had on recommendations, or if there is no impact, why this is.
- highlight areas where the guideline should advance equality of opportunity or foster good relations

 ensure that the guideline will not discriminate against any of the equality groups

### **Table 1 NICE equality groups**

#### **Protected characteristics**

- Age
- Disability
- Gender reassignment
- Pregnancy and maternity
- Race
- · Religion or belief
- Sex
- Sexual orientation
- Marriage and civil partnership (protected only in respect of need to eliminate unlawful discrimination)

#### Additional characteristics to be considered

Socio-economic status

Depending on policy or other context, this may cover factors such as social exclusion and deprivation associated with geographical areas, or inequalities or variations associated with other geographical distinctions (for example, the North–South divide; urban versus rural).

Other

Other groups in the population experience poor health because of circumstances often affected by, but going beyond, sharing a protected characteristic or socioeconomic status. Whether such groups can be identified depends on the guidance topic and the evidence. The following are examples of groups that may be covered in NICE guidance:

- · refugees and asylum seekers
- migrant workers
- looked-after children
- homeless people.

# 1. Have the equality areas identified during scoping as needing attention been addressed in the guideline?

Please confirm whether:

- the evidence reviews addressed the areas that had been identified in the scope as needing specific attention with regard to equality issues (this also applies to consensus work within or outside the GDG)
- the GDG has considered these areas in their discussions.

Note: some issues of language may correlate with ethnicity; and some communication issues may correlate with disability

What issue was identified and what was done to address it?	Was there an impact on the recommendations? If so, what?
Many equalities related issues contribute to obesity. These include gender, age, ethnicity and socio-economic status.	The guideline aimed to identify evidence in these sub groups that might inform targeted recommendations.
There are particular differences in the prevalence of obesity by ethnicity. Ethnic differences exist in the prevalence of obesity and the risk of ill health resulting from obesity. For example, compared to the general population, the prevalence of obesity is lower among men from Bangladeshi and Chinese communities in particular whereas, among women, it is higher for those from Black African, Black Caribbean and Pakistani communities as reported in the National Obesity Observatory publication in 2011.	The guideline aimed to identify evidence in relation to ethnicity, where relevant. Recommendations made in 2006 already addressed this issue (see recommendations 18 and 27. A further recommendation made as part of this update (recommendation 111.) states that clinicians should consider assessment for surgery for people of Asian family origin with type 2 diabetes at a lower BMI.
People with learning difficulties are also more at risk of being obese.	The guideline will consider the eligibility of these groups for weight-loss interventions where evidence for these groups is available and will consider issues related to access to interventions for people with learning disabilities. In this update, a number of recommendations 29, 30, 32, 33 and 41 have been amended to ensure that the needs of this group and their carers are addressed.
Other co	omments
All remaining recommendations from CG43 hawith Equality legislation.	

Insert more rows as necessary.

# 2. Have any equality areas been identified *after* scoping? If so, have they have been addressed in the guideline?

Please confirm whether:

- the evidence reviews addressed the areas that had been identified after scoping as needing specific attention with regard to equality issues (this also applies to consensus work within or outside the GDG)
- the GDG has considered these areas in their discussions.

Note: some issues of language may correlate with ethnicity; and some communication issues may correlate with disability

	one.	
--	------	--

Insert more rows as necessary.

# 3. Do any recommendations make it impossible or unreasonably difficult in practice for a specific group to access a test or intervention?

For example:

- does access to the intervention depend on membership of a specific group?
- does using a particular test discriminate unlawfully against a group?
- would people with disabilities find it impossible or unreasonably difficult to receive an intervention?

No recommendations make it impossible or difficult for a specific group to access a test of intervention.

All recommendations from CG43 have been updated to ensure that they comply with Equality legislation.

### 4. Do the recommendations promote equality?

State if the recommendations are formulated so as to advance equality, for example by making access more likely for certain groups, or by tailoring the intervention to specific groups.

The recommendations have been formulated to promote quality. For example, all recommendations