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Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee (IPAC) meeting minutes

**Minutes:** Confirmed

**Date and time:** Thursday, 13 October 2022

**Location:** Via Zoom

# Committee members present

1. Professor Tom Clutton-Brock [Chair] Present for all items
2. Professor Colin Howie [Vice Chair] Present for all items
3. Dr Charlotta Karner Present for items 6, 7, 8, and 9
4. Ms Dawn Lee Present for all items
5. Dr Duncan McPherson Present for all items
6. Mr James Tysome Present for all items
7. Dr Jon Bell Present for all items
8. Dr Jurjees Hasan Present for all items
9. Miss Karen Nugent Present for all items
10. Mr Mahmoud Elfar Present for all items
11. Mr Marwan Habiba Present for all items
12. Professor Matt Bown Present for all items
13. Mr Mustafa Zakkar Present for all items
14. Dr Paula Whittaker Present for all items
15. Mrs Sally Brearley Present for all items
16. Professor Tim Jackson Present for all items
17. Professor Tim Kinnaird Present for item 3
18. Ms Veena Soni Present for all items

# Committee Apologies

1. Professor Dhiraj Tripathi
2. Dr Greg Irving
3. Mr Kieran Murphy
4. Ms Maria Parsonage
5. Mr Matthew Metcalfe
6. Mr Patrick Farrell
7. Dr Stuart Smith

# NICE staff present:

Dr Alan Ashworth – Consultant Clinical Advisor, IPP

Anna Sparshatt – Senior Editor, Publishing

Charlie Campion – Project Manager, IPP

Deonee Stanislaus – Coordinator, IPP

Emma McCarthy – Associate Health Technology Assessment Analyst

Gavin Tucker – Clinical Fellow

Helen Crosbie - Public Involvement Advisor, Public Involvement Programme

Helen Gallo – Senior Health Technology Assessment Analyst

Jennifer Hacking – Medical Editor, Publishing

Professor John Powell – Consultant Clinical Advisor, IPP

Professor Kevin Harris – Programme Director & Consultant Clinical Advisor, IPP

Lakshmi Mandava – Health Technology Assessment Analyst

Rachael Cazaly – Medical Editor, Publishing

Rosalee Mason – Coordinator, Corporate Office

Sammy Shaw – Associate Health Technology Assessment Analyst

Suzi McFall – Specialist Registrar, Managed Access

Tom Palmer – Health Technology Assessment Analyst

Xia Li – Health Technology Assessment Analyst

Ziqi Zhou – Associate Health Technology Assessment Analyst

Zoe Jones – Administrator, IPP

#

# External group representatives present:

Sebastian Adamczyk, IntraOP Present for item 3

Ami Scott, Boston Scientific Present for item 4

Mark Walker, Boston Scientific Present for item 4

Jessie Bakker, Signifier Medical Present for item 5

Yasser Zayni, Signifier Medical Present for item 5

Rob White, Boston Scientific Present for item 8

Pascal Garcia, Boston Scientific Present for item 8

Gianluca Casali, Johnson & Johnson Medical Limited Present for item 9

Janet DeMarchi, Johnson & Johnson Medical Limited Present for item 9

# Clinical & patient experts present:

Professor Jim Khan, Consultant Surgeon, Portsmouth Hospitals University NHS Trust Present for item 3

Professor Alex Mirnezami, Professor of Surgical Oncology; Honorary Consultant General and Colorectal Surgeon, University of Southampton and University Hospitals Southampton Present for item 3

Aaron Quyn, Associate Professor of Surgery; Honorary Consultant Surgeon, University of Leeds and St James’s Hospital, Present for item 3

Dr Martin James, Consultant Hepatologist and Gastroenterologist, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust Present for item 4

Dr Matthew Huggett, Consultant Gastroenterologist and HPB Physician, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust Present for item 4

Samantha Backway, Chairman, Hope2Sleep Charity Present for item 5

Chris Rogers, Managing Secretary, Sleep Apnoea Trust Present for item 5

# Observers present:

David Blair Present for item 3, 4, and 5

1. Introduction to the meeting
	1. The chair welcomed members of the committee and other attendees present to the meeting.
2. News and announcements
	1. The chair advised the committee that Paula Whittaker, a consultant in public health, and Mustafa Zakkar, a cardiothoracic surgeon, have joined the committee.
	2. The chair informed the committee that this will be Duncan McPherson’s last meeting and thanked him for his contribution to IPAC.
	3. The chair notified the committee that this will be Emma McCarthy and Tom Palmer’s last meeting and thanked them for their contribution to IP.
	4. The chair informed the committee that 2 new members of staff, Ziqi Zhou and Sammy Shaw, have joined the NICE IP team as Associate Health Technology Assessment Analysts.
	5. The chair encouraged the committee to attend the IPAC away day in person and highlighted that there is an option to join virtually. Those who have not yet confirmed if they are attending were advised to do so.
3. NNP of IP1919 Intraoperative electron beam radiotherapy for locally advanced and locally recurrent colorectal cancer
	1. Part 1 – Open session
		1. The Chair welcomed the invited clinical and patient experts, external group representatives, members of the public and company representatives from IntraOP.
		2. The Chair asked all committee members to declare any relevant interests in relation to the item being considered.
* Duncan McPherson declared an interest as he works as a consultant at Portsmouth Hospital with clinical expert Jim Khan.
* It was decided that this was not a conflict of interest and would not prevent Duncan McPherson from participating in this section of the meeting.
* The Committee was asked whether there were any specific equalities issues to consider in relation to this procedure.
	+ 1. The Chair then introduced Jurjees Hasan, who gave a presentation on the safety and efficacy of Intraoperative electron beam radiotherapy for locally advanced and locally recurrent colorectal cancer.
	1. Part 2 – Closed session

Company representatives, clinical and patient experts and members of the public were asked to leave the meeting: 10:07.

* + 1. The committee then made its provisional recommendations on the safety and efficacy of the procedure. The committee decision was reached by consensus.
		2. The committee asked the NICE technical team to prepare the Consultation Document [IPCD] in line with their decisions.

		A document explaining the draft recommendations will be available here: <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg28/chapter/draft-recommendations>
1. NNP of IP1879 Endoscopic ultrasound guided biliary drainage for biliary obstruction
	1. Part 1 – Open session
		1. The Chair welcomed the invited clinical and patient experts, external group representatives, members of the public and company representatives from Boston Scientific.
		2. The Chair asked all committee members to declare any relevant interests in relation to the item being considered.
* Dawn Lee declared an indirect interest as she completed work for Boston Scientific over 10 years ago when working in her previous company.
* It was agreed that her declaration would not prevent Dawn Lee from participating in this section of the meeting.
* The Committee was asked whether there were any specific equalities issues to consider in relation to this procedure.
	+ 1. The Chair then introduced Dawn Lee, who gave a presentation on the safety and efficacy of Endoscopic ultrasound guided biliary drainage for biliary obstruction.
	1. Part 2 – Closed session

Company representatives, clinical and patient experts and members of the public were asked to leave the meeting: 11:35.

* + 1. The committee then made its provisional recommendations on the safety and efficacy of the procedure. The committee decision was reached by consensus.
		2. The committee asked the NICE technical team to prepare the Consultation Document [IPCD] in line with their decisions.

A document explaining the draft recommendations will be available here: <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg28/chapter/draft-recommendations>

1. NNP of IP1906 Daytime intraoral neuromuscular electrical tongue stimulation using a removable device for obstructive sleep apnoea
	1. Part 1 – Open session
		1. The Chair welcomed the invited patient experts, external group representatives, members of the public and company representatives from Signifier Medical.
		2. The Chair asked all committee members to declare any relevant interests in relation to the item being considered.
* No conflicts of interest were declared for the technology.
* The Committee was asked whether there were any specific equalities issues to consider in relation to this procedure.
	+ 1. The Chair then introduced Karen Nugent, who gave a presentation on the safety and efficacy of Daytime intraoral neuromuscular electrical tongue stimulation using a removable device for obstructive sleep apnoea.
	1. Part 2 – Closed session

Company representatives, clinical and patient experts and members of the public were asked to leave the meeting: 13:01.

* + 1. The committee then made its provisional recommendations on the safety and efficacy of the procedure. The committee decision was reached by consensus.
		2. The committee asked the NICE technical team to prepare the Consultation Document [IPCD] in line with their decisions.

A document explaining the draft recommendations will be available here: <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg28/chapter/draft-recommendations>

1. Public Consultation comments of IP1866 Trabeculectomy with biodegradable collagen matrix implant for adult glaucoma
	1. Part 1 – Open session
		1. The Chair welcomed the invited members of the public.
		2. The Chair asked all committee members to declare any relevant interests in relation to the item being considered.
* No conflicts of interest were declared for the technology.
* The Committee was asked whether there were any specific equalities issues to consider in relation to this procedure.
	+ 1. The Chair then summarised the comments received during the consultation on the draft guidance for Trabeculectomy with biodegradable collagen matrix implant for adult glaucoma.
		2. The Chair introduced the key themes arising from the consultation responses to the Interventional Procedures Consultation Document [IPCD] received from consultees, commentators and through the NICE website.
	1. Part 2 – Closed session

Company representatives, clinical and patient experts and members of the public were asked to leave the meeting: 14:09.

* + 1. The committee then made its provisional recommendations on the safety and efficacy of the procedure. The committee decision was reached by consensus.
		2. The committee asked the NICE technical team to prepare the Final Document [FIPD] in line with their decisions.

		A document explaining the final recommendations is available here: <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg28/chapter/the-production-of-guidance>
1. Public Consultation comments of IP1782 Transcutaneous electrical stimulation of the trigeminal nerve for ADHD
	1. Part 1 – Open session
		1. The Chair welcomed the invited members of the public.
		2. The Chair asked all committee members to declare any relevant interests in relation to the item being considered.
* No conflicts of interest were declared for the technology.
* The Committee was asked whether there were any specific equalities issues to consider in relation to this procedure.
	+ 1. The Chair then introduced James Tysome, who summarised the comments received during the consultation on the draft guidance for Transcutaneous electrical stimulation of the trigeminal nerve for ADHD.
		2. The Chair introduced the key themes arising from the consultation responses to the Interventional Procedures Consultation Document [IPCD] received from consultees, commentators and through the NICE website.
	1. Part 2 – Closed session

Company representatives, clinical and patient experts and members of the public were asked to leave the meeting: 14:19.

* + 1. The committee then made its provisional recommendations on the safety and efficacy of the procedure. The committee decision was reached by consensus.
		2. The committee asked the NICE technical team to prepare the Final Document [FIPD] in line with their decisions.

		A document explaining the final recommendations is available here: <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg28/chapter/the-production-of-guidance>
1. Public Consultation comments of IP1852 Percutaneous image-guided cryoablation of peripheral neuroma for chronic pain
	1. Part 1 – Open session
		1. The Chair welcomed the invited members of the public and company representatives from Boston Scientific.
		2. The Chair asked all committee members to declare any relevant interests in relation to the item being considered.
* Dawn Lee declared an indirect interest as she completed work for Boston Scientific over 10 years ago when working in her previous company.
* It was agreed that her declaration would not prevent Dawn Lee from participating in this section of the meeting.
* The Committee was asked whether there were any specific equalities issues to consider in relation to this procedure.
	+ 1. The Chair then introduced Colin Howie, who summarised the comments received during the consultation on the draft guidance for Percutaneous image-guided cryoablation of peripheral neuroma for chronic pain.
		2. The Chair introduced the key themes arising from the consultation responses to the Interventional Procedures Consultation Document [IPCD] received from consultees, commentators and through the NICE website.
	1. Part 2 – Closed session

Company representatives, clinical and patient experts and members of the public were asked to leave the meeting: 14:39.

* + 1. The committee then made its provisional recommendations on the safety and efficacy of the procedure. The committee decision was reached by consensus.
		2. The committee asked the NICE technical team to prepare the Final Document [FIPD] in line with their decisions.

		A document explaining the final recommendations is available here: <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg28/chapter/the-production-of-guidance>
1. Public Consultation comments of IP973/3 Laparoscopic insertion of a magnetic titanium ring for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease
	1. Part 1 – Open session
		1. The Chair welcomed the invited clinical and patient experts, external group representatives, members of the public and company representatives from Johnson & Johnson Medical Limited.
		2. The Chair asked all committee members to declare any relevant interests in relation to the item being considered.
* No conflicts of interest were declared for the technology.
* The Committee was asked whether there were any specific equalities issues to consider in relation to this procedure.
	+ 1. The Chair then introduced Charlotta Karner, who summarised the comments received during the consultation on the draft guidance for Laparoscopic insertion of a magnetic titanium ring for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease.
		2. The Chair introduced the key themes arising from the consultation responses to the Interventional Procedures Consultation Document [IPCD] received from consultees, commentators and through the NICE website.
	1. Part 2 – Closed session

Company representatives, clinical and patient experts and members of the public were asked to leave the meeting: 14:50.

* + 1. The committee then made its provisional recommendations on the safety and efficacy of the procedure. The committee decision was reached by consensus.
		2. The committee asked the NICE technical team to prepare the Final Document [FIPD] in line with their decisions.

		A document explaining the final recommendations is available here: <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg28/chapter/the-production-of-guidance>
1. Date of the next meeting

The next meeting of the Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee (IPAC) will be held on 10/11/2022 and will start promptly at 9am.