Caesarean Section (appendix G – evidence tables)

National Collaborating Centre for Women's
 and Children's Health

Commissioned by the National Institute for
 Health and Clinical Excellence

Final Draft – September 2011

4

11

12

13

16

Published by the RCOG Press at the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, 27 Sussex Place, Regent's Park, London NW1 4RG

www.rcog.org.uk

Registered charity no. 213280

First published 2011

2nd edition © 2011 National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health 1st edition published in 2004

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means, without the prior written permission of the publisher or, in the case of reprographic reproduction, in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK [www.cla.co.uk]. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the terms stated here should be sent to the publisher at the UK address printed on this page.

The use of registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant laws and regulations and therefore for general use.

While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained within this publication, the publisher can give no guarantee for information about drug dosage and application thereof contained in this book. In every individual case the respective user must check current indications and accuracy by consulting other pharmaceutical literature and following the guidelines laid down by the manufacturers of specific products and the relevant authorities in the country in which they are practising.

This guideline has been fully funded by NICE. Healthcare professionals are expected to take it fully into account when exercising their clinical judgement. However, the guidance does not override the individual responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient.

Implementation of this guidance is the responsibility of local commissioners and/or providers

Contents

Evidence tables for 2004 guideline	4
Introduction	5
Planned CS	6
Factors affecting likelihood of CS during intrapartum care	37
Timing of CS	50
Care of the baby born by CS	95
Care of the woman after CS	100
Recovery following CS	111
Pregnancy and childbirth after CS	131
Evidence tables for 2011 update	153
Risks and benefits of planned CS compared with planned vaginal birth	154
Morbidly adherent placenta – accuracy of diagnostic tests	187
Morbidly adherent placenta – effect of diagnosis on outcomes	203
HIV	209
Maternal request	234
Decision to delivery interval	237
Timing of administration of antibiotics	276
Vaginal birth after previous CS	291

PLEASE NOTE: This document contains both the original evidence tables, and the evidence tables for those sections which are new or have been updated in the 2011 edition. When designing the updated guideline, an additional chapter was added (guideline summary). This means that all of the cross-references in the evidence tables to the original guideline are now incorrect. Where tables refer to a chapter number, that number is now one higher in the updated guideline. For example, the old evidence tables cross refer to chapter 4 for planned CS but this is now chapter 5 in the full guideline.

Evidence Tables from 2004 guideline

Chapter 1 Introduction

Evidence tables 1.1 and 1.2 show the distribution of demographic and clinical characteristics for women giving birth using data from the NSCSA. The avearge age of women giving birth was 29 years, 16% were from ethnic minority groups. Forty one percent of all women were in their first pregnancy.

1.1 Demographic factors and CS rate for women giving birth in England & Wales (n = 147,087)

	All women (%)	CS before labour (%)	CS during labour (%)
Maternal age (years)			
12–19	7.4	4.4	9.3
20-24	17.4	6.2	9.9
25-29	28.1	8.8	12.1
30-34	29.9	11.9	13.1
35-39	14.0	15.0	14.3
40-50	2.4	20.1	15.8
Missing data	0.8	11.4	10.0
Ethnicity			
White	84.3	10.2	11.8
Black African	2.0	12.3	21.0
Black Caribbean	1.3	9.5	15.4
Black Other	0.9	10.2	14.3
Bangladeshi	0.7	7.8	11.7
Indian	2.5	9.4	13.9
Pakistani	3.1	8.4	10.4
Chinese	0.8	6.8	12.3
Asian Other	1.4	9.2	15.5
Other	2.1	8.7	13.2
Not known	0.2	7.0	9.4
Missing data	0.7	7.8	9.8

1.2 Clinical factors and CS rate for women giving birth in England & Wales (n = 147,087)

	% All women	% CS before labour	%CS during labour
Number of previous vaginal deliveries			
0	47.9	13.8	19.5
≥ 1	51.4	6.6	5.8
Missing data	0.7	10.3	8.9
Number of previous CS			
0	89.9	6.0	10.8
1	7.9	42.7	33.3
≥ 2	1.5	83.1	70.8
Missing data	0.7	11.0	8.3
Gestation (weeks)			
< 28	0.5	19.6	14.1
28–32	1.1	41.3	21.4
33–36	5.1	22.2	17.9
≥ 37	93.0	9.0	11.8
Missing data	0.3	10.3	10.4
Onset of labour			
Spontaneous	67.3	_	9.8
Induction	22.1	_	19.3
CS before labour	10.0	_	_
Missing data	0.6	-	-
Presentation			
Cephalic	95.9	7.9	11.0
Breech	3.6	60.8	71.2
Transverse	0.4	65.7	100
Missing data	0.1	39.0	57.3
Birthweight			
<u><</u> 2500	5.8	23.5	18.1
2501-4000	81.2	9.3	11.0
> 4000	11.7	8.1	16.9
Missing data	1.3	19.1	15.7

Chapter 4 Planned CS

4.1 Breech presentation

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Nelson <i>et al.</i> 1986 ⁶⁴⁸	189 children with cerebral palsy born in 12 university hospitals in the USA between 1959 and 1966 Follow up and analysis at age 7 years	Observational study	Prenatal and perinatal predictors of cerebral palsy	Important predictors before onset of labour Birth weight below 2001 g Major non-CNS congenital malformation Microcephaly at birth Breech presentation		Case– control	2b
				Overlap observed between breech presentation and characteristics determined before onset of labour			
				Breech presentation With CP (n = 21): Birth weight < 2.0 kg: 9/21 (43%) Micro-cephaly at birth: 2/21 (9.5%) Congenital malformation: 7/21 (33.3%) Other: 1/21 (4.8%) Any: 13/21 (61.9%)			
Kitchen <i>et al.</i> 1982 ⁵⁸	89 infants of gestational age from 24–28 weeks born in	Observational study Followed up after 2 years	Major handicap as defined as cerebral palsy, Mental Developmental Index < 69, deafness or blindness.	Handicap by presentation at birth (unadjusted figures):		Case– control	2b
	1977 and 1988 in 2 Australian hospitals			Presentation at birth:			
	·			Vertex: Handicap: 16/36 (27.6%) No handicap: 42/53 (72.4%)			
				Breech or transverse lie: Handicap: 20/36 (64.5%) No handicap: 1153 (35.5%)			
				OR 4.77 (95% CI 1.71 to 13.62)			
				A handicapped baby at 2 years in this population was 5 times as likely to have presented as a breech or transverse lie			
				There was no adjustment for confounding factors for handicap			

4.1 Breech presentation (external cephalic version)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Hofmeyr, Kulier Cochrane review Update 1999 ⁸³	1 6 RCTs 1 in South Africa 1 in Zimbabwe 2 in the Netherlands 1 in Denmark 1 in the US 612 women with a breech	External cephalic version (ECV) (with or without the use of tocolysis) vs. No ECV	Non-cephalic births	ECV: 99/303 (32.7%) No ECV: 242/309 (78.3%) RR 0.42 (95% CI 0.35 to 0.50)	External cephalic version for breech presentation at 36 weeks compared with no external cephalic version reduces the incidence of non-cephalic births by 60%.	Systematic review of randomised controlled trials.	1a
	presentation. 3 trials: gestation 37 weeks or more 2 trials: gestation 36 weeks or more 1 trial: 33 to 40 weeks.				Results were consistent from study to study		
Cochrane review (Update 1994) ⁶⁴	3 RCTs and quasi-randomised trials. 1 in Sweden 1 in Zimbabwe 1 in the Netherlands 889 women with singleton breech presentation before term. ECV before 37 weeks of gestation. 1 trial ECV from 28 weeks 1 trial ECV from 33–36 weeks 1 trial ECV from 32 weeks	External cephalic version (ECV) before term vs. No ECV attempt	•	ECV: 197/434 (38.5%) No ECV: 204/455 (44.8%)	Performing ECV in breech babies before 37 weeks	Systematic 1a review of	1a
				RR 1.02 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.17)	compared with no ECV does not make a difference to the incidence of non-cephalic births.	randomised quasi randomised controlled	and
					Results were consistent from study to study	trials.	
Hofmeyr Cochrane review update 2001 ⁶⁶	6 RCTs 617 women with breech presentation at term and no contraindication to ECV	vith breech for ECV at term vs. no tocolysis at term and no	Failed ECV	Tocolysis: 136/317 (42.9%) No tocolysis: 176/300 (58.7%) RR 0.74 (95% CI 0.64 to 0.87)	The use of betamimetic tocolysis during ECV compared with no tocolysis reduces the incidence of failed ECV by 30%.	Systematic review of randomised a quasi randomised controlled trials.	
					Results were consistent from study to study		

4.1 Breech presentation health economics (ECV)

Study	Population	Intervention details	Cost outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Gifford 1995 [∞]	Pregnant women with breech presentation of the baby at	1) ECV with TOL (for infants still in breech)	Literature review to identify cost and outcomes	Expected costs/case were:	No incremental analysis was performed.	Decision analysis	
	term.	2) ECV with planned CS	(probabilities of positive and negative consequences) of the	1) US\$8071 for the ECV and TOL strategy;	Results highly sensitive to	model	
		3) Selected TOL for infants	four management options	2) US\$8276 for the ECV and CD	probabilities used.		
		meeting specific criteria and C	S derived from RCTs	strategy;			
		for all others	California state charge data for	3) US\$8755 for the selected TOL			
		4) planned CS for all breech infants	1993 as proxy for costs	strategy;			
			,	4) US\$9544 for the scheduled CD strategy			
	695 women presenting with	ECV	Mean Apgar scores	patients with breech presentation sa Unsuccessful ECV 56%, of which 7% proceeded to vaginal delivery	Small, single institution	Cost con- sequences	
	breech delivery	,	Local hospital charges only. 1996 prices		sample size. Not randomised so groups		
			No synthesis of costs and		may not be similar.		
			benefits 67% proceeded to vaginal delivery Se		Sensitivity analysis showed		
			Resource use not analysed separately from costs	Estimated savings in charges, US\$648/delivery	that savings may be as low as under US\$1000		
				Savings from ECV versus ECV not attempted: around \$3000/delivery			
				Potential savings from attempted ECV greater than for success/failure comparisons, based on the charges. This is due to reported higher rate of CS delivery for women not undergoing attempted ECV, and higher cost of CS for the			
				non ECV group compared with the ECV group (US\$17476 vs. US\$14617)			

Study	Population	Intervention details	Cost outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
James 2001 ⁵³ 176 women attending one hospital 1995–97		ECV and TOL	Five outcomes recorded: ECV, uncomplicated cephalic delivery, assisted vaginal delivery (breech or cephalic), elective CS or emergency CS.	Vaginal delivery: £447 (baseline) External cephalic version – additional £187 (lower grade)) – additional £193 (higher grade) Assisted delivery (ventouse):	High and low figures calculated depending on the grade of staff attending delivery	Costing study within decision analysis	ı
		Health service costs only reported. Used original costs from Clark et al. (bottom up costs), uplifted to 1997 prices. Prices validated by Regional Finance Directorate (top down costs). Setting: North Staffordshire - additional £425 (lower grade) - additional £1,955 (lower grade) - additional £1,992 (higher grade) Planned CS - (no vaginal delivery costs) - £2,403 (lower grade) - £2,439 (higher grade)	reported. Used original costs from Clark et al. (bottom up costs), uplifted to 1997 prices. Prices validated by Regional Finance Directorate (top down costs). Setting: North Staffordshire - additional £456 (higher grade) Emergency CS: - additional £1,955 (lower grade) - additional £1,955 (lower grade) Planned CS - (no vaginal delivery costs) - £2,403 (lower grade)				
	vs. £1,828 for non ECV (I cost). Expected cost savi	ECV yields expected cost of £1,452 vs. £1,828 for non ECV (low staff cost). Expected cost saving £376. With higher staff cost, saving of			w staff g £376.		
				Sensitivity/threshold analysis: Cost of ECV would need to be around £718 for both ECV and non ECV approaches to yield the same overall cost (an increase of 285%) Cost of CS would need to fall to £857 for the non-ECV option to be the least cost option (a fall of 56%) Success rate of ECV would have to fall by 5% for ECV option to be the less favourable option in terms of costs			

Study	Population	Intervention details	Cost outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Rozenberg 2000 ⁶⁸	68 women with breech presentation at 36 weeks of	ECV under epidural anaesthesia after failure of first attempt with		Caesarean rate successful ECV group 7.4%	No sensitivity analysis No comparison with women	Cost effectiveness	5
	gestation	tocolysis alone	CS rate for success/ failure Costs analysis covered obstetric procedures; cost data from local and national sources. No patient costs or downstream costs included	unsuccessful ECV group 46.3 % (p = 0.0007) Cost of delivery successful ECV £2,230 unsuccessful ECV £2,595 with no second ECV £2,118 (assuming CS delivery for 75% of breech births) Given probabilities of 57% success for initial ECV and 16% success for second ECV and 27% for ECV failure, the weighted mean cost for attempted ECV was £1,320, and for planned CV for breech without TOL £2,314			
Kilpatrick 1995 ⁷²	36 women who underwent repeat ECV in one US hospital	Repeat ECV after initial failed ECV	Effectiveness data from a retrospective cohort study 1987–92 Outcome: successful achievement of vertex position in labour and consequent need for CS Hospital costs collected for sample of women retrospectively. Hospital costs only included. Costs and resources analysed together using hospital charge system, converted to 1992 prices	Cost of an ECV US\$300 Repeat ECV cost was US\$10,800 for 36 patients. Total delivery cost/successful ECV US\$5059 (± US\$2,656, p = 0.03) Total delivery cost/woman who failed repeat ECV US\$8,042 (± £3,439, p = 0.03) Successful repeat ECV on 6 women, cost US\$30,354 which would have been \$48,252 without repeat ECV (difference \$18,000). Subtraction of the cost of ECV leaves a saving of US\$7,200	No sensitivity analysis Does not include complications arising from mode of delivery Cohort study may be subject to bias		

Study	Population	Intervention details	Cost outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Mauldin 1996 ⁷²	203 pregnant women with	ECV	Primary effectiveness outcomes	ECV initial success rate 48%	Resources not analysed	Cost	
	singleton gestation		used in the model: Infants who remained verte	Infants who remained vertex 83 $\%$	separately from costs	effectivene	ess
			success rate impact on maternal and neonatal	Vaginal delivery after successful ECV 66%	No synthesis of costs and benefits		
	Health	Health service costs only obtained from insurer Uns	CS after successful ECV 34%				
			Unsuccessful ECV remaining vertex				
			Prices from year 1996	14% and of these 67% delivered vaginally 5% were transverse and 81% breech Higher parity, transverse oblique presentation, longer pregnancy and posterior placenta were all associated with significantly increased likelihood of successful version	ı		
				Cost estimates ECV US\$285 Cephalic CS US\$9967 Breech CS US\$10,783 Cephalic VD US\$5,583 Breech VD US\$ 5,996 All VD US\$5,585 All CS US\$9,883			
				Mean savings/successful; ECV US\$2,462 compared with unsuccessful ECV at 48% success			
				Higher success rate would yield higher savings			

itudy	Population	Intervention details	Cost outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Mauldin 1998	3 ⁶⁴⁹ 84 twin gestations with vertex and non vertex twins:	Breech extraction ECV Planned CS	Clinical outcomes, maternal and neonatal morbidity rates	Maternal morbidity rate: Breech extraction:	Retrospective cohort study in a single centre, open to bias		
	41 selected for TOL 19 for ECV 24 for planned CS		Hospitalisation (not used in economic analysis)	ECV 42% CS group 37% n.s.	Resources not reported separately from costs		
	2 1 for planned es		Charge data from one hospital (US) 1996 prices	Maternal LOS: Breech extraction 3.4 days ECV 6.3 days	No synthesis of costs and benefits		
	Costs and benefits not combined CS group 7.0 days (p < 0.0001) Neonatal pulmonary disease: Breech extraction 7% ECV 24% CS group 31% (p = 0.002) Neonatal infectious disease: Breech extraction 1% ECV 0% CS group 16% (p = 0.0005) Infants requiring ventilator: Breech extraction 5% ECV 12% CS group 14%		CS group 7.0 days				
			Breech extraction 1% ECV 0% CS group 16%				
			Breech extraction 5% ECV 12%				
			Infants admitted to SCBU: Breech extraction 71% ECV 51% CS group 50% (p = 0.0001)				
		Bi Ed Ci (F Cl	Infant hospitalisation: Breech extraction 4.8 days ECV 12.4 days CS group 17.8 days (p = 0.0001)				
			Charges: TOL group: US\$5890 ± US\$2,304				
				ECV group: US\$8,638 ± \$4,175			
				CS group: US\$7,814 ± 3294			
				ANOVA p = 0.001			

4.1 Breech presentation

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Van Loon <i>et al.</i> 1997 ⁶⁵⁰	235 women with singleton breech presentation at term	Pelvimetry results revealed to obstetricians vs. pelvimetry	Vaginal delivery Overall CS rate	CS percentage: VD:	Revealing pelvimetry results prior to making a decision	RCT	1b
	Term defined as duration 37	results not disclosed to		Pelvimetry results revealed: 68/118	about mode of delivery did		
	weeks gestation or more Randomised between January 1993 and April 1996 US hospital	obstetricians (mode of delivery decided clinically)	Emergency CS rate	(57.6%) Pelvimetry results not disclosed: 58/117 (49.6%) RR 1.16 (95% CI 0.91 to 1.48) Overall CS rate: Pelvimetry results revealed: 50/118 (42.2%) Pelvimetry results not disclosed: 59/117 (50.4%) RR 0.84 (95% CI 0.64 to 1.11) Emergency CS rate: Pelvimetry results revealed: 22/118 (18.6%) Pelvimetry results not disclosed: 41/117 (35.0%) RR 0.53 (95% CI 0.34 to 0.83) NNT: 6	No description of allocation concealment Women were analysed by intention to treat		

4.1 Breech presentation and CS

Mother outcomes

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Hofmeyr and	3 RCTs involving 2396 women	Planned CS vs. planned vaginal N	Naternal morbidity (pooled)	Planned CS: 107/1169 (9.2%)	Planned CS compared with	Systematic	1b
Hannah Cochrane Systematic review updated 2000 ³⁶	with a breech presentation at term suitable for vaginal delivery	delivery	Maternal morbidity measures included: - Postpartum bleeding (including blood transfusion) - Genital tract injury - Wound infection, dehiscence or breakdown - Maternal systemic infection - Early postpartum depression - Time in hospital after delivery	Planned vaginal delivery: 106/1227 (8.6%) RR (95% CI): 1.29 (1.03 to 1.61)	planned vaginal delivery increases maternal morbidity by 30% Results generally consistent from study to study	review of randomised controlled trials	
200048	2088 women with a singleton Planned CS vs. planned vaginal Netters in a frank or complete delivery	•	Planned CS: 0/1041	Centrally controlled randomisation	RCT	1b	
	breech presentation at term Multicentre randomised trial at 121 centres in 26 countries (high and low perinatal mortality rates)	,		Planned vaginal delivery: 1/1041	Analysis was by intention to treat		
Gimovsky <i>et al.</i> 1983 ⁴³	105 women with non frank breech presentations at term. US hospital	Trial of labour vs. elective CS	Maternal mortality	No report of maternal deaths	Method of randomisation not indicated.	RCT	1b
Collea <i>et al.</i> 1980 ⁴⁴	208 women with frank breech presentation at term. US hospital	Trial of labour vs. elective CS	Maternal mortality	No report of maternal deaths	Method of randomisation not indicated	RCT	1b

Baby outcomes

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Hofmeyr and	3 RCTs involving 2396 women	Planned CS vs. planned vaginal I	Perinatal and neonatal death	Planned CS: 3/1166 (0.26%)	Planned CS is associated with	Systematic	1a
Hannah Cochrane Systematic review updated 2000 ³⁶	with a breech presentation at term suitable for vaginal delivery ³	delivery	(excluding fatal anomalies)	Planned vaginal delivery: 14/1222 (1.15%) RR 0.29 (95% CI 0.10-0.86) Countries with low (20/1000 or		a 70% decrea mortality cor with planned delivery for be delivery at te	mpared d vaginal breech

review of randomi sed controlle d trials

4.1 Breech presentation and CS (continued)

Baby outcomes

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
lofmeyr and	3 RCTs involving 2396 women	Planned CS vs. planned vaginal P	erinatal death or neonatal	Planned CS: 20/1132 (0.18%)	Planned CS is associated with	Systematic	1a
lannah	with a breech presentation at	delivery	morbidity	Planned vaginal delivery: 66/1152	a 70% decrease in death or	review of	
Cochrane Systematic	term suitable for vaginal delivery		Neonatal morbidity measures	(5.73%)	morbidity compared with planned vaginal delivery for	randomised controlled	
eview updated	•		included: – Birth trauma	RR 0.31 (95% CI 0.19 to 0.52)	breech delivery at term.	trials	
.000			– Seizures occurring at less than	Countries with low (20/1000 or			
			24 hours of age or requiring two or more drugs to control them. Apgar score of less than 4 at 5 min Cord blood base deficit of at least 15 Hypotonia for at least 2 hours Stupor, decreased response to pain or coma. Intubation and ventilation for at least 24 h Tube feeding for 4 days or more Admission to the neonatal intensive care unit for longer than 4 days.	o less) perinatal mortality rate was 0.13 (95% CI 0.05 to -0.31)			
lofmeyr and	3 RCTs	Planned CS vs. planned vaginal	5-minute Apgar < 7	Planned CS: 11/1164 (0.94%)	Planned CS compared with	Systematic	1a
Hannah Cochrane Systematic	Involving 2396 women with a breech presentation at term	delivery		Planned vaginal delivery: 38/1211 (3.14%) Total: 3/1039 (0.3%)	planned vaginal delivery reduced the incidence of 5min Apgar score < 7 by	review of randomised controlled	
review updated 2000 ³⁶	suitable for vaginal delivery.			RR 0.32 (95% CI 0.17 to 0.61)	70%	trials	
Hannah <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁸ Pre S	Pregnant women with a singleton fetus in a frank or complete breech presentation Randomised multicentre trial	Planned CS 1041 Planned vaginal birth 1042	Perinatal mortality, neonatal mortality or serious neonatal morbidity Maternal mortality or serious maternal morbidity	Planned CS: Low national perinatal mortality rate: 0/514 High national perinatal mortality rate: 3/525 (0.6%) Planned vaginal birth:	Total: 13/1039 (1.3%) Relative risk 0.23 (95% CI 0.07 to 0.81) p = 0.01	Overall, a p planned CS baby will av death or se morbidity f additional 2	one oid rious or every
				Low national perinatal mortality		done	
				rate: 3/511 (0.6%) High national perinatal mortality		May be hig	her (up
				rate: 10/528 (1.9%)		39) in Coun	tries w

a high PMR RCT 1b

And as low as 7 in a country with a low PMR Babies with lethal congenital abnormalities Excluded from analysis

4.2 Multiple pregnancy

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Crowther,	60 pairs of twins (see trial	Vaginal delivery versus CS for	Maternal: Duration of	Maternal febrile morbidity: RR 3.67	Only one trial	Systematic	1a
2000³7	below for more details)	second twin in a breech position	hospitalisation, febrile morbidity, need for blood transfusion, operative morbidity	(95% CI 1.15 to 11.69)		review	
			Neonatal: Apgar scores, birth trauma, neonatal mortality and morbidity	d			
Rabinovici,	60 women in spontaneous or	As above	As above	Maternal febrile morbidity:	Blinding of treatment	RCT	1b
198745	induced labour with twin pregnancy-both twins alive-first			Elective CS: 11/27 (40.7%)	allocation not possible		
	twin vertex, 2nd twin			Vaginal delivery: 3/27 (11.1%)	Exclusion after randomisation 9%		
	breech/transverse lie			RR 3.67 (95 % CI 1.15 to 11.69)	No pretrial sample size given		
	Gestational age 35–42 weeks Exclusion criteria:			No difference in neonatal	p		
	Fectusion criteria: Fetal anomaly Signs of abruption or acute placental insufficiency. Indication for CS or vaginal delivery Cervix > 7 cm dilated			outcomes			
Rhydstrom, 2001 ⁸⁷	18125 twins delivered in Sweden between 1991 and 1997	Observational study	Neonatal mortality by mode of delivery and presentation- breech vaginal delivery vs. CS	All gestations: OR 1.47 (95% CI 0.99 to 2.17) < 32 weeks: OR 2.50 (95% CI 1.58	3	Cohort	2b
	Breech vaginal delivery vs. CS all twins, all gestations			to 3.99) 32–36 weeks: OR 0.40 (95% CI 0.13 to 1.24) > 37 weeks: OR 0.48 (95% CI 0.13 to 1.71)	3		
Abu- Heija,1997 ⁶⁵¹	58 sets of twin pregnancies with twin 1 breech	Observational study	Perinatal mortality and morbidity	No differences in perinatal mortality by mode of delivery		Cohort	2b
	37 delivered by CS. 21 delivered vaginally			No differences in perinatal morbidity as measured by Apgar scores at 1 and 5 minutes			

4.2 Multiple pregnancy (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Essel, 1996 ⁶⁵²	68 women carrying twin gestations breech-breech and breech-transverse presentations delivered in a South African hospital between February 1989	Prospective observational study (CS vs. vaginal delivery)	Birth weights, 5-minute Apgar score ≤ 7, neonatal mortality	Both twin 1 and twin 2 in the CS group had greater birth weights than their cohort delivered vaginally (p < 0.02 for twin 1 and p < 0.01 for twin 2) No difference in Apgar score or	Underpowered for neonatal mortality	Cohort	2b
	27 delivered by CS 41 delivered vaginally			neonatal mortality			
	Inclusion criteria for vaginal delivery Estimated fetal weight < 3500 g Well-flexed fetal head No footling breech presentation Clinically adequate maternal pelvis						
Blickstein, 1993 ⁶⁵³	69 sets of twins in breech- vertex presentation 35 delivered by CS	Retrospective observational study	Maternal outcomes: – Maternal mortality – Postpartum haemorrhage – Febrile morbidity	There was no difference any of the maternal or baby outcomes		Cohort	2b
	24 delivered vaginally	B -	Baby outcomes: — Perinatal death — Birth trauma				
Greig, 1999 ⁸⁸	457 sets of twins Second twin Breech and vertex presentation	Record review	1- and 5-minute Apgar scores, umbilical artery and vein pH, duration of neonatal hospitalisation, incidence and	Study did not show any difference in any of the outcomes other than mean 1-minute Apgar This was lower in breech, vaginal		Cohort	2b
			length of ventilation, IVH, birth trauma, mortality rates (Apgar score results presented by mean according to weight group)	births at birth weight > 2500 g (p = 0.02) There was only one case of significant birth trauma among the 457 sets of twins which occurred in the vaginal delivery group			

4.2 Multiple pregnancy (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Gocke, 1989 ⁶⁵⁴	136 twin gestations with non- vertex second twins Birth weights > 1500 g	Observational study (delivery by CS vs. vaginal delivery of second twin) Vaginal delivery group consists	Maternal outcomes: – Postpartum hospital stay – Need for blood transfusion – Endometritis	No difference in any outcomes other than length of maternal hospital stay. This was longer with CS (p < 0.05)	Length of hospital stay anticipated to be longer with CS	Cohort	2b
		of attempted external version and primary breech extraction	Baby outcomes: - Neonatal death - Birth trauma - 5-minute Apgar score < 7 - Admission to SCBU				
Petterson,	Babies delivered in Western Australia 1980–1989	Observational study	Cases of cerebral palsy	Cerebral palsy/1000 live births:		Longitudin	al 3
1995	226,517 singletons			Singleton: 1.6 (95% CI 1.4 to 1.8) Twin: 7.4 (95% CI 5.3 to 10.0)			
	5132 twins			Triplet: 95% CI 26.7 (11 to 60)			
	225 triplets						
199593	55 sets of triplets	Observational study	Neonatal mortality	Neonatal mortality by mode of delivery:		Cohort	2b
	CS 23, vaginal delivery 23			CS: 0/69 (0.0%) Vaginal delivery: 1/69 (1.5%) p value: NS			
Ziadeh, 2000 ⁹⁴	41sets of triplets at 28 weeks or	Observational study	Baby outcomes:	Perinatal death by mode of		Cohort 2b	
	more		– Perinatal death	delivery:			
	20 delivered by CS, 21 delivered vaginally		Apgar score of < 7 at 5 minutes	CS: 18/60 (30.0%) Vaginal delivery: 14/63 (22.2%) p < 0.05			
				Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes: CS: 8/60 (3.3%) Vaginal delivery: 6/63 (9.5%) p < 0.05			
Clarke,1994 ⁶⁵⁵	19 triplet pregnancies delivered	Observational study	Perinatal death	Perinatal death:		Cohort	2b
	between 1981 and 1982 ina hospital in New Zealand:		Apgar < 7 at 5minutes	CS: 6/18 (33.3%) Vaginal delivery: 0/21 (0.0%)			
	CS 12; vaginal delivery 7			Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes			
	Mean gestation at delivery 33 weeks (all)			CS: 18/36 (50.0%) Vaginal delivery: 3/21 (14.9%)			
	CS 31 weeks and 6 days						
	Vaginal delivery 35 weeks and 2 days						

4.2 Multiple pregnancy (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL	
Wildschut,	31 triplet pregnancies for	Retrospective cohort	Perinatal mortality and early	Perinatal mortality:		Cohort	2b	
199592	planned abdominal delivery versus 39 for planned vaginal birth		Vaginal: 7.8% CS: 18.4%	Vaginal: 7.8% CS: 18.4%	Vaginal: 7.8% CS: 18.4%			
				Neonatal complications: Vaginal: 36% CS: 31% p = 0.03				
				*Fetuses < 500 g excluded				

Timing of planned CS for twin pregnancy

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design
Chasen, 19999	79 sets of twins delivered by CS between 36 weeks and 37	Observational study	Respiratory distress syndrome and transient tachypnoea of	Incidence of respiratory distress syndrome by mode of delivery:		Case–control study
	weeks 6 days vs. 47 sets of twins delivered between 38 weeks and 40 weeks 2 days		the newborn	Neonates with respiratory disorders:		
	Delivered at a UShospital between 1993 and 1997			Gestation at delivery < 38 weeks: 10/11 (90.9%)		
	Inclusion criterion: gestational age ≥ 36 weeks gestation			Neonates without respiratory disorders:		
				Gestation at delivery < 38 weeks: 69/115 (60.6%)		
				p = 0.04		

4.3 Preterm birth and CS; 4.4 Small for gestational age and CS*

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Sachs, 1983 ¹⁰¹	376350 singleton deliveries, vertex and breech, all birth weights	Audit	Neonatal mortality rate (NMR) = number of live born infants dying within the first 28 days/1000 live births	All vertex births: NMR VD: 243 (1521) NMR CS: 246 (285) RR 1.0	The results for vertex presentations only are given here	Audit	3
				Birth weights 1000–1500 g: NMR VD: 172 (99) NMR CS: 129 (70) RR 1.3 (95% CI 1.1 to 1.5)			
				Neonatal MR for vaginal vs. caesarean births			
Atrash, 1991 ¹⁰² f	Retrospective collection of data on recorded neonatal deaths of single births (n = 7808)		RR and 95% confidence intervals of mortality among single caesarean births compared with vaginal births in different weight groups	500–1499 g: RR 0.72: (95% CI 0.69 to 0.76) 1500–2499 g: RR 1.46: (95% CI 1.31 to 1.63) 2500–3499 g: RR 2.06: (95% CI 1.85 to 2.30) 3500–8165 g: RR 2.08: (95% CI 1.78 to 2.44) Total: RR 1.57: (95% CI 1.49 to 1.65)	Actual data were not published, only calculated RR. Neonatal mortality risk also calculated in terms of race (results not given here as only locally relevant)	Audit	3
Grant, 2000 ³⁵		s versus expectant management for 2 trials addressing preterm verte					
Lumley, 1984 ⁴⁰ F	Patients delivering from 26–31	Planned CS vs. expectant	Multiple maternal and neonata	al Nil published	Abandoned as > 40% of	RCT	1b
	weeks	management with selective CS	mortality and morbidity indices		elig1ble patients were withdrawn pre randomisation on consultants discretion		
Wallace,1984	Established preterm labour, 26–33 weeks, cephalic	Planned CS vs. expectant management with selective CS	Apgar, neonatal death, neonatal complications		Abandoned as birth weights of babies entered into the study were in excess of VLBW.	RCT	1b
Rosen, 1984 ¹⁰⁰ 1	17,260 vertex deliveries at all birth weights, collected retrospectively	Retrospective review of cases	Intra partum death, neonatal death, gross neonatal neurological morbidity	Neonatal deaths: 1000 g: VD-ND 25; CS-ND 13; p = 0.5 2000 g: VD-ND 5; CS-ND 4; p = 0.0002 3000 g: VD-ND 9; CS-ND 3; p = 0.014	Selection of results only (35 variables considered)	Survey	3

4.3 Preterm birth and CS; 4.4 Small for gestational age and CS* (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Topp, 199799	175 cases from the Danish	Search of maternity birth	Complications in pregnancy	Rate of CS higher in cases but not		Case-contr	ol 2b
	Cerebral Palsy register, 687	records for details of pregnancy and mode of delivery when when breech and vertex					
	controls (4/case) randomly	and birth	comparing cases with CP and	considered separately:			
	selected preterm babies		matched controls	Cases (n = 175); controls (n = 687)			
				V: 75 cases (59%); 266 controls (50%) OR 1.47 (95% CI 0.96 to 2.24); p: NS B: 43 cases (90%);121 controls (79%) OR 1.81 (95% CI 0.6 to 5.47); p: NS Total: 118 cases (67%); 387 controls (56%); OR 1.67 (95% CI 1.16 to 2.41); p = 0.01			

^{*}Studies included consider all small babies: preterm and SGA

4.6 Mother-to-child transmission of maternal infections

HIV

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
European Mod	de n = 436 women between 34	Caesarean section delivery vs.	HIV infection status of child b	y Intention-to-treat by infection	No woman breastfed	RCT	1b
of Delivery	and 38 weeks of pregnancy	vaginal delivery	18 months (n = 370)	status:	Randomisation through		
Collaboration, 1999 ⁴⁷	with confirmed HIV-1 diagnosis without indication (or contraindication)			CS: negative 167 (98.2%); positive 3 (1.8%); OR 0.2 (95% CI 0.1 to 0.6)	computer generated lists and analysis by intention to treat and by actual mode of		
				VD: negative 179 (89.5%); positive 21 (10.5%); OR 1.0	delivery		
	For CS delivery in various European countries, including			Actual mode of delivery by infection status:			
	the UK			CS (all): negative 196 (96.5%); positive 7 (3.5%); OR 0.4 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.9) Elective CS: negative 165 (97.6%); positive 4 (2.4%); OR 0.4 0.3 (95% CI 0.1 to 0.8) Emergency CS: negative 31 (91.2%); positive 3 (8.8%); OR 0.4 1.0 (0.3 to 3.7) VD: negative 179 (89.5%); positive 21 (10.5%); OR 0.4 (1.0)			
Jrbani, 2001 ¹²	⁴ 307 women who delivered by	59 HIV positive women, 248	Demographic comparisons,	Endometritis: HIV+ 24%; HIV- 7%; 5	HIV positive women had a	Cross-	3
	CS	HIV negative women. Cross-	indications for CS, mean	p = 0.0003	CD4 count < 200.	sectional	
		sectional study	maternal haemoglobin, endometritis, durationof hospital stay	Hospital stay (mean days): HIV+ 4.2; HIV– 4.3; p: NS			
			, ,	Mean duration of antibiotic use: no data given			
				No other differences between the HIV+ and HIV- groups			
todrigues, 86 HIV+ women undergoing a Case–control st	Case–control study	Minor and major postoperati	ve Minor complications: HIV+ 66.3%;		Case contr	ol 2b	
2001122	CS	Comparison with 86 HIV negative women having CS	complications	HIV- 41.8%; OR 2.73 (95% CI 1.4 to 6.1)			
				Major complications: HIV+ 9.3; HIV- 3.4; OR 2.84 (95% CI 0.65 to 14.06)			

4.6 Mother-to-child transmission of maternal infections (continued)

HIV

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Maiques- Montesinos,	45 HIV+ women having CS	Comparison with 90 matched controls	Baseline compared with post- operative characteristics,	Days of hospital stay; HIV+ 8.0; HIV- 7.0; p < 0.0005	HIV positive women with CD4 within normal limits	Retrospecti control	ve 2b case
1999123			duration of hospital stay, need for postoperative antibiotics,	Need for post operative antibiotics;	did not differ in terms of hospital stay with control		
			incidence of minor and major puerperal complications	HIV+ 29; HIV- 18; p < 0.00001 Mild temperature (37.5-380); HIV+ 15; HIV- 9; p < 0.002	women		
				Fever (> 380 C) ; HIV+ 17; HIV- 10;p < 0.0005			
				Wound infection; HIV+ 12; HIV- 6 ; $p < 0.003$			
Grubert, 1999 ¹²¹	62 HIV+ women undergoing CS	g Compared with 62 HIV negative women	Major complications (fever > 48 hours requiring antibiotics, further surgery needed, blood transfusion)	Minor complications: HIV+ 5; HIV- 4; OR 1.3 (95% CI 0.3 to 4.9)		Retrospecti case–contr	
			Minor complications (transient fever, impaired wound healing,	Major complications: HIV+ 20; HIV- 77; OR 3.7 (95% CI 1.4 to .9.6)			
			lochiostasis, endometritis)	No difference between women on antiretrovirals and those who were not			

HIV health economics

Note: level of evidence is not relevant to economic models and therefore not been included here

Study	Population	Intervention details	Cost Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type
Halpern	4958 HIV positive	Planned CS versus VD	Cases of mother-to-child	68% women received ART	Resources and costs not	Cost-
2000127	women who did not breastfeed		transmission of HIV avoided	Seroprevalence rate 1.7/1000	reported separately	effectiveness with modelling
	breastreed		Child's life-years saved based on average US life expectancy of 75.8 years and the estimated life expectancy of 9.4 years for an HIV- infected child	Planned CS vs. VD led to a reduction of: – 466 vases with no ART – 198 cases with ZDV – 120 cases with combination ART	Results were sensitive to vertical transmission rates and costs of treating paediatric HIV disease	with modeling
			Costs estimated from published data, inflated to 1998 prices,	Planned CS resulted in saving of US\$4,359,377		
			reported at population level only Discounting at 5%	Incremental cost effectiveness of planned CS over VD:		
			2.0000	ECS was the dominant strategy (more effective, less costly) when no ART used		
				Incremental cost-effectiveness of planned CS over VD		
				with ZDV: US\$1,131/case avoided and US\$112,693/life year saved		
				With combination ART: US\$1,697/case avoided and US\$112,693/life year saved		
Mrus 2000 ¹²⁶	Hypothetical cohort of	Planned CS versus VD	Total life time costs	Base line results:	Extensive sensitivity analysis	Cost-
	expectant mothers with HIV		Quality adjusted life expectancy	Caesarean section 34.9 infected infants/1000 deliveries	undertaken on all parameters	effectiveness with modelling
			Maternal death rate, HIV transmission rate	Vaginal delivery 62.3 infected infants Compared with vaginal delivery, CS results in		J
			Data from literature review (RCTs) including complication rates	US\$3900 savings/birth and 24.7 fewer HIV infected infants/100,000 deliveries (dominant strategy)		
			Future medical costs discounted	This result did not change over a wide range of assumptions		
				Threshold analysis		
				Only when transmission rate fell to 1.3% and the RR of transmission exceeded 89% did the elective CS cost more than VD		

HIV health economics (continued)

Note: level of evidence is not relevant to economic models and therefore not been included here

Study	Population	Intervention details	Cost Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type
Chen 2001 ¹²⁸	7000 HIV infected	Planned CS versus VD	Effectiveness data from published	Cost data used in the model:		Cost-
	women		RCTs (1996–99)	VD without complications: US\$2,269		effectivenes analysis
			Outcome: Proportion refusing CS delivery	VD with complications: US\$3,230		,
			Proportion undergoing vaginal and	CS without complications: US\$4,316		
			CS delivery Transmission rates	CS with complications: US\$5,576		
			Complication rates (from prospective studies not RCT data)	Lifetime costs of medical care for paediatric HIV: US\$86,130		
			Third party payer costs, derived	Synthesis costs and benefits		
			from review of the evidence, converted into 1998 US\$ prices	Cost saving of US\$37,284/case of perinatal HIV infection prevented after elective CS was recommended (range US\$7,742 when cost of CS was US\$5,577, to US\$286,963 when life		
			Lifetime costs discounted at 5%			
			Resource use data from completed studies (1995–99) Price years 1998	time costs of medical care for paediatric HIV infection was £335,809)		
				Threshold analysis: CS is no longer a cost-saving option under the following conditions: If perinatal transmission rate were decreased by 43.3% for all methods If the cost of uncomplicated vaginal delivery was less than U\$\$556 If the cost of uncomplicated CS delivery was less than U\$\$5,907 If the discounted lifetime costs for paediatric HIV infection was less than U\$\$49,000		
Ratcliffe 1998 ¹²	Hypothetical cohort of women with confirmed	Strategies to prevent transmission of HIV	Health service costs from data published in 1991 and 1996	Cost: No intervention £502.50	Reported ICER from clinical ad public health perspective	
	HIV status	Planned CS vs. other mode of delivery	And from one London maternity unit; adjusted to 1996 prices	Bottle feeding £503.80 Bottle feeding plus CS £726.20 Bottle feeding plus ZDV £1,189.30	(different estimates of transmission risk). Public health perspective reported	
		Bottle feeding	Evidence data from published	All three £1,411.70	here	
		Bottle feeding plus CS	studies 1992–97	Incremental cost effectiveness ratios		
		Bottle feeding plus CS plus ZDV		(cost/transmission avoided compared with next best option)		
		ZUV		Bottle feeding £15 Bottle feeding plus CS £9,248 Bottle feeding plus ZDV £7,594 All three £18,546		

Hepatitis B virus

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Lee <i>et al.</i> 1988 ¹³⁵	447 infants born to mothers positive for	After birth infants were given differing schedules	Hepatitis B infection in neonates	HBV infected/total infants: Vaccine alone:		Non- randomised	2a
	Hepatitis B e antigen and hepatitis B surface antigen who received	of hepatitis vaccine and immunoglobulin at 2 weeks and 1 and 2		CS: 3/9 (33%) VD: 39/99 (39%)		controlled study	
	hepatitis B immunisation	months:		Vaccine +HBIG x 1:			
	antenatally	Schedule: 1 = vaccine alone		CS: 3/43 (7%) VD: 45/221 (20%)			
	62 delivered by CS	2 = vaccine +HBIG x 1		Vaccine + HBIG x 2:			
	385 delivered by vaginal delivery	3 = vaccine + HBIG x 2	,	CS: 6/62 (< 10%) VD: 96/385 (24.9%) p < 0.02			

Hepatitis C virus

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Pembrey, 2001 ¹³⁸	1474 hepatitis C virus infected women from 36 centres in eight Western European countries	Observational study	Effect of mode of delivery on risk of mother-to-child transmission of HCV	Risk of vertical transmission for women with HIV co-infection: CS:13/159 (8.2%); crude OR 0.43 (95% CI 0.23 to 0.80) VD: 57/329 (17.3%)	Adjustment for breastfeeding status, centre category and maternal age at delivery	Retrospective analysis of audit data	3
				Risk of vertical transmission for women without HIV co-infection: CS: 15/218 (6.9); crude OR 1.19 (95% CI 0.64 to 2.20) VD: 39/666 (5.9)			
Papaevangelo	ou, 62 offspring born to 54	Observational study	Infant HCV infection as assessed by	Risk of vertical transmission by		Cohort	2b
1998656	HCV and HIV co- infected women in a New York hospital between March 1987 and October 1994		nested RNA PCR	mode of delivery: CS: 3/16 (18.8%); RR 1.09 (95% CI 0.31 to 3.83) VD: 6/35 (17.1%)			

Genital herpes simplex virus

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	Evidence level
Nahmias, 1971 ¹⁴²	238 women with genital herpes during pregnancy or at their first postpartum visit	Observational study	Neonatal infection with HSV	Number of infections: Vaginal delivery: 4/9 Abdominal delivery: 0/2	Very small numbers	Observational study	3
Scott, 1996 ¹⁵²	46 pregnant women with first episode of HSV during pregnancy	Acyclovir 400 mg tds versus placebo from 36 weeks gestation	Delivery by CS for recurrent infection	OR = 0.04 (95% CI 0.002 to 0.745) for delivery by CS in women taking acyclovir compared with placebo		RCT	1b
Brocklehurst, 1998 ¹⁵¹	63 pregnant women with recurrent genital herpes infection < 36 weeks	Acyclovir orally from 36 weeks till term. Control group received placebo	Delivery by CS for recurrent infection	OR = 0.44 (95% CI 0.09 to 1.59) for delivery by CS in women taking acyclovir compared with placebo		RCT	1b
Braig, 2001 ¹⁵³	288 pregnant women	Group 1: 167 women	Viral shedding in pregnancy and C	S CS:		RCT	1b
	with at least one episode of HSV during pregnancy, 201 women with a history of genital	received oral acyclovir from 36 weeks till term Group 2: 121 women given placebo	for HSV	Group 1: 8.4% Group 2: 16.5% Group 3: 9.9% p < 0.001			
	recurrence in the index	Group 3: 201 women (history only) received placebo		Viral shedding: Group 1: 0% Group 2: 5% Group 3: 0.5% p < 0.05			

Genital herpes simplex virus health economics

Note: level of evidence is not relevant to economic models and therefore not been included here

Study	Population	Intervention details	Cost Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type
Randolph	Hypothetical cohort of 1	Universal CS	Efficacy of CS	Efficacy of CS 80%	Costs and resources not reported	Cost-
1993154	million women with and without herpes lesions at		Neonatal deaths	Neonatal deaths 0.183 Neonatal severe disability 0.154	separately, but estimates based on non-systematic review of the	effectiveness analysis, with
	delivery, and women with		Neonatal severe disability	Neonatal moderate disability 0.101	literature	decision
	and without a history of HSV and herpes lesions at delivery		Neonatal moderate disability	Neonatal normal outcome 0.562 Incremental maternal mortality	Extensive sensitivity analysis	analysis
			Neonatal normal outcome	following CS (in excess of vaginal delivery mortality) 0.00015	around rates of transmission validity findings, but no sensitivity analysis of cost data	
			Incremental maternal mortality	9 neonatal cases averted/million births		
			following CS (in excess of vaginal delivery mortality	for women with a history of HSV/ lesions at delivery		
			QALY analysis assumed death = 0 severe disability 0.1 weighting	18 neonatal cases prevented/million births for women with no history.		
			Moderate disability 0.5 weighting.			
			discounted at 4%	Universal CS delivery represents US\$2.5 million/case of neonatal HSV averted from women with recurrent		
			Hospital care and lifetime disability	/ herpes		
				For women with no history of genital		
				HSV before delivery, the cost/case of is a saving of over US\$38,000		
			CS over standard delivery.	a saving of over 05750,000		
Randolph	10,000 women with at least	Four strategies:	Case of vertically transmitted	Strategy A:	Effectiveness data from RCTs	
1996155	one documented outbreak of genital herpes	A: CS	herpes prevented	US\$4,056,203/case prevented (2.8 cases)	One hospital setting. Sensitivity	
	gemanierpes	B: acyclovir	Resource use and cost reported separately	Strategy B:	analysis not thoroughly investigated, which weakens the	
		prophylaxis and CS	Price year not reported	US\$3,076,749/case prevented (5.5	conclusions	
		C: acyclovir prophylaxis in late	The year not reported	cases)		
		pregnancy and vaginal delivery, with		Strategy C: US\$2,363,634/case prevented (5.0 cases)		
		screening and follow up of infants		Strategy D:		
		D: Do nothing		US\$361,724/case prevented (nil)		
		D. Do nothing		Incremental cost/case prevented (compared with doing nothing, strategy		
				D): A: U\$\$1,319,457		
				B: U\$\$493,641		
				C: US\$ 400,382		

Genital herpes simplex virus health economics (continued)

Note: level of evidence is not relevant to economic models and therefore not been included here

Study	Population	Intervention details	Cost Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type
Scott 1998 ¹⁵⁶	46 pregnant women with their first case of HSV during pregnancy (group 1) a history of HSV (group 2) or a diagnosis of HSV before pregnancy but no frequent recurrence (group 3)	Acyclovir suppression versus no therapy	Risk of HSV recurrence at delivery and CS rates in treated and untreated groups Recurrence without therapy 30% Costs based on clinical charges during 1995	Mean cost/patient US\$7,225 treated and US\$7,625 not treated Highest cost savings US\$455/patient produced by women whose first episode occurred during pregnancy Rate of CS was the most sensitive variable for groups 1 and 2 Results also sensitive to compliance rates	Effectiveness data from RCT Costs/resources not reported separately Given the lack of details of costs, difficult to apply to other settings	Cost analysis (prevention and treatment)

4.7 Maternal request for CS

Rates of maternal request for CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Gamble ¹⁵⁷	12 observational studies including total of 13285 women in Australia, Ireland, Sweden and UK	Observational study	Rates of maternal request for CS	All CS: 1.5% to 28% Elective CS: 5% to 48% In absence of known current or previous obstetric complications:	Variety if methods used: structured questionnaires/ interviews and review of case notes	Review	3
	In 11 studies the women were surveyed just after delivery			0% to 1%	Data collection was primarily done by clinicians		
	In one study women were surveyed ante natally (n = 33)				Post hoc rationalisation		
					Studies did not address quality or amount of information women were given about CS		
					Limited investigation of reasons for requesting CS such as previous negative birth experiences or sexual abuse		
Gamble ¹⁵⁷	310 women in Australia recruited from antenatal clinics, between 36 to 40 weeks of gestation	Observational study	Rates of maternal request for CS	Nulliparae: 2.9% Multiparae: 9.2% All women: 6.4%	Data collected using questionnaires	Cross- sectional	3
Johanson ¹⁵⁸	117 women attending a UK antenatal clinic	Observational study	Rates of maternal request for CS	Nulliparae: 9% Multiparae: 5% All women: 8%	Data collected using questionnaires	Cross- sectional	3

4.7 Maternal request for CS (continued)

Rates of maternal request for CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Hildingsson ¹⁶⁰	3061 women attending 593	Observational study	Rates of maternal request for	Preference for CS:	Data collected using	Cross-	3
	antenatal clinics in Sweden		CS	All women: 8.2%	questionnaires	sectional	
				Parity: Primiparae: 7.0%; RR 1.00 Multiparae: 9.0%; RR 1.2 (95% CI 1.0 to 1.6)			
				Age: < 25 years: 8.0%; RR 1.0 (95% CI 0.7 to 1.4) 25–35 years: 8.0%; RR 1.0 > 35 years: 11.0%; 1.5 (95% CI 1.0 to 2.1)			
				Previous mode of delivery: VD: 5.0%; RR 1.0 Elective CS: 49.0%; RR 9.4 (95% CI 6.9 to 12.8) Emergency CS: 32.0%; 6.2 (95% CI 4.6 to 8.3)			
NSCSA ⁴	2475 women booked to deliver	Observational study	Maternal preference for	Preference for CS:	Data collected using	Cross-	3
	in 40 maternity units in England, Wales and Northern Ireland, surveyed antenatally (average gestation 35 weeks)		delivery	All women: 5.3% Primigravida: 3.3% All multiparae: 7.0% Multiparae, previous SVD only: 3.2% Multiparae with previous CS: 19.9% Multiparae with previous operative vaginal delivery: 7.0% Multiparae with previous stillbirth or neonatal death: 9.4% No problems reported in current pregnancy: 4.7%	questionnaire	sectional	
Potter ¹⁶¹	1612 pregnant women in	Observational study	Maternal preference for	80–90% of all women declared	CS rates in Brazil:	Cross-	3
	Brazil		delivery	preference for vaginal delivery	70% in private sector, 30% in	sectional	
	Interviewed twice antenatally and once postpartum			Over 80% of multiparae with no previous CS and 42% of multiparae with previous CS had a preference for vaginal delivery	public sector	1	

4.7 Maternal request for CS (continued)

Rates of maternal request for CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Osis ¹⁶²	656 women who had given birth in Brazil, interviewed postnatally	Observational study	Maternal preference for delivery	Preference for vaginal delivery was expressed by 90% of women who had had a previous vaginal delivery compared with 75% of women who had had previous CS only		Cross- sectional	3
Edwards ¹⁵⁹	All women attending an antenatal clinic in Wales July–November 1999	Observational study	Maternal preference for delivery	Preferred mode of delivery (n = 344): Await spontaneous labour/ IOL at term +12 days: 79% IOL at 39 weeks: 6% Elective CS at 39 weeks: 14% Reasons given for elective CS preference: To avoid vaginal trauma: 28% Safer for baby: 25% To avoid a long labour: 21% Timed delivery: 18% Existing medical problems: 7% To prevent an emergency CS: 2%	Response rate to survey not reported	Cross- sectional	3

Fear of childbirth

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Melender ¹⁶⁵	481 women 16–40 weeks gestation, Finland 2000–2001	Observational study Use of a structured questionnaire about objects,	Factor analysis of the structured questionaire	Of 329 respondents, 78% expressed fears relating to pregnancy, childbirth or both.	Response rate 69%	Cross- sectional	3
		causes and manifestation of fear		Fears concerning childbirth, health care staff, family life and CS were more common among primiparous than multiparous women (p < 0.001)			
				Childbirth fear occurred more often in primiparous women who hd not attended antenatal classes compared with those who had attended them (p = 0.009)			
				Fear of healthcare workers was more common among women who had problems in the current pregnancy compared with those who had not and among those who were planning an elective CS			
				The causes of fear were reported to be alarming information, negative stories told by others and diseases			
				Manifestations of fears included stress symptoms, influence on everyday life, wish to have CS, and wish to avoid current pregnancy and childbirth			

Fear of childbirth (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Saisto ¹⁶⁶	100 pregnant women (about 33 weeks), in their second	Observational study	Spontaneous miscarriage before first delivery	Spontaneous miscarriage before first delivery: OR 1.73, 95% CI	Odds ratios are reported to be adjusted odds ratios	Case– control	3
	pregnancy requesting elective CS due to fear of childbirth that was not present in their first		Spontaneous miscarriage between deliveries	1.05 to 2.85 Spontaneous miscarriage between deliveries: OR 3.11, 95% CI 1.16	although it is not clear what had been adjusted for		
	pregnancy		Previous infertility	to 8.34			
	200 women with at least 1		Time between deliveries	idural analgesia in first Vacuum extraction in first delivery: OR 4.50, 95% CI 2.18 to 9.31 Emergency CS in first delivery: OR 26.91, 95% CI 11.86 to 61.07 Duration of second stage of labour was longer in the group of cases			
	previous birth and no history of fear of childbirth		Epidural analgesia in first delivery				
			Duration of second stage of delivery				
			Vacuum extraction in first delivery				
			Emergency CS in first delivery	with controls (47 minutes, SD 30)			
			Induction of labour in first delivery	No difference between the groups for previous infertility, epidural analgesia in first delivery, induction of labour in first delivery and duration and intervention during third stage of labour in first delivery			
			Duration and intervention during third stage of labour in first delivery				
Johnson ²⁶	Pregnant women at least 16	Observational study	Emergency CS	Mean W-DEQ score for all women:	Questionnaire sent out to	Cross-	3
	years of age in Sheffield, England, surveyed at 32 weeks	Questionaire to measure:	Spontaneous vertex delivery	65.41 (SD 17.49)	1200 women, response rate 35%	sectional	
	gestation	1. W-DEQ scores:	Assisted vaginal delivery	No difference in fear of childbirth levels between women who were	Compared with the		
		Wijma Delivery Expectancy/Experience Questionaire (W-DEQ)	Elective CS	aware of complications that may lead to a CS and those who were not	population, a higher proportion of women in the study group were aged		
		(a validated 33 item questionnaire measurement of fear of childbirth based on women's cognitive appraisals regarding the delivery during pregnancy) 2. measure of state/trait anxiety		No difference in scores according to mode of delivery. OR (95% CI) of emergency CS vs. spontaneous vertex delivery: Medical risk: 2.48 (1.12 to 5.52) Nulliparity: 9.11 (3.78 to 21.96) Previous CS: 9.94 (2.83 to 34.93)	between 30-39 years. The elective CS rate was 11% in the study group compared with 6% in the hospital population		
		(STAI) (validated, based on 40 item questionnaire separated into scales of state anxiety and trait anxiety)		Reason to expect CS: 1.95 (0.84 to 4.52) Age: 1.09 (1.02 to 1.17) Fear of childbirth (W-DEQ) scores: 1.00 (0.98 to 1.01)			

Fear of childbirth (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Ryding ¹⁶⁷	Pregnant women at least 32	Observational study	Fear of childbirth measured by	Mean W-DEQ score for all women:	Emergency CS rate in Sweden	Nested	3
	weeks gestation in Sweden 1992–1993 Excluded women planning an elective CS and those that	Cases: those delivered by emergency CS (n = 97) Controls: women from the same population that delivered	a questionnaire at 32 weeks gestation, using 1. W-DEQ scores. Score of 84 or above considered to be	54.1 (s.d.21.1): Mean difference in score (cases—controls): W-DEQ: 10.3 (95% CI 5.3 to 15.3)	6.3%, overall CS rate 9.1% 84% response rate to questionnaire	case–contro	I
	received treatment for their fear of childbirth		serious fear of childbirth (upper 10th centile of distr1bution of scores)	STAI: 2.7 (95% CI 0.1 to 5.3) SCI: SCI (95% CI –0.3 to 10.3)			
			 STAI - state and trait anxiety index Stress coping inventory (SCI) 				

Fear of childbirth (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Saisto ¹⁶⁸ 176 low-risk and physically healthy pregnant women referred to the antenatal clinic because of fear of vaginal delivery	healthy pregnant women referred to the antenatal clinic because of fear of vaginal	Provision of information and conversation regarding previous obstetric experiences, feelings and misconceptions and psychotherapy with a trained obstetrician at 24, 28 32, 36 and 38 weeks gestation vs. usual care—standard information distribution and routine obstetric appointments at 24 and 36 weeks	Primary outcome measure: CS rate Other outcome measures: Duration of labour, pregnancy related anxiety, satisfaction with childbirth	176 women randomised 112 women (64%) completed all 3 questionnaires Women who did not complete questionnaires had fewer appointments (OR 2.03 95% CI 1.30, 3.21). Non response to questionnaires was equal between the two groups	Women identified by either request for CS or a screening questionaire Randomisation in blocks of 20 using sealed opaque envelopes Intention to treat analysis Women in the intervention group mentioned birth related concerns more	RCT	1b
	All participants were given 3 questionnaires (before randomisation, 4 weeks before due date, 3 months after delivery) Refusal to answer the questionnaire was used as an indication of the woman's		Overall, 62% of all randomised women who initially chose to deliver by CS chose to have a vaginal birth Women choosing to deliver by CS: Intervention group n = 85: 20 (23%) Control group (n = 91): 26 (28%) RR 0.82 (95% CI 0.50 to 1.36); 1.00	frequently in the pre- randomisation questionnaire than those in the control group			
		motivation for treatment and confrontation of fears		No difference in mean score for anxiety during pregnancy between the two groups (p > 0.05) Significantly lower mean scores for fear of pain in labour in			
				intervention group (p = 0.04) No difference in mean score for fear of obstetricians unfriendly behaviour between the two groups (p = 0.05)			
				Duration of labour was shorter in the intervention group (6.8 (SD 3.8) hours) compared with 8.5 (SD 4.8) hours in the control group (p = 0.04)			
				No difference in use of epidural analgesia between the groups (85% to 82%)			

Chapter 5 Factors affecting likelihood of CS during intrapartum care

5.1. Place of birth

Home birth

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Olsen, 2003 ¹⁷¹	11 low-risk multiparous women	Planned home vs. planned hospital birth	Operative delivery, perineal sutures, nitrous oxide and	No actual data provided Statistical analysis: all no difference	Systematic review including one RCT	RCT	1b
			oxygen, pethidine, baby not breastfed, mother disappointed about allocation, father did not state that he was relieved		Underpowered due to small numbers		
Olsen, 1997 ¹⁷²	Six trials included. 24092 low-risk pregnant women	Home vs. hospital births F	Perinatal and maternal mortality and morbidity outcome measures of low Apgar scores, maternal lacerations and intervention	Perinatal mortality: OR 0.87 (95% CI 0.54 to 1.41) Apgar: OR 0.55 (95% CI 0.41 to 0.74) Lacerations: OR 0.67 (95% CI 0.54 to 0.83) *Inductions: (95% CI 0.06 to 0.39 *Augmentation: (95% CI 0.26 to 0.69)	Individual data not given	Meta analysis of comparative and cohort studies	2b
			rates (induction, augmentation, episiotomy, operative vaginal birth and CS)	*Episiotomy: (95% CI 0.02 to 0.39) *Operative vaginal birth: (95% CI 0.03 to 0.42) *CS (95% CI 0.05 to 0.31)			
	*Range of ORs given						
Janssen, 2002 8	62 planned home births and 571 hospital births with midwives and 743 physician led hospital	Home vs. hospital care	Epidural use, induced, augmentation, episiotomy, CS, 3-degree tear, PPH, infection, Apgar < 7 at 5 minutes,	Home vs. physician hospital birth: Epidural: OR 0.20 (95% CI 0.14 to 0.27) Induction: OR 0.16 (95% CI 0.11 to 0.24) Augmentation: OR 0.33 (95% CI 0.23 to 0.47)	OR was adjusted for maternal age, lone parent status, income quintile, substance use and parity	Cohort	2b
	births		transfer to another hospital, us of oxygen > 4hours	e Episiotomy: OR 0.22 (95% CI 0.13 to 0.33) CS: OR 0.30 (95% CI 0.22 to 0.43) 3-degree tear: OR 0.85 (95% CI 0.43 to 1.66) PPH: OR 0.90 (95% CI 0.58 to 1.45) Infection: OR 0.24 (95% CI 0.1 to 0.59) Apgar: OR 0.84 (95% CI 0.32 to 2.19) Transfer: OR 1.4 (95% CI 0.39 to 5.04) Oxygen > 4hours: OR 0.54 (95% CI 0.27 to 1.07)			
				Home vs. midwife hospital birth: Epidural: OR 0.25 (95% CI 0.17 to 0.35) Induction: OR 0.30 (95% CI 0.20 to 0.46) Augmentation: OR 0.34 (95% CI 0.24 to 0.51) Episiotomy: OR 0.43 (95% CI 0.27 to 0.69) CS: OR 0.66 (95% CI 0.44 to 0.99) 3-degree tear: OR 0.53 (95% CI 0.28 to 1.00) PPH: OR 0.90 0.83 (95% CI 0.50 to 1.38) Infection: OR 0.26 (95% CI 0.10 to 0.68) Apgar: OR 2.28 (95% CI 0.59 to 8.8) Transfer: OR 1.00 (95% CI 0.30 to 3.40 Oxygen > 4 hours: OR 0.65 (95% CI 0.30 to 1.41)			

Childbirth care in a midwifery-led unit

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Hodnett,	Six trials (see below)	Birth centre ('home like'	CS rate (38 other outcomes)	Reported in all six trials (meta analysis) OR 0.85	Individual trials described	Systematic	1a
2003181		care) vs. usual care		(95% CI 0.72 to 1.00)	below	review	
*Byrne ¹⁸³	200 women with normal uncomplicated	Birthing centre care, described as home-like	Primary outcomes: maternal satisfaction	Intact perineum: Intervention group (n = 100): 20	No differences in mothers perception of control,	RCT	1b
	pregnancies attending and	surroundings to	Intervention rates:	Control group (n = 100): 27	satisfaction, anxiety and		
Australia Exclusion criteria Any pregnancy ri factors or presen	antenatal clinic in Australia Exclusion criteria:	encourage women to feel relaxed and to use their own resources to	CS Episiotomy Method of feeding at 6 weeks	RR 0.74 (95% CI 0.45 to 1.23) Episiotomy: Intervention group (n = 100): 35	bonding or method of feeding at 6 weeks postpartum between the		
	Any pregnancy risk factors or presentation to	cope with labour v usual care (Cont)	postpartum Costs	Control group (n = 100):27 RR 1.30 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.97)	two groups		
	antenatal clinic after 30 weeks gestation			1st/2nd degree tear Intervention group (n = 100): 37 Control group (n = 100):32 RR 1.16 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.70)			
				CS: Intervention group (n = 100): 9 Control group (n = 100): 14 RR 0.64 (95% CI 0.29 to 1.42)			
*Waldernstorm ¹⁸² 1860 women in Stockholm 1989–93 Exclusion cri Women with complicating condition e.g. hypertension	in Stockholm between 1989–93	Birthing centre care described as home like, no further details (Int) vs. usual care (Cont)	CS Instrumental vaginal delivery Episiotomy	CS: Intervention group (n = 928): 7.1% Control group (n = 932): 8.9% p > 0.05		RCT	1b
	Exclusion criteria: Women with a complicating general condition e.g. diabetes or hypertension, drug users	, ,	_p.o.co,	Instrumental vaginal delivery: Intervention group (n = 928): 3.9% Control group (n = 932): 4.5% p > 0.05			
	and smokers			Episiotomy: Intervention group (n = 928): 7.8% Control group (n = 932): 8.3% p > 0.05			

Childbirth care in a midwifery-led unit (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
*Hundley ¹⁸⁵	2844 low risk women, as defined by existing booking criteria for general practitioner units in Grampian, Scotland Exclusion criteria: preexisting maternal disease, infertility, complicated obstetric history and multiple pregnancy	Care and delivery of low-risk women in a midwife-managed birth unit, described as 'homely', in which women retain a sense of control (Int) vs. care and delivery in a consultant-led labour ward	Maternal and perinatal morbidity	No difference in percentage of women who had normal deliveries between the groups Difference in % was 2.9% (–0.5% to 6%)	1900 women randomised to midwifery managed units and 944 to labour ward 34% transferred to labour ward antepartum, 16% transferred intrapartum Significant differences in monitoring, fetal distress, analgesia, mobility and use of episiotomy	RCT	1b
					No differences in fetal outcome		
*Klein ¹⁸⁷	114 low-risk women	Birth centre care described as an attractive room with a double bed. No routine enema, shaving, IV infusion or EFM vs. routine hospital care in a labour ward	Mode of delivery, oxytocin use, epidural use, episiotomy, Apgar, morbidity of neonate	No difference in any outcome measured		RCT	1b
*MacVicar, 1993 ¹⁸⁴	3510 women with no obvious risk factors	Midwife-led care in a birth centre which was furnished to resemble a normal household bedroom with no equipment in view vs. obstetrician-led care	Complications in antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal period. Maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality. Women's satisfaction	CS: Experimental: 144 (7%) Control: 78 (7%) p: NS		RCT	1b
*Chapman, 1986 ¹⁸⁶	148 parous women	Randomised to standard care or 'home-like' care	Length of labour, mode of delivery, complications	Only 3 CSs occurred, all in the control group. This was not statistically significant		RCT	1b

^{*} denotes trials included in systematic review by Hodnett, 2003 $^{\mbox{\tiny 181}}$

Evidence tables

Delayed admission to labour ward

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Lauzon, 2001 ¹	⁹⁰ 209 low-risk nulliparous women, 37 weeks of gestation,	Intervention group received 'labour assessment' which	CS, amniotomy, anaesthesia, episiotomy, forceps, vacuum,	CS: OR 0.7; (95% CI 0.27 to 1.79) Time in labour ward: WMD –5.2	Only one study included in the review.	Systematic review (1	1b
	singleton pregnancy,	included FHR determination,	length of labour, time in labour	hours (95% CI –7.06 to 3.34)	Insufficient power to detect a	RCT)	
	spontaneous onset of labour	maternal BP and urine tests, frequency and duration of contractions, status of amniotic membranes and cervical dilatation assessment. If all of these were normal and < 3 cm dilated with intact membranes the woman was allowed to go home or remain in a 'homelike' area to walk around.	ward postpartum stay, satisfaction (sense of control), oxytocin administration, Apgar	Oxytocics: OR0.45 (95% CI 0.25 to 0.80) Analgesia: OR0.36; (95% CI 0.16 to 0.78 Sense of control: WMD 16.00; (95% CI 7.52 to 24.48 No difference with other outcomes	difference in CS due to small size		
		Control group admitted direct to labour ward					

5.2 Reducing the likelihood of CS

One-to-one support in labour

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Hodnett, 2001 ¹⁹⁴	5000 women in 14 trials	Continuous support during labour (intervention) versus routine care (control)	Medication for pain relief Operative vaginal delivery CS 5-minute Apgar scores < 7	Outcome OR Medication for pain relief: OR 0.71 (95% CI 0.20 to 0.81) Operative VD: OR 0.77 (95% CI 0.65 to 0.90) CS: OR 0.77 (95% CI 0.64 to 0.91) 5-minute Apgar scores < 7: OR 0.5 (95% CI 0.28 to 0.87)	Support differed between trials in terms of person, timing and duration	Systematic review	1a
Hodnett, 2002 ¹⁹⁵	6915 women at thirteen hospitals, with a live, singleton fetus, 34 weeks gestation or more and were in established labour	Usual care (control, n = 3461) or continuous emotional support by a specially trained nurse (intervention, n = 3454)	Primary: CS rate Secondary: otherintrapartum events and indicators of maternal and neonatal morbidity	CS rate: Intervention: 432 (12.5%) Control: 437 (12.6%) RR 0.99 (95% CI 0.87 to 1.12) p = 0.44 No difference in secondary outcomes	Comparison of patients evaluation of future preferences for labour favoured the continuous support group	Multi centred RCT	1b

Pregnancy after 41 weeks

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Crowley , 2003 ¹⁹⁶	Women included in RCT that compared induction of labour with expectant management for pregnancies continuing beyond 41 weeks	Induction of labour	Perinatal mortality CS	Perinatal mortality: 19 trials; n = 7925; Peto OR 0.20; 95% CI 0.06 to 0.70 CS: 9 RCTs; n = 5954; Peto OR 0.87; 95% CI 0.77 to 0.99		Systematic review	1a

Partogram

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Philpott, 1972 ²⁰¹	624 primigravid women, malpresentations and multiple pregnancies excluded compared with 738 similar women	Use of partogram	 Oxytocin given Labour 12–24 hours Labour > 24 hours Vacuum extraction CS Perinatal deaths 	Outcome 1966 Study p 1 12.3% 9.7% < 0.01	Retrospective comparison Results given only as percentages or proportions of n	Descriptive study	3
				Study series (n = 624)			
WHO, 1994 ²⁰² 4 p	pairs of hospitals in South	One of each pair was	Duration of labour (hours)	Duration of labour:	Active management only	Cluster RCT	1b
A	East Asia. All hospitals were already practicing active management of labour	receive the partogram (4 Labour > hour action line) Labour action active postparture	median Labour > 18 hours Labour augmented Postpartum sepsis	Before (n = 18,254): median 3.25 hours After (n = 17,230): median 3.13 hours p = 0.819 Labour > 18 hours:	Results given for all women, multiparous and nulliparous together.		
			Mode of delivery (singleton, Before (n = 18,254): 1147 (6.4%)		Patterns were similar for both		
			cephalic CS	After (n = 17,230): 589 (3.4%) p = 0.002			
				Labour augmented: Before (n = 18,254): 3785 (20.7%) After (n = 17,230): 1573 (9.1%) p = 0.023			
				Postpartum sepsis: Before (n = 18,254): 127 After (n = 17,230): 37 p = 0.028			
				Mode of delivery: Before (n = 18,254): 2278 (12.5%) After (n = 17,230): 1926 (11.2%) p = 0.841			
				n = number of deliveries			

Partogram (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Lavender, 1998 ²⁰³	928 primigravid women with uncomplicated pregnancies in spontaneous labour at term	Partograms with the action line 2, 3 or 4 hours to the right of the alert line	Primary: CS rate, maternal satisfaction	Satisfaction score: 2 hours (n = 315): 23.5 (5.9%) 3 hours (n = 302): 21.4 (6.1%) 4 hours (n = 311): 19.3 (5.6%) 2 hours vs. 3 hours: RR 3.5 (95% CI 1.7 to 5.3)		RCT	1b
				CS: 2 hours (n = 315): 35 (11.1%) 3 hours (n = 302): 43 (14.2%) 4 hours (n = 311): 26 (8.4%) 2 hours vs. 3 hours: RR 0.8 (95% CI 0.5 to 1.2)			
				Results are expressed as n (%). Differences between groups are given as odds ratio (95% CI).			
				No difference in the secondary outcomes so not reflected here			
Pattinson RC, 2003 ²⁰⁴	694 health nulliparous women in active labour, at	Aggressive management protocol. Single line	Mode of birth	Caesarean section: 16.0% vs. 23.4%. RR 0.68, 95% CI 0.50 to 0.93	Multicentre	RCT	1b
	term with a health singleton pregnancy and cephalic presentatio South Africa South A	partogram, a vaginal examination every two hours and use of oxytocin		Operative deliveries: 20.3% vs. 27.9%. RR 0.73, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.96	Randomisation through sealed opaque envelope form box in labour ward and randomisation was based on		
				a computer generated list of random numbers (perinatal death includes one protocol violation, patients enrolled into the trial with a known intrauterine death)			
		women were reassessed every two hours thereafter. Analgesia was prescr1bed on request (n = 350)					

5.3 No influence on likelihood of CS

Walking in labour

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Bloom, 1998 ²⁰⁸	1067 pregnant women presenting in spontaneous labour between 36 to 41 weeks of gestation	Walking as desired during the first stage of labour (intervention) vs. usual care (control)	Episiotomy SVD Forceps Shoulder dystocia CS	Episiotomy: Intervention (n = 536): 122 (23%) Control (n = 531): 124 (23%) RR 0.97 (95% CI 0.78 to 1.21)	78% of mothers in the walking group actually walked Results analysed by intention	RCT	1b
	Inclusion criteria: Regular uterine contractions with cervical dilatation of 3– 5 cm, cephalic presentation		C3	SVD: Intervention (n = 536): 490 (91%) Control (n = 531): 483 (91%) RR 1.00 (95% CI 0.97 to 1.04)	to treat Results were similar for nulliparous and parous mothers		
	Exclusion criteria: Women with any known complication of pregnancy including breech			Forceps: Intervention (n = 536): 23 (4%) Control (n = 531): 17 (3%) RR 1.34 (95% CI 0.72 to 2.48)			
	presentation			Shoulder dystocia: Intervention (n = 536): 1 (0.2%) Control (n = 531): 2 (0.4%) RR 0.49 (95% CI 0.04 to 5.45)			
				CS: Intervention (n = 536): 23 (4%) Control (n = 531): 31 (6%) RR 0.73 (95% CI 0.43 to 1.24)			
lynn, 1978 ²⁰⁷	68 women in spontaneous labour	Walking as desired	1. Uterine action	VD:	Women were randomised	RCT	1b
3 1	34 in each group, of whom 17 were primigravidae and 17 multigravidae	(intervention) versus confined to bed in left lateral position (control)	 Mode of delivery Analgesia required Fetal heart rate and Apgar scores 	Intervention (n = 34): 31 Control (n = 34): 22 p < 0.01	only after they had expressed a desire to walk around during labour, potential selection bias.	I	
				Forceps: Intervention (n = 34): 2 Control (n = 34): 10	Very small numbers; little statistical weight		
				CS: Intervention (n = 34): 0 Control (n = 34): 1			

Position in the second stage of labour

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Gupta, 2003 ²⁰	RCTs which compared various positions used by pregnant women during the second stage of labour		1. Duration of secon participants; WMD 2. Mode of deliver 0.97) 3. Second degree p CI 1.09 to 1.54) 4. Episiotomy: 11 5 Blood loss > 500r 2.32) 6. Experienced sev CI 0.41 to 0.83)	ral position vs.supine position/lithotomy: and stage of labour (minutes) all women: 12 studies; 3971 (fixed) –5.42 (95% CI –6.95 to 3.90) by: 29 studies; 9536 participants; Peto OR 0.82 (95% CI 0.69 to erineal tears: 10 studies; 4257 participants; Peto OR 1.30 (95%) attudies; 3846 participants; Peto OR 0.73 (95% CI 0.64 to 0.84) and:10 studies; 4303 participants; Peto OR 1.76 (95% CI 1.34 to ere pain at birth: 1 study; 517 participants; Peto OR 0.59 (95%) heart rate patterns: 1 study; 517 participants; Peto OR 0.31 1)		Systematic review	1a

Immersion in water during labour

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Nikodem, 1999 ²¹¹	988 women in three trials	Immersion vs. no immersion during labour	including mode of delivery,	No significant difference in any of the outcomes		Systematic review	1a
Duch 1006213				Mode of delivery was reported in one trial but not mentioned in the review.			
Rush, 1996 ²¹³	785 women at term in spontaneous labour with no risk factor for need for EFM or epidural	Immersion vs. no immersion during labour	Narcotic requirements, forceps and assisted deliveries, CS	SVD: Intervention: 293 (74.5%) Control: 275 (70%) p = 0.168		RCT	1b
			Forceps: Intervention: 65 (16.5%) Control: 86 (22.0%) p = 0.055				
				CS: Intervention: 35 (8.9%) Control: 0.615 p = 0.615			

Epidural analgesia during labour

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Halpern, 1998 ²²⁸	1614 nulliparous and 755 multiparous women with uncomplicated pregnancies	Epidural vs. parenteral analgesia during labour	All trials reported on CS rates as well as other maternal and neonatal outcomes	Pooled data (CS): Epidural: 97/1183 Opioid: 67/1186 OR 1.5 (95% CI 0.81 to 2.76)		Meta analysis of RCTs	1 a
Howell, 1999 ²³⁵	11 studies, 3157 women	Epidural vs. other forms of analgesia	29 outcomes measured including CS	CS overall: 9 studies; Peto OR 1.30 (95% CI 0.93 to 1.83)		Systematic review	1a
				CS dystocia: 5 studies; Peto OR 1.15 (95% CI 0.71 to 1.85)			
				CS fetal distress: 5 studies; Peto OR 1.62 (95% CI 0.74 to 3.53)			

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Smith, 2003 ²³⁸	366 women using different	Acupuncture, aromatherapy,	Pain relief during labour. Som	e Acupuncture vs. control CS: 1	CS rates were not the primary	Systematic	1a
	modalities of pain manageme	nt audio analgesia, hypnosis	of the trials looked at CS. Only	study (90 participants); RR 0.96	outcome in any of the trials	review	
	during labour		these results are given	(95% CI 0.06 to 14.83) Aromatherapy vs. control CS: 1study (22 participants); RR 2.54 (95% CI 0.11 to 56.25) Hypnosis vs. control VD: 2 studies (125 participants); RR 1.38 (95% CI 1.10 to 1.74)	in this review	ry Systematic	
Simpson,	192 low risk nulliparous	Raspberry leaf herb consumed	Safety; side effects; length of	No difference shown in any of the		RCT	1b
2001236	women	in tablet form from 32 weeks of la gestation	abour; mode of birth	outcomes measured			

5.4 Failure to progress

Active management of labour

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Lopez-Zeno, 1992 ⁶⁵⁷	705 women, nulliparous, term, spontaneous labour, cephalic presentation	Active versus routine management of labour Active management of labour defined as: amniotomy within 1 hour of diagnosis of labour. If rate of cervical dilatation < 1 cm/hour then oxytocin infusion of 6mu/minute (to maximum of 36mu) Control: usual care as determined by individual woman's physician	CS rate, length of labour, maternal and neonatal morbidity	CS rate: Active (n = 351): 37 (10.5%) Control (n = 354): 50 (14.1) p < 0.05 Length of first stage: Active (n = 351): 5.05 hours Control (n = 354): 6.72 hours p < 0.0001 Length of second stage: Active (n = 351): 1.44 hours Control (n = 354): 1.43 hours p: NS Admission to delivery: Active (n = 351): 6.49		RCT	1b
Rigoletto, 1995 ⁵⁵⁸	1934 nulliparous women, term cephalic, spontaneous labour	Active versus routine care Active management described as: childbirth classes, strict criteria for diagnosis of labour, standardised management of labour including early amniotomy and high dose oxytocin infusion, one to one nursing Control: usual care as determined by individual woman's physician	CS rate, median duration of labour, maternal fever, proportion of women whose labour lasted longer than 12 hours	Control (n = 354): 8.15 p < 0.0001 CS rate: Active (n = 1009): 197 (19.5%) Control (n = 906): 176 (19.4%) RR 1.0 (95% CI 0.8 to 1.2) Median duration of labour: Active (n = 1009): 6.2 Control (n = 906): 8.9 RR (no data given) Maternal fever: Active (n = 1009): no data given Control (n = 906): no data given RR 0.6 (95% CI 0.4 to 0.9)		RCT	1b
				Proportion > 12 hours: Active (n = 1009): 9% Control (n = 906): 26% p < 0.001			

Evidence tables

5.4 Failure to progress (continued)

Active management of labour

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Cammu, 1996	son 306 nulliparous women, term cephalic, spontaneous labour, clear amniotic fluid, >150cm in height and at least one ANC	Active management vs.control Active management described as: early amniotomy and early use of oxytocin	Use of oxytocin and amniotomy, labour duration, mode of delivery	Amniotomy: Active (n = 152): 86 (91%) Control (n = 154): 56 (57%) p < 0.01		RCT	1b
	visit	use of oxytocin Control – usual care as determined by individual woman's physician		Oxytocin use: Active (n = 152): 80 (53%) Control (n = 154): 41 (27%) p < 0.01			
				Length of labour: Active (n = 152): 254 minutes Control (n = 154): 283 minutes p 0.087			
				CS rate: Active (n = 152): 6 (3.9%) Control (n = 154): 4 (2.6%) p: NS			

Use of oxytocin to augment labour

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Bidgood, 1987 ²⁵²	Sixty nulliparous women, spontaneous labour, cephalic presentation	Three groups: Group 1 – observations Group 2 – low-dose oxytocin Group 3 – high-dose oxytocin	CS rate, cervical dilatation rate, 'delay to delivery' interval, duration of second stage Condition of newborn	No difference in CS rate Cervical dilatation rate increa :ed after oxytocin given 'Delay to delivery' and second stage shorter in high-dose group No difference in condition of newborn	'Delay to delivery' not defined Small trial	RCT	1b

Early amniotomy

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL	
Fraser, 1999 ²⁵⁶	9 studies	Early routine amniotomy vs. selective amniotomy	24 outcomes related to contractions, length of labour, neonatal and maternal	Duration of labour: 3 trials (156 women); Peto OR –53.71 (WMD) (95% CI –66.457 to –40.965)	Good quality trials included Large numbers	type	•	1a
			morbidity	CS: 8 trials (4008 women); Peto OR 1.26 (95% CI 0.96 to 1.66)				
				5-minute Apgar < 7: 8 trials (3076 women); Peto OR 0.54 (95% CI 0.30 to 0.96)				
				Use of oxytocin: 8 trials (3908 women); Peto OR 0.79 (95% CI 0.67 to 0.92)				
				Only outcomes with a difference shown				

5.5 Eating during labour: low residue diet

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Scrutton, 1998 ²⁷⁴	94 women in labour, > 37 weeks, singleton, cephalic presentation	Randomised to eating (low residue diet) group or control (water only) group	 Metabolic assessment Gastric volumes Labour outcomes 	VD: Eating (n = 45): 20 Control (n = 43): 18 AVD: Eating (n = 45): 16 Control (n = 43): 13 CS: Eating (n = 45): 9 Control (n = 43): 12	Epidural rate higher than usual which may influence women's decision to eat or not in active labour	RCT	1b

6.1 Timing of CS: optimal gestational age for a planned CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Morrison, 1995 ²⁸²	All cases of respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) or transient tachypnoea of the newborn (TTN) at term requiring NICU	Prospective survey over 9 years	RR of respiratory morbidity for RDS and TTN in relation to mode of delivery and onset of parturition for each week of gestation at term	CS prelabour: Births (n): 2341 Respiratory morbidity: RR 83 RR: 35.5/1000 (95% CI 28.4 to 43.8) OR: 6.8 (95% CI 5.2 to 8.9)	Results are for total number of deliveries. The study then calculated risk of RR with each gestation. Significant decrease after 39 weeks of gestation	Prospective audit	3
		CS labour: Births (n): 2370 Respiratory morbidity: RR 29 RR rate/1000: 12.2 (95% CI 8.2 to 17.5) OR: 2.3 (95% CI 1.6 to 3.5)					
				VD: Births (n): 28,578 Respiratory morbidity: RR 150 RR rate/1000: 5.3 (95% CI 4.4 to 6.2) OR: 1.0			

6.3 Preoperative testing before CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Ransom, 1999	Women transfused with blood	Retrospective case review	Identifiable risk factors and risk	122/125 women who had a blood		Case revie	ew 3
	during an admission for CS at a tertiary care hospital		of transfusion	transfusion had an identifiable risk factor 3/125 had no risk factor Overall urgent blood transfusion rate without risk factor is 0.8/1000 CS			
Rayburn, 1988 ⁶⁶¹	124 women for CS	Ultrasound pre-CS compared with 84 retrospectively collected controls		No difference in any of the outcomes: of incision of the placenta Blood loss intra operatively > 1000 ml Difficult delivery Injury of infant Injury of umbilical cord Injury to adjacent structures		Cohort	2b
Lonky, 1989 ³⁰¹	46 antenatal women with a previous CS and 30 control antenatal women	Ultrasound to determine CS scar	Proportion of uterine scars visualised	Overall 13/47 (27.7%) scars were visualised on ultrasound. Only transverse scars were visualised		Cohort	3
Qureshi, 1997	³⁰³ 43 women with transverse CS scars, 80 cohorts	Ultrasound to measure thickness of wall of lower uterine segment	Whether thickness of lower uterine wall can be used as a predictor for poor wound healing	< 2mm thickness –sensitivity = 86.7%; specificity = 100%. PPV = 100%; NPV = 86.7	Methodology of study unclear	Cohort	3
Suzuki, 2000 ³⁰²	39 women for repeat elective CS, 20 had preoperative diagnosis of wall thinning and	Manual and ultrasound examination to determine uterine wall thinning at 36	Scar dehiscence diagnosed antenatally by examination or ultrasound and confirmed at	Ultrasonagraphic sensitivity for scar dehiscence = 100%; specificity = 83%	Preoperative diagnosis of wall dehiscence was defined as wall thickness of < 2 mm	Cohort	3
	19 did not	weeks of gestation	surgery	No surgical findings of dehiscence in patients who felt pain and tenderness	on ultrasound and pain or tenderness on examination		

6.4 Anaesthesia for CS

General versus regional anaesthetic for CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Lertakyamanee, 1999 ³¹³	341 well women at term scheduled for elective CS	CS with general (GA), epidural (EA) or spinal anaesthesia (SA)	Maternal outcomes: Success rate Total blood loss Satisfaction of mothers	Success rate: GA: 96.1% EA: 90.0% SA: 80.5%	Success rate not defined. Non successful defined as needing to change to another method of analgesia	RCT	1b
				Total blood loss: GA: 378.3 ml EA: 323.8 ml SA: 257.2ml p = 0.0001 (GA > EA, SA)			
				No difference between the satisfaction scores in the different groups			
Lertakyamanee, 1999 ³¹⁴	341 well women at term scheduled for elective CS	CS with general (GA), epidural (EA) or spinal anaesthesia (SA)	Neonatal outcomes: Cord blood pH Apgar score NACS	Cord blood pH: GA: 7.29 EA: 7.31 SA: 7.30 p = 0.045 (GA <ea)< td=""><td>NACS = neurologic and adaptive scores, normal value not given</td><td>RCT</td><td>1b</td></ea)<>	NACS = neurologic and adaptive scores, normal value not given	RCT	1b
				Apgar 1 minute: GA: 6.7 EA: 8.3 SA: 8.7 p = 0.001 (GA <ea,sa)< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ea,sa)<>			
				Apgar 5 minutes: GA: 9.2 EA: 9.7 SA: 9.8 p = 0.004 (GA <ea,sa) 34.4="" 34.9<="" ea:="" ga:="" nacs:="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ea,sa)>			
				SA: 34.8 p: NS			

6.4 Anaesthesia for CS (continued)

General versus regional anaesthetic for CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Kavak, 2001 ³¹⁶	104 well women at term scheduled for elective CS	CS with general (GA) or spinal anaesthesia (SA)	Neonatal outcomes: 1. Umbilical artery blood gas	1. No difference in any blood gas parameters	Under powered for the outcomes. Infants well in	RCT	1b
			4. Apgar	2. 4/38 infants in the GA group vs3/46 infants in SA group were treated with oxygen and bag and mask. None needed further respiratory support (p > 0.05)	both groups		
				3. No difference between the groups			
				No difference between the groups. All infants were vigorous at birth No difference between the two			
Wallace, 1995[14718}	88 women with severe pre- eclampsia, decision already made to deliver by CS	CS with general (GA), epidural (EA) or spinal anaesthesia (SA)	Apgar scores Arterial blood gas parameters Maternal BP changes Complications	No difference between the two groups was found for any of the outcomes. No adverse outcomes were found in either group	Underpowered for the outcomes as no adverse outcomes occurred	RCT	1b
Hong, 2002 ³¹⁹	25 women with grade-4 placenta praevia	CS with general (GA), epidural (EA)	1. Blood loss, post operative transfusions, urine output, Apgar at 1 and 5 minutes	Blood loss: GA: 1623 ml EA: 1418	Underpowered for the outcomes. One adverse outcome occurred	RCT	1b
			Circulatory changes Haematological changes	Transfusions: GA: 1.08 units EA: 0.38 units Urine output: GA: 118 ml EA: 153 ml Apgar 1 minute: GA: 8 EA: 8 Apgar 5 minutes: GA: 10 EA: 9	(emergency hysterectomy)		
				p > 0.05 for each outcome Circulatory changes graphically represented; no differences			
				Haematological changes graphically represented; immediate postoperative haematocrit significantly lower in the GA group			

Study	Population	Intervention details	Cost Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Riley 1995 ³²⁵ 94 women ur	94 women undergoing CS	Epidural versus spinal anaesthesia for non-emergency CS	Effectiveness data from a single institution/ study of 94 women randomly selected to receive spinal (intervention) or epidural (control) analgesia Effectiveness data were collected retrospectively from	Total operating room time: Spinal 67–99 minutes Epidural 81–121 minutes (p < 0.001) Post-anaesthesia care unittime: Spinal 64–140 minutes Epidural 52–136 minutes (NS)	No synthesis of costs and benefits No sensitivity analysis No detailed economic analysis	Cost- consequen study	ce
			Hospital and patient costs were collected prospectively (materials, drugs, nursing time) based on data from patient records (1990–92) for all resources not common to both	Need for intraoperative analgesia: 2 Spinal 17% Epidural 38% (p = 0.04) Need for postoperative pain relief: Spinal 23% Epidural 15% (p value not given)			
			1992 prices	Complication rates: Spinal 0% Epidural 13% (p = 0.003) Total costs: Spinal US\$23.21–25.46 depending upon needle Epidural US\$43.62			
				Spinal anaesthesia is the dominant option			

Place of induction of regional anaesthesia

Study	Population	Intervention details	Cost Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Soni, 1989 ³²⁶	100 women scheduled for elective surgery in general, orthopaedics or ENT surgery	Anaesthesia induced in anaesthetic room or in theatre	Mean changes in indices of anxiety (baseline to induction)	LAAS: anaesthetic room 4.9; theatre 5.3; difference between groups 0.4 NS Heart rate (bpm): anaesthetic room 1.72; theatre 0.12; difference between groups 1.6 NS Systolic BP (mmHg): anaesthetic room 8.8; theatre 12.7; difference between groups 3.6NS Respiratory rate (breaths/min): anaesthetic room -0.6; theatre -1.58; difference between groups 0.98 p < 0.05	LAAS = linear analogue anxiety score	RCT	16

Procedures to avoid hypotension

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Emmett, 2002 ³³	³⁷ Women having spinal anaesthesia for CS	Use of an intervention to prevent hypotension	Reduction in the incidence of hypotension during spinal	Crystalloid 20 ml/kg vs. control: RR 0.78 (95% CI 0.6 to 1.0)	1	Systematic review 1a	
			anaesthetic for CS	Pre-emptive colloid vs. crystalloid: RR 0.54 (95% CI 0.37 to 0.78)			
				Ephedrine vs. control: RR 0.70 (95% CI 0.57 to 0.85)			
				Lower-limb compression vs. control: RR 0.75 (95% CI 0.59 to 0.94)			
Sutherland, 2001 ³³⁹	100 women for elective CS (ASA I) Thigh circumference > 64 cm excluded	Sequential compression device in addition to elastic stockings	1. Number of women developing hypotension 2. Umbilical artery pH (mean) 3. Proportion of neonates with Apgar scores < 9 (mean)	Number of women developing hypotension: Intervention group: 65% Control group: 80% p = 0.12 RR of developing hypotension 1.2 (95% CI 1.0 to 1.6) Umbilical artery pH (mean) Intervention group: 7.32 (0.10%) Control group: 7.34 (0.07%) p = 0.24 Proportion of neonates with Apgar scores < 9 (mean): Intervention group: 2 (4%) Control group: 2 (4%) p = 1.0	Due to difference in outcome measures the results of this trial could not be added to the trials in the above review on limb compression	RCT	1b
Fong,1996 ³⁴¹	50 normotensive women for elective CS	Epidural administration of ephedrine	Incidence of hypotension, nausea and vomiting and itching	Hypotension was defined as < 90 mmHg or < 70% of baseline. It was measured in 3 phases: start of epidural to attainment of T4 level; T4 level to delivery of infant; delivery to end of CS. No difference at any of these phases. No difference in terms of nausea, vomiting or itching	Due to difference in outcome measures the results of this trial could not be added to the trials in the above review	RCT	1a

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Lee, 2002 ³⁴²	292 women undergoing elective CS (7 RCTs)	Ephedrine vs. phenylephedrine for the treatment of hypotension during spinal anaesthesia for CS	Maternal hypo- and hypertension and bradycardia; neonatal umbilical cord ph and Apgar scores	Ephedrine vs.phenylephrine: Maternal: Hypotension management and treatment: no difference (RR1.00, 95% CI 0.96 to 1.06) Bradycardia more likely with phenylephrine than with epinephrine (RR 4.79, 95% CI 1.47 to 15.6) Neonatal: Women given phenylephrine had neonates with higher umbilical arterial pH values than those given ephedrine (WMD 0.03, 95% CI 0.2 to 0.04) No difference in terms of true acidosis, defined as umbilical artery pH < 7.2 (RR0.78, 95% CI 0.16 to 3.92) No difference in Apgar scores at 1 minute and 5 minutes	Either drug can be used for the management of hypotension with spinal anaesthesia	Systematic review	1a

Failed intubation

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Han, 2001 ³⁴⁸	1067 cases of women for	Laryngeal mask used after rapid	d Effective airway obtained; air	Effective airway obtained in 1060		Case series	3
	elective CS with general anaesthesia (ASA 1–2)	sequence induction	leakage or partial airway obstruction; need for intubation; hypoxia	(99%) of women Air leakage or partial airway obstruction occurred in 22 (2.1%) Intubation was needed in 7 women (0.71%) No episodes of hypoxia occurred			

Use of antacid before CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Stuart ⁶⁶²	385 women undergoing emergency CS under GA, Hong C	Metoclopramide 10mg iv + 0.3M sodium citrate 30 ml	1-minute Apgar score < 7 gastric volume and pH	C (n = 120); MC (n = 65); RC (n = 50); OC (n = 50); RMC	Randomisation not described Not blinded	RCT	1b
	Kong, 1991–94	orally (MC)		(n = 49); OMC (n = 50)			
	Kong, 1991–94	orally (MC) 0.3M sodium citrate 30 ml orally Ranitidine 50 mg iv + 0.3M sodium citrate 30 ml orally (RC) Omeprazole 40 mg iv + 0.3M sodium citrate 30 ml orally (OC)		(n = 49); OMC (n = 50) Apgar score 1 minute < 7: C: 19 MC: 18 RC: 12 OC: 17 RMC: 13 OMC: 12 pH median (range): C: 5.01 (0.86 to 6.99) MC: 4.88 (0.76 to 6.98) RC: 5.70 (2.08 to 7.31) OC: 5.76 (2.26 to 7.25) RMC: 5.58 (1.29 to 7.50) OMC: 5.92 (1.1 to 6.86) Gastric volume ml median (range) C: 55 (9360) MC: 50 (230) RC: 46 (3204) OC: 6 (7210) RMC: 40 (8210) OMC: 41 (3270) pH < 2.5, vol > 25 ml: C: 17 (14%) MC: 9 (14%) RC: 1 (2%) OC: 1 (2%) OMC: 4 (8%) PH < 3.5, vol > 25 ml: C: 28 (23%) MC: 15 (23%) RC: 4 (8%) OC: 3 (6%) RMC: 5 (10%)			

Evidence tables

Use of antacid before CS (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
		Ranitidine 50 mg + metoclopramide 10 mg iv +0.3M sodium citrate 30 ml orally (RMC)					
		Omeprazole 40 mg + metoclopramide 10 mg iv +0.3M sodium citrate 30 ml orally (OMC)					
Rout ³⁵⁷	Women with term singleton pregnancies undergoing	50 mg ranitidine iv + 30ml 0.3M sodium citrate	At risk of aspiration defined as pH < 3.5, volume > 25 ml	50 mg ranitidine iv + 30 ml 0.3M sodium citrate (n = 292):	Patients and assessors blinded	RCT	1b
	emergency CS under GA, South Africa 1993	Placebo (saline) + 30 ml 0.3M		At risk of aspiration: 7	Randomisation not described		
	Exclusion criteria: History of gastrointestinal	sodium citrate		Placebo (saline) + 30 ml 0.3M sodium citrate (n = 303): 12			
	disorder except heartburn Those receiving antacids or H2 receptor blockers			p = 0.5			

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Stein ³⁶⁹	75 healthy women undergoing elective CS under spinal anaesthesia, USA, 1997 Exclusion criteria: - History of nausea or vomiting associated with previous surgery or anaesthesia - Nausea or vomiting within 24 hours prior to CS - Diabetes mellitus - Morbid obesity	Acupressure wrist bands + 2 ml iv saline Placebo wristbands + 10 mg slow iv metoclopromide Placebo wristbands + 2 ml iv saline	Nausea Sedation during surgery assessed using a visual analogue scale 0–10 (score greater than 2 considered	Nausea: Acupressure (n = 25): 6 (24%); RR 0.3 (95% CI 0.1 to 0.7); 1.5 (0.5 to 4.7) Metoclopromide (n = 25): 4 (16%); RR 1.00 (95% CI 0.2 0.1 to 0.5) Placebo (n = 25): 19 (76%); 1.00 Vomiting: Acupressure (n = 25): 3 (12%); RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.1 to 1.8) Metoclopromide (n = 25): 1 (4%); RR 0.2 (95% CI 0.0 to 1.3) 1.00 Placebo (n = 25): 6 (24%); 1.00 Hypotension: Acupressure (n = 25): 64% Metoclopromide (n = 25): 68% Placebo (n = 25): 76%	Randomisation 'using envelopes' Women and assessors blinded to treatment group	•	1b
Stein ³⁶⁹ 75 und und US, Exc - H vor pre ana - N wit - E				5-minute Apgar < 7: Acupressure (n = 25): 0 Metoclopromide (n = 25): 0 Placebo (n = 25): 0			
				p > 0.05			

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Numazaki ³⁶⁴	60 ASA I parturients, 21–38 years, undergoing elective CS,	iv lignocaine 0.1 mg/kg + placebo	Intraoperative and postdelivery emetic episodes	Emesis free: 23 (77%)	Randomisation process not	RCT	1b
	Japan 2000 Exclusion criteria:	propofol 1mg/kg/h (drugs r administered after clamping of the cord, stopped at end of	Sedation (assessed using linear numeric scale 0–10)	Nausea: 3 (10%) Retching: 2 (7%) Vomiting: 3 (10%)	described Women and		
	Gastrointestinal diseases History of motion sickness History of nausea or vomiting in intraoperative or postdelivery period Those who received antiemetics 24 hrs before surgery		Requirement for antiemetic rescue medication	Rescue antiemetics: 2 (7%) Severity of nausea: median (range): 0 (0–7) Sedation: median (range): 1 (0–5)	assessors blinded		
				Placebo (n = 30): Emesis free: 11 (37%) Nausea: 9 (30%) Retching: 4 (13%) Vomiting: 8 (27%) Rescue antiemetics: 10 (33%) Severity of nausea: median (range): 0 (0–10) Sedation: median (range): 1 (0–5)			
			En Na Re Vo Re Se	RR (95% CI) propofol vs. placebo: Emesis free: 2.1 (1.2 to 3.5) Nausea: 0.3 (0.1 to 1.1) Retching: 0.5 (0.1 to 2.5) Vomiting: 0.4 (0.1 to 1.3) Rescue antiemetics: 0.2 (0.0 to 0.8) Severity of nausea: median (range): p = 0.03			
				Sedation: median (range): p = 0.63			
Fuj2 ³⁶⁵	120 ASA I parturients, 22–35 years undergoing spinal	Granisetron (G) 3 mg	Intraoperative post delivery and post operative emetic	Nausea, vomiting: Granisetron (n = 30): 4 (13%)	Randomisation	RCT	1b
	anaesthesia for elective CS,	Droperidol (D) 1.25 mg	episodes	Droperidol (n = 30): 5 (17%)	using random numbers list		
	Japan 1998	Metoclopramide (M) 10 mg		Metoclopramide (n = 30): 6 (20%) Placebo (n = 30): 19 (63%)	Women and		
	Exclusion criteria: Gastrointestinal diseases	Placebo (saline) (P)		G vs. P: RR 0.2 (95% CI 0.1 to 0.5) 1.00	assessors blinded		
	History of motion sickness History of nausea or vomiting in intraoiperative or post dlivery period Those who received antiemetics 24 hours before surgery	Administered iv after clamping of the cord		G vs. D: RR 0.8 (95% CI 0.2 to 2.7) 1.00 G vs. M: RR 0.8 (95% CI 0.3 to 2.4) 1.00 D vs. P: RR 0.3 (95% CI 0.1 to 0.6) 1.00 D vs. M: RR 1.00 M vs. P: RR 0.3 (0.1 to 0.7) 1.00			

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Lussos ³⁶³	42 ASA I–2 parturients at term undergoing elective CS under	10 mg iv metoclopromide	Self-reported	Metoclopromide (n = 21): Nausea: 3 (14%)	Randomisation not described	RCT	1b
	spinal anaesthesia, USA, 1991	Placebo	Nausea	Retching and vomiting: 1 (5%)	Women and		
	Exclusion criteria:	Given before spinal anaesthesi	a Vomiting	Umbilical artery pH: 7.21 (SD 0.21)	assessors blinded		
	History of nausea or vomiting in the week before surgery	or delivery U	Umbilical artery pH	Placebo (n = 21): Nausea: 17 (81%) Retching and vomiting: 9 (43%)			
	Diabetes Maternal history suggestive of			Umbilical artery pH: 7.22 (SD 0.09)			
	chronic uteroplacental insufficiency			RR (95% CI) metoclopromide vs. placebo: Nausea: 0.2 (0.1 to 0.5) Retching and vomiting: 0.1 (0.0 to 0.8) Umbilical artery pH: p > 0.05			
Pan ³⁶⁶	48 healthy ASA I, 2 parturients	8 mg ondansetron	Number of episodes of	= 16); placebo (P) (n = 16) At least 1 episode of nausea: O: 5 (31%) D: 4 (25%)	Computer-	RCT	1b
	scheduled to undergo non- urgent CS, USA, 1996	0.625 mg droperidol	nausea/vomiting		generated random assignment		
	Exclusion criteria:	saline (placebo)			Women and		
	Nursing women	All given after clamping of			assessors blinded		
	Psychiatric disease History of motion sickness	umbilical cord		P: 11 (70%) O vs. P: RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.2 to 1.0); 1.00			
	,			O vs. D: RR 1.2 (95% CI 0.4 to 3.8); 1.00			
				D vs. P: RR 0.4 (0.1 to 0.9); 1.00 At least 1 episode of vomiting			
				O: 1 (6%)			
				D: 2 (13%) P: 7 (44%)			
				O vs. P: RR 0.2 (0.0 to 1.5); 1.00			
				O vs. D: RR 0.5 (0.0 to 5.0);1.00 D vs. P: RR 0.4 (0.1 to 1.8); 1.00			

Evidence tables

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Pan ³⁶⁷	164 healthy ASA I, 2 parturients scheduled to undergo non-urgent CS, USA, 2000 Exclusion criteria: Nursing women Psychiatric disease Those taking antiemetics	10 mg metoclopromide 4 mg ondansetron 10 ml physiological saline (placebo) All given after clamping of umbilical cord	Number of episodes of nausea/vomiting Rescue medication	Metoclopromide (M) (n = 51); ondansetron (O) (n = 54); Placebo (P) (n = 51) At least 1 episode nausea: M: 26 (51%) O: 14 (26%) P: 36 (71%) M vs. P: RR 0.7 (95% CI 0.5 to 1.0) M vs. O: RR 2.0 (95% CI 1.2 to 3.3) O vs. P: RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.6) At least 1 episode vomiting: M: 9 (12%) O: 8 (15%)	Computer- generated random assignment Women and assessors blinded	RCT	1b
				P: 19 (37%) M vs. P: RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.9) M vs. O: 1.2 (95% CI 0.5 to 2.8) O vs. P: 0.4 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.8)			
				Rescue medication required: M: 3 (6%) O: 2 (4%) P: 13 (25%) M vs. P: 0.2 (95% CI 0.1 to 0.8) M vs. O: 1.6 (95% CI 0.3 to 9.1) 0.1 (95% CI 0.0 to 0.6)			
Abouleish ³⁶⁸	74 women with term	4 mg ondansetron	Nausea	Ondansetron (n = 36): 21 (58%)	Computer-	RCT	1b
	pregnancies, ASA I,2 , 18–40 years undergoing CS under	0.9% physiological saline		Placebo (n = 38): 30 (79%)	generated random assignment		
	spinal; anaesthesia, USA, 1999 Exclusion criteria: Fetal distress Intent to breastfeed Maternal medical problems Psychiatric disease Pregnancy-induced hypertension History of motion sickness Morbid obesity History of vomiting 24 hours preoperatively	(placebo)		RR (95% CI) ondansetron vs. placebo: 0.7 (0.5 to 1.0)	Women and assessors blinded		

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Mandell ⁶⁶³	135 healthy term parturients ASA I, 2, singleton pregnancies, elective or non-urgent CS under epidural anaesthesia, USA 1992	0.5 mg droperidol Placebo Given after clamping of umbilical cord	Nausea Vomiting	Droperidol (n = 67): Nausea: 9 (13%) Vomiting: 3 (4%) Placebo (n = 61): Nausea: 25 (41%) Vomiting: 8 (13%) RR (95% CI) droperidol vs. placebo: Nausea: 0.3 (0.2 to 0.6) Vomiting: 0.3 (0.1 to 1.2)	Randomisation not described Women and assessors blinded	RCT	1b
Cohen ³⁶²	58 healthy parturients undergoing elective CS under GA	10 mg metoclopromide iv Saline (placebo) Given before induction of GA	Apgar scores Umbilical artery pH	Metoclopromide (n = 30): 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 2; 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 0 Umbilical artery pH: 7.23 (SD 0.01) Placebo (n = 28): 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 3 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 0 Umbilical artery pH: 7.24 (SD 0.01)	Randomisation not described Women and assessors blinded	RCT	1b
	Metanalysis of 7 RCTs that evaluate the effectiveness of antiemetics (n = 618)	is of 7 RCTs that Ondansetron vs. placebo Nausea Ondansetron vs. placebo (n = 271): he effectiveness of Metaslangamida vs. placeba Vamitting Nausea: pooled RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.8)		Meta- analysis	1a		
				Droperidol vs. placebo (n = 128): Nausea: pooled RR 0.3 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.5) Ondansetron vs. metoclopramide (n = 165): Nausea: pooled RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.9) Vomiting: pooled RR 0.8 (95% CI 0.3 to 2.0) Ondansetron vs. droperidol (n = 92) Nausea: pooled RR 1.0 (95% CI 0.4 to 2.3) Vomiting: pooled RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.0 to 5.0) (fixed effects)			

Evidence tables

Avoiding aortocaval compression

Study	Population	pulation Intervention Outcomes Results		Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Wilkinson, 1995 ³³³	293 women (3 trials) for CS	Lateral tilt (10–15 degrees) vs. no lateral tilt (supine) at CS	artery pH	Low Apgar: Lateral tilt: 9/111 Control: 20/136 Peto OR 0.53 (95% CI 0.25 to 1.16)	Methodological quality of trials poor	Systematic review	1a
				Severe neonatal depression: Lateral tilt: 2/50 Control: 2/50 Peto OR 1.00 (95% CI 0.14 to 7.32)			
				Umbilical artery pH: WMD 0.03 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.04)			
				Only data from two trials was used for analysis			
Rees, 2002 ³³⁵	60 healthy women having elective CS	15-degree lateral tilt vs. full lateral tilt	Arm and leg blood pressure; ephedrine requirements; symptoms; fetal heart rate; cord gases; Apgar scores	Leg-arm pressure over time was significantly lower in the 15-degree tilt (p < 0.001). Mean leg systolic arterial pressure lower for all readings in the 15-degree tilt group (p < 0.05) at 4, 5, 6 and 8 minutes	Full lateral tilt and 15-degree tilt are both associated with aortic compression	RCT	1b
				No difference: Arm systolic pressure Ephedrine requirements Symptoms Fetal outcomes			
Matorras, 1998 ³³⁴	204 women for emergency CS	Lateral tilt vs. supine	1) Fetal heart rate tracing	1) Mean basal heart rate was higher in the		RCT	1b
199833			2) Uterine activity3) Umbilical artery acid-base	lateral tilt group (137.5 vs. 131.1, p = 0.02). No difference in accelerations or decelerations			
			status	2) No difference			
			Newborn evaluation Maternal parameters	3) PO_2 significantly lower in left lateral group (14.03 Hgmm vs.16.02, p = 0.04). No difference in pH, pCO ₂ , O ₂ saturation or bicarbonate			
				4) Proportion of neonates with Apgar < 7 same in both groups			
				5) No difference in maternal infectious or haematological parameters			

6.5 Surgical techniques for CS

Methods to prevent HIV transmission

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Tanner, 2002	1377 All members of the surgical	Comparison of 2 or more of:	Primary objective – measure of	f Single vs. double latex 1: 8 studies (5267	Only glove	Systematic	1a
	team practicing in a surgical theatre in any surgical discipline	single gloves, double gloves, glove liners, coloured puncture indicator systems, cloth outer gloves, steel outer gloves	number of postoperative wound infections in surgical patients	participants); OR 0.90 (95% CI 0.74 to 1.08) Single vs. double latex 2: 8 studies (5264 participants); OR 3.72 (95% CI 2.82 to 4.91)	perforations measured in the identified trials	review	
	18 trials identified	giovas, steel outel giovas	Secondary: objective – measure of the number of blood-borne infections in postoperative patients or	Single latex orthopaedic vs. double latex 1: 1 study (682 participants); OR 0.16 (95% CI 0.08 to 0.3)			
			number of perforations	Single latex orthopaedic vs. double latex 2: study (682 participants); OR 0.98 (95% CI 0.43 to 2.22)			
				Double latex outermost vs. double latex indicator outermost: 2 studies (562 participants); OR 1.28 (95% CI 0.61 to 2.69)			
				Double latex innermost vs. double latex indicator innermost: 2 studies (562 participants); OR 1.32 (0.65)			
				Double latex outermost vs. double latex with liner outermost: 2 studies (357 participants); OR 0.72 (95% CI 0.46 to 1.11)			
				Double latex innermost vs. double latex with liner innermost: 2 studies (331 participants); OR 8.66 (95% CI 0.68 to 109.77)			
				Double latex innermost vs. latex liner with cloth innermost: 2 studies (190 participants); OR 8.49 (95% CI 2.89 to 24.94)			
				Double latex innermost vs. latex inner with steel weave innermost: 1study (223 participants); OR 1.30 (95% CI 0.64 to 2.64)			
				1= outermost glove perforations 2= innermost glove perforations			

6.5 Surgical techniques for CS (continued)

Methods to prevent HIV transmission

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Eggleston, 1997 ³⁷⁶	162 CS were randomised	Use of surgical pass trays	Glove perforation. All gloves used at CS were tested for perforation using warm water installation	Glove perforation: Pass tray (221 pairs gloves): 19% No pass tray (223 pairs gloves): 16.1% p = 0.5		RCT	1b
			Mean surgical time Blood loss	Mean surgical time: Pass tray (221 pairs gloves): 47.1 minutes No pass tray (223 pairs gloves): 49.5 minutes p = 0.7			
				Blood loss: Pass tray (221 pairs gloves): 907 ml No pass tray (223 pairs gloves): 889 ml p = 0.05			
				No difference in rates of perforation between different surgical team members, i.e. surgeon, assistants and technicians			
Eggleston,	Surgical team members from	Control group: to employ	Perforations in gloves	Control (n = 223): 36		RCT	1b
1997376	192 CS (USA) were randomised normal instrument pass techniques			Intervention (n = 221): 42			
		Intervention group: used		RR 1.2 (95% CI 0.8 to 1.8)			
		surgical pass trays for instruments		11 perforations occurred in the double glove set	:		
		444 pairs of gloves were collected and tested. 223 from the control group and 221 from the intervention group					
		This included 38 sets from double-gloving					

Use of adhesive drapes

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Ward, 2001 ³⁷⁹	620 women undergoing CS	Plastic adhesive wound drapes vs. no plastic drape	Wound infection and hospital stay	Infected: Drapes (n = 305): 34 No drapes (n = 298): 30 p = 0.933		RCT	1b
				Hospital stay: Drapes (n = 305): 10.6 days (SD 3.9) No drapes (n = 298): 10.2 days (SD 3.9) p = 0.6964)		
Cordtz, 1989 ³⁸⁰	1340 women for CS	CS with adhesive drape vs. no adhesive drape (women were randomised to 4 groups, drapes and re-disinfection being the variables)	Wound infection	No difference in wound infection between drape group (58, 17.2%) and no drape group (43, 12.1%)		RCT	1b

Abdominal-wall incision

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results			Comments	Study type	EL
Mathai, 2002 ³⁸⁶	101 women with singleton,	Joel Cohen (JC) vs. Pfannensteil	Primary:	Results give	n as mea	ns/group	:	RCT	1b
	term pregnancy for CS with spinal anaesthesia	(P) incision for CS	Women receiving first dose of analgesia within 4 hours of surgery	Outcome 1	J C* 23	P** 41	p 0.0001		
				2 (hours)	4.1	3.3	0.0164		
			Secondary:	3 (min)	3.7	5.6	< 0.0001		
			2) Time between surgery and	4 (min)	33.1	44.5	< 0.0001		
			first dose of analgesia	5 (ml)	410	468	0.0239		
			3) Time from skin incision to	6 (hours)	10.68	12.78	0.0191		
			delivery of the infant	7	2.05	2.94	< 0.0001		
			4) Time from skin incision to	8	3	12	0.0104		
			closure	11	6.9	12.4	< 0.0001		
			5) Blood loss	13 (days)	4.4	5.9	< 0.0001		
			6) Time from surgery to intake of food	* (n = 51); *	* (n = 50))			
			7) Total dose of analgesics8) Febrile morbidity	No difference preoperative			ups for		
			9) Preoperative haematocrit	postoperativ			uration		
			10) Postoperative haematocrit	of stay in SC					
			11) Time to breastfeeding	,					
			12) Duration of stay in SCBU						
			13) Duration of hospital stay						

Evidence tables

Abdominal-wall incision (continued)

Study	Population	lation Intervention Outcomes Results		Comments	Study type	EL	
Stark, 1994 ³⁸⁵	245 women for CS	Pfannenstiel vs. Joel Cohen incision	Duration of the operation; febrile morbidity; duration of requirements for analgesia; doses of analgesia required	Duration of operation: Joel Cohen incision: 21.7 minutes Pfannenstiel incision: 23.3 minutes p < 0.05	Details of randomisation not given	RCT	1b
				Febrile morbidity: Joel Cohen incision: 7.4% Pfannenstiel incision: 18.6% p < 0.05			
				Duration of requirements for analgesia: Joel Cohen incision: 166 hours Pfannenstiel incision: 20.1 hours p: NS			
				Doses of analgesia: Joel Cohen incision: 2.9 Pfannenstiel incision: 3.3 p: NS			
Ayers, 1987 ³⁸⁷	97 women for CS	Maylard vs. Pfannensteil incision	Blood loss; febrile morbidity; total operating time; incision sizes; difficulty with delivery; long term complications at 6 weeks	Data was not given or else depicted graphically not numerically. Authors comment that there was no difference for blood loss or febrile morbidity. Maylard incision had a significantly larger median and mean. Difficulty with delivery correlated negatively and significantly with incision < 13cm.	No data given	RCT	1b
				No difference in 6 week complications			
Giacolone, 2002 ³⁸⁸	97 women for CS	Maylard vs. Pfannensteil incision	Febrile morbidity; length of hospital stay; blood transfusion; post operative pain (VAS); number of analgesic tablets used; quality of life scores; 3-month follow up; isokinetic measurements of abdominal muscles	No difference between the two incisions for any of the outcomes Incomplete data given		RCT	1b

Method of skin incision

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Hasselgren, 1984 ³⁸⁹	586 women undergoing elective abdominal surgery	One knife for the skin and a second knife for the deep incision vs. one knife for both skin and deep layers	Wound infection	Wound infection rate in the one-knife group was 3.6% and 5.5% in the two-knife group This was not statistically different	Method of randomisation not described Not CS patients	RCT	1b
				This was not statistically different	Patient data not given		
Johnson, 1990 ³⁹¹	240 women undergoing abdominal surgery	Abdominal incision with knife vs. abdominal incision with diathermy	Inflammation and wound infection rate	No difference in inflammation and infection between scalpel group (26/130, 20%) and diathermy group (18/110, 16.4%); p 0.47	Not CS patients	RCT	1b

Method of opening the abdomen

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Burger, 2002 ³⁸¹ I	Review of prospective RCTs comparing midline, paramedian, transverse and	Comparison between different abdominal incisions	Wound infection, wound dehiscence, incisional hernia	Wound infection: 10 RCTs (3586 women), 4 non-RCTs (2548 women); p: NS		Systematic Review	1 a
	oblique abdominal incisions			Dehiscence: 9 trials (2551 women); p: NS			
				Hernia: 9 trials (2551 women); p: NS			
				Postoperative pain: 2 trials (209 women); p < 0.001			
Hendrix, 2000 ³⁸²	48 cases of fascial dehiscence following CS or gynaecological	Case–control study	Univariate analysis identified independent variables and risk	Risk for dehiscence with vertical incisions not increased with respect to	Wound infection most significant risk factor for	Case-contr	ol 3
	surgery complicating 17,995 operations, 8950 CS and 9405 gynaecology operations. 144 controls		factors	risk with Pfannensteil incisions (p = 0.39, 2 tailed test). This was true for all patients including obstetric patients (OR 1.3, 95% CI 0.5 to 3.4)	fascial dehiscence		
				47/48 of the cases had wound infection compared with 1/144 controls) p < 0.0001, OR 37.8, 95% CI 14.8 to 96.8			
Lindholt,1994 ³	108 women undergoing CS	Percutaneous vs.	Wound complications, Mean	Wound complications-no difference		Non-	2a
		intracutaneous suture	satisfaction score with the cosmetic appearance of the scar	Cosmetic satisfaction—no difference between suture method		randomised controlled trial	
				Transverse commented on as being preferred more to midline			

Extension of the uterine incision

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Rodriguez, 1994 ³⁹⁵	296 women for CS Blunt vs. sharp extension (scissors) of the uterine incisio	Blunt vs. sharp extension (scissors) of the uterine incision	Extensions of incisions Endometritis Mean length of extension Postpartum Hb	Extensions of incisions: Blunt (n = 139): 16 Sharp (n = 147): 20 p = 0.61	No differences for any of the outcomes	RCT	1b
			Decrease in Hb Umbilical cord pH Delivery time	Endometritis: Blunt (n = 139): 63 Sharp (n = 147): 65 p = 0.81			
			Mean length of extension: Blunt (n = 139): 3.2 cm Sharp (n = 147): 3.2 cm p = 0.98				
			Postpartum Hb: Blunt (n = 139): 10.27 g/dl Sharp (n = 147): 9.92 g/dl p = 0.12				
			Decrease in Hb: Blunt (n = 139): 1.8 g/dl Sharp (n = 147): 2.2 g/dl p = 0.15				
				Umbilical cord pH: Blunt (n = 139): 7.26 Sharp (n = 147): 7.27 p = 0.49			
			Delivery time: Blunt (n = 139): 11.5 minutes Sharp (n = 147): 11.7 minutes p = 0.84				

Extension of the uterine incision (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Magann,	945 women for CS	Blunt vs. sharp (scissors)	Mean blood loss (ml)	Mean blood loss:		RCT	1b
2002394		extension of the uterine incision	Oxytocin ≥ 1l fluid	Sharp (n = 470): 886 ml Blunt (n = 475): 843 ml			
			Haemabate	p = 0.001			
			Mean HCT change	Oxytocin ≥ 1l fluid:			
			> 10% decrease in HCT	Sharp (n = 470): 35 Blunt (n = 475): 31			
			Transfusion	RR 1.07 (95% CI 0.84 to 1.35)			
			Uterine scar extension > 3 cm	Haemabate: Sharp (n = 470): 22 Blunt (n = 475): 19 RR 1.08 (95% CI 0.80 to 1.45)			
			Postpartum endometritis				
				Mean HCT change: Sharp (n = 470): 6.1 Blunt (n = 475): 5.5 p = 0.003			
				> 10% decrease in HCT: Sharp (n = 470): 62 Blunt (n = 475): 42 RR 1.23 (95% CI 1.03 to 1.46)			
				Transfusion: Sharp (n = 470): 9 Blunt (n = 475): 2 RR 1.65 (95% CI 1.250 to 2.221)			
				Uterine scar extension > 3 cm: Sharp (n = 470): 69 Blunt (n = 475): 24 RR 0.48 (95% CI 0.34 to 0.69)			
				Postpartum endometritis: Sharp (n = 470): 66 Blunt (n = 475): 51 RR 1.16 (95% CI 0.97 to 1.38)			
Wilkinson,	526 women in 4 RCTs	Stapler used to extend uterine	Total operating time, time to	Operating time: WMD –1.17 (95% N	lo difference in transfusions	Systematic	1a
2003396	undergoing CS	incision vs. extension digitally	deliver the baby, blood loss,	CI –3.57 to 1.22)	but only reported by one tria	l review	
		or with scissors	perinatal morbidity	Time to deliver baby: WMD 0.85 (95% CI 0.48 to 1.23)	0.85		
				Blood loss: WMD -41.22 ml (95% CI -50.63 to -31.8)			
			No	No difference in perinatal morbidity outcomes			

Fetal lacerations

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Smith, 1997 ⁶⁶⁴	896 neonates records reviewed from infants delivered by CS USA	None	Total 17/896 laceration injuries were reported (1.9 % lacerations/indications)			Retrospective review	3
			Reason for caesarean delivery in relation to laceration injuries: - Failure to progress: 8/450, (1.8 % lacerations/indications) - Fetal intolerance of labour: 2/156 (1.3 % lacerations/indications) - Repeat elective 1/101 (1.0% lacerations/indications) - Nonvertex presentation: 6/100 (6.0 % lacerations/indications)				

Use of forceps

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Bofill, 2000 ⁶⁶⁵	44 women for repeat elective CS	Vacuum vs. forceps vs. manual delivery of the fetal head	Time for delivery, uterine incision extension, post operative Hb, Hb drop, pain scores, Apgar at 1 and 5 minutes, cord artery pH	Vacuum delivery (n = 15): Time: 86.1 seconds Uterine incision: 1 Postoperative Hb: 10.08 Hb drop: 1.78 Pain scores: 1.17 Apgar 1 minute: 8.2 Apgar 5 minutes: 8.93 Cord pH: 7.23		RCT	1b
				Manual delivery (n = 14): Time: 84.1 seconds Uterine incision: 2 Postoperative Hb: 9.25 Hb drop: 2.2 Pain scores: 3.68 Apgar 1 minute: 7.6 Apgar 5 minutes: 8.5 Cord pH: 7.21			
				Forceps delivery (n = 15): Time: 125.6 seconds Uterine incision: 2 Postoperative Hb: 10.0 Hb drop: 1.96 Pain scores: 2.68 Apgar 1 minute: 7.4 Apgar 5 minutes: 8.7 Cord pH: 7.26			
				p value: Forceps delivery (n = 15): Time: 0.061 Uterine incision: 0.777 Postoperative Hb: 0.077 Hb drop: 0.321 Pain scores: 0.015 Apgar 1 minute: 0.2 Apgar 5 minutes: 0.06 Cord pH: 0.5			

Cord clamping

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Mercer, 2001 ⁴⁰²	Cord clamping studies from 1980-2001 for vaginal and caesarean births 7 RCTs and 2 nonrandomised trials	Cord clamping Tryperviscosity Hyperbilirubinaemia	Polycythaemia	Polycythaemia: no difference		Review of RCT and non-RCT evidence	1b
McDonnell, 1997 ⁴⁰⁵	185 infants from 26 to 33 weeks of gestation delivered by CS or vaginal birth	Delayed cord clamping	Infant haematocrit (Hct) at 1 and 4 hours Feasibility of delayed cord clamping	Haematocrit 1 hour: Hct delayed: 55 Hct control: 52.9 p: NS Haematocrit 4 hours: Hct delayed: 55 Hct control: 52.5 p: NS		RCT	1b

Use of uterotonics

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
	40 parturients scheduled for elective CS	5 iu oxytocin intravenous (n = 20) vs.20 iu oxytocin	ocin blood pressure one min after 0.001) (n = 19) oxytocin B) 2 minutes vs. 3 minutes	A) 8.4 mmHg vs. 14.6 mmHg (p < 0.001)	Randomisation according to a computer-generated series	RCT Placebo-	1b
		intramyometrial (n = 19)		•	of random numbers	controlled	
		B) Time till systolic blood pressure return to baseline	(p < 0.05)	1 dropout	double blind		
			•	C) No difference (graphical result)			
			C) Uterine tone	D) 107.7 ± 13.4 vs. 109.8 ± 10.4			
	D) Haemoglobin first day postoperative						

Use of uterotonics (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Munn, 2001 ⁴⁰⁸	321 women admitted for labour and delivery	10 u/500 ml oxytocin (n = 163) vs. 80 u/500 ml oxytocin	A) Percentage receiving additional uterotonic	A) 39% vs. 19%, p < 0.001, RR 2.1, 95% CI 1.4 to 3.0	Randomisation scheme was stratified by whether the	RCT Double	1b
		(n = 158) infused over 30	medication	B) 9 % vs. 2%, RR 4.8, 95% CI 1.4 V	voman had been receiving	blind	
		minutes after cord clamping	B) Percentage receiving methylergonovine, 15 methyl	to 16.0	parenteral magnesium sulphate for either pre- eclampsia or preterm labour		
			prostaglandin F _{2a} or both	C) No significant difference	eciampsia or preterm labour		
			C) Regional anaesthesia	D) No significant difference			
			D) Mean duration of surgery	E) No significant difference			
			E) Percentage receiving intravenous bolus of	F) 957 ± 148 ml vs. 937 ± 159 ml, p = 0.08			
			crystalloid, press agents or both	G) No significant difference			
			F) Mean estimate of blood loss				
			G) Mean change in hematocrit				
Chou, 1994 411 6	0 women undergoing elective	,	A) Mean estimated blood loss	A) No significant difference:	Random allocation through	RCT	1b
	CS	prostaglandin F_{2a} , 125 g (n = 30) vs.intravenous	B) Mean fall in haemoglobin	645 ml (SD 278, range 400 to 1500) vs. 605 ml (SD 303, range	opaque sealed envelopes	Double	
		oxytocin 20 u (n = 30)	C) Mean fall in hematocrit	200 to 1750)		blind	
			D) Side effects	B) No significant difference:			
			E) Lochial discharge Maternal arterial oxygen	0.98 gm/dl (SD 0.95) vs. 0.65 gm/dl (SD 0.79)			
			saturation	C) No significant difference: 2.58 % (SD 2.96) (n = 30) vs. 2%			
			F) Intraoperative infusion volume	(SD 2.96) (n = 29)			
			G) Additional oxytocics (n)	D) No significant difference			
			H) Post delivery hospitalisation	E) No significant difference			
				F) 753 ml (330) vs.632 ml (174)			
				G) 3 (10%) vs.1 (3%)			
				H) No significant difference			

Use of uterotonics (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Lokugamage,	40 women undergoing elective	500 g oral misoprostol given	Mean estimated blood loss	No significant difference in any	Randomisation by computer-	RCT	1b
2001409	or emergency CS	immediately after delivery vs. bolus intravenous injection 10	Drop in serum haemoglobin	outcome	generated numbers in sealed envelopes	Placebo-	
		iu Syntocinon	Need for additional oxytocics		ce.opes	controlled double blind	1
			Degree of shivering			doddie biiiid	
			Percentage of women requiring blood transfusion				
			Percentage of operations described as technically difficult				
			Method by which the placenta was delivered				
			No. of episodes of intaroperative hypertension immediately after the uterotonic agent was given				
			Temperature				
Gambling	Awaiting paper	Single dose iv carbetocin vs. 8-hour infusion of oxytocin					
Dansereau, 1999 ⁴¹⁴	694 women undergoing elective CS in Canada	Single dose of 100 microgrammes of intravenous	requiring additional oxytocic	Overall oxytocic intervention rate was 7.4% (47 women)		Multicentre double blind	
		carbetocin compared with an 8-hour infusion of oxytocin at CS	intervention for uterine atony	OR of intervention 2.03, 95% CI 1.1 to 2.8.		RCT	
		CS		15/317 (4.7%) in the intervention group compared with 32/318 (10.1%) in the control group			

Method of placental removal

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Wilkinson4153	RCTs including 224 women who underwent CS	Manual removal of placenta at CS vs. spontaneous separation	Blood loss Postoperative haematocrit	Mean difference in blood loss: 3 trials (162 women); effect size 436 ml (95% CI 348 to 525)	Trials were of reasonable quality although no mention was made of attempts to	Systematic review	1a
	Inclusion criteria: Randomised and quasi-RCTs comparing manual removal of placenta with spontaneous		Fetomaternal bleeding Postpartum endometritis	Mean difference in post operative drop in haematocrit: 2 trials (100 women); 4.3 (95% CI 3.3 to 5.4)	blind outcome assessment, outcomes were objective		
	separation and controlled cord traction for delivery in pregnant women undergoing CS			Transplacental bleeding (Kleihauer): 1 trial (62 women); Peto OR 2.19 (95% CI 0.69 to 6.93)			
				Endometritis: 1 trial (62 women); Peto OR 5.44 (1.25 to 23.75)			
Cernadas ⁴¹⁹	108 women undergoing CS	Glove change vs. no glove	Febrile morbidity	Febrile morbidity:	Study used consecutively	RCT	1b
	(USA)	change	Postpartum endometritis	No glove change vs. glove change: r	numbered and sealed		
	Exclusion criteria: Multiple gestation, pre-existing maternal conditions e.g.	Manual placental delivery vs. expressed placental delivery		RR 0.7 (95% CI 0.3 to 1.4) Manual placental delivery vs. expressed placental delivery: RR 1.4 (95% CI 0.6 to 3.5)	envelope containing computer-generated random group assignments		
	urinary tract infections, upper respiratory tract infections, pneumonia, clinically documented infections other than chorioamnionitis			Postpartum endometritis: No glove change vs. glove change: RR 1.2 (95% CI 0.5 to 2.8) Manual placental delivery vs. expressed placental delivery: RR 1.5 (95% CI 0.6 to 3.6)			
Atkinson ⁴²²	643 women undergoing CS	Glove change vs. no glove	Endometritis	No glove change vs. glove change: S	study used consecutively	RCT	1b
	(USA)	change Manual placental delivery vs. expressed placental delivery	Postoperative drop in haematocrit Blood transfusion	Postpartum endometritis: RR 1.0 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.3) Manual placental delivery vs. expressed placental delivery: Postpartum endometritis: RR 1.4 (95% CI 1.1 to 1.8) Postoperative drop inhaematocrit: p = 0.14 Blood transfusion: p = 0.09	numbered and sealed envelope containing computer-generated random group assignments		

Method of placental removal (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Chandra ⁴²¹	386 women undergoing CS (USA)	Manual removal of placenta at CS vs. spontaneous separation	Estimated blood loss Endometritis	Manual placental delivery vs. expressed placental delivery:	Randomisation by random numbers and series of sealed	RCT	1b
	Exclusion criteria: Chorioamnionitis, placenta accreta, urgent CS		Endometrius	Estimated blood loss (ml): Mean difference –0.91 (–1.13 to –0.70)	envelopes		
				Endometritis: OR 1.87 (0.46 to 7.59)			
Lasley ⁴²⁰	333 women undergoing CS (USA)	Manual removal of placenta at CS vs. spontaneous separation	Endometritis	Manual placental delivery vs. expressed placental delivery, RR	Randomisation by computer- generated random numbers	RCT	1b
	Exclusion criteria:		Wound infection	(95% CI):	table with group assignments		
	Intrapartum antibiotics for			Endometritis: 1.83 (1.02 to 3.29)	sealed in opaque envelopes		
	chorioamnionitis, group B streptococcal prophylaxis			Wound infection: 2.24 (0.80 to 6.31)			
Turrentine ⁴²³	228 women in labour undergoing CS	Glove change v no glove change	Endometritis	No glove change vs. glove change, RR (95% CI):	No description of how randomisation was achieved	RCT	1b
	Exclusion criteria: Chorioamnionitis, use of antibiotics			Postpartum endometritis: 1.1 (0.75 to 1.47)			
Notelovitz ⁴¹⁸	62 women undergoing CS. (Durban)	Controlled cord traction v manual removal of placenta	Rate of fetomaternal transfusion	Controlled cord traction vs. manual removal of placenta, (RR 95% CI):	No description of how randomisation was achieved	RCT	1b
	Exclusion criteria: Rhesus negative women			Rate of fetomaternal transfusion: 0.37 (0.13 to 1.07)			

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Wilkinson, 1995 ⁴²⁴	486 women for CS (2 trials)	Exteriorisation of the uterus vs. intraperitoneal closure	Blood loss, postoperative febrile morbidity, side effects	No difference for blood loss Exteriorisation associated with fewer postoperative febrile days (OR 0.40, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.94) Nonsignificant trend to fewer infections and more nausea and vomiting with exteriorisation		Systematic review	1a
Edi-Osagie, 1998 ⁴²⁵	194 women for CS	Exteriorisation of the uterus vs. intraperitoneal closure	1) Intraoperative changes in pulse rate, MABP and arterial O₂ saturation 2) Perioperative changes in Hb concentration 3) Incidence of intraoperative vomiting and pain 4) Postoperative complications, febrile and infectious morbidity 5) Immediate and late pain scores 6) Satisfaction with the operation			RCT	1b
Wahab, 1999 ⁴²⁶	288 women for CS	Exteriorisation of the uterus vs. intraperitoneal closure	Primary: 1) Perioperative Hb change 2) Duration of operation 3) Maternal morbidity 4) Length of hospital stay	Postoperative drop in Hb: GA: Exteriorised (n = 8): mean 1.0 (SD 1.5) Not exteriorised (n = 10): mean 1.7 (SD 0.8)		RCT	1b
			Secondary: intraoperative pain, nausea, vomiting, pulling or tugging	Total (n = 18): mean 1.4 (SD 1.2) SA: Exteriorised (n = 82): mean 1.1 (SD 0.9) Not exteriorised (n = 85): mean 1.3 (SD 1.2) Total (n = 167): mean 1.2 (SD 1.1)			
				EA: Exteriorised (n = 49): mean 1.9 (SD 1.1) Not exteriorised (n = 54): mean 2.2 (SD 1.1) Total (n = 103): mean 1.5 (SD 1.1)			
				All anaesthesia: Exteriorised (n = 139): mean 1.4 (SD 1.1) Not exteriorised (n = 149): mean 1.7 (SD 1.2) p < 0.05 Total (n = 288): mean 1.5 (SD 1.1)			
				No difference for the other outcomes			

One- vs. two-layer closure of uterus

This section was updated and replaced in 2020. Please see the NICE website for the updated guideline.

Closure of the peritoneum

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Wilkinson,	1194 women (4 trials) for CS	Closure vs. no closure of the	Operating time, postoperative	Non-closure saved operating time:	One of the 3 trials had sound	Systematic	1a
1997437		peritoneum at CS	morbidity, analgesic	weighted mean difference of –6.12	methodology. The other 3	review	
			requirements length of	minutes, 95% CI –8.00 to –4.27	trials were randomised		
			hospital stay.	No difference in the other outcome	es week sing the augustof the		
Hojberg, 1998 ⁴⁴¹	40 women for elective CS	Closure vs. no closure of the parietal peritoneum at CS	Postoperative pain measured twice daily from day 1 to 5 using VAS	Results given graphically but no difference between the two groups for postoperative pain	Double blinded for postoperative observations	RCT	1b
Grundsell, 1998 ⁴⁴²	361 women for CS	visceral and parietal peritoneum at CS infection, wound dehiscence, urinary tract infection, return to normal bowel action, operating time and hospital stay infection: Closure (n = 182): 35 Non-closure (n = 179): 14 p < 0.001 Wound infection: Closure (n = 182): 7 Non-closure (n = 179): 4 p < 0.05 Operating time: Closure (n = 182): 41.3 minutes Non-closure (n = 179): 33.4 minutes		RCT	1b		
				Closure (n = 182): 7 Non-closure (n = 179): 4			
				Closure (n = 182): 41.3 minutes Non-closure (n = 179): 33.4			
				Hospital stay: Closure (n = 182): 6.4 days Non-closure (n = 179): 5.03 days p < 0.01			
Balat, 2000{14157]	266 women for CS	Closure vs. no closure of the visceral and parietal peritoneum at CS	Operation time, hospitalisation time and postoperative complications	Fever: Closure (n = 132): 88 Non-closure (n = 134): 46 p < 0.05	Randomisation method not clear	RCT	1b
				Wound dehiscence Closure (n = 132): 13 Non-closure (n = 134): 7 p < 0.05			
				Operating time (minutes): Closure (n = 132): 41 Non-closure (n = 134): 20 p < 0.001			
				Hospital stay: Closure (n = 132): 6.6 days Non-closure (n = 134): 3.7 days p < 0.05			

Closure of the peritoneum (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Galaal, 2000 ⁴⁴⁴	60 women for CS	Closure vs. no closure of the visceral and parietal peritoneum at CS	Duration of operation, drop in Hb, blood transfusion, estimate of blood loss, hospital stay, postoperative pyrexia, ileus, wound infection	Operating time less with non- closure) 61.9 minutes vs. 53.56 minutes, p < 0.01) No difference with other outcomes		RCT	1b
Ferrari, 2001 ⁴⁴⁵	158 women for CS	Closure vs. no closure of the visceral and parietal	Operating time, postoperative fever, number of sutures used	Operating time less with non closure (31.6 vs. 44.4, p = 0.0001)		RCT	1b
		peritoneum at CS		Fewer sutures used (3.6 vs. 6, p = 0.001)			
				No difference in post operative morbidity			
Chanrachakul, 6 2002 ⁴⁴⁶	60 women for elective CS	Closure vs. no closure of the visceral and parietal peritoneum at CS	Postoperative pain using VAS, at rest, when moving in bed, while walking, measured twice daily from day 0 to 4	No difference in postoperative pain using VAS or consumption of analgesics Results given graphically	Controlled for indicators for CS, tubal ligation and epidural narcotics	RCT	1b
			Use of analgesics			or RCT	
Rafique, 2002 ⁴⁴⁷		Closure vs. no closure of the visceral and parietal peritoneum at CS	Analgesic requirement assessed by morphine usage via PCA pump over first 24 hour period, oral analgesia,	In first 24 hours non closure group used less morphine that closure group (0.64 mg/kg body weight vs.0.82 mg/kg. p = 0.04)		RCT	1b
			patient pain using VAS and verbal rating scale and patient satisfaction using verbal rating	Satisfaction scores higher in non closure group			
			scale	Pain scores and other outcomes no difference			

Closure of the abdominal wall

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Van' t Riet, 2002 ⁴⁴⁸	15 studies of women with midline laparotomy incisions closed with different closure techniques	Closure with: - Continuous rapidly absorbable suture - Continuous slowly absorbable suture - Nonabsorbable suture	Primary: Incisional hernia Secondary: wound dehiscence; wound pain, wound infection, suture sinus formation	Closure by continuous rapidly absorbed suture was followed by more hernias than slowly absorbable (p < 0.009) or nonabsorbable (p = 0.001) More wound pain occurred with nonabsorbable sutures (p < 0.005) and more suture sinuses (p = 0.02)		Systematic review	1a
Weiland,	12,249 women with abdomina	Different methods of closure:	Hernias, dehiscence	Mass closures produced less		Met analysis	; 1b
1998449	wound closure	continuous versus interrupted suture, absorbable versus nonabsorbable and mass versus layered closure		hernias and dehiscence that layered closure (p=0.002).			

Closure of subcutaneous tissue

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Del valle, 1992 ⁴⁵¹	438 women for CS	Closure of subcutaneous tissue (plain catgut) vs. no closure	Wound disruption	6/222 women who had subcutaneous suture and 16/216 with no suture had superficial wound disruption (p = 0.03)	Other risk factors described were more vaginal examinations during labour and higher BMI	RCT	1b
					Emergency and elective CS included		
					Randomisation not clearly described		
					Physicians not blinded		
Chelmow, 2002 ⁴⁵⁰	327 women for CS	Closure of subcutaneous tissue (plain catgut) vs. no closure	Wound complications	Before discharge: Subcut group 4/162, 2.5% had complications vs. 12/165, 7.3% in control group, RR 0.34, 95% CI 0.11 to 1.0	Emergency and elective CS included	RCT	1b
				Follow up complications: no difference			
				Skin separation, seroma or haematoma formation: no difference			
Cetin, 1997 ⁴⁵³	164 women, 70 women who had subcutaneous tissue	Each group was individually randomised to subcutaneous	Wound complications	For group with > 2 cm subcutaneous tissue:		RCT	1b
	thickness of < 2 cm and 94 with > 2 cm subcutaneous tissue	tissue closure or nonclosure		Closure group (n = 47): Seroma: 3 Haematoma: 1 Infection: 1 Total: 5			
				Non-closure group (n = 44): Seroma: 6 Haematoma: 3 Infection: 3 Total: 12			
				(p = 0.041)			
				For group with < 2 cm subcutaneous tissue there was no difference for any of the above parameters			

Use of superficial wound drains

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Ochsenbein- Imbof ⁴⁵⁶	305 women undergoing CS (Switzerland)	Suction wound drainage (n = 151) vs. no wound	Decrease in preoperative—postoperative Hb	Decrease in Hb: no significant difference Fever > 38, at least 2 days: no events in	Randomisation by opaque sealed envelopes	RCT	1a
	Exclusion criteria: refusal to participate, increased bleeding risk (e.g. HELLP), emergency CS, severe fetal deformity	drainage (n = 154)	Fever > 38 degrees, at least 2 days No. of opiate injections 3-dimensional sonographic hematoma Complications requiring revision Operating time Length of hospital stay	either group Opiate use: Suction group: 4.5 injections SD 2.8 No suction group: 2.8 injections SD 1.4 p = 0.0001 Sonographic hematoma: Suction group: 5 No suction group: 4 p > 0.05 Complications requiring revision: Suction group: 1 No suction group: 1 p > 0.05 Operating time: Suction group: 36.1 min SD 10.5 No suction group: 32.7 min SD 11.3 p = 0.007 Length of hospital stay: Suction group: 7.4 days SD 2.8 No suction group: 6.5 days SD 2.4 p = 0.006	All women received perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis		
Saunders ⁴⁵⁴	200 women undergoing CS (UK) Exclusion criteria: cases	Suction wound drainage (n = 100) vs. no wound drainage (n = 100)	Wound assessment using a scoring system	Moderate wound infection (score of at least 40): Suction wound drainage (n = 100): 4 (4%);	Randomisation using sealed envelopes Sample size calculation not	RCT	1a
	where bleeding was severe enough to warrant elective drainage			RR 1.33 (95% CI 0.33 to 5.8) No wound drainage (n = 100): 3 (3%); RR 1.00	included		
Allaire ⁴⁵²	76 obese women undergoing elective CS (USA)	Suture closure of subcutaneous layer vs. subcutaneous closed	Wound complications of either: Wound separation	Any wound complication: Subcutaneous suture closure (n = 26): 5 (19.6%); RR 0.45 (95% CI 0.18 to 1.12)	Randomisation was computer- generated, placed in opaque sealed envelopes	RCT	1a
	Inclusion criteria: at least 2	suction drain vs. no suture	Wound infection	Subcutaneous drain (n = 24): 1 (4.2%); RR	All women given		
	cm subcutaneous layer	and no drainage	Haematoma	0.10 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.71) No intervention (n = 26): 11 (42.3%); RR 1.00	perioperative prophylactic antibiotics		

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Maharaj ⁴⁵⁵	440 women undergoing emergency CS (Durban) Exclusion criteria: midline incisions, clinical signs of intrauterine infection	Corrugated wound drainage vs. no wound drainage	Wound infection Duration of operation	Wound infection: Corrugated wound drainage (n = 217): 37 (17%); RR 1.09 (95% CI 0.71 to 1.66) No wound drainage (n = 223): 35 (16%); RR 1.00 Duration of operation: Corrugated wound drainage (n = 217): 44 minutes (SD 17.3) No wound drainage (n = 223): 34 minutes (SD 11.7) (p = 0.0001)	Randomisation was computer-generated, placed in opaque sealed envelopes All women given perioperative prophylactic antibiotics	RCT	1a

Closure of the skin

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Alderdice, 2002 ⁴⁵⁸	One trial included in the review, described below	Subcuticular suture vs. staples		See below		Systematic review	1a
Frishman, 1997 ⁴⁵⁹	66 women for CS, 50 available for analysis	Subcuticular suture vs. staples	Wound infection, wound pain (at discharge and 6 weeks ronow up), wound appearance, time to close wound	Wound infection: Sutures: 0.0 Staples: 0.1 p = NS		RCT	1b
				Pain scale at discharge: Sutures: 5.1 Staples: 6.6 p = 0.003			
				Pain scale at follow up: Sutures: 0.5 Staples: 2.0 p = 0.0001			
				Wound appearance: data not given, described as sutures found to be more attractive by patient and doctor			
				Time to close wound: Sutures: 605 seconds Staples: 47 seconds p < 0.001			

Use of antibiotics

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
	Women undergoing CS, elective and non elective (81 trials, 11,937 women)	Prophylactic antibiotics at CS	Fever Wound infection Endometritis Urinary tract infection Serious infections	Fever: ECS: RR 0.49 (95% CI 0.32 to 0.75) NECS: RR 0.40 (95% CI 0.31 to 0.51) All: RR 0.45 (95% CI 0.39 to 0.52) Wound infection: ECS: RR 0.73 (95% CI 0.53 to 0.99) NECS: RR 0.36 (95% CI 0.26 to 0.51) All: RR 0.41 (95% CI 0.35 to 0.48)		Systematic review	1a
			Endometritis: ECS: RR 0.38 (95% CI 0.22 to 0.64) NECS: RR 0.39 (95% CI 0.34 to 0.46) All: RR 0.36 (95% CI 0.30 to 0.44)				
2001 ⁴⁶⁴ elective and nonelective differe				Urinary tract infection: ECS: RR 0.57 (95% CI 0.29 to 1.11) NECS: RR 0.43 (95% CI 0.30 to 0.60) All: RR 0.42 (95% CI 0.46 to 0.64)			
				Serious infections: ECS: RR 1.01 (95% CI 0.04 to 24.21) NECS: RR 0.28 (95% CI 0.13 to 0.61) All: RR 0.42 (95% CI 0.28 to 0.65)			
	Trials comparing at least 2 different prophylactic antibiotic regimens	Fever Wound infection	Ampicillin vs. 1st generation cephalosporin: OR 1.27 (95% CI 0.84 to 1.93)		Systematic review	1a	
			Urinary tract infection Serious infections	Ampicillin vs. 2nd or 3rd generation cephalosporins: OR 1.21 (95% CI 0.97 to 1.51)			
				Multiple dose vs. single dose: OR 0.92 (95% CI 0.7 to 1.23)			

Use of antibiotics (continued)

Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
196 women undergoing routine CS	Intra-abdominal irrigation with normal saline after closure of the uterus but before abdominal wall closure	Maternal morbidity - one of the following: Infections (endometritis) Haemorrhage Anaemia Urinary retention Other secondary outcomes mentioned	Control group (n = 99): 7 Intervention group (n = 97): 9 p = 0.61 Haemorrhage: Control group (n = 99): 2 Intervention group (n = 97): 1 p > 0.999 Anaemia: Control group (n = 99): 2	No difference in maternal morbidity for any of the outcomes RCT 1b		
		F ((p = 0.68 Urinary retention: Control group (n = 97): 0 Intervention group (n = 97): 0 p > 0.999			
224 women undergoing CS, > 24 weeks and no overt infection and no metronidazole allergy	Intravaginal metronidazole gel	Endometritis Febrile morbidity Wound infection Antibiotic use Postpartum stay	Endometritis: Intervention group (n = 112): 8 (7%) Control group (n = 112): 19 (17%) p = 0.04 Febrile morbidity: Intervention group (n = 112): 15 (13%) Control group (n = 112): 21 (19%) p = 0.28 Wound infection: Intervention group (n = 112): 5 (4%) Control group (n = 112): 3 (3%) p = 0.50 Antibiotic use: Intervention group (n = 112): 4 (3–5%)		RCT	1b
	196 women undergoing routine CS 224 women undergoing CS, > 24 weeks and no overt infection and no	196 women undergoing routine CS Intra-abdominal irrigation with normal saline after closure of the uterus but before abdominal wall closure 224 women undergoing CS, > 24 weeks and no overt infection and no	196 women undergoing routine CS Intra-abdominal irrigation with normal saline after closure of the uterus but before abdominal wall closure Intra-abdominal irrigation with normal saline after closure of the uterus but before abdominal wall closure Infections (endometritis) Haemorrhage Anaemia Urinary retention Other secondary outcomes mentioned 224 women undergoing CS, > 24 weeks and no overt infection and no metronidazole allergy Intravaginal metronidazole gel Endometritis Febrile morbidity Wound infection Antibiotic use	196 women undergoing routine CS Intra-abdominal irrigation with normal saline after closure of the uterus but before abdominal wall closure Intra-abdominal wall closure Infections (endometritis) Haemorrhage Haemorrhage Anaemia Control group (n = 99): 7 Intervention group (n = 97): 9 p = 0.61 Haemorrhage: Control group (n = 97): 1 Haemorrhage: Control group (n = 97): 1 P > 0.999 Anaemia: Control group (n = 97): 3 p > 0.999 Anaemia: Control group (n = 97): 0 p > 0.999 Anaemia: Control group (n = 97): 0 p > 0.999 Intervention group (n = 97): 0 p > 0.999 Intervention group (n = 112): 8 (7%) Control group (n = 112): 19 (17%) P = 0.04 Antibiotic use Postpartum stay Postpartum stay Wound infection: Intervention group (n = 112): 21 (19%) p = 0.28 Wound infection: Intervention group (n = 112): 5 (4%) Control group (n = 112): 3 (3%) P = 0.28 Wound infection: Intervention group (n = 112): 5 (4%) Control group (n = 112): 3 (3%) P = 0.28 Wound infection: Intervention group (n = 112): 5 (4%) Control group (n = 112): 3 (3%) P = 0.28 Wound infection: Intervention group (n = 112): 5 (4%) Control group (n =	196 women undergoing routine CS Intra-abdominal irrigation with normal saline after closure of the uterus but before abdominal wall closure Maternal morbidity - one of the following: Infections (endometritis) Haemorrhage Anaemia Urinary retention Other secondary outcomes mentioned 224 women undergoing (S, > 24 weeks and no overt infection and no metronidazole allergy Discontrol group (n = 90): 2 Intervention group (n = 97): 1 p > 0.999 Anaemia: Control group (n = 99): 2 Intervention group (n = 97): 3 p = 0.68 Urinary retention: Control group (n = 99): 0 Intervention group (n = 97): 0 p > 0.999 Endometritis Febrile morbidity Wound infection metronidazole allergy Discontrol group (n = 112): 8 (7%) Control group (n = 112): 15 (13%) Control group (n = 112): 15 (13%) Control group (n = 112): 21 (19%) p = 0.28 Wound infection: Intervention group (n = 112): 15 (4%) Control group (n = 112): 3 (3%) p = 0.28 Wound infection: Intervention group (n = 112): 4 (3–5%) Control group (n = 112): 4 (3–5%)	196 women undergoing routine CS Intra-abdominal irrigation with normal saline after closure of the uterus but before abdominal wall closure Maternal morbidity - one of the following: infections (endometritis) hear can be for a bdominal wall closure Maternal morbidity - one of the following: infections (endometritis) hear control group (n = 97): 9 p = 0.61 Haemorrhage: Control group (n = 97): 1 p > 0.999 Anaemia Urinary retention Control group (n = 97): 1 p > 0.999 Control group (n = 97): 1 p > 0.999 Control group (n = 97): 1 p > 0.999 Anaemia Urinary retention: Control group (n = 97): 1 p > 0.999 Control group (n = 97): 0 p > 0.999 Control group (n = 97): 0 p > 0.999 Intervention group (n = 97): 0 p > 0.999 Control group (n = 97): 0 p > 0.999 Control group (n = 112): 8 (7%) Control group (n = 112): 19 (17%) Postpartum stay Intervention group (n = 112): 15 (13%) Control group (n = 112): 13 (3%) Postpartum stay Mound infection: intervention group (n = 112): 15 (13%) Control group (n = 112): 3 (3%) Po = 0.28 Wound infection: intervention group (n = 112): 15 (13%) Control group (n = 112): 12 (13%) Po = 0.28 Wound infection: intervention group (n = 112): 15 (13%) Control group (n = 112): 14 (3-5%) Control group (n = 112): 4 (3-5%)

Use of antibiotics (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Reid, 2001 ⁴⁶⁸	Women having caesarean births	Vaginal preparation with povidone iodine	Fever Endometritis Use of iv antibiotics	Intervention group (n = 217): Fever: 44 (20.3%) Endometritis: 19 (8.8%) Antibiotic use: (16.6%) Wound separation: 12 (5.5%)	No difference in morbidity	type	1b
		Wound separation	Control group (n = 213) Fever: 44 39 (18.3%) Endometritis: 12 (5.6%) Antibiotic use: (16.9%) Wound separation: 18 (8.4%)				
				Fever: RR 1.1 (95% CI 0.8 to 1.6) Endometritis: RR 1.6 (95% CI 0.8 to 3.1) Wound separation: RR 0.6 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.3	1		
Magann,1993 ⁴⁶	7100 women undergoing CS, both elective and emergency (USA)	Standard skin preparation (povidone–iodine 7.5% scrub followed by	Endometritis Wound infection	Endometritis: Special skin preparation (n = 50): 17 (34%) Standard skin preparation (n = 50): 24 (48%)	Randomisation method: combination of random number tables and sealed	RCT	1b
	Exclusion criteria: presence	povidone-iodine 10%		RR (95% CI): 0.71 (0.44 to 1.48)	opaque envelopes		
	of chorioamnionitis at CS, emergency CS for fetal distress with inadequate time for skin preparation,	solution) vs. 5-minute scrub with parachlorometaxylenol followed by povidone scrub and solution		Antibiotic irrigation (n = 50): 11 (22%) Physiological saline irrigation (n = 50): 30 (60%) RR (95% CI): 0.37 (0.21 to 0.65)			
	patient refusal to participate in study	Intraoperative pelvic irrigation with physiological saline vs. 1-g cefazolin sodium in 500 ml physiological saline		Wound infection: Special skin preparation (n = 50): 1 (2%) Standard skin preparation (n = 50): 5 (10%) RR (95% CI): 0.2 (0.02 to 1.65) Antibiotic irrigation (n = 50): 2 (4%) Physiological saline irrigation (n = 50): 4 (8%) RR (95% CI): 0.5 (0.09 to 2.61)			

Use of antibiotics (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Kellum, 1985	262 women undergoing emergency CS (USA) Inclusion criteria: Prolonged rupture of membranes, numerous pelvic examinations, intrauterine catheter placement, fetal distress, placenta praevia, prolonged labour for CPD, poor nutrition, poverty Exclusion criteria: Current use of antibiotics, known infection, elective CS with low risk of infection, allergy to cephalosporins	No intrauterine lavage V Uterine lavage with 2 g cefamandole + 800 ml physiological saline vs. uterine lavage with 800 ml physiological saline	Serious infection defined as either endometritis or wound infection	No intrauterine lavage (n = 92): Serious infection: 38 (41%), RR 1.00 Uterine lavage with 800 ml physiological saline (n = 86): Serious infection: 29 (34%), RR 0.82 (95% CI 0.56 to 1.20) Uterine lavage with 2 g cefamandole + 800 ml physiological saline (n = 84): Serious infection: 9 (11%), RR 0.26 (95% CI 0.13 to 0.50)	Randomisation determined by last digit of hospital number	RCT	1b

Use of antibiotics health economics

Note: Level of evidence is not relevant to economic models and therefore has not been included here

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Duff, 1987 ⁴⁷⁰	100 hypothetical high-risk women undergoing emergency CS	Antibiotics to treat emdomyometritis	Cost: Wholesale cost of antibiotic regimens totreat endomyometritis is assumed to be US\$140 Outcome: Model assumes endomyometritis in 40 women. Prophylaxis reduces incidence by 50%, therefore 20 unnecessary infections	Total cost of treating 20 women U\$\$2,800. Plus two days additional hospitalisation at U\$\$441/day. Total cost U\$\$17,640. Not including additional pharmacy preparation and medical personnel costs Total costs for 100 doses U\$\$300–600. Net cost saving U\$\$17,000 for every 100 emergency surgical procedures Two courses of antibiotics, net savings around U\$\$16,000		Cost effectiveness with simple modelling	

Use of antibiotics health economics (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Ford, 1987 ⁶⁶⁷	Woman undergoing CS	Cost (including cost of failure) of prophylactic antibiotics during CS Piperacillin, cefotoxin, ceftazidime, cefazolin cefotaxime, ampicillin	Efficacy of antibiotic Costs of prophylactic failure based on mean inpatient stay (mother and baby). Laboratory tests, drugs costs, pharmacy preparation and	Effectiveness of antibiotic: Piperacillin 98% Cefotoxin 91% Ceftazidime 82% Cefazolin 82% Cefotaxime 80% Ampicillin 77%	These drugs are not used in the UK	Cost study using effectiveness data from prospective cohort studies undertaken in one institution	
			intravenous equipment	Cost of failure of antibiotic US\$7,442		Effectiveness studies not described in any detail, only results summarised	
				Cost/woman associated with prophylactic failure by antibiotic: Piperacillin US\$277 Cefotoxin US\$811 Ceftazidime US\$82% Cefazolin US\$1,391 Cefotaxime US\$1,695 Ampicillin US\$1,820			
				Most effective (pipercillin) vs. least effective (ampicillin) £1418 savings/woman			
Mugford,	7777 women	Use of prophylactic	Cost data derived from real	Estimation of mean cost of inpatient care (1986-	Cost differences	Cost analysis	
1989471	undergoing CS	ergoing CS antibiotic at CS with either placebo or no treatment	cost data from a single	87) with and without wound infection	accounted for by increased midwifery costs	based on review	
			institution and regional health authority. Activity/resource use data was derived from direct observation of clinical	Women with wound infection: £163/day £1435/woman Mean length of stay of 8.8 days		of 58 controlled trials	I
			practice, pharmacy and microbiology departments	Women without wound infection: £107/day £719/woman with mean length of stay of 6.7 days			
				Incremental cost for women with wound infection: £56/day £716/woman			
				Chi-square test for difference between medians: $p < 0.005$			
				Assuming 70% effectiveness for ampicillin at £3/woman (1988 prices), average costs would reduce by £3,939/100 CS, at 50% £2,700/100 CS			
				For cefoxitin at £17/woman (1988 prices), the cost at 70% effectiveness would be £2,543/100 CS and at 50% effectiveness, £1,300/100 CS			

Thromboprophylaxis after CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Gates, 2003 ⁴⁷⁴	649 women who were	Pharmacological:	Maternal death	LMW or UF vs. placebo:	Small studies, not of high		1 a
	pregnant or recently delivered, included in	Unfractionated (UF) heparin Low molecular weight	Symptomatic	Maternal death: no data	methodological quality	review	
	8 RCTs	(LMW) heparin	Thromboembolic events Symptomatic pulmonary embolism	Symptomatic thromboembolic events: 2 studies; 126 participants; RR 2.85 (95% CI 0.12 to 67.83)			
			Symptomatic deep venous thromboembolic events	Symptomatic pulmonary embolism: 1 study; 50 participants; effect size not estimable			
			Asymptomatic Thromboembolic events	Symptomatic deep vein thrombosis: 2 studies; 126 participants; RR 2.85 (95% CI 0.12 to			
			Blood transfusion	67.83)			
			Bleeding episodes	Asymptomatic thromboembolic events: no data	a		
			Serious wound complications	Blood transfusion: 2 studies; 126 participants; RR 0.24 (95% CI 0.03 to 2.13)			
			Side effects sufficient to stop treatment	Bleeding episodes: 1 study; 76 participants; effect size not estimable			
			Side effects sufficient to stop treatment	Serious wound complications: 2 studies; 126 participants; effect size not estimable			
				Side effects sufficient to stop treatment: no data			
				Side effects not sufficient to stop treatment: 1 study; 76 participants; effect size not estimable			
				LMW vs. UF:			
				Maternal death: no data			
				Symptomatic thromboembolic events: 1 study; 17 participants; event size not estimable	5		
				Symptomatic pulmonary embolism: 1 study; 17 participants; event size not estimable			
				Symptomatic deep vein thrombosis: 1 study; 17 participants; event size not estimable			
				Blood transfusion: no data			
				Bleeding episodes: 1 study; 17 participants; event size not estimable	a		
				Serious wound complications: no data			
				Side effects sufficient to stop treatment: no data			
				Side effects not sufficient to stop treatment: no data			

Need for further surgery (including hysterectomy)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Ashton, 1985 ⁴⁸⁶	29,488 women having obstetrical or gynaecological treatment in theatre between 1971 and 1982 in an Australian hospital	Observational study	Return to theatre after delivery	Further surgery by mode of delivery: CS: 31/6145 (0.5%); unadjusted RF 17.35 (95% CI 9.37 to 32.11) VD: 15/51576 (0.03%); unadjusted RR 17.35 (95% CI 9.37 to 32.11)		Cohort	2b
Stanco, 1993 ⁴⁸²	94,689 women delivering in a US hospital between January 1 1985 and July 1 1990	Observational study	Hysterectomy following delivery	1 Hysterectomy in 1300 deliveries Hysterectomy by mode of delivery:	Unadjusted risk for hysterectomy was nearly 100	Cohort	2b
	•			CS: 116/13996 (0.8)	times for CS compared with vaginal delivery		
				VD: 7/80693 (0.01)	Study also gave risk of		
				Unadjusted RR 95.5 (95% CI 67.7 to 136.9)	hysterectomy with prior CS adjusted for placenta praevia as 10.78 (95% CI 7.56 to 15.37)		
Clark, 1984 ⁴⁸⁴	68,653 women delivering at a	Observational study	Hysterectomy following	1 hysterectomy/1373 deliveries	Unadjusted risk for	Cohort	2b
	US hospital between 1978 and		delivery	Hysterectomy by mode of delivery:	hysterectomy was 40 times		
	1982			CS: 60/8243 (0.7) VD: 10/60410 (0.02)	for CS compared with vaginal delivery		
				Unadjusted RR 43.97 (95% CI 22.52 to 85.85)	For obstetric haemorrhage alone		

Chapter 7 Care of the baby born by CS

7.2 Neonatal encephalopathy and cerebral palsy

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL												
Scheller, 1994 ⁵⁰	⁵ Term, singleton, vertex infants	Vaginal versus caesarean birth	Cerebral palsy	No RCT identified, no observational studies only	For breech and LBW births evidence available.	Systematic review	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1a
				epidemiological data available.	CS vs. CP rates also compared: no impact of CS on CP rates														

7.3 Birth injuries

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results			Comments	Study type	EL
Annibale,	11,702 women, uncomplicated		Neonatal mortality; 1 minute	VD: 12	VD: 12 deaths/10,871 CS: 1 death/831 p 0.93		Only vertex, term gestation	Cohort	2a
1995497	pregnancies identified retrospectively from a perinatal	cephalopelvic disproportion or for failure to progress	Apgar scores; mode of resuscitation; nursery of	CS: 1 de			pregnancies included.		
	database. VD = 10,871, CS =		admission; highest level of	p 0.93					
	831 (538 = elective CS)			Neonat in table		ry results shown			
Towner, 1999 ⁵⁰	⁷ 583,340 live infants, full term,				СН	BPI	Incidence of all forms of	Audit	3
	weight 2500–4000 g, (breech			VD	2.0	cranial haemorrhage were			
	excluded)			CS	6.7	3.0	higher with CS even when		
				Ch = cerebral haemorrhage; BPI = brachial plexus injury		0 /	there was no labour		
McFarland, 1986 ⁵⁰⁸	106 cases of Erb's palsy; 382 controls		s of Erb's palsy; 382 Mode of delivery (and other outcomes)	CS: 4 (3.8%); OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.1 to 1.9)).5, 95% CI 0.1	Study was unable to show any difference between CS	Case– control	3
				SVD: 4	17 (44.3%);	OR 1.0	and VD once controlled for birth weight and presentation		

7.5 Maternal contact (skin to skin)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Anderson, 2003 ⁵¹²	Mothers and their babies after vaginal birth and CS	Early skin-to-skin contact	to 72.91 Infant blood glucose 1 OR/WN Infant crying: OR/WMD 21.89	Ature: OR/WMD 12.18, 95% CI 2.04 MD 1.07, 95% CI 3.97 to 18.17	Some benefit of skin to skin in terms of breastfeeding and infant crying	Systematic review	1 a
McClellan 1979 ⁵¹³	Women having a repeat CS (40)	Early skin-to-skin contact between mother's and babies post CS	means of evaluating good moth	neonatal perception and maternal sat nering showed that early contact beto ly significant during the early postpar	ween mother and baby affect	RCT	1b

7.6 Breastfeeding

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Hannah, 2002 ⁵¹		ollow up questionnaire three nonths after international andomised controlled trial of	Breastfeeding rates a few hours after birth and at three months	Breastfeeding rates few hours after birth: Planned CS: 571/779 (73.3%) Planned vaginal delivery: 602/776 (77.6%) RR 0.94 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.00)	There was no difference in breastfeeding rates at three months between the groups	RCT	1b
				Breastfeeding rates at 3 months after birth: Planned CS: 533/781 (68.3%) Planned vaginal delivery: 539/776 (69.5%) RR 0.98 (95% CI 0.92 to 1.05)			
Penn, 1996 ⁴²	13 women in preterm labour (defined as gestational age of	Intention to deliver vaginally or intention to	Breastfeeding rates	Planned CS: 4/5 (80.0%) 5%)	Central telephone randomisation was used This analysis is by intention to treat	RCT	1b
	26 to 32 weeks)	deliver by CS		Planned vaginal delivery: 7/8 (87			
	Women were randomised if in spontaneous preterm labour and when the decision about the mode of delivery would have been made						
	Multicentre randomised controlled trial in 26 hospitals in England, UK						
	Trial closed after 17 months (Nov 1989-June 1991) because of low recruitment						
	Exclusion criteria: Known IUD Clear indication for vaginal delivery or CS Congenital malformation						

7.6 Breastfeeding (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Lumley, 1984	4 ⁴⁰ 6 women in delivering a single	Immediate CS vs. observed	Breastfeeding	Breastfeeding rates at discharge:	Unpublished data obtained	RCT	1b
	live very low birthweight	labour		Elective CS: morbidity events 4/4 (100.0%)	from systematic review		
	infants from 26 to 31 weeks inclusive (vertex or breech)			Vaginal delivery: morbidity events 1/2 (50.0%)	Unclear how allocation sequence was generated and		
	Period of recruitment July to December 1980				how allocation sequence was concealed		
	Australian hospital						
	Trial terminated December 1980 due to problems with recruitment						
	Exclusion criteria-fetal abnormality on ultrasound						
Leung, 2002 ⁵	1997 in Hong Kong	Observational study	Breastfeeding at any time and breastfeeding at 1 month after delivery	Breastfeeding rates by mode of delivery: VD: n = 5593; ever breastfed 1967 (35.2%); breastfeeding at 1 month: 1158 (20.7%) CS: n = 2084; ever breastfed 614 (29%); breastfeeding at 1 month: (15.5%)	Study adjusted for the potential confounders of Parental smoking status Maternal age Parental educational level, Parental education and employment Gender Birth weight and birth order of infant Gestational age at birth and Residential region of mother.	Cohort	2b
Ever-Hadani, 1994 ⁵¹⁷	8486 women who delivered between Nov 1974 and December 1976, Jerusalem	Observational study	Initiation of breastfeeding Breastfeeding at 3 months	Initiation of breastfeeding: VD: n = 8114; initiating breastfeeding 6491 (80%) CS: n = 372; initiating breastfeeding 219 (60%) Breastfeeding at 3 months: VD: n = 6659; breastfeeding at 3 months: 3096 (46.5%) CS: n = 227; breastfeeding at 3 months: 103 (45.5%)	Study adjusted for the potential confounders of: Maternal age Birth order Maternal education Social class Father orthodox or unorthodox Jew Occupation of mother Parent's age at marriage Maternal smoking	Cohort	2b
				Unadjusted RR 1.02 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.18) P	lace of birth of mother Birth weight		

7.6 Breastfeeding (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Bruce, 1991 ⁵¹⁵	202 women who delivered in a UK hospital	Observational study	Breastfeeding status at 6 week interview	Breastfeeding rates at 6 weeks by mode of delivery: VD: n = 139; breastfeeding at 6 weeks: 105 (76%) CS: n = 23; breastfeeding at 6 weeks: 9 (39%)		Cohort	2b
Vestermark, 1990 ⁵¹⁹	370 women who delivered between 1 April and 30 June 1986 in a Danish hospital.	Observational study	Initiation of breastfeeding Breastfeeding at 4 days, 3 months and 6 months	Initiation of breastfeeding: VD: n = 268; initiating breastfeeding: 258 (96%) CS: n = 100; initiating breastfeeding: 84 (82%) Breastfeeding at 4 days: VD: n = 268; breastfeeding at 4 days: 264 (98%) CS: n = 102; breastfeeding at 4 days: 96 (96%) Breastfeeding at 3 months: VD: n = 262; breastfeeding at 3 months: 195 (74%) CS: n = 72; breastfeeding at 3 months: 52 (72%) RR 0.97 (95% CI 0.84 to 1.11) Breastfeeding at 6 months: VD: n = 140; breastfeeding 6 months: 261 (54%) CS: n = 47; breastfeeding 6 months: 22 (47%) Unadjusted RR 1.15 (95% CI 0.83to 1.59)	Unadjusted RR	Cohort	2b
Samuels,	632 women who delivered live	Observational study	Initiation of breastfeeding a	s Breastfeeding rates/mode of delivery:		Cohort	2b
1985 ⁵²⁰	children between May and August 1980 California, USA		assessed by case note records	VD: n = 518; initiating breastfeeding: 357 (69%) CS: n = 114; initiating breastfeeding: 59 (52%)			
Tamminen, 1983 ⁵¹⁶	1701 women who delivered live children between October 1978 and March 31 1979	Observational study	Breastfeeding rates as assessed by case register	Breastfeeding rates/mode of delivery VD: n = 1465; initiating breastfeeding: 1433 (98%)		Cohort	2b
	Finnish hospital			CS: n = 109; initiating breastfeeding: 103 (94.5%)			

Chapter 8 Care of the woman after CS

8.1 HDU/ITU admission

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Panchal, 2000 ⁵²²	822,591 hospital admissions for delivery in a US state between January 1984 and	Observational study	ICU admission following delivery	Rate of ICU admission following delivery 0.12%	nine-fold increase in the risk of being admitted to ICU Women who were admitted to the ICU following CS were 40% less likely to die Adjusted for:	Case– control	3
	December 1997			ICU admission by mode of delivery:			
	1023 cases admitted for delivery and subsequently admitted to ICU			Delivery by CS: Cases: 742/1023 (72.5%) Controls: 234/1023 (22.9%) Adjusted OR 9.0 (95% CI 7.24 to 11.16)			
	1023 controls admitted for delivery without intensive care admission.			Deaths following ICU admission by mode of delivery:			
				Delivery by CS: Deaths: 23/34 (67.6%) Survivors: 719/989 (72.7%) Adjusted OR 0.58 (95% CI 0.47 to 1.27)			

8.2 Pain management after CS

This section was updated and replaced in 2020. Please see the NICE website for the updated guideline.

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory analgesia

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Lim, 2000 ⁵⁴³	48 ASA 1 or 2 women for elective CS under regional anaesthesia	Single dose of diclofenec suppository immediately post-CS vs. no suppository (all women used EPCA with bolus doses of local anaesthetic)	Use of EPCA, pain scores and satisfaction scores	Patients who received the suppository used 52.8 ml local anaesthetic while those with no suppository used 74 ml (p < 0.005) No difference between pain and satisfaction scores		RCT	1b
Bush, 1992 ⁵⁴⁴	50 women for elective CS under GA	Single dose of IM dicloenac (group A) after CS vs. placebo (group B) All women had PCA which gave bolus doses of 3–5 mg papaveratum	At 6,12 and 24 hours post op pain, nausea and sedation were assessed using scoring scales and injection site discomfort	Cumulative papaveratum consumption at 18 hours was more in group B, mean 91.4 (SD 23.4) than group A mean 61.4 (30.2),p < 0.05 Linear analogue scores for pain were less in group A at 0 to 6 hours (p < 0.05), no difference at 12 hours Sedation scores were lower in group A at 6 hours, no difference at 12 hours No difference in nausea scores at any time No difference in injection site pain	No individual patient data given	RCT	1b
Dennis,1995 ⁵⁴²	50 women undergoing elective CS with spinal anaesthesia	Rectal diclofenac 100mg immediately postoperative to study group	VAS for pain, mean time to first analgesia, side effects of nausea and vomiting	Mean time to first analgesia: Diclofenac group: 13 hours, 45 minutes Control group: 18 hours, 58 minutes (p < 0.03) No differences in other outcomes		RCT	1b

Health economics: pain management after CS

Note: level of evidence is not relevant to economic models and therefore not been included here

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Gerancher 1999 ⁵³⁶	40 women requesting spinal analgesia who underwent planned CS, and 15 women who had PCEA	Small doses of intrathecal morphine added to a regimen of oral analgesia and post-CS medication	Rate of pain relief (no need for additional units of iv morphine). Evidence for outcomes derived from one non-randomised historical cohort Costs included nursing time and drug costs derived from cost survey at one institution Cost and resources reported separately	Success rate 62.5%. No statistical difference between intervention and control group for pain or side-effects Cost: Intrathecal morphine US\$15 (± 4.40) PCEA US\$35 (± 15.55) Nursing time Intrathecal morphine 150 minutes (± 57) PCEA 148 minutes (± 61)	No synthesis of costs and benefits so not a full cost-effectiveness analysis No sensitivity analysis Small sample size Cost consequence study		

8.2 Early eating and drinking after CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Mangesi, 2002 ⁵⁴⁹	Women within the first 24 hours after CS (sis trials)	Early vs. delayed oral feeding	Time to first food intake; time to return of bowel sounds; postoperative stay; abdominal distension; nausea; vomiting; time to first bowel action; paralytic ileus and number of analgesic doses	Early oral feeding associated with:		Systematic review	1a
				Reduced time to first food intake: 1 trial (118 women); WMD –7.2 hours (95% CI –13.26 to –1.14)			
				Reduced time to return of bowel sounds: 1 trial (118 women); WMD-4.3 hours (95% CI –6.78 to –1.82)			
				Reduced postoperative stay: 2 trials (220 women); WMD –0.75 days (95% CI 0.55 to 1.11)			
				No difference in nausea; vomiting; time to first bowel action; paralytic ileus and number of analgesic doses			
Kubli, 2002 ²⁸⁰	60 women in early labour	Intervention: women received	Primary outcomes:	Primary:	Women who requested IM	RCT	1b
	(cervical dilatation < 5 cm) in a	'isotonic' sports drinks during	1. Metabolic changes:	1. Estimate of difference between	meperidine were excluded		
	UK hospital	labour (n = 30). Women were encouraged to drink 500 ml in	measured using plasma beta hydroxybutyrate (BHB), NFEA's	early labour and end of first stage of labour between groups:	No difference in any of the secondary maternal or baby		
		the first hour and the a further 500 ml every 3 to 4 hours. The isotonic drink used contained 64 g/l of carbohydrate, sodium	and glucose (G) levels in early labour and at the end of the first stage of labour 2. Gastric volumes: ultrasound	BHB: -0.63 mmol/l; 95% CI -0.85 to -0.42 (p = 0.000) NFEA: -0.36 mmol/l; 95% CI -0.46 to -0.25 (p =0.000)	outcomes		
		of 24 mmol/l and a tonicity of 300 mOsm/kg	measurement of gastric volume	g G:\$ 0(769=n r0nord 7h); 95% CI 0.22 to			
		Control: women received water	3. Incidence and volume of	2. Estimate of difference of gastric			
		only during labour (n = 30). Women were encouraged to	vomiting Secondary outcomes:	volumes and incidence and volume of vomiting between			
		drink as much or as little water	1. Maternal outcomes: duration	n groups:			
		as they wanted	of labour, use of oxytocin, use of epidural analgesia	Gastric volume (cm²): -00.63; 95% CI –1.12 to 0.7 (p = 0.64)			
			2. Baby outcomes: Apgar scores and umbilical gases	Numbers vomiting: 0.03; 95% CI -0.16 to 0.29 (p = 0.74) Volume vomited (ml): 65; 95% CI -141 to 271 (p = 0.42)			

8.3 Urinary catheter removal

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Design	EL
Tangtrakul, 1994 ⁵⁵²	107 women undergoing CS	Group 1 (n = 51): intermittent catheterisation. Women were catheterised just before the CS and the catheter was removed at the end of the CS. Intermittent post-CS catheterisation if no urine	Post-CS urinary tract infection	UTI:	R	RCT	1b
	under general anaesthesia in Thailand			Group 1 (n = 51): yes 16, no 35			
	Urine specimen sent with initial catheterisation and 9 women were excluded due to			Group 2 (n = 47): yes 9, no 38			
				RR1.64, (95% CI 0.80 to 3.34, p > 0.05)			
	initial positive culture Clean catch specimens were taken on day 3 post-CS	voided for 6 hours when awake or unable to void in the presence of a full bladder		20 (39.2%) women in group 1 developed post-CS urinary retention. None in group 2 developed urinary retention			
		Group 2 (n = 47): indwelling catheterisation. Indwelling catheter was placed just before the CS and then removed the day after the CS					
Dunn, 2000 ⁵⁵⁴	78 women, 29 underwent CS, Foley catheter sited for the 11 abdominal hysterectomy operation was removed either and 38 vaginal hysterectomy in immediately postoperatively or		Recatheterisation	Recatheterisation: NS	Abstract only available, no data given	RCT	1b
			Febrile morbidity	Febrile morbidity: NS			
	a US hospital	on the first day postoperatively	Symptomatic urinary tract infection	Symptomatic urinary tract infection: NS			
			Pain	Less pain with immediate removal (p = 0.0001). For CS this was also significant (p = 0.001			

8.4 Urinary catheter removal (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Ghoreishi, 2003 ³⁰⁸	270 women undergoing CS with general or regional anaesthesia in Iran	Urinary bladder catheterisation for CS (n = 135, 68 general anaesthetic, 67 regional anaesthetic) or no catheterisation (n = 135, 70 general anaesthesia, 65 regional anaesthesia)	Mean time to first void: 8–11 hours: Uncatheterised (n = 135): – Catheterised (n = 135): 54 (p < 05-8 hours: Uncatheterised (n = 135): – Catheterised (n = 135): 52 (p < 05-8 hours):			RCT	1b
			Hospital stay (hours): Uncatheterised (n = 135): 46.5 : Catheterised (n = 135): 64 ± 10.				
			Ambulation time (hours): Uncatheterised (n = 135): $6.8 \pm$ Catheterised (n = 135): 12.9 ± 3				
			Discomfort at first void:				
			None: Uncatheterised (n = 135): 127 (p < 0.05) Catheterised (n = 135): 9 (p < 0.05)				
		Mild: Uncatheterised (n = 135): 5 (p < 0.05) Catheterised (n = 135): 92 (p < 0.05)					
			Severe: Uncatheterised (n = 135): 3 (p < 0.05) Catheterised (n = 135): 34 (p < 0.05)				
			Catheterisation: In theatre: 6 (p < 0.05) On postpartum ward: 2.4 (p < 0.05)				
Kerr-Wilson, 1986 ³⁰⁷	50 women undergoing elective CS under epidural anaesthesia in Scotland	Group 1: Nelaton catheter inserted before the CS and removed at the end of the CS	 Recatheterisation Volume of urine obtained 	Catheter: In/out (n = 25): 1: 11		RCT	1b
		Group 2: Foley's catheter inserted before the CS and left	3. Time of spontaneous micturition	2: 0 4: 3			
		in situ until the woman was	4. Significant bacteriuria: urine Indwelling (n = 25):				
		ambulant after the CS	microscopy in women with indwelling catheters at time of insertion and removal	1: 0 2: 873 ± 108 4: 3			

8.5 Respiratory physiotherapy after CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Kaplan, 1994555	120 women undergoing CS	Respiratory physiotherapy on	Chest auscultation	Abnormal chest auscultation:	Randomisation not described	RCT	1b
	under GA, Israel 1993	first 3 postoperative days vs. no postoperative physiotherapy	Chest expansion	Physiotherapy (n = 60):	Assessor blinded		
			Productive cough	Postoperative D1: 9 Postoperative D2: 3 Postoperative D3: 0			
				Control (n = 60): Postoperative D1: 15 Postoperative D2: 3 Postoperative D3: 0 p > 0.05			
				Abnormal chest expansion:			
				Physiotherapy (n = 60): Postoperative D1: 0 Postoperative D2: 0 Postoperative D3: 0			
				Control (n = 60): Postoperative D1: 9 Postoperative D2: 0 Postoperative D3: 3 p > 0.05			
			Productive cough: Physiotherapy (n = 60): Postoperative D1: 18 Postoperative D2: 6 Postoperative D3: 0				
				Control (n = 60): Postoperative D1: 24 Postoperative D2: 12 Postoperative D3: 0 p > 0.05			

8.6 Debriefing for women after CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Small, 2000 ⁵⁵⁸	1041 women who had given	Debriefing before discharge	Depression: score of at least 13	3 Debriefing (n = 467): 81 depressed T	elephone randomisation	RCT	1b
	birth by CS, forceps or vacuum	from hospital	on the Edinburgh postnatal	(17%); OR 1.24 (95% CI 0.87 to	with allocation determined		
	extraction, Australia 2000		depression scale 6 months after 1.77)		by a separate computer		
			birth Assessment by postnatal questionnaire	Standard care (n = 450): 65 depressed (14%); OR 1.00	generated, adaptive biased coin randomisation schedule		
Gamble, 2003 ⁵⁶⁰	400 women recruited from an Australian antental clinic were interviewed 72 hours after birth. 103 women reported a	An intervention to address psychological trauma following childbirth was developed and tested. Focus groups with	Presence of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms (PTSD)	PTSD was strongly associated with obstetric interventions including emergency CS. In the intervention group 34% (n = 17) had symptom	Baseline studies of 400 women prior to the RCT reported a high prevalence of PTSD following childbirth,	RCT	1b
	distressing birth experience and	women and midwives were		profile PTSD, compared with 32%	9.6% of women meeting the		
	were then randomised	used to develop the intervention and consisted of a counselling framework for use by midwives for debriefing women after childbirth. Women in the intervention group had the opportunity to de-brief at an initial post natal interview (less than 72 hours postpartum) and 4–6 weeks postpartum		(n = 16) in the control group (RR 1.06 95% CI 0.61, 1.84). Fewer women in the intervention group had PTSD symptoms at 3 for months, although this was not statistically significant. However this is a small RCT had 2% power to detect a 2% difference in prevalence of symptoms of post traumatic stress disorder	diagnostic criteria for PTSD at 4–6 weeks postpartum		

8.7 Early discharge from hospital after CS

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Brooten, 1994 ⁵⁶⁷ 122 women who had had an unplanned CS		Early discharge (discharged once 24 hours afebrile and no other complications) vs. usual	Maternal satisfaction (using a score system); maternal and neonatal rehospitalisation	Mean satisfaction score: intervention: 187; control 164 (p < 0.001)		RCT	1b
		discharge		No difference between rehospitalisations			

Chapter 9 Recovery following CS

Pain

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
-	4 1596 women from 110 centres worldwide who responded to a follow-up questionnaire 3 months after being recruited into a trial to assess the maternal and baby outcomes for planned CS vs. planned vaginal delivery for term breech presentation	Planned CS vs. planned vaginal delivery	Pain	Site of pain in relation to intended mode of delivery: In back: CS: 90/796 (11.3%) VD: 97/797 (12.2%) RR 0.93 (95% CI 0.71 to 1.22) In head: CS: 38/796 (4.8%) VD: 34/797 (4.3%) RR 1.12 (95% CI 0.71 to 1/76) On outside of abdomen: CS: 79/796 (9.9%) VD: 45/797 (5.7%) RR 1.76 (95% CI 1.24 to 2.50) Deep inside abdomen: CS: 70/796 (8.8%) VD: 37/797 (4.6%) RR 1.89 (95% CI 1.29 to 2.79) In bottom or genital area: CS: 14/796 (1.8%) VD: 44/797 (5.50%) RR 0.32 (95% CI 0.18 to 0.58) In other location: CS: 13/796 (27.3%) VD: 16/797 (2.0%) RR 0.81 (95% CI 0.39 to 1.68) Any pain: CS: 217/796 (27.3%)	Women delivering by CS were 90% more likely to experience pain deep inside the abdomen but 70% less likely to experience pain in the bottom or genital area. Computer generated randomisation and central allocation. Analysis by intention-to-treat.	RCT	1b
				VD: 199/797 (25.0) RR 1.09 (95% CI 0.93 to 1.29) Amount of pain: p = 0.30			
				Took pills or medicine for pain in last 24 hours: CS: 46/795 (5.8%) VD: 46/793 (5.8%) RR 1.00 (95% CI 0.67 to 1.48)			

Chapter 9 Recovery following CS (continued)

Pain

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Thompson,	1295 women who gave birth to	Observational study	Backache	Backache:	There was no difference in	Cohort	2b
2002 ⁵⁶⁴	a live baby from March to October 1997		Perineal pain	0–8 weeks by mode of delivery: CS: 116 (51%) Instrumental delivery: 91 (54%) Vaginal delivery: 452 (53%) p = 0.87	backache by mode of delivery		
				9–16 wks by mode of delivery: CS: 105 (47%) Instrumental delivery: 88 (53%) Vaginal delivery: 374 (45%) p = 0.15			
				17–24 weeks by mode of delivery: CS: 107 (57%) Instrumental delivery: 78 (47%) Vaginal delivery: 348 (43%) p = 0.19			
				Perineal pain:			
				0–8 weeks by mode of delivery CS: 4 (2%) Instrumental delivery: 86 (51%) Vaginal delivery: 187 (22%) p = < 0.0001			
				9–16 weeks by mode of delivery: CS: 2 (1%) Instrumental delivery: 25 (15%) Vaginal delivery: 52 (6%) p = < 0.00001			
				17–24 weeks by mode of delivery: CS: 2 (1%) Instrumental delivery: 20 (12%) Vaginal delivery: 27 (3%)			
				p = < 0.00001			
Brown, 1998 ⁵⁶⁹	1366 women who gave birth in	Observational study	Backache at 6–7 months	Backache during first 6–7 months	There was no difference in	Cohort	2b
	a two-week period in September 1993 in 127 hospitals in an Australian region		parity.	postpartum by mode of delivery: Elective CS: 60 (48.0%) Emergency CS: 54 (45.8%) Instrumental delivery: 80 (48.8%) Vaginal delivery: 382 (41.3%) p = 0.2	backache by mode of delivery		

Chapter 9 Recovery following CS (continued)

Pain

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Glazener, 1995 ⁵⁶³	1249 women who delivered in a Scottish region between June 1990 and May 1991	Observational study	Backache at 2–18 months postpartum Perineal pain at 0–13 days (hospital) Up to 8 weeks 2–	Backache 2–18 months postpartum by mode of delivery: CS:19/65 (29%) Instrumental delivery: 15/63 (24%) Vaginal delivery: 53/310 (17%) p = 0.058	There was no difference in backache by mode of delivery	Cohort .	2b
			18 months	Perineal pain:			
				0–13 days in hospital by mode of delivery: CS: 9/181 (5%) Instrumental delivery: 145/172 (84%) Vaginal delivery: 376/896 (42%)			
				At home up to 8 weeks by mode of delivery: CS: 6/161 (4%) Instrumental delivery: 88/149 (59%) Vaginal delivery: 153/806 (19%)			
				At home 2–18 months by mode of delivery: CS: 1/65 (2%) Instrumental delivery: 19/63 (30%) Vaginal delivery: 12/310 (7%)			
Lydon-Rochel	le, Primiparous women 7 weeks	Observational study	Bodily pain	Mode of delivery:	Pain assessment was the	Cohort	2b
2001570	postpartum: all modes of delivery			CS Assisted vaginal Unassisted vaginal	extent to which pain interfered with usual activities.		
				Health status score 66.4, 74.7, 78.3	A 0–100 scale was used with: 10 "Yes, interfered a lot" 20 "Yes interfered a little" 30 "No, not interfered at all" Scale was SF-36 (four scales)		
					There were worse scores for CS than for both vaginal routes of delivery.		
					Potential confounders were accounted for including age, race social support and only primiparous women were included to exclude confounding from parity		

Bladder/bowel/ureteric injury

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Rajasekar, 1997 ⁵⁷⁸	117,847 deliveries including 11,284 CS from 1976 to 1993 in the Grampian district of Scotland	Observational study	Urinary tract injuries following delivery by mode of delivery	Bladder: CS: 13/11,284 (0.115%) VD: 3/95279 (0.003%) Ureter: CS: 3/11,284 (0.027%) VD: 1/95279 (0.001%)	All women who sustained bladder and ureteric injury in the vaginal delivery group did so following Kjellands forceps deliveries	Case– control	3

Maternal morbidity and CS

ate generation of	
ion sequence and Ilment of allocation nce (central telephone	
nisation)	
inded trial	
inded trial on-to-treat analysis ency CS rate in d vaginal birth group 51/1042) 43.5% ate generation of ion sequence and ilment of allocation ince (central telephone inisation) inded trial on-to-treat analysis	

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Gimovsky, 1983 ⁴³	105 women with non-frank breech presentations at term, defined as between 36 and 42 weeks Women randomised over a 13 month period: April 1981 to May 1982	e e	Need for blood transfusion Infection Length of hospital stay Febrile morbidity	Need for blood transfusion: Elective CS: 3/35 (8.6%) Vaginal delivery: 3/70 (4.3%) RR2.00 (95% CI 0.43 to 9.40) Infection: Elective CS: 2/35 (16.7%) Vaginal delivery: 0/70 (0.0%)	Generation and concealment of allocation sequence unclear Emergency CS rate in planned vaginal delivery group was 55.7% (39/70)	RCT	1b
	Included those excluded from a trial of labour because of inadequate pelvic dimensions on X-ray examination Exclusion criteria: Severe PIH Previous CS History of stillbirth History of infertility Maternal diabetes Hyperextension of head Contraindication to labour IUGR Abnormal antepartum testing Abnormal amniotic fluid volume Multiple gestation		Febrile morbidity	Length of hospital stay: Planned/intended delivery: hospital stay in days (mean \pm SD): Vaginal/vaginal: 2.2 ± 0.5 Vaginal/CS: 5.5 ± 1.9 CS/CS: 5.2 ± 2.0 CS/vaginal: 2.0 ± 0.5 Febrile morbidity: Elective CS: $18/35$ (51.4%) Vaginal delivery: $23/70$ (33.0%) RR 1.56 (95% CI 0.98 to 2.49)			

Maternal morbidity and CS (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Collea, 1980 ⁴⁴	208 women with frank breech presentation at term Randomised over a 4-year	Trial of labour vs. elective CS	Blood loss Need for blood transfusion	Blood loss > 1000 ml: Planned CS: 2/93 (2.15%) Planned vaginal delivery: 0/115 (0.0%)	Generation and concealment of allocation sequence unclear	RCT	1b
	period: July 1975 to May 1979 in a US hospital		Bladder/bowel orureter	Blood loss > 1500 ml: Planned CS: 3/93 (3.2%) Planned vaginal delivery: 0/115 (0.0%)	Emergency CS rate in planned vaginal delivery group was (60/115) 52.2%		
	Exclusion criteria: Hyperextension of fetal head Congenital abnormalities Elderly primigravida Obstetric indications for CS		injury Hysterectomy	Need for blood transfusion: Planned CS: 7/93 (7.5%) Planned vaginal delivery: 8/115 (7.0%) RR 1.08 (95% CI 0.41 to 2.87)			
	Maternal diabetes Floating station Involuntary infertility Pelvic contracture by previous X-ray pelvimetry			Infection: Planned CS: 39/93 (42.0%) Planned vaginal delivery: 37/115 (32.2%) RR 1.30 (95% CI 0.91 to 1.86)			
	History of previous difficult/traumatic delivery			Bladder/bowel/ureteric injury: Planned CS: 1/93 (1.1%) Planned vaginal: 0/115 (0%)			
				Hysterectomy: Planned CS: 1/93 (1.1%) Planned vaginal delivery: 0/115 (0.0%)			
Penn, 1996 ⁴²	13 women in preterm labour (defined as gestational age of 26 to 32 weeks)	vaginally or intention to	Maternal stay > 10 days	Maternal stay > 10 days: Planned CS: 1/5 (20%) Planned vaginal delivery: 1/8 (12.5%) RR 1.60 (95% CI 0.13 to 20.22)	Central telephone randomisation was used	t	1b
	Multicentre randomised	deliver by CS	Maternal puerperal pyrexia		This analysis is by intention to treat		
	controlled trial in 26 hospitals in England, UK		77	Maternal puerperal pyrexia: Planned CS: 2/5 (40.0%) Planned vaginal delivery: 0/8 (0.0%)	Trial closed after 17 months (Nov 1989 to June 1991)		
	Women were randomised if in spontaneous preterm labour and when the decision about the mode of delivery would have been made				because of low recruitment Emergency CS rate in planned vaginal birth group was (2/8) 25%		
	Exclusion criteria: Known IUD Clear indication for vaginal delivery or CS Congenital malformation						

Maternal morbidity and CS (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Zlatnik, 1993 ³⁹	38 women in premature labour with a breech presentation Premature labour defined as 28–36 weeks of gestation Women randomised over a 52 month (October 1978 to January 1983) study period in a single US hospital Exclusion criteria: Contraindications to additional labour Contraindications to CS Fetal distress in labour Lethal anomaly	Immediate CS vs. observed labour	Infection Length of hospital stay > 10 days Maternal puerperal pyrexia	Infection: Elective CS: 1/18 (5.6%) Vaginal delivery: 0/20 (0.0%) Length of hospital stay > 10 days: Elective CS: 1/18 (5.6%) Vaginal delivery: 2/20 (10.0%) RR 0.56 (95% CI 0.05 to 5.62) Maternal puerperal pyrexia: Elective CS: 9/18 (50.0%) Vaginal delivery: 4/20 (20.0%) RR 2.50 (95% CI 0.93 to 6.73)	Adequate generation of allocation sequence. Adequate concealment of allocation sequence (sealed envelopes). The emergency CS rate in the planned vaginal delivery group was (7/20) 35%	RCT	1b
Lumley, 1984 ⁴⁰	6 women in delivering a single live very low birthweight infants from 26 to 31 weeks inclusive (vertex or breech) in Australia Period of recruitment July to December 1980 Trial terminated December 1980 due to problems with recruitment Exclusion criterion fetal abnormality on ultrasound	Immediate CS vs. observed labour	Infection Need for blood transfusion Maternal puerperal pyrexia	Infection Elective CS: 1/4 (25.0%) Vaginal delivery: 2/2 (100.0%) RR 0.25 (95% CI 0.05 to 1.36) Need for blood transfusion: Elective CS: 0/4 (0.0%) Vaginal delivery: 2/2 (100.0%) Maternal puerperal pyrexia: Elective CS: 3/4 (75.0%) Vaginal delivery: 2/2 (100.0%)	Unpublished data obtained from systematic review Unclear how allocation sequence was generated and how allocation sequence was concealed There is no information on emergency CS rate in planned vaginal birth rate	RCT	1b

Maternal morbidity and CS (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Wallace, 1984	38 women with very-low- birthweight infants	Attempted vaginal birth vs. attempted CS	Maternal morbidity not defined	No maternal morbidity events occurred (data from systematic review, Grant and Glazener)	No description of randomisation given	RCT	1b
	(< 1500 g) Vertex presentation				Trial terminated because of an unacceptably high		
	Enrolled over a 6-month				frequency of infants		
	period in a US hospital				consistently weighing in excess of 1500 g		
	Exclusion criteria: Multiple gestation Known congenital anomaly Malpresentation Amnionitis Advanced labour (> 7 cm) Cord prolapse Vaginal haemorrhage Previous CS				Emergency CS rate in planned vaginal delivery group was (9/20) 45%		
	3 women with preterm breech babies Preterm defined as < 37 weeks of pregnancy	CS vs. vaginal delivery	Infection Length of hospital stay > 10 days	Infection: Elective CS: 2/12 (16.7%) Vaginal delivery: 0/15 (0.0%) RR 6.15 (95% CI 0.32 to 117.21)	Generation and concealment of allocation sequence unclear There is no information on	RCT	1b
	Women enrolled over a 20 month period in 4 Singaporean hospitals			Length of hospital stay > 10 days: Elective CS: 2/12 (16.7%) Vaginal delivery: 1/15 (6.7 %%) RR 2.50 (95% CI 0.26 to 24.38)	emergency CS rate in the planned vaginal delivery group		
	Randomised on admission in established labour			111 2.50 (55% CF 0.20 to 2 1.50)			
	Exclusion criteria: Contraindications for CS or vaginal delivery Maternal diseases Severe congenital malformation Severe pre-eclampsia or IUGR						

Maternal morbidity and CS (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Rabinovici,	60 women in spontaneous or	CS for birth of non-vertex	Need for blood	Need for blood transfusion:	Unclear how allocation	RCT	1b
198745	induced labour with twin pregnancy; both twins alive, first twin vertex, 2nd twin breech/transverse lie	2nd twin vs. vaginal birth	transfusion Length of hospital stay Maternal febrile	Elective CS: 3/27 (11.1%) Vaginal delivery: 2/27 (7.4%) RR 1.50 (95% CI 0.27 to 8.28)	sequence was generated and how allocation sequence was concealed		
	Gestational age 35–42 weeks Exclusion criteria: Fetal anomaly Signs of abruption or acute placental insufficiency Indication for CS or vaginal		morbidity	Length of hospital stay in days (mean ± SD): Elective CS: 8 ± 2 Vaginal delivery: 4.9 ± 2.9	The emergency CS rate in the planned vaginal delivery group was (2/33) 6.1%		
				Patients discharged on schedule: Elective CS: 13/27 (48.2%) Vaginal delivery: 18/27 (66.7%) RR 0.72 (95% CI 0.45 to 1.16)			
	delivery Cervix > 7 cm dilated			Maternal febrile morbidity: Elective CS: 11/27 (40.7%) Vaginal delivery: 3/27 (11.1%) RR 3.67 (95% CI 1.15 to 11.69)			

Urinary incontinence

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Hannah, 2002 ⁵¹⁴	1596 women from 110 centres worldwide who responded to a follow up questionnaire three months after international randomised controlled trial of planned CS vs. vaginal delivery	Planned CS vs. planned vaginal delivery	Urinary incontinence 3 months after delivery assessed by questionnaire concerning loss or leakage of urine in the previous 7 days	Urinary incontinence Planned CS: 36/798 (4.5%) Planned vaginal delivery: 58/798 (7.3%) RR 0.62 (95% CI 0.41 to 0.93)	There was a 40% reduction in the CS group compared with the vaginal delivery group in women indicating that they had lost or leaked urine	RCT	1b
Farrell, 2001 ⁵⁷⁵	690 primiparae recruited in a	Observational study	Incidence and relative	Comparison groups at 6 weeks postpartum: RR of	Study showed a 2- to 3-fold	Cohort	3
	Canadian hospital from Jan 1996 to Dec 1998 Inclusion criteria: Nulliparity No history of UTI or pelvic surgery No significant medical illness No medication that would alter urinary function		risk of urinary incontinence/mode of delivery as assessed by questionnaire in the antepartum period, at 6 weeks and 6 months after delivery	urinary incontinence: SVD vs. CS: 2.8 (95% CI 1.5 to 5.3) Forceps vs. SVD: 1.5 (95% CI 1.1 to 2.2) Forceps vs. CS: 4.3 (95% CI 2.2 to 8.2) Comparison groups at 6 months postpartum: RR of urinary incontinence: SVD vs. CS: 2.1 (95% CI 1.1 to 3.7)) Forceps vs. SVD: 1.5 (95% CI 1.0 to 2.3) Forceps vs. CS: 3.1 (95% CI 1.7 to 5.9)	increased risk of urinary incontinence at 6 weeks and 6 months postpartum from spontaneous vaginal delivery compared with delivery by CS The increased risk of vaginal delivery to CS was 3 to 4 fold if vaginal delivery was by forceps		
					Follow up rate was 70%		

Urinary incontinence (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Meyer, 1998 ⁵⁷⁷	149 white nulliparae recruited in a Swiss hospital	Observational study	as assessed by: (unadjusted): RR of urinary incontinence: significant difference in the	significant difference in the	Cohort	3	
	Exclusion criteria: Pregnancy complications Onset of labour History of UTI		History Examination Urodynamic testing of urethral sphincter function 9 weeks after delivery	SVD vs. CS: 0.15 (95% CI 0.02 to 1.11) Forceps vs. SVD: 1.72 (95% CI 0.89 to 3.33)	incidence of urinary incontinence/mode of delivery		
Wilson, 2000 ⁵⁷⁶	1505 women who were 3 months postpartum resident	Observational study	Urinary incontinence as assessed by leakage	Urinary incontinence at 3 months postpartum by mode of delivery:	Study showed no significant risk of urinary incontinence	Cross- sectional	3
	in an area in New Zealand	aland of urine and the use of a pad	of urine and the use of a pad	All women (n = 1505): SVD: OR for any urinary incontinence: 1.0 Forceps: OR for any urinary incontinence: 1.1 (95% CI 0.8 to 1.6) CS: OR for any urinary incontinence: 0.4 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.6) All women with no previous incontinence (n = 667): SVD: OR for any urinary incontinence: 1.0 Forceps: OR for any urinary incontinence: 1.3 (95% CI 0.8 to 2.3) CS: OR for any urinary incontinence: 0.3 (95% CI	following instrumental delivery compared with spontaneous delivery, but a 60–80% decreased risk of urinary incontinence following delivery by CS compared with vaginal		
					delivery Confounding factors accounted for in logistic regression included:		
					History of incontinence		
				0.1 to 0.6) All primiparae (n = 607):	Pelvic floor exercises		
				SVD: OR for any urinary incontinence: 1.0 Forceps: OR for any urinary incontinence: 1.1	Parity BMI		
				(95% CI 0.7 to 1.7) CS: OR for any urinary incontinence: 0.4 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.7)	Response rate was 70%		
				Primiparae with no previous incontinence (n = 345): SVD: OR for any urinary incontinence: 1.0 Forceps: OR for any urinary incontinence: 1.0 (95% CI 0.5 to 1.9) CS: OR for any urinary incontinence: 0.2 (95% CI 0.0 to 0.6)			

Urinary incontinence (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Viktrup, 1992 ⁵⁷	² 300 primigravidae interviewed during pregnancy, at 3–5 days postpartum, 3 months postpartum and 1 year postpartum (for those with symptoms of stress incontinence) in a Danish city Median age 26 years	Observational study	Stress incontinence as assessed by questions concerning leakage of urine Stress incontinence defined as International Continence Society	Stress incontinence in women with no prior history by mode of delivery: 3–5 days postpartum: VD: 21/167 (13%) CS: 0/35 RR 4.53 (95% CI 0.63 to 32.58) 3 months postpartum: VD: OR for any urinary incontinence: 6/167 (4%) CS: OR for any urinary incontinence: 0/35 RR 1.29 (95% CI 0.16 to 10.42)	Study did not show a significant difference in urinary incontinence comparing vaginal to caesarean delivery These figures are unadjusted	Cohort	3
Persson, 2000 ⁵⁷³	1942 women who had surgery for urinary incontinence between 1987–1996 in Sweden Exclusion criteria: Women born outside Sweden Women who had their first delivery before 1973 Women with surgery prior to pregnancy Unknown birth weight Erroneous year of delivery	Observational study.	Urinary incontinence as assessed by the need for operation	Surgery for urinary incontinence by mode of delivery: CS vs. VD: 0.34 (95% CI 0.23 to 0.52)	Study showed a 70% reduction in the need for surgery for urinary incontinence if delivery was by CS compared with vaginal delivery Confounding factors analysed for included: Year of delivery Maternal age at first and last delivery Parity at last delivery	Cohort	3
Rortveit, 2003 ⁵⁷⁴	15,307 women under 65 years of age who were either nulliparous, or had CS only or vaginal births only	Observational study	Urinary incontinence ascertained by questionnaire with questions about involuntary loss of urine, frequency, circumstances and amount of leakage and how much of a problem leakage was perceived to be	Odds ratios for any incontinence according to mode of delivery: CS vs. no deliveries: OR 1.5 (95% CI 1.2 to 1.9)* Vaginal deliveries vs. no deliveries: OR 2.3 (95% CI 2.0 to 2.6)* Vaginal deliveries vs. CS: OR 1.7 (95% CI 1.3–2.1)** * adjusted for age **adjusted for age, parity, years since last delivery and body mass index	Attributable risk: the proportion of any incontinence among women who delivered vaginally that would be preventable by CS was 35%	Cohort	3

Faecal incontinence

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Hannah, 2002 ⁵¹	41596 women from 110 centres worldwide who responded to a follow up questionnaire three months after international randomised controlled trial of planned CS vs. vaginal delivery	Planned CS vs. planned vaginal delivery.	Faecal incontinence 3 months after delivery assessed by questionnaire.	Faecal incontinence: Planned CS: 5/619 (0.8%) Planned vaginal delivery: 9/607 (1.5%) RR 0.54 (95% CI 0.18 to 1.62) Incontinence of flatus: Planned CS: 66/616 (10.7%) Planned vaginal delivery: 59/606 (9.7%) RR 1.10 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.54)	Study did not show any difference between groups in terms of incontinence to faeces or flatus	RCT	1b
Abramowitz, 2000 ⁶⁷⁰	259 women who delivered in a hospital in France	Observational study	New anal incontinence 3 months after delivery as assessed by questionnaire Anal incontinence defined as incontinence to flatus or liquid or solid stools for at least once a week	Anal incontinence by mode of delivery 6–8 weeks postpartum: New anal incontinence: CS vs. No CS: 0.0% vs. 10.1% (p = 0.001) Forceps vs. no forceps: 22.9% vs. 6.5% (p = 0.001)	There is a significant reduction in the risk of anal incontinence with CS and a significant increase in the skwfthrforizepsrdiribety Possible confounders corrected for included Baby anterior or posterior presentation Age Parity Anal sexual intercourse Delivery characteristics.	Cohort	2b
Groutz, 1999 ⁵⁸⁴	300 women who delivered in an Israeli hospital in November 1997 Mean age 30.1 years	Observational study	Prevalence of anal incontinence 3 months after delivery as determined by telephone interview Anal incontinence defined as any involuntary leakage of solid or liquid faeces or gas	Anal incontinence by mode of delivery 3 months postpartum: SVD: 9/235 (3.8%); unadjusted RR 1.00 Vacuum: 10/40 (25%); unadjusted RR 6.53 (95% CI 2.83 to 15.06) Forceps: 1/3 (33%); unadjusted RR 8.70 (95% CI 1.55 to 48.79) CS: 1/22 (4.5%); unadjusted RR 1.18 (95% CI 0.16 to 8.94)	There was no adjusting for possible confounders.	Cohort	2b
Fynes, 1998 ⁵⁸⁷	234 women who attended the antenatal clinic in the National Maternity Hospital, Dublin between June 1993 and December 1994	Observational study	Anal incontinence as assessed by questionnaire 6 weeks postpartum	Faecal incontinence postpartum: CS (n = 15): 0 (0%) SVD (n = 200): 38 (19%)	Study shows a higher percentage of women with spontaneous vaginal delivery had anal incontinence postpartum No clear controlling for confounders	Cohort	2b

Faecal incontinence (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Donnelly, 1998 ⁵⁸⁶	184 primiparous women who attended the antenatal clinic in the National Maternity Hospital between June 1993 and July 1994	Observational study Exclusion criteria: Diabetes mellitus Anorectal disease Previous anorectal surgery Irritable bowel syndrome	Anal incontinence assessed at postpartum follow up by questionnaire	Fecal incontinence postpartum: CS (n = 16): 0 (0%) SVD (n = 146): 2 (1.4%) Instrumental vaginal delivery (n = 22): 5 (23%) Instrumental delivery vs. SVD adj OR 7.2 (95% CI 2.8 to 18.6)	Study shows that vaginal and especially instrumental vaginal delivery is associated with a higher risk of fecal incontinence postpartum. Confounders adjusted for included length of labour and second stage, mode of delivery, epidural use and episiotomy.	Cohort	2b
MacArthur, 1997 ⁵⁸⁵	906 women who delivered in a maternity hospital in Birmingham, UK, between April and September 1992	Observational study Women assessed before and 6 weeks after delivery	Faecal incontinence as assessed by home-based interviews and hospital case-notes	Faecal incontinence by mode of delivery (unadjusted figures): Primiparae: SVD: new 5; none 184 CS: new 5; none 67; RR 0.38 (95% CI 0.11 to 1.28) Forceps: new 5; none 81; 2.20 (95% CI 0.65 to 7.39) Vacuum: new 3; none 11; 8.10 (95% CI 2.15 to 30.46) Multiparae: SVD: new 13; none 366 CS: new 1; none 100; RR 0.29 (95% CI 0.04 to 2.18) Forceps: new 3; none 21; RR 3.64 (95% CI 1.11 to 11.93) Vacuum: new 1; none 3; RR 7.29 (95% CI 1.23 to 43.20)	Study failed to show in primiparous women an association between delivery by CS and forceps and faecal incontinence compared with spontaneous delivery. It showed an increase in risk of 8 times with vacuum delivery compared with spontaneous delivery In multiparae, forceps delivery and vacuum delivery were associated with a 3- and 7-fold increase respectively in faecal incontinence compared with spontaneous delivery. There was no increase or decrease in the risk of faecal incontinence with CS compared with vaginal delivery	Cohort	2b

Sexual intercourse

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Hannah, 2002 ⁵¹	4 1596 women from 110 centres worldwide who responded to a follow up questionnaire three months after international randomised controlled trial of planned CS vs. vaginal delivery	Planned CS vs. planned vaginal delivery	Sexual function as assessed by questionnaire on No sex since the birth and pain during sex on most recent occasion	No sex since the birth: Planned CS: 129/795 (16.2%) Planned vaginal delivery: 115/796 (14.5%) RR 1.12 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.42) Pain during sex on most recent occasion: Planned CS: 111/655 (17.0%) Planned vaginal delivery: 325/798 (40.7%) RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.91 to 1.16)	Study did not show any difference between the two groups in terms of no sex since the birth or pain during sex on the most recent occasion	RCT	1b
Lydon-Rochelle,	971 primiparous women	Observational study	Sexual activity as	Mode of delivery and health status score:	Study did not demonstrate	Cohort	2b
infant between Augu December 1991 in th	who delivered a singleton infant between August and December 1991 the US	nfant between August and	measured by questionnaire 7 weeks postpartum	CS: 56.2 Assisted vaginal: 47.9 Unassisted vaginal: 54.1	any significant differences between sexual function of women delivered by CS and women with unassisted		
			Reported as a general health status score with a higher score as imelitatista tofsa better	halth status score with unassisted vaginal: p NS but women with assisted vaginal envery postpation but women with assisted vaginal delivery had statistical bus better statistical bus better statistical bus waginal delivery had statistical bus better statistical bus waginal delivery had statistical bus waginal delivery postpation waginal	vaginal delivery postpartum but women with assisted		
					Maternal, hospital and newborn characteristics were adjusted for as potential confounders		
Hyde, 1996 ⁵⁹⁰	570 women recruited in the in the US for a maternity leave and health project	Observational study	Resumption of intercourse one month after delivery	Resumption of intercourse: VD: 82/455 (18%) CS: 25/93 (27%) p < 0.05	Study did not correct for instrumental delivery or episiotomy	Cohort	2b
Goetcsh, 1991 ⁵⁹¹	62 women attending postnatal clinics at 2 and 8 weeks in the US in May to December 1989	Observational study	Postpartum nonfocal introital dyspareunia assessed by history and swab touch test examination	Postpartum dyspareunia by mode of delivery: VD: 20/48 (42%) CS: 4/14 (29%) p > 0.5	Study was unable to demonstrate any difference between women with a CS and vaginal delivery in terms of postpartum nonfocal introital dyspareunia	Cohort	2b

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Barrett, 2000 ⁵⁸	⁹ 796 primiparous women delivered of a live birth in a 6 month period at a London teaching hospital 61% response rate	Observational study	Self-reported sexual behaviour and sexual problems	89% of respondents had resumed sexual activity within 6 months of birth Pre pregnancy prevalence of sexual problems was 38% Sexual morbidity increased in the first three months after birth to 83%, declining to 64% at 6 months after birth Dyspareunia was significantly associated with vaginal deliveries and previous experience of dyspareunia in the first 3 montsin the first At six months there was no significant association between dyspareunia and mode of delivery	5	Cohort	2b

Postnatal depression

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Johnstone, 2001 ⁵⁹²	490 women who delivered in 2 health regions in Australia between Sept 1995 and Jan 1996 and Nov 1995 and March 1996 Mean age 28 years	Observational study	Depression status assessed at 8 weeks using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale	Incidence of puerperal depression 13.1% Puerperal depression by mode of delivery: Forceps delivery: OR 2.51 Elective CS: OR 2.03 Emergency CS: OR 1.40 (all 3 not statistically significant)	No association between mode of delivery and post natal depression at 8 weeks	Cohort	2b
				Only p values and not 95% CI were reported in the paper; there was not enough information to enable its calculation			
Fisher, 1997 ⁵⁹⁴	272 nulliparous pregnant women assessed at a mean of 33 weeks of gestation and 5 weeks post-delivery Mean age 28.25 years	Observational study	Self-esteem and depression status as assessed by the Rosenberg Self-Esteem questionnaire and Profile of Mood States. Scores in groups were compared before and after delivery	Mean change in depression score by mode of delivery: Mode of delivery p value CS (n = 42); mean change in scores +2.58; p < 0.05 Vaginal delivery (n = 200): mean change in scores -0.26	Women in the vaginal delivery group reported a reduction in symptoms of anxiety and depression	Cohort	2b

Postnatal depression (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Glazener,	1249 women who delivered	Observational study	Self reported	Tearfulness, depression in hospital at 0–13 days:	following delivery, a higher proportion of mothers who had CS or assisted vaginal delivery reported tearfulnes depression compared with those who had spontaneous vaginal delivery, there was no difference between the groups at 18 months after delivery	Cohort	2b
1995563	in a Scottish region between June 1990 and May 1991		tearfulness, depression	CS vs. all vaginal deliveries: CS: 53/181 (29%); unadjusted RR 2.02 (95% CI 1.54 to 2.64) All vaginal delivery: 155/1068 (15%)	proportion of mothers who had CS or assisted vaginal delivery reported tearfulness,		
				CS vs. spontaneous vaginal deliveries: CS: 53/181 (29%); unadjusted RR 2.24 (95% CI 1.69 to 2.79) SVD: 117/896 (13%)	those who had spontaneous vaginal delivery, there was no difference between the groups at 18 months after		
				Instrumental delivery vs. spontaneous deliveries: IVD: 38/172 (22%); unadjusted RR 1.69 (95% CI 1.22 to 2.35) SVD: 117/896 (13%)	delivery		
				Tearfulness, depression at home (0–8 weeks):			
				CS vs. all vaginal deliveries: CS: 39/161 (24%); unadjusted RR 1.19 (95% CI 0.88 to 1.61) All VD: 194/955 (20%)			
				CS vs. spontaneous vaginal deliveries: CS: 39/161 (24%); unadjusted RR 1.16 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.57) SVD: 169/806 (21%)			
				Instrumental delivery vs. spontaneous deliveries: IVD: 25/149 (17%); unadjusted RR 0.83 (95% CI 0.56 to 1.22) SVD: 169/806 (21%)			
				Tearfulness, depression at home (2–18 months):			
				CS vs. all vaginal deliveries: CS: 10/65 (15%); unadjusted RR 0.90 (95% CI 0.49 to 1.65) All VD: 64/373 (17%)			
				CS vs. spontaneous vaginal deliveries: CS: 10/65 (15%); unadjusted RR 0.90 (95% CI 0.48 to 1.67) SVD: 53/310 (17%)			
				Instrumental delivery vs. spontaneous deliveries: IVD: 11/63 (18); unadjusted RR 1.02 (95% CI 0.57 to 1.84) SVD: 53/310 (17)			

Postnatal depression (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Culp, 1989 ⁵⁹⁶	80 women who delivered at a US hospital 24 delivered by CS 56 deliveredvaginally	Observational study	Postnatal depression assessed by a scale from Center for Epidemiological studies	Levels of maternal depression in two separate analyses of variance (ANOVA) were not significantly different between the two groups at 3 months postpartum	No absolute numbers or percentages given therefore RR cannot be calculated	Cohort	2b
				For those clinically depressed (based on depression scores) two chi-square analyses indicated no significant differences in mothers who were clinically depressed according to mode of delivery			
Saisto, 2001 ⁵⁹⁷ 2	211 women assessed at 17	Observational study	Disappointment with	Emergency CS associated with disappointment with	Study assessed psychosocial	Cohort	2b
	and 36 weeks of pregnancy and 71 days post-delivery		delivery and puerperal depression	delivery but not puerperal depression	predictors of disappointment with delivery and puerperal		
			Depression assessed by a revised version of Beck's Depression inventory (BDI)		depression		
Boyce, 1992 ⁵⁹⁵	188 primiparous women recruited at the antenatal clinic of an Australian hospital	Observational study	Postnatal depression as measured by the EPDS at 1, 3 and 6 months postpartum.	Postnatal depression (EPDS scores above 12.5) by method of delivery at 1, 3 and 6 months postpartum: Follow-up (months) by emergency CS (%) VD (%)	Comparison of the groups indicated a significant difference at 3 months postpartum only	Cohort	2b
	Mean age 26.7 years			RR (95% CI) 1/12: CS 4/17 (23.5%); VD: 15/140 (10.7%); RR 2.2 (95% CI 0.82 to 5.86) 3/12: CS 6/13 (46.2%); VD 9/133 (6.8%); RR 6.82 (95% CI 2.85 to 16.15) 6/12: CS 2/18 (11.1%); VD 10/146 (6.8%); RR 1.62 (95% CI 0.39 to 6.83)	Emergency CS is associated with a 6-fold increase in the risk of PND compared with vaginal delivery		

Post-traumatic stress disorder

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Morbidity events	Comments	Study type	EL
Ryding, 1998 ⁵⁹⁹	326 women who delivered at a Swedish hospital between January 1992 and 31 March 1993 Mean age 29 years	Observational study	Post-traumatic stress as assessed by Impact of Event Scale	Post-traumatic stress assessed at 2 days and 1 month postpartum: 2 days postpartum: Emergency CS vs. elective CS: p = 0.001 Emergency CS vs. instrumental VD: p NS Emergency CS vs. SVD: p NS 1 month postpartum: Emergency CS vs. elective CS: p = 0.01 Emergency CS vs. 9instrumental VD: p NS Emergency CS vs. 9vs. p < 0.05		Cohort	2b
Soderquist, 2002 ⁵⁹⁸	1550 women who delivered in a Swedish hospital in 1994	Observational study	Post-traumatic stress as assessed by Traumatic Event Scale	Post-traumatic stress assessed between 1 and 2 years postpartum: Elective CS: OR NS Emergency CS: OR 6.3 (95% CI 2.0 to 20.2) Instrumental VD: OR 4.8 (95% CI 1.5 to 15.2) SVD: OR 1.00	Absolute numbers not reported Not clear if odds ratios are crude or adjusted		

Prolapse

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Parazzini, 2000 ⁶⁰¹	21,449 women who attended first-level outpatient menopause clinics in Italy from 1997 to 1999	Observational study	Uterovaginal prolapse defined according to the Baden-Walker classification	Genital prolapse by mode of delivery: CS: no prolapse 1705 (9.8%); prolapse 66 (5.9%); OR 0.6 (95% CI 0.5 to 0.9) VD: no prolapse 15,650 (90.2%); prolapse 1048	Delivery by CS was associated with a 40% reduction in the risk of developing genital prolapse	Case– control	3
	268 centres			(94.1%)	Adjusted for age, education, BMI and parity		
Carley, 1999 [∞]	³² 178 women who underwent corrective surgery for genital prolapse between September 1992 and August 1994	Observational study	Genital prolapse as assessed by need for surgery	Genital prolapse by mode of delivery: At least 1 CS: 7/178 (3.9%) At least 1 VD: 168/178 (94.0%)		Case– control	3
	Controls: women who underwent routine screening mammography						
	US hospital						

Maternal mortality

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
CEMD ⁹⁵	Women in UK	Observational study	Maternal death	All maternities: 2,124,000; death rate/million 30 VD: 1,710,000; death rate/million 16.9; RR 1.0 All CS: 413,000; death rate/million 82.3; RR4.9 (95% CI 2.96 to 7.97) Emergency CS: 69,000; death rate/million 202.9; RR 12.0 (95% CI 6.32 to 22.65) Urgent CS: 137,000; death rate/million 102.2; RR 6.0 (95% CI 3.18 to 11.40) Scheduled CS: 78,000; death rate/million 12.8; RR 0.8 (95% CI 0.10, 5.55) Elective CS: 130,000; death rate/million 38.5; RR 2.3 (95% CI 0.88 to 5.86)	·		3

Chapter 10 Pregnancy and childbirth after CS

10.1 Implications for future pregnancies

Infertility

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results						Comments	Study type	EL
Hemminki, 1996 ⁶⁷¹	7 cohort studies conducted in Northern Europe and USA.	Observational study	Lowered fertility following CS in women with:	CS and sub and risk ra		owered fe	ertility: st	udies, ou	tcomes	* indicates statistically significant risk ratios	Systematic review of	
			At least one pregnancy (A) At least one live birth (B) All pregnancies (C) All live births (D) Fecundity (apparently able to have further children) (E)	_	A 0.94* 1.0 - - 0.84* 0.80* 0.83*	B 0.95* 1.0 0.91* 0.91* - - 0.90*	C 0.90* 0.89 - - - -	D 0.91* 0.88 0.87* 0.88* - -	E - 0.77* - - - -	95% CI not given	cohort studies 2b	
Jolly, 1999 ¹⁶⁴	Exposed: 250 women who had a CS in their first pregnancy Non-exposed (two groups): Group 1: 250 women who	Observational study	Fertility rates	Women wi of the first Normal: 43 Instrument CS: 70/165	child: /148 (29. al: 57/16	1%)	,	ars after t	he birth	There is an increased risk of 46% of having no more children five years after having a primary CS compared with normal delivery	Cohort	2b
	had normal vaginal deliveries in their first pregnancy Group 2: 250 women who had instrumental deliveries in their first pregnancy. UK health district			RR for havi compared 1.07 to 1.9	with norn					Questionnaire response rate was 64% There is no clear controlling for confounders		

Placenta praevia

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Lydon-Rochelle, 2001 ⁶⁰⁶	Population Exposed (CS at first delivery):	Observational study	Placenta praevia associated with second	Placenta praevia in 2nd pregnancy by mode of delivery in first pregnancy:	There is an increased risk of 40% in the	Cohort	2b
	19,875		births	1st pregnancy VD (n = 75,755): placenta praevia in 2nd	incidence of placenta	placenta a 2nd if delivery compared al delivery usted for ge increased Cohort in the if placenta a 2nd if delivery compared al delivery compared al delivery ing factors for included: l age it bruption induced on	
	Non-exposed (vaginal birth at first delivery): 75,755		No mention of method of assessing-taken from	pregnancy 356 (0.7%) 1st pregnancy CS (n = 19.875); placenta praevia in 2nd	praevia in a 2nd pregnancy if delivery was by CS compared		
	Women delivering in a US		records	pregnancy 137 (0.5%)	with vaginal delivery		
	state between 1987 and 1996			Adjusted OR 1.4 (95% CI 1.1 to 1.6)	OR was adjusted for maternal age		
Rasmussen, 2000 ⁶⁰⁵	Based on all births in Norway from 1967 through	Observational study	Placenta praevia	enta praevia Placenta praevia in 2nd pregnancy by mode of delivery There is in first pregnancy: risk of 3		Cohort	2b
370, Excl	1992: 779,642 women			1st pregnancy VD (n = 346,530): 746 (0.2%)	incidence of placenta praevia in a 2nd		
	370,374 women elig1ble			1st pregnancy CS (n = 23,018): 80 (0.4%)	pregnancy if delivery		
	Exclusion criteria:			Adjusted OR 1.32 (95% CI 1.04 to 1.68)	was by CS compared with vaginal delivery	npared elivery factors included: ge uption uced	
	Women with only one delivery First delivery before1967 Multiple births Women without information on the first day of the last menstrual period in at least one pregnancy				Confounding factors controlled for included: Gestational age Birth weight Placental abruption Pregnancy induced hypertension Perinatal death Interpregnancy interval		
Rageth, 1999 ⁶⁰⁷ E	exposed: 29,046 women who had a CS in their first birth	Observational study	Bleeding due to placenta praevia during pregnancy	1st pregnancy VD (n = 226,407): 1137 (0.5%) 1st pregnancy VD (n = 29,046): 238 (0.8%)	There is an increased risk of 60% in the incidence of placenta	Cohort	2b
	Unexposed: 255,453 women who had not had a CS and parity > 1		Method of diagnosing placenta praevia not stated	Unadjusted OR 1.63 (95% CI 1.41to 1.87)	praevia in a 2nd pregnancy if delivery was by CS compared with vaginal delivery		
	128 women in exposed had the outcome of interest				No adjustment for confounding in the		
	484 in unexposed had the outcome of interest				analysis		
	Data from Swiss database						

Placenta praevia (continued)

Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Ananth, 1997 ⁶⁷² 8 cohort studies from USA and other countries	Observational study	Placenta praevia as stated in primary research paper.	Fixed-effects OR 2.9 (95% CI 2.8 to 3.0)	Only MEDLINE database searched	Systematic Review of	2b	
		Random-effects OR 2.4 (95% CI 2.1 to	, , , . , . , . , . , . , .	Random-effects OR 2.4 (95% CI 2.1 to 2.8)	Studies limited to English language	cohort studies	
				Criteria used to assess quality of individual studies not stated			
					Studies heterogeneous		

10.2 Childbirth following CS

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Blanchette,	1481 women with at least	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate: 49%	Prospective	3
2001620	one previous CS, delivering at a community hospital in	Maternal complications	All mothers with previous CS: 8/1000 Elective CS: 0	Emergency CS rate in TOL	cohort	
	USA, 1996 to 1999	Neonatal outcomes	TOL group: 16/1000	group: 23%		
	Included all mothers with at least 1 previous CS, for whom VBA not medically contraindicated	including Apgar score	Elective CS (n = 727): Uterine rupture: 0 Perinatal mortality: 0 Maternal mortality: 0 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 47/737 (6.4%); RR 1.0 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 11/737 (1.5%); RR 1.0			
		TOL (n = 754): Uterine rupture: 12 (1.6%) Perinatal mortality: 2 (0.3%) Maternal mortality: 0 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 93/755 (12.3%); RR 1.9 (95% CI 1.4 to 2.7) 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 12/755 (1.6%); RR 1.1 (95% CI 0.5 to 2.4)				
			Neonatal outcomes:			
			Elective CS (n = 727): Transfer to NICU: 31/737 (4.2%); 1.00 Respiratory distress syndrome: 13/737 (1.8%); 1.00 Seizure: 2/737 (0.3%); 1.00 Sepsis: 2/737 (0.3%); 1.00 Transient tachypnoea newborn: 3/737 (0.4%); 1.00			
			TOL (n = 754): Transfer to NICU: 36/755 (4.8%); RR 1.1 (95% CI 0.7 to 1.8) Respiratory distress syndrome: 16/755 (2.1%); RR 1.2 (95% CI 0.6 to 2.5) Seizure: 2/755 (0.3%); RR 1.0 (95% CI 0.1 to 6.9) Sepsis: 5/755 (0.7%); RR 2.4 (95% CI 0.5 to 12.5) Transient tachypnoea newborn: 1/755 (0.1%); RR 0.3 (95% CI 0.0 to 3.1)			
			Maternal complications:			
			Elective CS (n = 727): Endometritis: 9 (1.2%); 1.00 Abdominal wound infection: 14 (1.9%); 1.00 Transfusion: 2 (0.3%); 1.00 Postpartum haemorrhage: 2 (0.3%); 1.00 TOL (n = 754): Endometritis: 11 (1.4%); RR 1.2 (95% CI 0.5 to 2.8) Abdominal wound infection: 1 (0.1%); RR 0.1 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.5) Transfusion: 3 (0.4%); RR 1.4 (95% CI 0.2 to 8.6) Postpartum haemorrhage: 3 (0.4%); RR 1.4 (95% CI 0.2 to 8.6)			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Bais, 2001 ⁶²³	252 women with at least	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate: 27%	Prospective	3
	one previous CS delivering at a Dutch hospital over a 5	Maternal morbidity	All mothers with previous CS: 4/1000 Elective CS: 0	Emergency CS rate in TOL	cohort	
	year period 1990–94	Apgar scores	TOL group: 5/1000	group: 23%		
	Included mothers with singleton pregnancies, at least 20 weeks of gestation	Perinatal mortality	Elective CS (n = 68): Uterine rupture: 0 Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 0 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 0 Blood loss > 1000 ml: 6 (8.8%); 1.00 Blood transfusion: 4 (5.9%); 1.00			
			TOL (n = 184): Uterine rupture: 1 (0.5%) Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 3 (1.6%) 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 6 (3.3%) Blood loss > 1000 ml: 9 (4.9%); RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.2 to 1.5) Blood transfusion: 8 (4.3%); RR 0.7 (95% CI 0.2 to 2.4)			
Hook, 1997 ⁶³⁷	989 women with at least 1	Neonatal mortality	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate: 50%	Prospective	3
	previous CS delivering term singleton cephalic in 3 U.S.	Neonatal morbidity	All mothers with previous CS: 8/1000 Elective CS: 2/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL	cohort	
	hospitals during a 1 year	Maternal morbidiy	TOL group: 14/1000	group: 31%		
	period.		Elective CS (n = 497): Uterine rupture: 1 (0.2%); 1.00 Neonatal mortality: 0 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 20 (4.0%); 1.00 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 3 (0.6%)			
			TOL (n = 492): Uterine rupture: 7 (1.4%); RR 7.1 (95% CI 0.9 to 52.3) Neonatal mortality: 1 (0.2%) 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 111 (22.6%); RR 5.6 (95% CI 3.5 to 8.9) 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 14 (2.8%); RR 4.7 (95% CI 1.4 to 16.3)			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Flamm, 1994 ⁶²⁷	7229 mothers with at least one previous CS delivering at 10 hospitals in Southern	Uterine rupture Transfusion	Incidence of uterine rupture: TOL group: 8/1000 Incidence of uterine rupture in elective CS group not reported	Elective CS rate: 16%–41% Emergency CS rate in TOL group: 18–30%	Prospective cohort	3
	California. Time period of study began 1990, not known for how long Excluded known prior classical or low vertical uterine incisions	Hysterectomy Perianatal mortality Apgar scores	Elective CS (n = 2207): Maternal mortality: 0 Transfusion: 38 (1.73%); 1.00 Hysterectomy: 6 (0.27%); 1.00 Perinatal mortality: 0 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 15 (0.7%) TOL (n = 5022): Uterine rupture: 39 (0.8%); RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.6) Maternal mortality: 0 Transfusion: 36 (0.72%); 1.00 Hysterectomy: 6 (0.12%); RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.1 to 1.4) Perinatal mortality: 0 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 74 (1.5%); RR 2.2 (95% CI 1.2 to 3.8)	group. 10-30%		
Granovsky, 1994 ⁶⁷³	52 women with at least 1 previous CS, delivered in a maternity hospital in Israel Included previous low segement transverse uterine incisions, singleton cephalic pregnancies presenting in labour	Maternal mortality Maternal morbidity Perinatal mortality	Incidence of uterine rupture: Elective CS group (n = 26): 0 TOL group (n = 26): 0 Maternal morbidity (both groups): 0 Perinatal mortality (both groups): 0	26 women in each group. Unclear whether these are results of a complete cohort	Prospective cohort	3
Miller, 1992 ⁶³⁸	318 consecutive patients	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate: 61%	Prospective	3
	with at least one previous CS	Maternal complications	All women with previous CS: 3/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL	cohort	
	delivering at a Sydney Teaching hospital, over a 1 year period.	Neonatal outcomes including Apgar score	Elective CS: 0 TOL group: 8/1000 Elective CS (n = 193): Uterine rupture: 0 Maternal mortality: 0 Neonatal mortality: 1 (0.5%); 1.00 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 24 (12.4%); 1.00 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 4 (2.1%); 1.00 Neonatal seizures: 1 (0.5%); 1.00 TOL (n = 125): Uterine rupture: 1 (0.8%) Maternal mortality: 0 Neonatal mortality: 2 (1.6%); RR 3.1 (95% CI 0.3 to 33.7) 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 29 (23.2%); RR 1.9 (95% CI 1.1 to 3.0) 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 6 (4.8%); RR 2.3 (95% CI 0.7 to 8.0) Neonatal seizures: 2 (1.6%); RR 3.1 (95% CI 0.3 to 33.7)	group: 36%		

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Abitbol, 1993 ⁶⁷⁴	312 women with at least 1 previous CS who were part of the VBAC programme at a New York hospital Excluded unknown type of uterine scar, fetal weight estimated to be greater than 4000 g on USS, nonvertex presentations, gestational diabetes, contraindications to vaginal delivery	Maternal mortality Perinatal mortality Patient satisfaction	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 3/1000 Elective CS: 0 TOL group: 5/1000 Elective CS (n = 125): Uterine rupture: 0 Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 0 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 1 (0.8%) 1.00 TOL (n = 187): Uterine rupture: 1 (0.5%) Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 2 (1.1%) 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 8 (4.3%); RR 5.3 (0.7 to 42.2)	Study aimed primarily at looking at patient views and satisfaction with VBAC Elective CS rate: 40% Emergency CS rate in TOL group: 35%	Prospective cohort	3

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Roumen,	249 women with at least 1	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate 23%	Prospective	3
1990622	previous CS (low transverse uterine incision) who	Maternal morbidity	All women with previous CS: 4/1000 Elective CS: 0	Emergency CS rate in TOL	cohort	
	delivered over a 10-year	Apgar score	TOL group: 5/1000	group 21%		
period in a Dutch maternity unit 1977–87	Cord pH	Elective CS (n = 57): Uterine rupture: 0 Maternal mortality:0 Perinatal mortality:0 1 min Apgar score < 7: 4/58 (6.9%); 1.00 5 min Apgar score < 7: 0/58 UApH < 7.2: 4/58 (6.9%); 1.00				
	TOL (n = 192): Uterine rupture: 1 (0.5%) Maternal mortality:0 Perinatal mortality: 5 (2.6%) 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 26/195 (13.3%); RR 1.9 (95% CI 0.7 to 5.3) 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 8/195 (4.1%) UApH < 7.2: 52/195 (26.7%); RR 3.9 (95% CI 1.5 to 10.2) Elective CS (n = 57): Blood loss > 1000ml: 7 (8.8%); 1.00 Blood transfusion: 13 (22.8%); 1.00 Pneumonia: 1 (1.7%) Endometritis: 3 (5.3%); 1.00 Wound infection: 1 (1.7%); 1.00 UTI: 5 (8.8%); 1.00					
			TOL (n = 192): Blood loss > 1000ml: 17 (12.2%); RR 0.7 (95% CI 0.3 to 1.6) Blood transfusion: 15 (7.8%); RR 0.3 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.7) Pneumonia: 0 Endometritis: 5 (2.6%); RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.1 to 2.0) Wound infection: 9 (4.7%) RR 2.7 (95% CI 0.3 to 20.6) UTI: 5 (8.8%); 25 (13.0%) RR 1.5 (95% CI 0.6 to 3.7)			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Phelan, 1989 ⁶²⁹	1088 women with 2 previous CS who delivered	Uterine rupture Maternal morbidity	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 1/1000	Entry criteria differed from year to year	Prospective cohort	3
	singleton cephalic pregnancies over a 4-year period in a US teaching	Apgar score	Elective CS: 2/1000 TOL group: 0	Uterus explored in all vaginal deliveries to determine		
	hospital Excluded known previous classical scars, multiple	Perinatal mortality	Uterine rupture: 1 (0.2%)	incidence of uterine rupture TOL rate increased over the 4		
		Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 5 (0.8%); 1.00 1-minute Apgar < 7: 70 (11.9%) 1.00	year period from 10% to 60%			
	gestations, maipresentation		5-minute Apgar < 7: 8 (1.4%) 1.00 Hysterectomy: 7 (1.2%); 1.00	Elective CS rate 54%		
			TOL (n = 501): Uterine rupture: 0 Maternal mortality: 1 (0.2%) Perinatal mortality: 6 (1.2%); RR 1.4 (95% CI 0.4 to 4.6) 1-minute Apgar < 7: 87 (17.4%); RR 1.4 (95% CI 1.1 to 1.9) 5-minute Apgar < 7: 13 (2.6%); RR 1.9 (95% CI 0.8 to 4.5) Hysterectomy: 1 (0.2%); RR 5.97 (95% CI 0.7 to 48.4)	Emergency CS rate in TOL group was 31%		
Raynor, 1993 ⁶⁷⁵ (57 women with at least 1 previous CS, delivered at a small (< 1000 annual delivery rate) rural maternity	Maternal morbidity Apgar scores	No cases of uterine rupture TOL group: n = 51 EI CS: n = 8 Not elig1ble for TOL: n = 8	Small descriptive study, aimed at demonstrating that high VBAC rates are achievable in rural hospitals	Retro- spective cohort	3
	centre, level 1 nursery care in the US, 1988–1991			Results not given according to intended mode of delivery		

Population	Outcomes	Results		Comments	Study type	EL
Lydon— Rochelle, 2001 ⁶²¹ 2001 ⁶²¹ vaginal deliveries) over a 10 year period in the US	Uterine rupture	Women who have elective CS: 2/1000 Women with spontaneous onset of labour: 5/	1000		Retro- spective cohort	2b
		IOL (non-prostaglandin) (n = 1960): 15; 4.9 (95	6% CI 2.4 to 9.7)			
		NNT = 277 elective CS to prevent 1 uterine ruprisk for women in spontaneous labour)	oture (based on absolute			
		Postpartum complication: no uterine rupture Severe post haemorrhagic anaemia Major puerperal infection Bladder injury Paralytic ileus Hysterectomy Surgical and anaesthetic complication Maternal hospital stay > 5 days Death of infant	(n = 20,004): 4.8% 1.2% 1.2% 0.4% 0.1% 0.7% 4.2% 0.5%			
		Postpartum complication: uterine rupture (n = Severe post haemorrhagic anaemia Major puerperal infection Bladder injury Paralytic ileus Hysterectomy Surgical and anaesthetic complication Maternal hospital stay > 5 days Death of infant	10% 8.8% 7.7% 3.3% 4.4% 35.2% 26.4% 5.5%			
	20,095 women with 1 previous CS (no previous vaginal deliveries) over a 10	20,095 women with 1 Uterine rupture previous CS (no previous vaginal deliveries) over a 10	20,095 women with 1 previous CS (no previous vaginal deliveries) over a 10 year period in the US Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with 1 previous CS, no previous vag Women who have elective CS: 2/1000 Women with spontaneous onset of labour: 5/ Women with IOL (non-prostaglandin): 8/1000 Women with IOL (prostaglandin): 24/1000 Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 6980): 11; 1.00 Spontaneous onset labour (n = 10789): 56; 3.3 IOL (non-prostaglandin) (n = 1960): 15; 4.9 (95 IOL (prostaglandin) (n = 366): 9; 15.6 (95% CONTEXT OF WOMEN OF	20,095 women with 1 previous CS (no previous vaginal deliveries: 4/1000 women who have electric CS: 2/1000 women with a previous CS (no previous vaginal deliveries) ver a 10 year period in the US Vamen who have electric CS: 2/1000 women with spontaneous onset of labour: 5/1000 women with spontaneous onset of labour: 5/1000 women with lOL (non-prostaglandin): 8/1000 women with lOL (prostaglandin): 24/1000	20,095 women with 1 previous CS (no previous vaginal deliveries: 4/1000 vomen with 1 previous CS, no previous vaginal deliveries: 4/1000 vomen with 1 previous CS, no previous vaginal deliveries: 4/1000 vomen with spontaneous onset of labour: 5/1000 vomen with spontaneous onset of labour: 5/1000 vomen with spontaneous onset of labour: 5/1000 vomen with IOL (prostaglandin): 24/1000 vomen vith IOL (prostag	20,095 women with 1 previous CS (no previous vaginal deliveries: 4/1000 vagar period in the US Period

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
McMahon, 1996 ⁵¹⁸	6138 women in Nova Scotia, with one previous CS (low transverse uterine incision), 1986–92	Uterine rupture Major morbidity	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with one previous CS: 2/1000 Elective CS: 0.3/1000	Women self selected into groups Elective CS rate 47%		3
		Minor morbidity	TOL group: 3/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL group 40%		
	Excluded non vertex presentation, multiple gestations, previous CS with vertical or T shaped incision, placenta praevia, maternal herpes simplex infection, previous uterine surgery e.g. myomectomy)	Perinatal mortality	Elective CS (n = 2889): Uterine rupture: 1 (0.03%); 1.00 Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 14 (0.5%); 1.00 Hysterectomy: 6 (0.2%); 1.00 Operative injury: 18 (0.6%); 1.00 Blood transfusion: 39 (1.3%); 1.00 Abdominal wound infection: 63 (2.2%); 1.00	No difference in perinatal mortality and Apgar scores (absolute numbers not shown)		
			TOL (n = 3249): Uterine rupture: 10 (0.3%); RR 8.9 (95% CI 1.1 to 69.4) Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 29 (0.9%); RR 1.8 (95% CI 1.0 to 3.5) Hysterectomy: 5 (0.1%); RR 0.7 (95% CI 0.2 to 2.4) Operative injury: 41 (1.3%); RR 2.0 (95% CI 1.2 to 3.5) Blood transfusion: 36 (1.1%); RR 0.8 (95% CI 0.5 to 1.3) Abdominal wound infection: 43 (1.3%) RR 0.6 (95% CI 0.4 to 0.9)			
			NNT: 366 elective CS to prevent 1 uterine rupture			
Troyer, 1992 ⁶⁷⁶ 567 women with at least 1		Maternal morbidity	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Study was designed to look	at Retro-	3
	previous CS, delivered at a teaching hospital in USA,	Perinatal deaths	All women with previous CS: 9/1000 Elective CS: 7/1000	variables that predict successful TOL	spective cohort	
	1990–91	Apgar scores	TOL group: 11/1000			
	Singleton cephalic pregnancies, at least 36 weeks with documented transverse lower uterine scar		Elective CS (n = 303): Uterine rupture: 2 (0.7%); 1.00 Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 0			
	Excluded undocumented,		5-minute Apgar < 7: 3 (1.0%)			
	low vertical, classical uterine scars, multiple gestations, malpresentations and gestation under 36 weeks		TOL (n = 264): Uterine rupture: 3 (1.1%); RR 1.7 (95% CI 0.3 to 10.2) Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 0 5-minute Apgar < 7: 0			
			NNT: 210 elective CS to prevent 1 uterine rupture			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Obara, 1997 ⁶²⁴	310 women with at least one previous CS, delivering term (at least 36 weeks gestation) singleton infants at a Japanese hospital between 1990 to 1995	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with at least 1 previous CS: 6/1000 Elective CS: 0 TOL group: 9/1000	Elective CS rate: 31%	at	3
		Maternal death		Emergency CS rate in TOL		
		Hysterectomy		group: 57%		
		Blood loss > 1500 ml	Elective CS (n = 96): Uterine rupture: 0 Maternal mortality: 0 Hysterectomy: 0 Blood loss: 4 (4.2%); 1.00 Perinatal mortality: 0 5-minute Apgar < 7: 0	All women underwent Xray pelvimetry, those with contracted bony pelvis were recommended elective repeat CS, as were those who were not delivered after 41 weeks.		
	Excluded cases of placenta praevia	Perinatal death				
		Apgar scores				
			TOL (n = 214): Uterine rupture: 2 (0.9%) Maternal mortality: 0 Hysterectomy: 1 Blood loss: 3 (1.4%) RR 0.3 (95% CI 0.1 to 1.5) Perinatal mortality: 0 5-minute Apgar < 7: 5 (2.3%)			
Swaim, 1998 ⁶³⁶ 2	295 women with at least 1 previous CS, delivered at a US hospital between 1994– 95	Umbilical cord pH Apgar scores	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 3/1000 Elective CS: 0 TOL group: 5/1000	Elective CS rate: 37%	Retro- spective cohort	3
				Emergency CS rate in TOL group: 30%		
	Excluded fetal deaths, unclear if these were antepartum orintrapartum, estimated fetl weight below 10th centile for gestational age, major congenital abnormalities, severe isoimmunisation		Elective CS (n = 113): Uterine rupture: 0 UA pH < 7.2: 29/110 (26.4%); 1.00 5-minute Apgar < 7: 2/113 (1.8%) 1.00			
			TOL (n = 193): Elective CS (n = 113): Uterine rupture: 1 (0.5%) UA pH < 7.2: 48/185 (25.9%); RR 1.0 (95% CI 0.7 to 1.5) 5-minute Apgar < 7: 4/193 (2.1%); RR 1.2 (95% CI 0.2 to 6.3)			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Rageth, 1999 ⁶⁰⁷	29046 with at least 1 previous CS, with births registered on a Swiss database 1983 to 1996	Maternal death	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate: 39%	Retro-	3
		Maternal morbidity	All women with at least 1 previous CS: 3/1000 Elective CS: 2/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL	spective cohort	
		Uterine rupture	TOL group: 4/1000	group: 26%		
	Excluded multiple pregnancies	Perinatal death	Elective CS (n = 11,433): Uterine rupture: 22 (0.2%); 1.00 Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 10 (0.1%); 1.00 Neonatal transfer: 949 (8.3%) 1.00 Hysterectomy: 52 (0.45%); 1.00 Febrile morbidity: 262 (2.3%;) 1.00 Thromboembolic complications: 49 (0.4%); 1.00	Also reports relative risk of uterine rupture for women with previous CS compared with women with no previous CS, para > 1: RR 42.18 (95% CI 31.09 to 57.24)		
			TOL (n = 17,613) Uterine rupture: 70 (0.4%); RR 2.1 (95% CI 1.3 to 3.3) Maternal mortality: 1 (0.01%) Perinatal mortality: 33 (0.2%); RR 2.1 (95% CI 1.1 to 4.3) Neonatal transfer: 1075 (6.1%); RR 0.7 (95% CI 0.7 to 0.8) Hysterectomy: 29 (0.16%); RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.6) Febrile morbidity: 264 (1.5%); RR 0.6 (95% CI 0.5 to 0.8) Thromboembolic complications: 39 (0.2%); RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.8)			
			NNT: 488 elective CS to prevent 1 uterine rupture			
Neuhaus, 2001 ⁶⁷⁷	1086 women with at least one previous CS delivering at a German teaching hospital between 1979 to 1995.	e previous CS delivering a German teaching	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with at least 1 previous CS: 4/1000 Elective CS: 2/1000 TOL group: 6/1000	Overall: Elective CS rate: 55%	Retro- spective cohort	3
			Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 603): 1 (0.2%); 1.00 TOL (n = 483): 3 (0.6%); RR 3.7 (95% CI 0.4 to 35.9)	Emergency CS rate in TOL group: 14%		
Gregory, 1999	⁹ All delivery discharges	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate: 42%	Retro-	3
	(n = 536,785) in California over a 1 year period (1995)	·	All women giving birth: 0.7/1000 All women with no previous CS: 0.2/1000 All women with previous CS: 4/1000	Emorgonou (Corato in TO)	spective cohort	
			Elective CS: 3/1000 TOL group: 5/1000			
			Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 27760): 79 (0.3%); 1.00 TOL (n = 66856): 288 (0.4%); 1.88 (95% CI 1.45 to 2.43)			
			NNT = 400 elective CS to prevent 1 uterine rupture			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Asakura, 1995 ⁶¹⁷	⁷ 1641 women with at least one previous CS, delivering	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 5/1000	Elective CS rate:13%	Retro- spective	3
	at a teaching hospital in the J.S. over a 5-year period	Neonatal death 1-minute Apgar < 3	Elective CS: 0/1000 TOL group: 6/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL group: 36%	cohort	
	(1987 to 1992)		Elective CS (n = 229): Uterine rupture: 0 Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 6 (2.6%); 1.00 1-minute Apgar < 3: 3/242 (4.2%); 1.00			
			TOL (n = 1412): Uterine rupture: 8 (0.6%) Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 8 (0.6%); RR 0.2 (95% CI 0.07 to 0.62) 1-minute Apgar < 3: 61/1435 (1.2%); RR 3.4 (95% CI 1.1 to 10.8)			
Hibbard, 2001 ⁶²⁶	1756 women with at least one previous CS delivering in a US hospital over a 10-		Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 6/1000	Elective CS rate:24%	Retro- spective cohort	3
2001***		Hysterectomy	Elective CS: 0/1000 TOL group: 8/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL group: 31%		
	year period 1989–1998 Included no more than two	Blood loss Blood transfusion	Elective CS (n = 431):	5 .		
	previous low tranverse or	Chorioamnionitis	Uterine rupture: 0			
	low vertical CS, no previous additional uterine surgeries, cephalic or breech presentations, no active herpes infections and adequate pelvis.	ditional uterine surgeries, Endometritis phalic or breech esentations, no active rpes infections and	Hysterectomy: 0 Blood loss > 1000 ml: 32 (97.4%);1.00 Blood loss > 2000 ml: 5 (1.2%); 1.00 Blood transfusion: 6 (1.4%); 1.00 Chorioamnionitis: 18 (12.8%); 1.00 Endometritis: 38 (8.8%); 1.00			
			TOL (n = 1324): Uterine rupture: 10 (0.7%) Hysterectomy: 6 (0.5%) Blood loss > 1000 ml: 46 (3.5%) RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.7) Blood loss > 2000 ml: 8 (0.6%) RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.7) Blood transfusion: 11 (0.8%); RR 0.6 (95% CI 0.2 to 1.6) Chorioamnionitis: 169 (4.2%) RR 3.1 (95% CI 1.9 to 4.9) Endometritis: 108 (8.1%); RR 0.9 (95% CI 0.6 to 1.3)			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Iglesias, 1991 ⁶⁷⁸	All 1161 mothers delivering at a 44-bed rural hospital in Canada between 1985 and 1989. 136 mothers had	CS rates Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 15/1000 Elective CS: 0/1000 TOL group: 28/1000	Elective CS rate:47% Emergency CS rate in TOL group: 19%	Retro- spective cohort	3
	previous CS		Elective CS (n = 65): Uterine rupture: 0 Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 0			
			TOL (n = 72): Uterine rupture: 2 (2.8%) Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 1 (1.4%)			
Eriksen, 1989 ⁶³⁹ 1	41 mothers with previous	Maternal morbidity	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate:48%	Retro-	3
	military hospital 1985–1987	including uterine rupture illitary hospital 1985–1987 icluded only confirmed low ansverse previous CS, ingleton cephalic regnancies including uterine rupture Neonantal morbidity Neonatal death including uterine rupture	All women with previous CS: 7/1000 Elective CS: 0/1000 TOL group: 14/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL group: 20%	spective cohort	
	transverse previous CS, singleton cephalic pregnancies		Elective CS (n = 68): Uterine rupture: 0 Maternal mortality: 0			
	Excluded those with more than 2 previous CS or history of wound infection or endomyometritis		Perinatal mortality: 0 Transient tachypnoea newborn: 6 (8.8%); 1.00 Transfer to NICU: 11 (16.2%); 1.00 Maternal blood transfusion: 0 Maternal endomyometritis: 1 (1.5%); 1.00			
			TOL (n = 71): Uterine rupture: 1 (1.4%) Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 0 Transient tachypnoea newborn: 3 (4.2%); RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.1 to 1.8) Transfer to NICU: 5 (7.0%); RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.1 to 1.2) Maternal blood transfusion: 0 Maternal endomyometritis: 2 (2.8%); RR 1.9 (95% CI 0.2 to 20.6)			
Paterson,	36,727 singleton births in 17	Mode of delivery	Elective CS (n = 395): perinatal deaths 0	Elective CS rate 37%	Retro-	3
1991679	maternity units, North West region, London during 1988	Maternal mortality	TOL (n = 664): perinatal deaths 1 (1.6%)	Emergency CS rate in TOL group: 29%	spective cohort	3
	Incuded singleton cephalic pregnancies at least 37 weeks of gestation, only one previous CS and no previous vaginal deliveries	Neonatal death				

Evidence tables

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Smith, 1997 ⁶³⁵	Registry data (SMR2) for all	Perinatal death	Perinatal mortality:		Retro-	3
	births in Scotland 1992–97		Elective CS (n = 9014): 1 (0.01%); 1.00		spective cohort	
	Excluded multiple pregnancies, non cephalic presentation, delivery outside range of 37–43 weeks gestation, perinatal deaths due to congenital anomaly, antepartum stillbirths		TOL (n = 15,515): 20 (0.1%); RR 11.6 (95% CI 1.6 to 86.6)			
Stone, 2000 ⁶⁸⁰		Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate 68%	Retro-	3
	1995 in Victoria, Australia. Included 4663 mothers whose penultimate birth was by CS and who had a singleton birth in both deliveries	ncluded 4663 mothers whose penultimate birth was by CS and who had a ingleton birth in both	All women with previous CS: 0.6/1000 Elective CS: 0/1000 TOL group: 2/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL group 44%		
			Elective CS (n = 3181): Uterine rupture: 0 Perinatal mortality: 1 (0.03%); 1.00			
			TOL (n = 1482): Uterine rupture: 3 (0.2%) Perinatal mortality: 1 (0.07%); RR 2.1 (95% CI 0.1 to 34.3)			
Saldana, 1979	226 women with previus CS, Ut	erine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate 36%	Cohort	3
	delivering in a U.S.A teaching hospital between	Maternal mortality	All women with previous CS: 4/1000 Elective CS: 12/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL	study	
	1974–77	Perinatal mortality	TOL group: 0/1000	group 61%		
			Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 81): 1 (1.2%) TOL (n = 145): 0 Maternal and perinatal mortality: 0 (both groups)			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Chattopadhyay, 1988 ⁶³³	1847 women with a previous CS delivering in Saudi Arabia 1983–84	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate 20%	Retro-	3
			Maternal mortality	All women with previous CS: 9/1000 Elective CS: 5/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL	spective cohort
		Infection Elective CS (n = 401): Utgring runture: 2 (0.5%): 1.00 Infection Infection	TOL group: 10/1000	group 49%		
			Incidence of uterine ruptures among women with no previous CS in this hospital was 2/10,000			
			TOL (n = 1446): Uterine rupture: 15 (1.0%); RR 2.1 (95% CI 0.5 to 9.0) Maternal mortality: 0 Blood transfusion: 176 (15.6%); RR 2.6 (95% CI 1.7 to 3.9) Infection: 226 (15.2%) RR 0.7 (95% CI 0.5 to 0.8)			
Novas, 1987 ⁶²⁸ 6	9 women with more than	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate 48%	Retro-	3
	one previous CS delivering in a hospital in USA		All women with previous CS: 14/1000 Emergency CS rate in TOL	spective cohort		
	in a nospital in ook	Perinatal mortality	TOL group: 28/1000	group 20%	conorc	
			Elective CS (n = 33): Uterine rupture: 0 Hysterectomy: 2 (6.1%) Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 2 (6.1%);1.00			
			TOL (n = 36): Elective CS (n = 33): Uterine rupture: 1 (2.8%) Hysterectomy: 0 Maternal mortality: 0 Perinatal mortality: 1 (2.8%); RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.0to 4.8)			

Evidence tables

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
•	170 women with at least 2 previous CS deliveringin USA, 1983 to 1987	Uterine rupture Apgar scores Maternal blood transfusion	Incidence of uterine rupture: Women with at least 2 previous CS: 6/1000 Elective CS: 7/1000 TOL group: 0/1000	Elective CS rate 79% Emergency CS rate in TOL group 23%	Retro- spective cohort	3
			Elective CS (n = 135): Uterine rupture: 1 (0.7%) 1-minute Apgar score < 5: 5 (3.7%); 1.00 5-minute Apgar score < 5: 0 Maternal Blood transfusion: 11 (8.1%); 1.00			
			TOL (n = 35): Uterine rupture: 0 1-minute Apgar score < 5: 3 (8.6%); RR 2.3 (95% CI 0.6 to 9.2) 5-minute Apgar score < 5: 0 Maternal Blood transfusion: 1 (2.8%); RR 0.3 (95% CI 0.05 to 2.6)			
teaching hospital in Dubl	CS, no other previous pregnancies delivering in a teaching hospital in Dublin,	, no other previous Perinatal mortality All women with 1 previous CS: 0/1000 Elective CS: 0/1000	All women with 1 previous CS: 0/1000 Elective CS: 0/1000	Elective CS rate 19% Emergency CS rate in TOL group 23%	Emergency CS rate in TOL spective	3
	1992-94					
			Perinatal mortality: Elective CS (n = 44): 0 TOL (n = 195): 3 (1.5%)			
3ombelli, 1998 ⁶⁸³	231 women with at least 1 previous CS delivering in Italy 1996–97	evious CS delivering in Appar score All women with 1 previous CS: 0/1000		Elective CS rate 21% Emergency CS rate in TOL	Prospective 3 cohort	
	.td.y 2530 57	Umbilical vein Ph Base excess	TOL group: 0/1000 Elective CS (n = 149): Uterine rupture: 0 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 11 (7.4%); 1.00 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 0 Umbilical vein Ph < 7: 0 Base excess < -12: 0	group 32%		
			TOL (n = 82): Uterine rupture: 0 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 9 (11.0%); RR 1.5 (95% CI 0.6 to 3.4) 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 0 Umbilical vein Ph < 7: 2 (2.4%) Base excess < -12: 2 (2.4%)			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Phelan, 1989 ⁶³⁰	2643 women with at least 1 previous CS delivering in USA 1982 to 1984	Uterine rupture Febrile morbidity	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS:9/1000 Elective CS: 5/1000	Elective CS rate 32% Emergency CS rate in TOL group 18%	Prospective cohort	3
	Inclusion criteria: Patient acceptance Unknown type of scar Exclusion criteria: Known classical scar Multiple gestation	Hysterectomy	TOL group: 3/1000 Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 847): 4 (0.5%); 1.00 TOL (n = 1796): 5 (0.3%); RR 0.6 (95% CI 0.1 to 2.2) Febrile morbidity: Elective CS (n = 847): 163 (19.2%); 1.00			
	Malpresentation		TOL (n = 1796): 159 (8.8%); RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.4 to 0.6) Hysterectomy: Elective CS (n = 847): 14 (1.6%); 1.00 TOL (n = 1796): 5 (0.3%); RR 0.2 (95% CI 0.1 to 0.5)			
Paul, 1985 ⁶⁸⁴	1209 women with at least 1 previous CS delivering at a US hospital 1982 to 1984 Exclusion criteria:	Uterine rupture Maternal febrile morbidity	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 4/1000 Elective CS: 4/1000 TOL group: 4/1000	Elective CS rate 38% Emergency CS rate in TOL group 18%	Prospective 3 cohort	
	Multiple gestation Unknown intent for trial of labour	Multiple gestation Jnknown intent for trial of	Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 458): 2 (0.4%); 1.00 TOL (n = 751): 3 (0.4%); RR 0.9 (95% CI 0.1 to 5.4)			
			Febrile morbidity: Elective CS (n = 458): 74 (16.1%); 1.00 TOL (n = 751): 51 (6.8%); RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.6) Hospital stay: 2–4 days (both groups)			
Ngu, 1989 ⁶⁸⁵	1022 women with at least 1 Uter previous CS delivering in Australia 1978 to 1981	revious CS delivering in ustralia 1978 to 1981	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 0/1000 Elective CS: 0/1000 TOL group: 0/1000	Elective CS rate 55% Emergency CS rate in TOL group 40%	Retro- spective cohort	3
			Elective CS (n = 566) TOL (n = 456)			
			Uterine rupture: 0 (both groups)			
Molloy, 1987 ⁶⁸⁶ 2	176 women with at least 1 previous CS delivering in Dublin 1979 to 1984	ous CS delivering in All women with previous CS: 2/1000 in 1979 to 1984 Elective CS: 0/1000	All women with previous CS: 2/1000	Elective CS rate 55% Emergency CS rate in TOL group 9%	Retro- spective cohort	3
			Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 395): 0 TOL (n = 1781): 4 (0.2%)			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Meehan, 1989 ⁶⁸⁷	2434 women with at least 1 previous CS delivering in Ireland 1972 to 1987	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 4/1000 Elective CS: 4/1000 TOL group: 4/1000	Elective CS rate 44% Emergency CS rate in TOL group 29%	Prospective cohort	3
			Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 1084): 4 (0.4%); 1.00 TOL (n = 1350): 6 (0.4%); 1.2 (95% CI 0.3 to 4.2)			
Martin, 1983 ⁶²	5717 women with at least 1	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate 77%	Prospective	3
	previous CS delivering in USA, 1981 to 1982	Neonatal death	All women with previous CS: 4/1000 Emergency CS rate in TC Elective CS: 4/1000 group 38%	Emergency CS rate in TOL group 38%	cohort	
	Exclusion criteria: Prior classical uterine incision Suspected macrosomia	sical uterine d macrosomia presentation	Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 555): 2 (0.4%); 1.00 TOL (n = 162): 1 (0.6%); RR 1.7 (95% CI 0.1 to 18.8)			
	Fetal malpresentation Multiple gestation		Neonatal death: Elective CS (n = 555): 5 (0.9%) TOL (n = 162): 0			
			Elective CS (n = 555): Endometritis: 42 (7.6%); 1.00 Wound infection: 12 (2.2%); 1.00 Haemorrhage: 57 (10.3%);1.00 Pulmonary: 31 (5.6%); 1.00			
			TOL (n = 162): Endometritis: 8 (4.7%); RR1.61 (95% CI 0.77 to 3.36) Wound infection: 3 (1.8%); RR 1.17 (95% CI 0.3 to 4.1) Haemorrhage: 15 (9.2%); RR 1.1 (95% CI 0.6 to 1.9) Pulmonary: 6 (0.4%); RR 1.5 (95% CI 0.6 to 3.5)			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Hadley, 1986 ⁶³¹	75 women with 1 previous CS delivering in USA, 1982 to 1983 Inclusion criteria: No complications of pregnancy One previous low transverse CS Singleton fetus vertex presentation 37 weeks gestational age	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate 53%	Retro-	3
		Apgar scores	All women with previous CS: 13/1000 Elective CS: 0/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL group 20%	spective cohort	
		Postpartum endometritis UTI Wound infection	TOL group: 25/1000 Elective CS (n = 35): Uterine rupture: 0 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 4 (11.4%) 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 2 (5.7%) Postpartum endometritis: 7 (0.2%); 1.00 UTI: 1 (0.03%); 1.00 Wound infection: 1 TOL (n = 40): Uterine rupture: 1 (2.5%) 1-minute Apgar score < 7: 0 5-minute Apgar score < 7: 0			
			Postpartum endometritis: 6 (0.15%); RR 0.75 (95% CI 0.3 to 2.0) UTI: 2 (0.05%); RR 1.75 (95% CI 0.2 to 18.5) Wound infection: 0			
Jarrell, 1985 ⁶³² 60	04 women with at least 1	women with at least 1 Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 15/1000 Elective CS: 15/1000 TOL group: 14/1000	Elective CS rate 53%	Retro- spective cohort	3
	previous CS delivering in USA, 1978 to1982	Apgar score Maternal febrile morbidity		Emergency CS rate in TOL group 34%		
		requiring antibiotics	Elective CS (n = 388):			
		Wound infection UTI	Uterine rupture: 6 (1.5%); 1.00 5-minute Apgar score < 6: 1 (0.2%); 1.00 Febrile morbidity: 19 (2.6%); 1.00 Wound infection: 2 (0.5%); 1.00 UTI: 7 (1.8%); 1.00			
			TOL (n = 216): Uterine rupture: 3 (1.4%); RR 0.9 (95% CI 0.2 to 3.5) 5-minute Apgar score < 6: 7 (3.2%) RR12.6 (95% CI 1.5 to 101.5) Febrile morbidity: 6 (2.8%); RR 1.1 (95% CI 0.4 to 2.9) Wound infection: 2 (0.9%); RR 1.8 (95% CI 0.2 to 12.7) UTI: 6 (2.8%); RR 1.5 (95% CI 0.5 to 4.5)			

Study	Population	Outcomes	Results	Comments	Study type	EL
Eglington, 1984 ⁶⁸⁸	836 women with at least 1 previous CS delivering in	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture: All women with previous CS: 4/1000	Elective CS rate 63%	Retro- spective	3
	USA, 1980		Elective CS: 4/1000 TOL group: 3/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL group 22%	cohort	
			Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 528): 2 (0.4%); 1.00 TOL (n = 308): 1 (0.3%); RR 0.8 (0.1,9.4)			
			Febrile morbidity: Elective CS (n = 528): 178 (33.7%); 1.00 TOL (n = 308): 33 (10.7%); RR 0.3 (0.2, 0.4)			
NSCSA, 2000 ⁴	14,104 women with at least	Uterine rupture	Incidence of uterine rupture:	Elective CS rate 49%	Cohort	3
	1 previous CS delivering in all maternity units in England and Wales May–July	Stillbirth	All women with previous CS: 2/1000 Elective CS: 3/1000 TOL group: 1/1000	Emergency CS rate in TOL group 36%	study	
	2000	Uterine rupture: Elective CS (n = 6904): 8/6358 TOL (n = 7110): 24/6917				
			Stillbirth: Elective CS (n = 6904): 16/6899 TOL (n = 7110): 48/7104			

Evidence tables for 2011 Update

What are the risks and benefits of planned CS compared with planned vaginal birth for both women and babies?

This section was updated in 2020. Please see the NICE website for the updated guideline.

What is the accuracy of imaging techniques (colour-flow ultrasound and MRI) for diagnosis of a morbidly adherent placenta in pregnant women who have had a previous caesarean section and are currently diagnosed with placenta praevia?

Caesarean Section (update) - What is the accuracy of imaging techniques (colour-flow ul	trasound and MRI) for diagnosis of a morbidly adherent placenta in pregnant women who have had a previous caesarean section and are c	22/07/2011 14:22:29
	Specificity % = 68 (95% CI 53 to 83)*	
	+PPV % = 76 (95% CI 63 to 88)*	
	-NPV % = 89 (95% CI 77 to 100)*	
	3D power colour sonography criteria	
	True positive = 38	
	True negative = 29	
	False negative = 0	
	False positive = 5	
	Sensitivity (detection rate %) = 100 (95% CI 100 to 100)*	
	Specificity % = 85 (95% CI 73 to 97)*	
	+PPV % = 88 (95% CI 78 to 97)*	
	-NPV % = 100 (95% CI 100 to 100)*	
	+LR = 6.80 (95% CI 3.02 to 15.27)*	
	-LR = NC	

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Test characteristics	Outcome measures to be used	Results	Reviewer comment
Authors Warshak, C.R., Eskander, R., Hull, A.D., Scioscia, A.L., Mattrey, R.F., Benirschke, K., Resnik, R. Year of publication 2006 Country of publication USA Ref ID 77841 Sub-type Retrospective cohort study Aim of study To determine the precision and reliability of ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in diagnosing placenta accreta		Index Test Colour Doppler and Grey scale ultrasonography. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scans All studies considered to be suggestive but not inclusive underwent MRI evaluation. Reference Test Operative findings +/or histology reports/lab findings and post CS examination	used Sensitivity (detection rate) Specificity Positive Predictive value (PPV) Negative predictive value (NPV) Positive Likelihood Ratio (+LR) Negative likelihood Ratio (-LR)	Diagnostic accuracy for placenta accreta: MRI The mean gestational age at diagnosis with MRI was 28 weeks (range 18-37 weeks ± SEM = 0.71) n = 40 Sensitivity (detection rate) = 88.46% (95% CI 80 to 100) Specificity = 100% (95% CI 76 to 100) +PPV = 100% (95% CI 85 to 100) -NPV = 82.35% (95% CI 56 to 96) +LR = infinity -LR = 0.115 (95% CI 0.039 to 0.33) Total no = 40 True positive = 23 False positive = 0 True negative = 14	Funding Not reported Limitations Both scans performed by registered sonographers and members of the perinatal or radiological faculty interpreted all scans. Not clear if they were blinded to the results of the other scan. Other information The equipment used included Siemens Sonoline Elegra (Siemens, Issaqua, WA) and O Voluson 730 (GE Electronic Medical systems, Milwauke, WI) with 3.5 or 5 MHz curvilinear, sector, and endovaginal transducers. Magnetic resonance imaging scans were performed on Siemens Magnetom Symphot 1.5 Tesla scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions, Malvern, PA) equipped with high performance gradients and phase-array coils. Women were placed on the scan table head first in whatever position they found most comfortable or turned toward a left latera position. If the appearance of the placenta was suspected for

What is the accuracy of imaging techniques (colour-flow ultrasound and MRI) for diagnosis of a mort MRI scans to further		placenta accreta, a
evaluate a positive	False negative = 03	gadolinium enhanced MR
ultrasound scan or because		series was then required. The
the ultrasound findings were	<u>Ultrasonography (colour</u>	dose of the gadolinium used
not conclusive for placenta	<u>Doppler or Grey Scale)</u>	was up to 0.1 mM/kg.
accreta. Two (n = 2) women		
who were unable to tolerate the procedure because of	The mean gestational age at	
claustrophobia were	diagnosis with ultrasound was 25 weeks (range 11-37	
excluded from study.	was 23 weeks (range 11-37) weeks ± SEM = 0.84)	
excluded from study.	WEEKS ± 3LIVI - 0.04)	
	Sensitivity (detection rate)=	
	76.92% (95% CI 60 to 88)	
	Specificity = 96.13% (95% CI	
	93 to 97)	
	DDV 65 240/ (050/ 6) 40 I	
	+PPV = 65.21% (95% CI 49 to	
	78)	
	-NPV = 97.78% (95% CI 95 to	
	98)	
	+LR = 19.9 (95% CI 11.94 to	
	33.15)	
	-LR = Ultrasonography = 0.24	
	(95% CI 0.135 to 0.42)	
	Total no = 453	
	10tal 110 - 455	
	True positive = 30	
	The positive of	
	False positive = 16	
	True negative = 9	
	False negative = 398	

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Test characteristics	Outcome measures to be used	Results	Reviewer comment
Authors Twickler,D.M., Lucas,M.J., Balis,A.B., Santos-Ramos,R., Martin,L., Malone,S., Rogers,B. Year of publication 2000 Country of publication USA Ref ID 77837 Sub-type Aim of study To evaluate the use of Doppler colour flow mapping (CFM) in pregnant women with prior CS to predict myometrial invasion when the implantation site was in potential proximity to a hysterectomy scar.	Inclusion Criteria Women with diagnosis of anterior low lying placenta and placenta praevia who had a previous CS were included in the study Exclusion Criteria Pregnant women with posterior or fundal placenta were excluded Demographics - Total Total N = 215, Women with placenta praevia and prior CS n = 20 Cases Women with a history of previous caesarean section who had third trimester bleeding or who were scheduled for repeat CS (whose placenta was anterior, or praevia or low lying based on transvesical pelvic real time grey scale imaging) were included in the study. Using CFM, measurements of smallest myometrial thickness (SMT) were obtained. The presence of smallest myometrial thickness (SMT) were obtained. The presence of all cases of invasion.	Index Test Real time grey scale imaging Colour flow mapping (CFM) Reference Test Pathology findings	Sensitivity (detection rate) Specificity Positive Predictive value (PPV) Negative predictive value (NPV) Positive Likelihood Ratio (+LR) Negative likelihood Ratio (-LR)	Pathologic and US (CFM) findings in women with prior CS and placenta praevia n=20 CFM diagnosis of placenta invasion (SMT < 1) True positive = n = 9* True negative = n = 8* False positive = n = 3* False negative = n = 0* Sensitivity (detection rate %) = 100 (95 % CI 100 to 100)* Specificity % = 72 (95 % CI 46 to 99)* +PPV % = 75* (95 % CI 50 to 99)* -NPV % = 100 (95 % CI 100 to 100)* +LR = 3.60 (95 % CI 1.39 to 9.26)* -LR = NC	Funding Not reported Limitations No explanation given about how women were identified and recruited for the study. Study period is unknown Other information Colour flow mapping (CMP) was performed using Acuson 12XP (Mountainview, CA) 3.5 or 5 MHz curved linear transducers.

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Test characteristics	Outcome measures to be used	Results	Reviewer comment
Authors Masselli,G., Brunelli,R., Casciani,E., Polettini,E., Piccioni,M.G., Anceschi,M., Gualdi,G. Year of publication 2008 Country of publication Italy Ref ID 77785 Sub-type Aim of study To compare the value of pelvic ultrasound (US) with colour Doppler and MRI in: 1) the diagnosis of placental adhesive disorders (PADs) 2) the definition of the degree of placenta invasiveness 3) determining the topographic correlation between the diagnosis images and the surgical result	Inclusion Criteria Women with a high risk of abnormal placental implantation due to placenta praevia and at least one previous CS Exclusion Criteria Not reported Demographics - Total Total N = 50 Cases Cases = Women referred for detailed colour Doppler and MRI between March 2006 to June 2007 with a diagnosis of placenta praevia and at least one previous CS (n=56). Fifty (n = 50) women, who had all information regarding clinical and pathological diagnosis available, were included in the study All pelvic ultrasonography scans were performed by registered sonographers. Images were interpreted prospectively by two reviewers who were blinded to result of the US and pathological examination. Inter-observer agreement was assessed using K - statistics.		Sensitivity Specificity Positive Predictive value (PPV) Negative predictive value (NPV) Positive Likelihood Ratio (+LR) Negative likelihood Ratio (-LR)	Total n= 50 Normally attached placenta n = 38 Clinical and pathological confirmation of PAD n= 12 Identification of placenta accreta: Mean gestational age at the diagnosis = 30 weeks (range of 20 - 37 weeks) MRI True positive = n = 12 True negative = n = 38 False positive = n = 0 False negative = n = 0 Sensitivity (detection rate) = 100% (n = 12/12, 95% CI 86 to 100) Specificity = 100% (n = 38/38, 95% CI 90 to 100) +PPV = 100% (n = 12/12, 95% CI 88 to 100)	Funding Not reported Limitations Other information All ultrasonography scans were performed using Siemens Sonoline Elegra (Siemens, Issaqua, Wash.) US equipment. MRI was performed on a Siemens Magneton Avanto 1.5 T scanner (Siemens Medical Solusion, Malvern, Pa) equipped with high performance gradients and phase array coils. Women were supine, with feet entering the magnet bore first to minimize feeling of claustrophobia

All true positive and negative diagnoses were confirmed by pathologic examination.

The US Doppler and MRI

US Doppler

Negative n = 39

sarean Section (update)	e) - What is the accuracy of imaging techniques (colour-flow ultrasound and MRI) for diag were performed in the same	gnosis of a morbidly adherent placenta in pregnant women who have had a previous caesarean section and are c 22/07/2011 14:
	day for all women.	
		increta n = 1
		percreta n = 2
		MRI_
		Negative n = 38
		accreta n=7
		increta n = 2
		percreta n = 3
		Surgery and pathology
		Negative n = 38
		accreta n= 7
		increta n = 2
		percreta n = 3
		Evaluating of topographic areas of placenta invasion (S1 is the uterine sector bordering the upper
		posterior bladder wall and S2 is the uterine sector
		adjacent to the lower
		posterior wall) using US Doppler and MRI:
		Doppier and wiki.

an Section (update) - What is the accuracy of imagi	ng techniques (colour-flow ultrasound and MRI) for di	agnosis of a morbidly adherent placenta in preg	gnant women who have had a previous caesarean section and are c	22/07/2011
			<u>US Doppler</u>	
			S1 = 8	
			S2 = 4	
			MRI	
			S1 = 5	
			S2 = 7	
			Surgery and pathology	
			S1 = 5	
			S2 = 7	

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Test characteristics	Outcome measures to be used	Results	Reviewer comment
Authors Comstock, C.H., Love, J.J., Jr., Bronsteen, R.A., Lee, W., Vettraino, I.M., Huang, R.R., Lorenz, R.P. Year of publication 2004 Country of publication USA Ref ID 106230 Sub-type Prospective cohort study Aim of study To determine whether ultrasonography can detect placenta accreta reliably in at-risk patients.	Inclusion Criteria All women with a previous caesarean delivery and an anterior placenta or placenta praevia. Exclusion Criteria Not reported Demographics - Total Total n = 2002 with prior CS, and with either placenta praevia or low anterior placenta. In n = 33/2002 cases ultrasound findings were suspicious for placenta accreta (noted on at least 1 scan) Cases All women with a previous CS who were seen for a fetal ultrasound examination between March 1990 and August 2002 were asked to participate in the study. Participating women were evaluated prospectively at each visit for sonographic signs of placenta accreta Diagnostic criteria that suggested placenta accreta, increta, or percreta included ≥ 1 of the following situations: interruption of the posterior bladder wall-uterine interface, absence of the	Index Test Transvaginal ultrasound, all examinations were recorded on videotape Reference Test Pathological findings in a hysterectomy specimen that demonstrated trophoblast directly in contact or invading myometrium		Diagnostic accuracy of transvaginal ultrasound in diagnosis of placenta accreta at 15 to 20 weeks gestation Ultrasound examinations performed between 15 and 20 weeks of gestation Any criteria Sensitivity = 86% (n = 12/14) Positive predictive value = 63% (12/19) Diagnostic accuracy of transvaginal ultrasound in diagnosis of placenta accreta at 15 to 40 weeks gestation Ultrasound examinations performed between 15 and 40 weeks of gestational age Any criteria Sensitivity = 100% PPV = 48% (15/31) Sensitivity and positive	Funding Not reported Limitations No information is provided for negative cases (true negative and false negative) therefore the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound cannot be fully evaluated. Other information The equipments included scanners (Acuson 128 XP and Sequoia, Acuson Corporation, Mountainview, Calif), (Voluson 730 and 530D; General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee Wis), (Aloka 650; Corometrics Ultrasound Medica Systems, Wallingford, Conn), and (Phillips platinum; Phillips Medical Systems, Santa Ana, Calif)

	weeks gestation	
	≥ 2 Criteria	
	Sensitivity = 80%	
	PPV = *86%	
	<u>Lacunae</u>	
	Sensitivity = 93%	
	PPV = 93%	
	<u>Clear space (isolated)</u>	
	Sensitivity = 7%	
	PPV = 6%	
	Clear space (with other)	
	Sensitivity = 73%	
	PPV = 85%	
	<u>Bladder serosa wall</u>	
	Sensitivity = 20%	
	PPV = 75%	

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Test characteristics	Outcome measures to be used	Results	Reviewer comment
Authors Woodring, T.C., Klauser, C.K., Bofill, J.A., Martin, R.W., Morrison, J.C. Year of publication 2011 Country of publication USA Ref ID 109386 Sub-type Retrospective cohort study Aim of study To determine the accuracy of ultrasound and colour flow Doppler to diagnose placenta accreta	Inclusion Criteria Women with obsteric sonography or colour flow Doppler suspicious for placenta accreta or its variants were reviewed for a 64 month period. Exclusion Criteria Not reported Demographics - Total 12 cases with suspected placenta accreta Cases The ultrasound images of all women consistent with signs of placenta accreta (concomitant praevia, numerous vascular lacunae, absent lower uterine segment between bladder-placenta, turbulent or complicated blood flow at the uteroplacental interface) were reviewed for clinical characteristics. In addition, data regarding neonatal outcomes was collected. Over a 64 month period there were 15,420 birth and 26 were coded as ICD-9 (International Classification of Diseases) criteria. Of the 12 cases the mean maternal age was 27 ± 5.6	Index Test Sonography or colour flow Doppler Reference Test The gold standard for the diagnosis of placenta accreta was the clinical findings at the time of the surgery and the analysis of specimens submitted for pathological examination.		Over 64 months, 12 cases with suspected placenta accreta by ultrasound were studied. The median gestational age at first diagnosis was 25 weeks and 92% had a praevia, while all had at least one previous caesarean delivery. At surgery, 83% (10/12) had an adherent placenta requiring hysterectomy (eight accreta, one increta, and one percreta). There were two false positives (one complete praevia, one low-lying placenta with vasa praevia). n = 9/12 women (75%) required blood transfusions due to a mean hematocrit nadir of 22.7 ± 4.6% (range 18 - 32%). The mean number of packed red blood cell units transfused was 4.9 ± 4.7 units (range 2 - 17 units). Neonatal outcomes: Mean birthweight (g) = 2423 ± 482 Mean 5 min Apgar score = 8.7 ± 0.5	Limitations Only ultrasounds coded with suspicion of placenta accreta were reviewed, hence no information is provided for negative cases (true negative and false negative). Therefore, diagnostic accuracy of ultrasounds cannot be fully evaluated. Other information The ultrasound and colour flow assessments were performed by one of the three Antenatal Diagnostic Unit physicians and neither the criteria nor the physicians changed over the study period.

years (mean ± SD), mean	Mean cord pH = 7.25 ± 0.05
gravidity was 4.4 ± 1.6, and	
mean parity was 2.8 ± 0.9. All	Need for hysterectomy:
12 women had at least one	10/12 (83%)
CS.	
	Sonographic/colour flow
The mean gestational age at	doppler findings n= 12
diagnosis of suspected	
placenta accreta was 25	Placenta accreta:
weeks, with most being < 24	
weeks.	True positive = 10
The mean gestational age at	False positive = 2
birth was 35.1 ± 2.2 weeks.	· ·
n= 11/12 with antenatal	Positive Predictive Value = 83
suspician of placenta accreta	% (95% CI 62% to 100%)
also had a concomitant	
placenta praevia.	Placenta praevia :
	The findings of concomitant
	praevia were predictive of an
	associated accreta in all
	cases (10/10) when accreta
	was found at surgery and
	confirmed pathologically.
	Likewise, there was
	replacement of lower uterine
	segment by complicated
	blood flow in all 10 cases
	where accreta was
	confirmed.

Does a diagnosis of morbidly adherent placenta using imaging techniques lead to improved outcomes in pregnant women with a previous caesarean section who are currently diagnosed with placenta praevia?

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Full Citation	Sample size	NA	Women with a diagnosis of	Women with antenatal	Limitations
Wong,H.S., Hutton,J.,	Total women identified		placenta accreta or	diagnosis n = 7 (n = 6 had	Small sample size
Zuccollo,J., Tait,J.,	as having confirmed placenta		postpartum haemorrhage or	elective CS and n = 1 had	Other information
Pringle,K.C., The maternal	accreta in 7 year period n		hysterectomy, were	preterm emergency CS	Other information
outcome in placenta accreta:	<u>=16</u>		identified from a perinatal	because of haemorrhage)	
The significance of antenatal			database at Wellington		
diagnosis and non-separation	(n= 15 had histological		Hospital (New Zealand).	Women with no antenatal	
of placenta at delivery, New	confirmation n=1 had clinical		Antenatal diagnosis of	diagnosis n = 9	
Zealand Medical Journal,	confirmation by laparotomy)		placenta accreta was made		
121, 30-38, 2008			by ultrasound and/or	Attempted placenta	
Ref ID			magnetic resonance imaging	<u>separation</u>	
61152	Characteristics		(MRI). The postnatal		
	Total population		diagnosis of placenta accreta	With antenatal diagnosis n=	
Country/ies where the study	<u> </u>		in those women identified	2/7	
was carried out	n = 16		was checked against the		
New Zealand			histological findings by the	No antenatal diagnosis n= 9/9	
Study type	Women with antenatal		Pathology Department.	D 0.005	
Retrospective cohort study	diagnosis of placenta accreta			P = 0.005	
Aire of the atual.	n = 7			Total blood loss (litus a mass a	
Aim of the study To examine the effects of an				Total blood loss (litres mean ±	
antenatal diagnosis and the	Women with no antenatal			SD)	
subsequent non separation	diagnosis of placenta accreta			With antenatal diagnosis = 1.4	
of the placenta during the	n= 9			± 1.0	
third stage on maternal				± 1.0	
outcomes in confirmed cases	12/16 had previous CS			No antenatal diagnosis = 3.6 ±	
of placenta accreta.				1.3	
•	11/16 had placenta praevia				
Study dates	in their current pregnancy			P = 0.003	
Lst January 2000 to 31st December 2006	Inclusion Criteria				
Source of funding	Exclusion Criteria				

Women who delivered in the second and third trimester with a diagnosis of placenta accreta or postpartum haemorrhage or hysterectomy who gave birth at Wellington Hospital between 2000 and 2006. Not reported Number of units of blood transfused (mean ± 5D) With antenatal diagnosis = 2.3 ± 2.9 P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis = 5.1 ± 2.9 P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission With antenatal diagnosis n = 1					
with a diagnosis of placenta accreta or postpartum haemorrhage or hysterectomy who gave birth at Wellington Hospital between 2000 and 2006. Not reported No antenatal diagnosis = 5.1 ± 2.9 P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis = 5.1 ± 2.9 P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission	Not reported			l	
accreta or postpartum haemorrhage or hysterectomy who gave birth at Wellington Hospital between 2000 and 2006. Not reported With antenatal diagnosis = 5.1 ± 2.9 P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7					
haemorrhage or hysterectomy who gave birth at Wellington Hospital between 2000 and 2006. Not reported With antenatal diagnosis = 2.3 ± 2.9 No antenatal diagnosis = 5.1 ± 2.9 P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission					
hysterectomy who gave birth at Wellington Hospital between 2000 and 2006. Not reported No antenatal diagnosis = 5.1 ± 2.9 P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9				<u>SD)</u>	
at Wellington Hospital between 2000 and 2006. Not reported P = 0.07					
between 2000 and 2006. Not reported 1		hysterectomy who gave birth		With antenatal diagnosis	
No antenatal diagnosis = 5.1 ± 2.9 P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission		at Wellington Hospital		= 2.3 ± 2.9	
± 2.9 P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission		between 2000 and 2006.			
± 2.9 P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission		Not reported		No antenatal diagnosis = 5.1	
P = 0.07 Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission		-			
Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission					
Emergency hysterectomy With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				P = 0.07	
With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission					
With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				Emergency hysterectomy	
1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission					
1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				With antenatal diagnosis n =	
No antenatal diagnosis n = 9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission					
9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				_, .	
9/9 P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				No antenatal diagnosis n =	
P = 0.001 Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission					
Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				3,3	
Bladder injury With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				P = 0.001	
With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				. 0.001	
With antenatal diagnosis n = 1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				Bladder injury	
1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				<u>Bradder injury</u>	
1/7 No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				With antenatal diagnosis n =	
No antenatal diagnosis n = 1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission					
1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				1,7	
1/9 P = 1.0 ICU admission				No antenatal diagnosis n –	
P = 1.0 ICU admission					
ICU admission				1/3 	
ICU admission				D = 1 0	
				1 - 1.0	
				ICII admission	
With antenatal diagnosis n =				ico autilissioti	
with antenatal magnosis n = 1				With antonatal diagnosis n =	
1/7				1//	
No automatel disensais a				No optopotal diagrantic s	
No antenatal diagnosis n =				ino antenatai diagnosis n =	

sarean Section (update) - Does	a diagnosis of morbidly adherent placenta u	sing imaging techniques lead to improved outcom	es in pregnant women with a previous caesa	arean section who are currently diagnosed v	vith placenta 22/07/2011 14:23:4
				1/9	
				P = 1.0	
				Length of postnatal stay (days mean ± SD)	
				With antenatal diagnosis = 8.6 ± 4.9	
				No antenatal diagnosis = 9.9 ± 9.3	
				P = 0.92	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Full Citation Warshak, C.R., Ramos, G.A., Eskander, R., Benirschke, K., Saenz, C.C., Kelly, T.F., Moore, T.R., Resnik, R., Effect of predelivery diagnosis in 99 consecutive cases of placenta accreta, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 115, 65-69, 2010 Ref ID 77842 Country/ies where the study was carried out USA Study type Retrospective cohort study Aim of the study To compare outcomes in women with a pre-delivery diagnosis of placenta accreta with those in whom a pre-delivery diagnosis was not made Study dates January 1990 to April 2008 Source of funding Not reported	Sample size Group 1: women with diagnosis of placenta accreta before birth n = 62 Group 2: women without diagnosis of placenta accreta before birth n = 37 Characteristics Total population n = 99 No prior CS n = 15/99 (15%) ≥ 2 prior CS n = 52/99 (53%) One prior CS Pre delivery diagnosis n=19/62 (31%) No pre delivery diagnosis n= 12/37 (33%) p = 0.82 Two prior CS Pre delivery diagnosis n=21/62 (34%) No pre delivery diagnosis n=21/62 (34%)	NA NA	Pre delivery diagnosis of placenta accreta was made following the identification of suspicious characteristics on ultrasonography in women with risks factors. If the ultrasound findings were considered definite, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed. Once the prenatal diagnosis of placenta accreta was made, all women were offered a planned caesarean hysterectomy without attempted removal of placenta. The CS was scheduled for 34-35 weeks gestation, after a 48 hour course of betamethasone (to enhance fetal lung maturity). A multidisciplinary team was involved, consisting of perinatology, gynaecologic oncology, anaesthesiology, interventional radiology and neonatology.	Maternal Outcomes Pre delivery diagnosis n = 62 (n=22 required emergency intervention before the scheduled caesarean hysterectomy) No pre delivery diagnosis n = 37 Estimated blood loss (ml ± SD)* Pre delivery diagnosis = 2,344 ± 1.7* No pre delivery diagnosis = 2951 ± 1.8* p = 0.34 *1.7ml and 1.8 ml was reported in the paper, the technical team believe the correct figures are 1700 ml and 1800 ml. Units of packed red blood cell (PRBCs ± SD) Pre delivery diagnosis = 4.7 ± 2.2 No pre delivery diagnosis =	Limitations Information regarding blood loss was obtained from operating report Long study period (18 years) considering the advance of imaging techniques Other information

All women with placenta	
accreta confirmed	
pathologically after having	Neonatal outcomes:
given birth at the University	
of California, San Diego	NICU admissions n (%)
Medical Centre. All cases	
were examined by a single	Pre delivery diagnosis n =
pathologist.	50/62 (86%)
Cases of clinically suspected	
placenta accreta that were	No pre delivery diagnosis
not subsequently confirmed	= 19/37 (60%)
with pathologic examination	
of the placenta and uterus.	p = 0.005
	NICU length of stay (days)
	Pre delivery diagnosis = 9.8 ±
	2.5
	No pre delivery diagnosis
	= 6.3 ± 3.5
	p = 0.13

What is the effectiveness of planned caesarean section compared with planned vaginal birth at the decreasing the mother to child transmission of the virus in pregnant women with HIV, for both low and higher viral load?

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Outcomes	Results	Comments
Authors	Inclusion Criteria	Experimental	Dichotomous	Mother to child transmission	Funding
Islam,S., Oon,V., Thomas,P.	HIV infected women opting	<u>Intervention</u>	Mother to child transmission	rate	Not reported
Year of publication 2010 Country UK	for planned vaginal birth. The offer of the option of vaginal birth was based upon viral load < 50 cells/ml around 36 weeks gestation	n= 23/144 selected to have elective vaginal birth and the rest n=121/144 opted for elective caesarean section.	rate Continuous	Elective vaginal birth (n=23) 0/23	Limitations Retrospective study
Ref ID	Exclusion Criteria				Very small numbers
53216 Design	Not reported Demographics - Total	<u>Methods</u>		Plasma viral load at birth (RNA/ copies /ml)	(underpowered) Non-randomised mode of
Retrospective cohort study	Population:				birth
Aim of study To investigate the maternal	n=144 HIV infected women	The maternal viral load obtained closest to birth and		< 50 copies/ml=14/23 (61%)	Other information
outcome of planned vaginal birth as well as the rate of	attending for antenatal care between June 2004 and June	up to 7 days postpartum was recorded.		50-999 copies/ml =7/23(31%)	
MTCT	2006			>1000 copies/ml= 2/23 (8%)	
Witch		All babies had antiretroviral			
		therapy and none were breast			
		fed. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests were done at 1 month and 3 month and an		Antiretroviral therapy	
		ELISA test was done at 18		HAART = 18/23	
		months.			
				Dual therapy = 2/23	
		Mode of birth definition		Mono therapy = 3/23	
		Planned vaginal birth includes		Wiono therapy = 3/23	
		those started vaginally but		In 10 women retroviral	

	15/23 (65%)	
	0	
	8 women had caesarean	
	section, mainly for fetal	
	distress and failure to	
	progress.	
	7 -0	
	22/23 had spontaneous	
	onset of labour and n=1 had	
	induction of labour. n=21	
	delivered at term (>37	
	weeks), n= 2 delivered	
	around 36 weeks.	
	around 30 weeks.	
	No results reported for	
	women allocated to have	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Outcomes	Results	Comments
Authors Townsend,C.L., Cortina-Borja,M.,	Inclusion Criteria Singleton birth between 2000 and 2006, to women	Experimental Investigation:	Dichotomous Mother to child transmission rate (MTCT)	MTCT rate for women on HAART (all viral loads)	Funding NSHPC Funded by Health Protecting Agency
Peckham,C.S., de,Ruiter A., Lyall,H., Tookey,P.A.	diagnosed with HIV infection before birth and reported to	Factors associated with transmission were explored for	Continuous	-	Limitations
Year of publication 2008	NSHPC (National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood) by June 2007.	singleton births between 2000 and 2006		Elective CS 17/2286 (0.7%)	Observational study
Country UK Ref ID	Exclusion Criteria Multiple birth	Comparisons:		Planned vaginal birth	Relatively small numbers (rare event)
53245	Demographics - Total Population:	Vaginal birth		4/559 (0.7%)	Incomplete paediatric
Design Retrospective cohort study	Total n = 5930	Elective CS		AOR 1.24 (95% CI -0.34 to 4.52), p=0.746	follow-up data Other information
Aim of study To explore the impact of	Study Dates:	Emergency CS		(adjusted for sex and viral load)	Pregnancies in diagnosed HIV-infected women in the UK and Ireland are notified
different strategies to prevent mother-to-child	2000 to 2006	Viral load		Emergency CS	to the National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood.
transmission at a population level	Ethnic origin (n = 5875)	Antenatal antiretroviral therapy (ART)		15/877 (1.7%) (significantly	The infant's infection status is subsequently reported.
	Black African n = 4630 (78.8%)	-		higher compared to elective CS, p=0.027)	
	White n = 775 (13.2%)	Methods:		Unplanned vaginal birth	British HIV Association
	Other n = 470 (8.0%)	Paediatric and obstetric		4/122 (3.3%) (significantly	(BHIVA) guideline at the time of the study advocated the
	Antiretroviral therapy (n = 5760)	information on HIV-infected pregnant women in the UK and Ireland were collected		higher compared to planned vaginal birth, p=0.019)	zidovudine mono therapy and planned caesarean section as an alternative to
	None (declined, diagnosed late or delivered prematurely < 37 weeks) n= 186 (3.2%)	through comprehensive, population-based surveillance (National Study of HIV in		- MTCT rate for women on	HAART for women with CD4 cell counts and pre treatments viral load of less
	Monotherapy n = 712 (12.4%)	Pregnancy and Childhood;		HAART with no detectable viral	

Dual therapy n = 136 (2.4%) HAART n = 4726 (82.1%)	NSHPC). The surveillance scheme ran under the sponsorship of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists.	load (<50 copies/ml) - n=3/2117 (0.1%, 95% CI 0.0 to 0.4%)
Age at giving birth Median 29.8 years, range (26.2 - 33.6 years) Mode of birth n = 5901 Elective CS n = 3368 (57.7%)	"uninfected" if PCR test result was negative after one month and 3 months of age, or they had a negative HIV antibody test after 18 months of age.	Elective C/S 2/1135 (0.2%) Planned vaginal birth
Emergency CS n = 1223 (20.7%) Vaginal birth total n = 1310 (22.2%)	Infants were confirmed "infected" if two positive PCR tests were reported or they had a positive antibody test after 18 months of age. The antepartum maternal HIV	1/417 (0.2%). Two of the infants (one born vaginally) had positive PCR result within 72 hours of birth, suggesting possible in utero transmission.
Planned vaginal birth n = 745 (12.6%) Unplanned vaginal birth n = 176 (3%)	plasma viral load closest to the birth and seven days postpartum were used. Viral load was classified as less than 50 (undetectable). For logistic regression analysis,	MTCT rate for women on HAART with detectable viral load (≥50 and <1000 copies/ml)
Unspecified n = 389 (6.6%) Gestational age n = 5760 At least 37 weeks n =	viral load was log ₁₀ transformed.	- <u>Elective C/S</u> 4/417 (0.8%)
5029 (87.3%) 35-36 weeks n = 360 (6.2%) 32-34 weeks n = 218 (3.8%)	Mode of birth definition Mode of birth was classified	Planned vaginal birth 2/81 (2.5%) p=0.215
32-34 weeks II = 218 (3.8%)	as an elective CS (performed	Two of the infected infants,

(1)				
	Less than 32 weeks n = 153 (2.7%)	before rupture of membranes or onset of labour), emergency CS (performed after rupture of membranes	a positive PC	r elective CS, had R within 72 h (both born by
		or onset of labour, or for obstetric indication) and vaginal delivery (no definition provided).	MTCT (gesta (univariate a	
		Data Analysis Categorical variables were	At least 37 w 45/4383 (1%	
		compared using χ2 test or Fisher's exact tests, means using t-test and medians using Kruskal Wallis test. Logistic regression models were used to obtain odd	• Crude OR 1 35-36 weeks	
		ratios and 95% confidence interval. Comparator	to 3.00)	93 (95% CI 0.29
			to 5.86) Less than 32 7/115 (6.1%)	
			Crude OR 6.2 to 14.17)	25 (95% CI 2.75

	MTCT (gestational age)
	(multivariate analysis, OR adjusted for viral load)
	-
	At least 37 weeks (n=4383)
	• Adjusted OR 1.00_
	35-36 weeks (n=306)
	Adjusted OR 0.49 (95% CI
	0.11 to2.23), p=0.359
	32-34 weeks (n=185)
	Adjusted OR 1.17 (95% CI
	0.32 to 4.29), p=0.816
	Less than 32 weeks (n=113)
	Adjusted OR 6.25 (95% CI
	0.77 to 7.20), p=0134
	In the multivariate analysis
	(n=4084) controlling for
	ART, mode of birth, gestational age and sex,
	each log10 increase in viral
	load was associated with a 2.4-fold increase in risk of
	transmission (AOR=2.41,

aesarean Section (update) - What is the effectiveness of planned caesarean section co	ompared with planned vaginal birth at the decreasing the mother to child transmission of the virus in pregnant women with HIV, for both	22/07/2011 14:24:49
	(paediatric notification not received or pending [82.4%], lost to follow up [11.4%], left UK/Ireland [3.5%] and death [2.7%]).	
	No significant difference was observed between children with unreported infection status and those with known	
	infection status, in terms of maternal HIV exposure, clinical status or mode of birth. More children with unreported infection status	
	were born at less than 32 weeks (p<0.001) to women with a viral load of at least 1000 copies (p=0.061)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Outcomes	Results	Comments
Authors Warszawski,J., Tubiana,R., Le,Chenadec J., Blanche,S., Teglas,J.P., Dollfus,C., Faye,A., Burgard,M., Rouzioux,C., Mandelbrot,L., NRS French,Perinatal Cohort Year of publication 2008 Country France Ref ID 53250 Design Prospective cohort study Aim of study To identify factors associated with mother to child HIV- 1 transmission (MTCT) from women receiving antenatal antiretroviral therapy	Inclusion Criteria All HIV-1- infected women who delivered French Perinatal Cohort study sites (mainland France) between January 1997 and 31 December 2004. Women were included if they received at least one antenatal ART at any time during pregnancy, did not breastfeed and the child's infection status was documented. Exclusion Criteria Not reported Demographics - Total Population: The study population consisted of 5271 women from 77 sites, who received antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy, delivered from 1997 to 2004 and did not breastfeed. Other Details: Infants were confirmed "infected" if two separate positive PCR or HIV RNA or 9PBMC were reported or they had a positive antibody test after 18 months of age. Infants confirmed "uninfected" if	Experimental Investigation: MTCT of HIV: n=5540 women who received ART and did not breastfeed, 269 were excluded for various reasons (incomplete virological data, stillbirths, neonatal deaths), for 117 multiple pregnancies only the first born was included. Overall n=5271 mother-child pairs were enrolled in analysis. Methods: No specific HIV treatment and obstetric care were recommended for the women included in the cohort. The last combination of ART prescribed before birth and the level of plasma HIV1 RNA and CD4 cell count nearest to the time of birth and no more than 7 days after birth, was considered for analysis. Comparator	Dichotomous Mother to child transmission rate (MTCT) Continuous	MTCT rate: univariate analysis of all births (term and preterm) 67/5271 (1.3%) 95% CI 1.0 to 1.6 MTCT rate HIV-1 RNA at birth in all births (term and preterm) <400 copies/ml 19/3256 (0.6) 95% CI 0.4 to 0.9 400-999 copies/ml 3/440 (0.7%) 1000-9999 copies/ml 14/938 (1.5%) 95% CI 0.8 to 2.5 ≥10000 copies/ml 30/440 (6.85%) 95% CI 4.6 to 9.6 p<0.001 MTCT rate: mode of birth all	Funding Supported by the French National Agency for AIDS Research (ANRS), Paris Limitations Observational study Relatively small numbers Management policy in place that could influence the results Other information Based on French national policy, HAART was recommended to pregnant women with viral load >10000 copies/ml in 2002, and to all pregnant women in 2004. Since 2002, elective CS was not recommended for those delivered under HAART with viral load below 400 copies/ml. Data analysis First viral load and prematurity and their relation to transmission were studied independently of one another. The interaction between prematurity and viral load was investigated in stratified

virology test result was negative on two separate samples (of which at least one taken after termination of neonatal prophylactic treatment) or if serological testing was negative after 18 months.

The last combination of ART prescribed before birth was considered for analysis. It

Mono therapy (NRTI, almost exclusively zidovudine)

was categorised into one of

three classes:

Dual therapy (two NRTI, almost mostly zidovudine-lamivudine)

HAART (three or more drugs of any class)

births (term and preterm) (univariate analysis)

Elective CS

n=23/2438 (0.9%)

Emergency Caesarean Section:

18/1046 (1.7%)

Vaginal birth

25/1758 (1.4%)

p=0.13

MTCT rate: women received ART all births (term and preterm)

HAART

30/2513 (1.2%)

Dual-drug therapy

22/1745 (1.3%)

Mono therapy

15/1003 (1.5%)

p=0.77 (chi-squared)

analysis. The assessment made for all births, term births, term birth with viral load of < 400 copies/ml and the validity of linear assumption between transmission rate and duration of ART.

A backward stepwise logistic regression was performed, with child's HIV status as dependent variable.

Mode of birth definition

Mode of birth was classified as vaginal birth (no definition provided), elective CS (no definition provided) and emergency CS (caesarean performed after rupture of membranes or onset of labour).

Section (update) - What is the effectiveness of			
		Viral load < 400 copies/ml (term births)	
		<u>HAART</u>	
		9/1585 (0.6%)	
		Dual-drug therapy	
		_6/938 (0.6%)	
		Mono therapy	
		2/328 (0.6%)	
		p=0.94 (chi-squared)	
		Viral load ≥10000 copies/ml (term births)	
		<u>HAART</u>	
		13/155 (8.4%)	
		Dual-drug therapy	
		_6/105 (5.7%)	
		Mono therapy	
		5/104 (4.8%)	
		p=0.48 (chi-squared)	
		No significant difference in transmission risk observed	

	according to the mode of birth among women who delivered with < 400 copies/ml (crude OR 0.83;
	95% CI, 0.29-2.39; p=0.37) MTCT rate gestational age all birth (term and preterm)
	<33 weeks
	8/122 (6.6%; 95% CI 2.9-12.5) <u>33-36 weeks</u>
	7/563 (1.2%; 95% CI 0.8-1.5) ≥37 weeks
	52/4583 (1.1%; 95% CI 0.5-2.5)
	p<0.001 (Fisher's Exact Test)
	No significant interaction between viral load and prematurity observed,
	however among severe premature birth MTCT rate passed from 1.7% below 400 copies /ml to more

	than 11% for other categories with viral load over 400 copies/ml.
	MTCT rate viral load < 50
	copies/ml (term birth)
	5/1338 (0.4%, 95% CI 0.1-0.9)
	All five (5) infant's mothers started therapy late, between 32 and 33 weeks of pregnancy.
	MTCT rate viral load < 400 copies/ml (term birth) n=2856
	Elective CS
	7/1296 (0.5%)
	Emergency CS
	3/464 (0.7%)
	<u>Vaginal birth</u>
	7/1083 (0.7%)
	p= 0.90 (chi-squared)
	Viral load ≥10000 copies/ml (term birth)

an Section (update) - what is the effectivenes	s of planned caesarean section compared with planned vaginar bill	n at the decreasing the mother to child transmission of the virus in pregnant women with HIV, for both	22/07/2011
		Elective CS	
		10/203 (4.9%)	
		Emergency CS	
		8/86 (9.3%)	
		<u>Vaginal birth</u>	
		5/72 (6.9%)	
		p=0.37 (chi-squared)	
		MTCT in women receiving antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy stepwise logistic regression analysis: (Child's HIV status as the dependent variable, independent variables included gestational age at birth, maternal viral load at birth, maternal CD4 cell count at birth, gender of neonate, mode of birth, ART)	
		All births n=4713 (multivariate analysis)	
		<u>Elective CS</u>	

rean Section (update) - What is the effective	eness of planned caesarean section compare	ed with planned vaginal birth at the de	creasing the mother to child transmission o	of the virus in pregnant women with HIV, for both	22/07/2011 14:24:4
				OR 0.49 (95% CI 0.26 to 0.89)	
				Emergency CS	
				OR 0.81 (95% CI 0.42 to1.56)	
				<u>Vaginal birth</u>	
				OR 1	
				p=0.059	
				Maternal viral load at birth < 400 copies/ml n=2659	
				Elective CS	
				OR 0.72 (95% CI 0.24 to 2.16)	
				Emergency Caesarean	
				OR 0.95 (95% CI 0.23 to 3.89)	
				Vaginal birth	
				OR 1	
				NS	
				Maternal viral load at	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Outcomes	Results	Comments
Authors Boer,K., England,K.,	Inclusion Criteria	Experimental Investigation:	Dichotomous Mother to child transmission rate (MTCT)	MTCT rate among all mother-child pairs (MCPs) with HAART and viral load < 50	Funding
Godfried,M.H., Thorne,C. Year of publication 2010	Pregnant HIV infected women enrolled into the	Association of caesarean section with reduction in risk	Continuous	copies/ml (n=559)	Funding:
Country Eight Western European countries (Italy, Spain, Belgium, Netherlands, UK, Germany, Denmark and Sweden) Ref ID 121777	study from January 1985 to May 2007. Exclusion Criteria Women with elective or emergency CS for maternal indication or premature rupture of membranes (PROM) Demographics - Total	of MTCT - Comparison: Vaginal birth -		Infected infant's mother had HAART treatment started 2 months prior to birth and infant was born at 37 weeks	The ECS is co-ordination action of the European commission. CT is supported by Wellcome Trust Research Career Development Fellowship. Limitations
Design Prospective cohort study Aim of study	Population: Total n = 5238 mother-child	Method:		gestation	Observational study
Aim of study To examine temporal and geographical patterns of mode of birth in the Western European centres of European Collaborative study (ECS), to identify factors associated with likelihood of elective CS birth in the HAART era and to explore the association between mode of birth and mother to child transmission (MTCT).	pairs Study Dates: January 1985- May 2007	was collected at enrolment and during the pregnancy. Laboratory test were performed locally. Maternal CD4 cell count and HIV RNA levels obtained closest to birth were used in the analysis. Maternal HIV RNA measurements have been routinely collected since 1998. Children with a positive virological marker of infection and/or children aged >18 months with persistence of antibody were defined as		Vaginal birth and emergency CS 1/321 (0.31%) Infected infant's mother had HAART treatment started before pregnancy and infant was born vaginally at < 34 weeks gestation. (Note: vaginal birth and emergency CS were combined for this finding; number of women who gave birth vaginally not reported)	Vaginal birth definition includes women who gave birth by CS having planned a vaginal birth and laboured, however these numbers are not reported Other information Guidelines in Western Europe generally advocate the application of HAART and in the case of measurable pre-labour HIV RNA (>50 copies/ml) an elective CS is generally recommended.

infected.	
Child who had never been detected with HIV antibody, virus or antigen, were classified as uninfected. The child was recorded as provisionally uninfected if he/she had a negative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test at > 12 weeks postnatally. In the analysis, provisionally uninfected children were regarded as uninfected.	MTCT among all MCPs with viral load < 400 copies/ml (n=960) (HAART status not reported) Vaginal birth 11/242 (4.6%) Emergency CS 2/147 (1.4%) Elective CS
	4/571 (0.7%)
Mode of birth definition	
Elective caesarean section birth was classified in this study as a CS performed before commencement of contractions or rupture of membranes (included some CS undertaken for urgent medical reasons).	Odds ratio (95% CI), p value Vaginal birth OR 1.00 Emergency CS
Emergency CS birth was classified as a CS performed after commencement of contractions or rupture of membranes. Vaginal birth was defined as actual vaginal birth plus those births where labour started	OR 0.29 (0.06 to1.33), p=0.11 Elective CS OR 0.15 (0.05 to 0.47), p=0.001 - Adjusted odd ratio (95% CI), p

an Section (update) - What is the	effectiveness of planned caesarean section co	mpared with planned vaginal birth at the de	ecreasing the mother to child transmission of	of the virus in pregnant women with HIV, for b	ooth 22/07/2011
				Odd ratio (95% CI), p value	
				No antenatal HAART	
				OR 1.00	
				With antenatal HAART	
				OR 0.12(0.04 to 0.35), p < 0.001	
				-	
				Adjusted odd ratio (95% CI), p value	
				No antenatal HAART	
				adjusted OR 1.00	
				With antenatal HAART	
				adjusted OR 0.15 (0.05 to 0.45), p < 0.001	
				-	
				MTCT among all MCPs with viral load < 400 copies/ml (n=960) (all modes of birth)	
				_	
				Gestational age	
				≥ 37 weeks	

rean Section (update) - What is the effectivene	ss of planned caesarean section compared with planned va	ginal birth at the decreasing the mother to child tra	ansmission of the virus in pregnant women with HIV, for both	22/07/2011 14:2
			9/730 (1.2%)	
			<u>34-36 weeks</u>	
			4/179 (2.2%)	
			<34 weeks	
			5/51 (7.8%)	
			Odd ratio (95% CI), p value	
			<u>≥ 37 weeks</u>	
			OR 1.00	
			<u>34-36 weeks</u>	
			1.83 (0.56 to 6.02), p=0.32	
			<34 weeks	
			6.82 (2.03 to 23.0), p=0.002	
			Adjusted odd ratio (95% CI), p value	
			<u>Term ≥ 37 weeks</u>	
			Adjusted OR 1	
			34-36 weeks	

rean Section (update) - What is the effect	iveness of planned caesarean section cor	mpared with planned vaginal birth at the de	creasing the mother to child transmission o	of the virus in pregnant women with HIV, for both	22/07/2011 14:
				2.21 (0.64 to 7.59), p=0.21	
				<34 weeks	
				8.47 (1.99 to 36.1), p=0.004	
				MTCT rate in a subgroup of women on HAART with viral load < 1000	
				copies/ml	
				Elective CS	
				3/424 (0.7%) (95% CI 0.15 to 2.05)	
				-	
				Not elective CS (women started labour and gave birth either vaginally or by CS)	
				0/155	
				-	
				MTCT rate in women on HAART viral load ≥ 1000 copies/ml	
				-	
				Vaginal birth (including vaginal births converted	

to emergency CS)
2/310 (0.65%)
Elective caesarean section
11/822 (1.3%)
11/022 (1.5/6)
p=0.64
* Viral load
measurement was available 30
MTCT rate in a
subgroup of women on HAART with viral load <
1000 copies/ml
-
Elective CS
3/424 (0.7%) (95% CI 0.15 to 2.05)
_
Not elective CS
(women started labour and gave birth either
vaginally or by CS)

(5 21 212 according to motify	er to child transmission of the virus in pregnant women with HIV, for both
			0/155
			-
			MTCT rate in women on HAART viral load ≥ 1000
			copies/ml
			-
			Vaginal birth (including
			vaginal births converted to emergency CS)
			2/310 (0.65%)
			Elective caesarean section
			11/822 (1.3%)
			p=0.64
			* Viral load measurement
			was available 30 days before
			birth or one day postpartum

Caesarean Section (update)

What is the appropriate care pathway for women who request a primary caesarean section where there is no obstetric or medical indication?

Bibliographic details	Participant characteristics	Intervention characteristics	Methods	Outcomes and results	Reviewer comment
Authors	Inclusion Criteria	Data collection	Sample size calculation	Maternal outcomes	Ethics Approval
Wiklund, I., Edman, G. &	Healthy women with their first	Cases and controls were	Not reported	_	Research Ethics Committee
Andolf, E.	full term pregnancy were	given a baseline		Maternal hospital stay (mean	of the Karolinska Institute
	included in the study during	questionnaire (see baseline	Recruitment	days)	Informed consent was
Year of publication	gestational weeks 37 – 39.	characteristics).	Cases were identified from	Cases = 3.6	obtained from all
2007	Women were recruited from a		the hospital's theatre	Controls = 2.8	participants.
	hospital which serves a middle	2 days after delivery, the	surgical schedule. 105 cases	p value = 0.001	
Country	and high income area of	women received a second	fulfilled inclusion criteria,		Funding
Sweden	Stockholm	questionnaire regarding	and out of these, 91 cases	Confidence in obstetrician (at	Support received from
		delivery, trust in midwives /	(87%) consented to	2 days postpartum)	"County Council of
Ref ID	This is a report of N=357/545	obstetricians, perceived pain	participate.	Cases = 64/70 (91%)	Stockholm" and "BB
61132	women included in the entire	and birth experience (VAS).		Controls = 99/125 (79%)	Stockholm AB"
	study		2 -3 controls per case were	p value = 0.031	
Design		3 months after delivery, the	consecutively recruited from		
Prospective cohort study	Case group	women received a third	the same antenatal clinic. 29	Confidence in midwife (at 2	
	N=91	questionnaire regarding	(11%) women who planned a	days postpartum)	
Aim:	Women planning and giving	breastfeeding, sexual life,	vaginal birth subsequently	Cases = 80/92 (87%)	
To investigate first time	birth with elective CS	family planning, birth	had an emergency CS and 36	Controls = 213/242 (88%)	
mothers undergoing CS in		experience, signs of	(13%) had an instrumental	p value = 0.068	
the absence of medical	Control Group	depression (EPDS)	delivery.		
indication. The outcomes	N=266			Birth experience (at 2 days	
recorded included their	Women planning a vaginal	Medical details were taken	Analysis	postpartum)	
reason for the request,	birth	from patient notes.	An intention to treat analysis	(Mean Likert scale for	
self-estimated health,			was performed.	"thinkable experience" where	
expectations of birth and	Exclusion Criteria			1 = worst, 10 = best)	
experience of delivery as well	Women with BMI > 30,		T-tests were performed for	Cases = 8.3	
as duration of breastfeeding,	psychiatric illness,		continuous data. Chi ² tests	Controls = 6.7	
re-establishment of sexual	complications during		were performed for nominal	p value = 0.001	
life and postnatal	pregnancy		and categorical variables		
depression.					

Baseline Characteristics	recardan decision (aparate) Trinat le tire apprepriate cart	e pathway for women who request a primary caesarean section where the	To to the operation of the area margarett.		22/01/2011 11/20110
Cases vs. controls, p value Age (mean years) 33.0 vs. 30.4, 0.001 Age (mean years) 33.0 vs. 30.4, 0.001 Cases = 8.1 Controls = 6.6 p value = 0.002 Incomplicated breastfeeding (at 2 days postpartum) Cases = 50/92 (64%) Controls = 162/237 (68%) p value = 0.052 Smoking 9% vs. 7%, 0.097 IVF 13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnard plegnaning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 55% Controls = 81% p value = 0.010 Depression (Edinburgh Postnard plegnaning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 55% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnard) Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnalire.				Birth experience (at 3 months	
Mean Likert scale for "hinkable experience" where 1 = worst, 10 = best 2 = worst, 10	Baseline	e Characteristics		postpartum)	
### Age (mean years) 33.0 vs. 30.4, 0.001 Native Swede 73% vs. 83%, 0.003 University education 63% vs. 73%, 0.097 Smoking 9% vs. 73%, 0.097 IVF 13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 73% vs. 93%, 0.001 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Parenthood education 67% vs. 98%, 0.001 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0	Cases v	s. controls, p value			
Age (mean years) 33.0 vs. 30.4, 0.001 Native Swede 78% vs. 89%, 0.003 University education 68% vs. 71%, 0.097 Smoking 9% vs. 71%, 0.097 IVF 13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 99%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Parenthood education 67% vs. 98%, 0.001 Parenthood education 67% vs. 98%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Depression Lefanguage Lefanguage Postnatal Depression Score In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
Native Swede 78% vs. 89%, 0.003 University education 68% vs. 71%, 0.097 Smoking 9% vs. 7%, 0.097 IVF 13% vs. 33%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women Completed the questionnaire.	Age (me	ean years)			
Native Swede 78% vs. 89%, 0.003 University education 68% vs. 71%, 0.097 Cases = 50/92 (54%) Controls = 162/237 (68%) p value = 0.052 Feature	33.0 vs.	. 30.4, 0.001		Cases = 8.1	
78% vs. 89%, 0.003 University education 68% vs. 71%, 0.097 Smoking 9% vs. 7%, 0.097 IVF 13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001				Controls = 6.6	
University education 68% vs. 71%, 0.097 Smoking 9% vs. 7%, 0.097 IVF 13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 73% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Parenty good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 57% Controls = 67% Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 55% Controls = 67% Perceived Bood health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 55% Controls = 67% Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 55% Controls = 67% Postpartum Cases = 55% Controls = 61% Co	Native S	Swede		p value = 0.002	
University education 68% vs. 71%, 0.097 Smoking 9% vs. 7%, 0.097 Wr 13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Depression [Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women Cases = 59% Controls = 281% Controls = 61% Co	78% vs.	. 89%, 0.003			
68% vs. 71%, 0.097 Smoking 9% vs. 7%, 0.097 IVF 13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh postnartum) Cases = 57% Controls = 248/266 Controls = 248/266 Controls = 248/266 Controls = 248/266 Controls = 25% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.				Uncomplicated breastfeeding	
Smoking 9% vs. 7%, 0.097 IVF 13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Perceived sood health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Cases = 57% Controls = 67% p value = 0.001 Eamily planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.	Univers	sity education		(at 2 days postpartum)	
Smoking 9% vs. 7%, 0.097	68% vs.	. 71%, 0.097		Cases = 50/92 (54%)	
9% vs. 7%, 0.097 IVF 13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Eamily planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 57% Controls = 67% p value = 0.106 Eamily planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.				Controls = 162/237 (68%)	
IVF 13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Eamily planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Eamily planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.	Smokin	g		p value = 0.052	
IVF	9% vs. 7	7%, 0.097			
13% vs. 3.3%, 0.003 Cases = 79% Controls = 248/266 (93%) p value = 0.001				Breastfeeding (at 3 months	
Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Coitus (at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 57% Controls = 248/266 (93%) p value = 0.001 Coitus (at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 57% Controls = 67% p value = 0.106 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.	IVF				
Planned pregnancy 79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 67% p value = 0.106 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.	13% vs.	. 3.3%, 0.003			
79% vs. 90%, 0.012 Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Cases = 57% Controls = 67% Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Eamily planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Cases = 57% Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.				p value = 0.001	
Parenthood education 67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Cases = 57% Controls = 67% p value = 0.106 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.	79% vs.	. 90%, 0.012			
67% vs. 85%, 0.001 Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
Perceived good health 85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.	67% vs.	. 85%, 0.001			
85% vs. 98%, 0.001 Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
Family planning (plans for a sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.		-		p value = 0.106	
sibling at 3 months postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.	85% vs.	. 98%, 0.001			
postpartum) Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
Cases = 52% Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.				_	
Controls = 81% p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
p value = 0.001 Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
Depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.				p value = 0.001	
Postnatal Depression Score) In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
In total, 243 women completed the questionnaire.					
completed the questionnaire.					
29/243 had scores lower that					
				29/243 had scores lower that	

aesarean Section (update) - What is the appropriate care pathway for women who request a primary caesarean section where there is no obstetric or medical indication?				
	the threshold (score of 12). No significant differences between the groups were found (p=0.877).			
	Neonatal outcomes			
	NICU care Cases = 5/99 (5%) Controls = 12/237 (5%) p value = 0.996			

Caesarean Section (update)

What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for unplanned caesarean section?

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Subjects eligible for the study	The study was conducted at	Maternal outcomes	Limitations
Hillemanns, P., Hasbargen, U.,	Total n = 218	were identified from the	the University Hospital		The control group consisted
Strauss, A., Schulze, A.,		central delivery book between	Munich-Grosshadern (a level	Change in haemoglobin (mean	of women who underwent
Genzel-Boroviczeny,O.,	Cases n= 109	1997 and 1998. All emergency	3 hospital with total of	<u>± SD)</u>	intrapartum non-emergency
Hepp,H., Maternal and		caesarean sections were	14,706 deliveries during the		caesarean section due to
neonatal morbidity of	Control n = 109	identified as cases. Controls	study interval)	Emergency CS = 3.6 ± 1.8	failure to progress,
emergency caesarean		were matched for gestational			preeclampsia,
sections with a	Additional Control (Bavarian	age from women		Control group = 3.1 ± 1.6	malpresentation and other
decision-to-delivery interval	registry) n = 1,095,722	who underwent intrapartum			reasons
under 30 minutes: Evidence	Characteristics	non emergency caesarean		p = 0.05	Other information
from 10 years, Archives of	No statistically significant	section due to failure to			The leading indications for
Gynecology and Obstetrics,	differences were observed	progress, preeclampsia,		<u>Blood transfusion</u>	emergency CS were:
268, 136-141, 2003	between the cases and control	malpresentation and other		_	- Abnormal fetal heart (91%)
Ref ID	groups in maternal age, parity,	reasons. A second control		Emergency CS n = 11/109	- Prolapsed cord (21%)
57811	gestational age, smoking	group of women who had		(10.1%)	- Placental abruption (20%)
	during pregnancy and previous	delivered in the state of		Countries 1/400 (0.00())	- No reason could be
Country/ies where the study	CS. The gravidity was higher in	Bavaria during the study		Control group n= 1/109 (0.9%)	identified from the records
was carried out	control than in cases (p ≤ .001)	period was selected from the		n < 0.05	(26.6%)
Germany		Bavarian perinatal registry.		p ≤ 0.05	
Study type	Obstetric characteristic:	Data was collected by		Perioperative morbidity	Failure to progress,
Retrospective cohort study		reviewing the labour, delivery		<u>Perioperative morbidity</u>	malpresentation and
Aim of the study	No statistically significant	and anaesthesia and neonatal		Emergency CS n = 18/109	amnionitis/chrionitis were
To investigate the decision to	differences were observed	records.		(16.5%)	the main indications for CS in
delivery interval for	between the case and control	records.		(10.570)	the control group
emergency caesarean	groups in preterm labour,	Caesarean section was defined		Control group n= 12/109	
section and to compare the	PROM, preeclampsia, IUGR,	as an emergency if severe fetal		(11.0%)	
preoperative maternal and	twin gestation, gestational	distress or clinical maternal		(11.070)	
neonatal morbidity to that of	diabetes and fetal	condition were presented and		p = ns	
intrapartum non-emergency	malformation. Oligo	required immediate caesarean		F	
caesarean section	hydraminous were more	section in the delivery		Uterine / bladder laceration	
	common in cases (p ≤ .05) and	room, referred to as 'crash'			
Study dates	gestational diabetes was more				
1997 to 1998					
Source of funding					
Not reported					

esarean Section (update) - What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for un	planned caesarean section?		22/07/2011 14:27:28
esarean Section (update) - What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for un common in controls (p ≤ .05) Inclusion criteria Cases = All women with emergency caesarean sections Controls = Women who underwent intrapartum non emergency caesarean section due to failure to progress, preeclampsia, malpresentation and other reasons. Exclusion criteria Not reported	caesarean sections (cord prolapse, placenta abruption, severe bradycardia etc) If the decision for caesarean section was made during labour as a result of fetal distress, failing labour or maternal reasons it was classified as intrapartum non-emergent caesarean section. For the emergency caesarean sections, the decision to delivery time was defined as the time interval from the decision to perform caesarean section until delivery. All emergency CS were performed in delivery rooms	Emergency CS n = 7/109 (6.4%) Control group n= 8/109 (7.4%) p = ns Postpartum haemorrhage Emergency CS n = 2/109 (1.8%) Control group n= 1/109 (0.9%) p = ns Postpartum morbidity Emergency CS n = 17/109 (15.6%) Control group n= 16/109 (14.7%) p = ns Intensive care unit Emergency CS n = 11/109 (10.1%) Control group n= 5/109 (4.6%)	22/07/2011 14:27:28
		p = ns	

Standard ferbrile morbidity

p ≤ 0.01
Apgar score at 10 min
(mean ± SD)
Emergency CS = 8.8 ± 1.5
Control group = 9.3 ± 1.0
p ≤ 0.01
Arterial cord pH (mean ±
SD)
Emergency CS = 7.18 ± 0.15
Control group = 7.29 ± 0.07
p ≤ 0.001
pH < 7.10
Emergency CS n = 34/124 (29.3%)
Control group n = 2/124
(1.6%)
p ≤ 0.001
pH < 7.00
Emergency CS n = 10/124
(8.6%)
Control group n = 0/124 (0%)

	Sample size	The caesarean registry was a prospective observational	Emergency procedures were	Maternal complications	Limitations
Hauth, J.C., Landon, M.B., Varner, M.W., Moawad, A.H., Caritis, S.N., Harper, M., Wapner, R.J., Sorokin, Y., Miodovnik, M., O'Sullivan, M.J., Sibai, B.M., Langer, O., Gabbe, S.G., National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network., Decision-to-incision times and maternal and infant outcomes, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 108, 6-11, 2006 Ref ID 59743 Country/ies where the study was carried out USA Study type Prospective cohort study Aim of the study To prospectively audit decision to incision intervals in a large cohort of women undergoing caesarean section for an emergency indication at the multiple hospitals throughout the United States, in order to	Characteristics Maternal age (mean in years): 2 30 minutes = 25 ± 6.7 (13-46) 3 31 minutes = 26.5 ± 6.7 13-47) Race White: 2 30 minutes n= 558 (30.8%) 3 31 minutes n= 269 (27.1%) African: 2 30 minutes n= 788 (43.4%) 3 31 minutes n= 437 (44.0%) Hispanic: 2 30 minutes n= 372 (20.5%) 3 31 minutes n= 219 (22%) Asian: 2 30 minutes n= 29 (1.6%) 3 31 minutes n= 16 (1.6%) Nulliparous	study, conducted between 1999 and 2002 (at the network centre composed of 13 institutions and one coordinator centre). The study was designed to assess several specific contemporary issues related to caesarean delivery. During the study period (1999 - 2001) data was collected on all women undergoing a caesarean section at the participating centres. Data from 13 centres was transmitted weekly by telecommunications link to the data coordinating centre at the George Washington University Biostatistics Centre where they were edited for missing, out of range, and inconsistent values. The edited report was then transmitted to each centre for correction or clarification	defined as those performed for umbilical cord prolapse, placental abruption, placenta praevia with haemorrhage, non reassuring fetal heart rate pattern, or uterine rupture. Detailed information regarding medical and obstetrical history was extracted directly from maternal and infant charts by a specially trained and certified research nurse. The intervals between the point of decision to perform caesarean to the actual skin incision were calculated by a trained research nurses. The decision time was determined from either the physician's or nurse's progress notes and if notes were not available, the time the women was prepped was used as a substitute. The skin incision times were determined from intra operative records.	associated with emergency caesarean section Postoperative endometritis (fever with abnormal uterine tenderness in the absence of another source of infection) ≥ 30 minutes n= 212/1,814 (11.7) ≤ 31 minutes n= 129/994 (13.0) p = 0.32 Wound complication ≥ 30 minutes n= 23/1,814 (1.3) ≤ 31 minutes n= 9/994 (0.9) p = 0.39 Cystotomy ≥ 30 minutes n= 2/1,814 (0.1) ≤ 31 minutes n= 3/994 (0.3) p = 0.35 Bowel laceration ≥ 30 minutes n= 1/1,814 (0.1)	Indications Indications for CS were very different in the two groups. 7% women in DDI < 30 minutes had cord prolapse compared with 0.2% in DDI > 30 group. Other information Emergency caesarean sections were defined to include those performed for umbilical cord prolapse, placental abruption, placenta praevia, haemorrhage, non reassuring fetal heart rate patterns, or uterine rupture There were no significant differences between the two groups (≥ 30) and (≤ 31 min) in maternal age, race, parity, education and proportion who received antenatal care Indication for CS < 30 min n = 1814: Non reassuring FHR n = 1647 Cord prolapse n = 128 Placenta abruption n = 34 Uterine rupture n = 1 Indication for CS < 30 min n

esarean Section (update) - What is the a	ppropriate decision to delivery interval for unpla	nned caesarean section?		22/07/2011 14:27:28
1999 to 2001			≤ 31 minutes n= 1/994 (0.1)	<u>= 994 :</u>
Source of funding Supported by grants from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development	≥ 30 minutes n= 1,115 (61.6%)	p = 1.00	Non reassuring FHR n = 991	
	≤ 31 minutes n= 699 (70.5%)		<u>Ureteral injury</u>	Cord prolapse n = 2
	Education (mean years of education)	education) ≥ 30 minutes = 11.7 ± 2.9	≥ 30 minutes n= 2/1,814 (0.1)	Placenta abruption n = 1
	> 20 minutes = 11 7 + 2 0		≤ 31 minutes n= 1/994 (0.1)	Placenta praevia n = 0
			p = 1.00	Uterine rupture n = 0
	≤ 31 minutes n= 12.2 ± 2.7			
	Received antenatal care		Infant outcomes associated	
	≥ 30 minutes n= 1,778 (98%)	≤ 31 minutes n= 968 (97.4%)	with emergency caesarean section	
	≤ 31 minutes n= 968 (97.4%)		Neonatal Death	
	Inclusion criteria Women who gave birth to a singleton infant weighting 2,500 g or more by primary caesarean, and women who were in active labour, defined as reaching a minimum of 4 cm cervical dilatation (to ensure that all women studied had their emergency event occur in a labour and delivery unit) Exclusion criteria Not reported		With no malformation	
			≥ 30 minutes n= 7/1,814 (0.4)	
			≤ 31 minutes n= 1/994 (0.1)	
			p = 0.27	
			With malformation	
			≥ 30 minutes n= 8/1,814 (0.4)	
			≤ 31 minutes n= 3/994 (0.3)	
			p = 0.76	
			Fetal death in labour	
			≥ 30 minutes n= 3/1,814 (0.2)	

Caesarean Section (update) - What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for unplanned caesarean section?	22/07/2011 14:27:28
	≥ 30 minutes n= 32/1,814 (1.8)
	≤ 31 minutes n= 13/994 (1.2)
	p = 0.26
	<u>5 minute Apgar score ≥ 3</u>
	≥ 30 minutes n= 18/1,814 (1.0)
	≤ 31 minutes n= 9/994 (0.9)
	p = 0.82

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation Holcroft, C.J., Graham, E.M., ina-Mumuney, A., Rai, K.K., Henderson, J.L., Penning, D.H., Cord gas analysis, decision-to-delivery interval, and the 30-minute rule for emergency cesareans, Journal of Perinatology, 25, 229-235, 2005 Ref ID 60225 Country/ies where the study was carried out USA Study type Retrospective cohort study Aim of the study To examine the relationship between umbilical arterial gas analysis and decision to delivery interval for emergency caesareans performed for non reassuring fetal status to determine if this would validate the 30 minute rule Study dates September 2001 to January 2003 Source of funding Not reported	Sample size Total n = 117 Emergent n = 34 Urgent n = 83 Characteristics Of the 145 women who underwent a caesarean section for non reassuring fetal status, 117 met the inclusion criteria. Of the 117 women, 34 were classified as emergent and 83 as urgent There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups (emergent and urgent) in gestational age, neonatal birth weight, spinal and epidural. Women in the emergent group had more general anaesthesia compared with women in the urgent group (p = 0.003). Inclusion criteria All caesarean sections performed for non reassuring fetal status during the study period. Exclusion criteria Non vertex presentation Chromosomal abnormalities Congenital malformations	All delivery records at a single tertiary hospital from 2001 to 2003 were reviewed. The electronic FHR tracing from the hour prior to birth was obtained for each of births, and reviewed by three board-eligible or board-certified maternal fetal medicine specialists blinded to neonatal outcomes. The reviewers then graded each case as either emergent or urgent. An emergent CS was defined as one where the reviewer wished to deliver the infant as quickly as possible. An urgent delivery was defined as one where the reviewer was willing to wait up to 30 minutes. In the event of disagreement, the cases were classified in the group that two of the three reviewers favoured. The Kappa correlation for agreement for these reviewers in classifying the cases as emergent versus urgent was 0.35, which shows fair/moderate correlation.	An emergent CS was defined as one where the reviewer wished to deliver the infant as quickly as possible. An urgent delivery was defined as one where the reviewer was willing to wait up to 30 minutes. In the event of disagreement, the cases were classified in the group that two of the three reviewers favoured. The institution used a computerized FHR monitoring system integrated with a centralised clock. Once the physician made a decision to proceed with an emergency caesarean section, the women were taken off the monitor in the labour room and brought back to operating room. The decision time was designated as the time the women were taken off the monitor in the labour room. The time of incision and delivery were determined from the same centralised clock as used for EFM.	Women in emergent group had more general anaesthesia compared with women in urgent group (p = 0.003) Decision to delivery interval (min) Emergent = 23 ± 15.3 Urgent = 36.7 ± 14.9 p < 0.001 Neonatal death Emergent = n = 1/34 Urgent = n = 0/83 p = 0.64 1 minute Apgar < 7 Emergent = n = 15/34 (44%) Urgent = n = 27/83 (33%) p = 0.24 5 minute Apgar < 7 Emergent = n = 3/34 (9%) Urgent = n = 8/83 (33%) p = 1.0	Limitations The decision time was designated as the time the women were taken off the monitor in the labour room Other information

accarcan cocacn (apacito) Triatio ino ap	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Lack of an umbilical arterial gas		<u>Umbilical arterial pH</u>	
	Those who were not		Emergent = 7.12 ± 0.16	
	monitored for at least 1 hour prior to delivery		Urgent = 7.22 ± 0.08	
			p < 0.001	
			Umbilical arterial BE (mmol/l)	
			Emergent = -8.8 ± 4.3	
			Urgent = -3.9 ± 2.4	
			p < 0.001	
			<u>Cord pH ≤ 7.0</u>	
			Emergent = n = 6/34 (17.7%)	
			Urgent = n= 2/83 (2.4%)	
			p = 0.007	
			Cord BE < -12.0 (mmol/l)	
			Emergent = n = 8/34 (23.5%)	
			Urgent = n= 1/83 (1.2%) p <0.001	
			Intraventricular haemorrhage	
			Emergent = n = 2/34 (5.9%)	
			Urgent = n= 5/83 (6.0%)	

sarean Section (update) - What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for unp	planned caesarean section?		22/07/2011 14:27
		p = 1.0	
		Linear regression of decision	
		to delivery interval versus	
		umbilical arterial pH and	
		umbilical base excess	
		A statistically significant	
		correlation was found	
		between increasing decision	
		to delivery interval and	
		marginally improved	
		umbilical arterial pH (r =	
		0.22, p = 0.02) and base	
		excess (r = 0.33, p< 0.001)	
		These correlations were not	
		clinically significant in	
		predicting when the fetus	
		would develop metabolic	
		acidosis severe enough to	
		increase the risk of long term	
		neurologic morbidity.	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
·					
Full citation Roy,K.K., Baruah,J., Kumar,S., Deorari,A.K., Sharma,J.B., Karmakar,D., Cesarean section for suspected fetal distress, continuous fetal heart monitoring and decision to delivery time, Indian Journal of Pediatrics, 75, 1249-1252, 2008 Ref ID 60814 Country/ies where the study was carried out India Study type Prospective observational study Aim of the study To evaluate whether a 30 minute decision to delivery interval for emergency caesarean section influences perinatal outcome Study dates March 2002 to March 2007 Source of funding Not reported	Sample size Total = 217 women Characteristics Not reported Inclusion criteria Gestational age ≥ 36 weeks, no fetal anomalies and non reassuring fetal heart rate pattern detected by CTG. Exclusion criteria Abnormal presentation Multiple pregnancy Severe intrauterine Growth Restriction (IUGR) Caesarean section for other primary indications	Data was collected from the women in one unit who underwent caesarean section for suspected fetal distress during labour. The DDI was the time between the decision to perform the caesarean and exact delivery time. The data obtained was analysed to correlate the non reassuring fetal heart and DDI with adverse neonatal outcome.	The cause of the fetal distress: n = 18 (8.2%) had thick meconium stained liquor n = 17 (7.8%) had two or more tight loops of cord around neck n = 11 (5.1%) women had retroplacental clot with blood stained liquor n = 171 (78.8%) had no detectable cause or effect of fetal distress	Fresh stillbirth (due to placental abruption) D-D interval ≤ 30 min n = 1/121 D-D interval > 30 min n = nil/96 Mean birth weight D-D interval ≤ 30 min (n = 121) = 2850 ± 340 D-D interval > 30 min (n = 96) = 2760 ± 413 p = ns Mean birth weight < 2500 g D-D interval ≤ 30 min n = 16/121 (14.8%) D-D interval > 30 min n = 11/96 (11.4%) p = ns Apgar score < 7 at 5 min D-D interval ≤ 30 min n = 18/121 (14.8%) D-D interval ≤ 30 min n = 18/121 (14.8%)	

esarean Section (update) - What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for unplanned caesarean section?	22/07/2011 14:27:
	D-D interval ≤ 30 min n = 10/26
	D-D interval > 30 min n = 3/7
	Moderate birth asphyxia (Apgar score <7 at 5 min)
	D-D interval ≤ 30 min n = 8/26
	D-D interval > 30 min n = 2/7
	TTN (transient tachpynea of newborn) for observation
	D-D interval ≤ 30 min n = 8/26
	D-D interval > 30 min n = 2/7

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation Thomas,J., Paranjothy,S., James,D., National cross sectional survey to determine whether the decision to delivery interval is critical in emergency caesarean section, BMJ, 328, 665-, 2004 Ref ID 61005 Country/ies where the study was carried out UK Study type Retrospective observational study Aim of the study To examinethe association between decision to delivery interval and neonatal and maternal outcomes Study dates 1st May 2000 to 31st July 2000 Source of funding NICE (National Institute for Clinical Excellence)	Sample size Grade 1) Immediate threat to the life of the woman or fetus (n = 4622) Grade 2) Maternal or fetal compromise not immediately life threatening (n = 9122) Grade 3) No maternal or fetal compromise but early delivery needed (n = 347) Total n = 17,780: ≤ 15 min n = 1381 16 -30 min n = 2577 31 - 45 min n = 3589 46 - 60 min n = 3261 61 - 75 min n = 1865 > 75 min n = 3891 Characteristics Not reported Inclusion criteria Singletons delivered by emergency CS Exclusion criteria Multiple pregnancies	The data for the study was obtained from the national sentinel caesarean section audit. The audit was designed to accurately measure caesarean rates and to assess the quality of care given to women having caesarean section in England and Wales.	The decision to delivery interval is defined as the interval in minutes from the date and time of decision to carry out the caesarean section to the date and time of birth of baby Urgency of caesarean section: Grade 1) Immediate threat to the life of the woman or fetus Grade 2) Maternal or fetal compromise not immediately life threatening Grade 3) No maternal or fetal compromise but early delivery needed Grade 4) Delivery timed to suit the woman and staff	Association between decision to delivery interval and maternal and neonatal outcomes: Maternal outcomes: Maternal requirement for special care ≤ 15 min n = 194 (14.1%) adjusted OR 1 16 - 30 min n = 301 (11.7%) adjusted OR 0.8 (95% CI 0.7 to 1.1) 31 - 45 min n = 361 (10.1%) adjusted OR 0.9 (95% CI 0.8 to 1.2) 46 - 60 min n = 277 (8.5%) adjusted OR 0.9 (95% CI 0.7 to 1.1) 61 - 75 min n = 197 (10.6%) adjusted OR 1.1 (95% CI 0.8 to 1.4) > 75 min n = 752 (19.4%) adjusted OR 1.5 (95% CI 1.2 to 1.8) Neonatal outcomes: Stillbirth	Limitations Regression analysis was not able to control bias. Other factors associated with adverse neonatal outcome, e.g. gestation and failed instrumental delivery, were not considered Other information Perceived urgency was classified as grade I for 26 % (n=4622), grade 2 for 51.3% (n = 9122), and grade 3 for 20.8% (n = 3689). The most common indications for emergency CS were presumed fetal compromise, intrauterine growth retardation or an abnormal cardiogram (35%), and failure to progress (32%). Presumed fetal compromise was the primary indication (66%) with more cases with grade I urgency.

to 0.4)

Section (update) - What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for unp	nanned caesarean section?	22/07/20
		n = 46 (0.5%) adjusted OR 0.8 (95% CI 0.4 to 1.9)
		Urgent, life threatening
		n = 115 (2.6%) adjusted OR 1.6 (95% CI 0.6 to 4.0)
		5 minute Apgar score < 7
		Need early delivery
		n = 31 (0.9%) adjusted OR 1
		Urgent, not life threatening
		n = 189 (2.6%) adjusted OR 1.7 (95% CI 1.1 to 2.6)
		Urgent, life threatening
		n = 352 (7.9%) adjusted OR 2.9 (95% CI 1.8 to 4.8)
		*Data was adjusted for the primary indication for CS, cardiotocography findings, grade of urgency, and type of anaesthesia

sarean Section (update) - What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for unplanned caesarean section?					
Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation Chauleur, C., Collet, F., Furtos, C., Nourrissat, A., Seffert, P., Chauvin, F., Identification of factors influencing the decision-to-delivery interval in emergency caesarean sections, Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation, 68, 248-254, 2009 Ref ID 92326 Country/ies where the study was carried out France Study type Retrospective cohort study Aim of the study To investigate decision to delivery intervals with regard to the compliance with the recommended intervals and their influencing factors Study dates 1st September to 1st November 2007 Source of funding The study was supported by the University Hospital of Saint Etienne, Saint-Etienne (France)	Sample size Total n = 68 women with emergency caesarean section (EmCS) Class 1 (Extremely urgent CS) + Class 2 (Urgent CS) n = 34 Class 3 (Non urgent CS) n = 34 Neonatal outcomes were reviewed for 71 babies (3 twins) Characteristics Univariate analysis of DDI of 68 CS: There were no statistically significant differences observed in decision to delivery interval (min) with regards to maternal gravidity (1 and >1), parity (1 and >1), gestational age at delivery (≤36 weeks and >36) and outside standard working hours (yes and no). Women who were hospitalised in the pathological pregnancy unit had longer DDI compared with women who were in the labour ward on the same hospital floor (p = 0.03) Inclusion criteria All emergency caesarean sections performed during the study period	Data for the study was collected from a clinical audit which was carried out in Saint-Etienne University Hospital. All emergency caesarean sections performed during the study period were included.	All files concerning an emergency CS performed during the study period were reviewed, and 68 women were identified for study inclusion. Class 1 and class 2 CS were combined in one group (n = 34) and the remaining 34 women were classified as class 3 CS.	Apgar score total n = 70 DDI > 30 min: $<7 = n = 2 (0.04\%)$ $\ge 7 = n = 43 (0.96\%)$ DDI < 30 min: $<= n = 0(0\%)$ $\ge 7 = n = 25 (100\%)$ $p = 0.53$ Lactates n = 54 DDI > 30 min: $<6 = n = 31 (0.89\%)$ $\ge 6 = n = 4 (0.11\%)$ DDI < 30 min: $<6 = n = 15 (0.79\%)$ $\ge 6 = n = 4 (0.21\%)$ $p = 0.43$ pH DDI > 30 min: $<7.10 = n = 1 (0.03\%)$	Limitations No definition for DDI given Indication for CS not specified Other information The classification of the CS was retrospectively done by 3 obstetricians who were among the authors of this article. Three classes of CS were defined as: Extremely urgent = class 1 - imminent threat to life (extraction of infant within 15 min) Urgent = class 2 - short term threat to life (extraction of infant within 30 min) Non-urgent = class 3 - no threat to life (extraction of infant with >30 min)

randar delicit (apaato) what is the app	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T		
	Exclusion criteria Not reported		>7.10 = n = 36 (0.97%)	
			DDI < 30 min:	
			≤7.10 = n = 2 (0.11%)	
			>7.10 = n = 17 (0.89%)	
			p = 0.26	
			Paediatric reanimation	
			DDI > 30 min:	
			No = n = 27(0.59%)	
			Yes = n = 19 (0.41%)	
			DDI < 30 min:	
			No = n = 17(0.68%)	
			Yes = n = 8 (0.32%)	
			p = 0.44	
			Paediatric reanimation unit	
			DDI > 30 min:	
			No = n = 35(0.76%)	
			Yes = n = 11(0.24%)	
			DDI < 30 min:	
			No = n = 24 (0.96%)	
			Yes = n = 1 (0.04%)	
			p = 0.46	

sarean Section (update) - What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for unplanned caesarean section?						
Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments	
Full citation Hillemanns,P., Strauss,A., Hasbargen,U., Schulze,A., Genzel-Boroviczeny,O., Weninger,E., Hepp,H., Crash emergency cesarean section: decision-to-delivery interval under 30 min and its effect on Apgar and umbilical artery pH, Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 273, 161-165, 2005 Ref ID 92387 Country/ies where the study was carried out Germany Study type Retrospective cohort study Aim of the study To examine the effect of decision to delivery interval of crash emergency caesarean section on Apgar and umbilical artery pH Study dates 1988 to 1997 Source of funding Not reported	Sample size All crash CS n =109 < 32 weeks gestation n = 33 ≥ 32 weeks gestation n = 49 Characteristics Not reported Inclusion criteria Women with crash emergency CS Exclusion criteria Not reported	One hundred and nine (n =109) crash emergency CS were performed during the 10 year study period in a level 3 hospital (17,706 delivery per year). The crash emergency operations were performed in the delivery rooms (all delivery rooms were fully equipped with the necessary anaesthetic equipment and emergency pack). All emergency CS were performed within the 30 minute interval. The median time was 10 minutes (mean ± SD = 11.4 ± 5.2).	The decision for emergency CS was usually made by a resident. The time point was documented by the midwife, marked on the electrocardiogram paper, and defined the beginning of decision to delivery time. The consultant had to confirm the indication and perform the emergency CS under general anaesthesia unless loco-regional anaesthesia was already in place. Surgery was conducted in sub-optimal sterile condition (no shaving, no scrubbing of obstetrician, quick disinfection of the abdomen, bladder drainage, and broad spectrum antibioprophylaxis).	Relation between the umbilical cord arterial blood pH and decision to delivery time: Correlation coefficient r = 0.36 p> 0.05 (ns) Relation between the Apgar score and decision to delivery time: Emergency caesarean sections performed within 19 min presented with lower Apgar values after 1, 5, and 10 min than those required 20 min or more (p = 0.003, 0.003 and 0.01, respectively)	Limitations n = 33 (30.3%) of the emergency CS had a gestational age < 32 weeks and n= 60 (55%) below 37 weeks. Other information The CS were classified as emergency if severe fetal distress or critical maternal condition were anticipated and required immediate delivery by operation in the delivery room, referred to "crash" caesarean sections. The indication for all emergency CS n = 109: - Abnormal fetal heart n = 99 (20.28%) - Placenta abruption n = 22 (90.8%) - Cord prolapse n = 23 (21.1%) - Failure to progress n = 17 (90.8%) - Malpresentation n = 12 (11%) - Other (preeclampsia, placenta praevia, amnionitis, fetopelvic disproportion, epidural complication, failed operative vaginal delivery) n = 21 (19.2%) n= 33 (30.3%) of the emergency CS had a gestational age < 32 weeks and n= 60 (55%) below 37 weeks.	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation Kolas, T., Hofoss, D., Oian, P., Predictions for the decision-to-delivery interval for emergency cesarean sections in Norway, Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 85, 561-566, 2006 Ref ID 92419 Country/ies where the study was carried out Norway Study type Prospective cohort study Aim of the study To identify factors that influence the decision to delivery intervals in emergency caesarean sections. Study dates 1st December 1998 to 1st July 1999 Source of funding Not reported	Sample size n = 1,511 emergency caesarean sections (n = 1,297 acute, n = 214 urgent) Characteristics Women in the two groups (acute and urgent) were comparable in age, BMI, parity and also in neonatal birth weight and gestational age. Inclusion criteria All women with emergency CS Exclusion criteria Not reported	Prospective registration of all emergency caesareans was provided by 24 maternity units (18 level 2 with 400 - 1500 delivery per year and 6 level 3 units with > 1500 delivery per year) during the study period. 1,767 emergency singleton caesarean section were registered. However, in 256 cases information about DDI was not provided; therefore n = 1,511 emergency caesarean section included. Data for the study was obtained from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN) that routinely collects information about all deliveries.	A registration form was designed for the study. The form gave detailed information about medical and obstetric history, complications during the pregnancy, the operation, and perinatal events. The clinicians filled in the form for every emergency caesarean section done and the MBRN entered the information into the database. The clinician that reported the data was directly involved in the decision making process for the emergency operation. Women in the two groups (acute and urgent) were comparable in age, BMI, parity and also in neonatal birth weight and gestational age. For each caesarean section, the clinicians specified the indication by ticking a list of 31 pre-specified indications. Fetal distress, abruptio placentae and umbilical cord prolapse were statistically significantly higher than any other indication listed in the form.	Decision to delivery intervals (DDI) related to NICU admission Total number of cases n = 1,480 (Preterm n = 284 Term n = 1,200) Transfers to NICU (preterm): ALL = 85.8 % DDI < 15 min (total cases n = 39/41) = 97.4 % DDI 16 - 30 min (total cases n = 38/54) = 84.3% DDI 31 - 60 min (total cases n = 70/86) = 82.9% DDI > 60 min (total cases n = 86/103) = 84.3% p = ns Transfers to NICU (term ≥ 37 weeks) total n = 1200: ALL: 21.9 % DDI < 15 min (total cases n = 70/242) = 29.0 % DDI 16-30 min (total cases n = 87/382) = 23.4%	Limitations Other information All CS performed < 8 hours after the decision for operation were classified as emergency. Emergency sections were divided into acute (those that were performed as quickly as possible after decision was made), and urgent (the decision triggered a set of particularly speedy preparation procedures)

= 22/382) = 5.9 %

DDI 31 - 60 min (total cases n

		= 39/394) = 1.0 %
		DDI > 60 min (total cases n = 4/182) = 2.2%
		p < 0.01
		Apgar score at 5 min < 4 (preterm)
		ALL = 1.5 %
		DDI < 15 min (total cases n = 1/41) = 2.6 %
		DDI 16-30 min (total cases n = 54) = 0
		DDI 31 - 60 min (total cases n = 86) = 0
		DDI > 60 min (total cases n = 3/103) = 3.0%
		p = ns
		Apgar score at 5 min < 4 (term)
		ALL: 1.3%
		DDI < 15 min (total cases n = 6/242) = 2.5%
		DDI 16-30 min (total cases n = 5/382) = 1.3%
		DDI 31 - 60 min (total cases n = 2/394) = 0.5%

ıe	esarean Section (update) - What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for unplanned caesarean section?						
					DDI > 60 min (total cases n = 2/182) = 1.1%		
					p = ns		

sarean Section (update) - What is the appropriate decision to delivery interval for unplanned caesarean section?							
Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments		
Full citation Leung, T.Y., Chung, P.W., Rogers, M.S., Sahota, D.S., Lao, T.T., Hung Chung, T.K., Urgent cesarean delivery for fetal bradycardia, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 114, 1023-1028, 2009 Ref ID 92430 Country/ies where the study was carried out China Study type Retrospective cohort Aim of the study To estimate whether bradycardia to delivery interval was related to adverse perinatal outcome after extremely urgent caesarean section for different cause of fetal distress Study dates 2005 to 2008 Source of funding Not reported	Sample size Total n = 235 Irreversible n = 39 Potentially reversible n = 22 Unknown n= 174 Characteristics There were no statistically significant differences between the three groups (irreversible, potentially reversible and unknown) in maternal age and neonatal birth weight. The median gestation at delivery and percentage of nulliparity in the irreversible group were less than in the potentially reversible and unknown groups (p<0.05). Inclusion criteria Pregnant women who underwent an extremely urgent CS. Exclusion criteria Multiple pregnancies Pregnancies with fetal abnormalities Acute maternal ketoacidosis	Women who gave birth during the study period by extremely urgent CS because of the fetal distress were identified from the hospital Obstetric Specialty Clinical Information System. The medical notes of the eligible cases were reviewed for the bradycardia to delivery interval, decision to delivery interval and umbilical cord arterial blood gas. The causes of the bradycardia were reviewed according to fetal distress and categorized into: 1) Irreversible 2) Potentially reversible 3) Unknown		Bradycardia to decision to delivery interval (BDI) [median (interquartile range)] Irreversible n= 39 11 min (9 -16) Potentially reversible n= 22 16.5 min (14 -18.3) Unknown n = 174 16 min (14 -19) p < 0.001 Decision to delivery interval (DDI) [median (interquartile range)] Irreversible n= 39 10 min (9 -12) Potentially reversible n= 22 11.5 min (10.8 -13.3) Unknown n = 174 11 min (10 -13) p = 0.001 Cord arterial pH [median	Cimitations Other information The study unit had a standard intrapartum management protocol: 1) The routine use of the continuous cardiotocograph (CTG) monitoring 2) The interpretation of the CTG based on the RCOG and NICE Guideline 3) Extremely urgent caesarean section should be prepared for when there was persistent fetal bradycardia (> 110 bpm) for 3 minutes, and should be decided when it lasted for 5 minutes without sign of recovery or when the bradycardia is associated with irreversible conditions like placental abruption or cord prolapse. The definition of the extremely urgent caesarean section used in the study unit was equivalent to the grade 1 of the RCOG classification of urgency for emergency CS.		

ae	esarean Section (update) - What is the appr	ropriate decision to delivery interval for unp	planned caesarean section?		22/07/2011 14:27:28
				Unknown n = 174	
				-0.020 (0.801)	

		lanned caesarean section?			22/01/2011 14:21:20
Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation Nasrallah,F.K., Harirah,H.M., Vadhera,R., Jain,V., Franklin,L.T., Hankins,G.D., The 30-minute decision-to-incision interval for emergency cesarean delivery: fact or fiction?, American Journal of Perinatology, 21, 63-68, 2004 Ref ID 92469 Country/ies where the study was carried out USA Study type Retrospective cohort study Aim of the study To identify whether a 30 minute interval has an impact on neonatal and maternal outcome in cases of emergent caesarean delivery (ECD) Study dates January 1999 to December 2001 Source of funding	Sample size Total: n = 111 Group I (had skin incision undertaken ≤ 30 minutes [median = 16 mins, range = 5 to 30 minutes]): n = 83 Group II (had skin incision undertaken > 30 minutes [median = 38 mins, range = 5 to 57 minutes]): n = 28 Characteristics There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in maternal age, parity, weight or gestational age at delivery. Inclusion criteria All women with singleton gestations between 32 and 42 weeks who underwent emergency CS during the study period Exclusion criteria Not reported	The study was conducted at a tertiary hospital and data was retrospectively collected from women's medical notes. Subjects were identified and categorized into two groups: Group I = decision to incision (D-I) ≤ 30 min Group II = decision to incision (D-I) > 30 min No statistically significant differences were observed between the two groups in maternal age, parity, weight or gestational age at delivery. In group I there were 10 women with the history of a prior CS compared with 0 in group II. 108/111 were performed through transverse incisions of the lower uterine segment. General anaesthesia was performed more in group II (50/83 [60%]) than group II (2/28 [7%]), p < 0.001	The indication for ECD included: no reassuring fetal heart rate patterns, placental abruption, cord prolapse, bleeding placenta praevia, and suspected uterine rupture. The timing of the decision to perform caesarean section, presence of the patient in the operating room, skin incision and type of anaesthesia were obtained from the nursing and operating room records.	Time intervals (min) between the two groups = median (range) Group I = decision to incision (D-I) = 16 (5 - 30) Group II = decision to incision (D-I) = 38 (31 - 57) Group I = decision to operating room interval = 6 (2 - 22) Group II = decision to operating room interval = 16 (5 - 30) Group I = operating room to incision interval (D-I) = 8 (2 - 26) Group I = operating room to incision interval (D-I) = 16 (7 - 44) Maternal outcomes Estimated blood loss (mI) Group I (n = 83) = 1000 (500 - 3500) Group II (n = 28) = 950 (800 - 1700) p = ns	Limitations n = 50/83 (60%) in group I had general anaesthesia compared to n = 2/28 (7%) in group II Other information

Group I (n = 83)= 75 (90.5%)

Group II (n = 28) = 27

p = ns

Umbilical cord venous pH <

7.00 n (%) Group I (n = 83) = 4 (5%)	
Group II (n = 28) = 0 (0%)	
p = ns	
Umbilical cord arterial pH	
≥ 7.20 n (%)	
Group I (n = 83) = 60 (72%)	
Group II (n = 28) = 20 (71%)	
p = ns	
Umbilical cord arterial pH	
7.17 - 7.00 n (%)	
Group I (n = 83) = 18	
(22%)	
Group II (n = 28) = 8 (29%)	
p = ns	
Umbilical cord arterial pH	
< 7.00 n (%)	
Group I (n = 83) = 5 (6%)	
Group II (n = 28) = 0 (0%)	
p = ns	
Seizures n (%)	

Caesarean Section (update)

What is the effectiveness of antibiotics given prior to clamping of the cord compared to antibiotics given after clamping of the cord during a planned or emergency caesarean section?

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Intervention characteristics	Outcome measures	Quality Assessment	Reviewer comment
Authors Nokiani,F.A., Akbari,H., Rezaei,M. Year of publication 2009 Study location Iran Ref ID 57298 Aim of study To determine whether cefazolin administration prior to skin incision was superior to cefazolin administration at the time of cord clamping for prevention of post-caesarean maternal and neonatal infectious morbidity Study type Randomised controlled study	Inclusion Criteria Women with singleton pregnancies delivered by caesarean sections (CS) performed between 8am and 2pm each working day, between February 2007 and March 2008. Therefore, these were mostly elective CS, although some emergency cases were included. Exclusion Criteria Previous CS Confirmation of any systemic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, immune compromised disease, coagulation disorders, heart or renal failure. Febrile state Greater than 18 hours duration since amniotic rupture of membranes	Intervention 2g IV cefazolin in 50ccl normal saline given at 30-60 minutes prior to skin incision and 2g cephazolin given 6 hours after operation. The intervention was performed by one of two investigators; the other investigator performed follow up of women and neonates. Comparison 2g IV cefazolin in 50ccl normal saline given at cord clamp and 2g cephazolin given 6 hours after operation. The intervention was performed by one of two investigators; the other investigator performed follow up of women and neonates.	Maternal outcomes Follow up of women and neonates was performed by one of two investigators; the other investigator performed the intervention. Outcomes were assessed by a single obstetrics and gynaecology resident following caesarean section. 1) Surgical site opening Definition: wound dehiscence before incision intervention group = 0/196 (0%) after clamping comparison group = 1/91 (1.1%) p value = not estimable 2)Total maternal fever before incision intervention group = 10/196 (5.1%) after clamping comparison group = 3/91 (3.3%) p value = 0.761 3) Maternal fever at day 2 before incision intervention group = 9/196 (4.6%) after clamping comparison group = 3/91 (3.3%) p value = 0.756 4) Maternal fever at day 40	Limitations Allocation concealment: Unclear Participants blinded to intervention: No Carers blinded to intervention: No Investigators blinded to intervention: Unclear, single assessor Number of participants not completing treatment: None Number of participants with no available outcome data: None Selective outcome reporting: No Any other limitations: All subjects received 2g cefazolin 6 hours postoperatively (tend to reduce effect size), significantly more women undergoing elective surgery in the "before incision" intervention group (179/196, 91.3%) compared to the "post clamping" comparison group (74/91, 81.3%) (p = 0.015) Indirectness Population: None Intervention: None	Funding Not reported Other information Informed consent given by women: Yes Sample size calculation: Not reported Ethics board permission: Medical Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences

Baseline Characteristics

At baseline, there were no significant differences between intervention and comparison groups for mean age, distribution by age group, mean parity. distribution of number of previous births, BMI (range 19-28kg/m²) and fetal gestational age (at least 37 weeks). There were significantly more women undergoing elective surgery in the "before incision" intervention group (179/196) compared to the "post clamping" comparison group (74/91) (p = 0.015)

During surgery, all women received general anaesthesia.

Intervention Group

N = 196

Comparison Group

N = 91

before incision intervention group = 1/196 (0.5%) after clamping comparison group = 0/91 (0%) p value = 1.0

5) Endometritis

Definition: fever, open cervix on vaginal examination and vaginal bleeding

before incision intervention group = 0/196 (0%) after clamping comparison group = 0/91 (0%)

Neonatal outcomes

Follow up of women and neonates was performed by one of two investigators; the other investigator performed the intervention.

Outcomes were assessed by a trained nurse on days 1, 3 and 7.

Sepsis work up was performed by well-orientated paediatrician.

1) Total neonatal sepsis

before incision intervention group = 4/196 (2.0%) after clamping comparison group = 1/91 (1.1%) p value = 1.0 (NCC calculated p = 0.67)

2) Total need for NICU

before incision intervention group = 5/196 (2.6%) after clamping comparison group = 1/91 (1.1%) p value = 0.668

3) Newborn hospitalisation (days)

before incision intervention group = 2.99 ± 0.07 , n=196 after clamping comparison group = 2.99 ± 0.11 , n=191 p value = 0.578

Comparison: None

Outcomes assessed: None

Imprecision

No statistically significant
differences between treatment
and comparison groups for any
maternal or neonatal outcome

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Intervention characteristics	Outcome measures	Quality Assessment	Reviewer comment
Authors Sullivan,S.A., Smith,T., Chang,E., Hulsey,T., Vandorsten,J.P., Soper,D. Year of publication 2007 Study location USA Ref ID 57285 Aim of study To determine whether the administration of cefazolin prior to skin incision was superior to administration at the time of umbilical cord clamping for the prevention of post-caesarean infectious morbidity Study type Randomised controlled study	Inclusion Criteria Women were eligible for inclusion if the estimated fetal gestational age was > 24 weeks and caesarean delivery was required at the tertiary care center Exclusion Criteria Cephalosporin allergy Gestational age < 18 weeks Exposure to any antibiotic within 1 week of delivery Need for an emergent caesarean delivery Baseline Characteristics At baseline, there were no significant differences between the intervention and comparison groups for mean maternal age, mean maternal weight, parity, race, Medicaid cover, premature delivery (less than 37 weeks, 30/175 [17%] vs. 46/182 [25%]; p=0.08), mean fetal gestational age (37.5 ± 2.8 vs. 37 ± 3.1; p=0.11) and birthweight.	Intervention 1g IV cefazolin in 50cc normal saline given at least 15 minutes prior to skin incision and 50cc IV normal saline given at time of cord clamping Infusion bags including cefazolin or placebo were identical in appearance Surgery performed by resident physicians, giving a longer than average surgery time (infection risk factor) Comparison 50cc IV normal saline given at least 15 minutes prior to skin incision and 1g IV cefazolin in 50cc normal saline given at time of cord clamping Infusion bags including cefazolin or placebo were identical in appearance Surgery performed by resident physicians giving a	Maternal outcomes 1) Total infectious morbidity Includes endomyometritis, wound infection, haematoma/seroma, pyelonephritis and pneumonia (definitions given) before incision intervention group = 8/175 (4.5%) after clamping comparison group = 21/182 (11.5%) RR 0.4 (95% CI 0.18 to 0.87) (NCC calculated RR 0.39) Adjusted OR 0.35 (95% CI 0.14 to 0.82) OR adjustment made during logistic regression for 6 unspecified demographic and clinical variables associated with infectious risk. 2) Wound infection Definition: purulent discharge, erythema and induration of the incision site before incision intervention group = 5/175 (3%) after clamping comparison group = 10/182 (5%) RR 0.52 (95% CI 0.18 to 1.5) Adjusted OR 0.4 (95% CI 0.14 to 1.3) OR adjustment made during logistic regression for 6 unspecified demographic and clinical variables associated with infectious risk. 3) Endomyometritis Definition: maternal fever greater than 100.4° F on two separate occasions, along with fundal tenderness, tachycardia or leukocytosis	Limitations Allocation concealment: Yes, random number table used by pharmacy staff to generate sequence Participants blinded to intervention: Yes Carers blinded to intervention: Yes Investigators blinded to intervention: Yes Number of participants not completing treatment: 8 (3 from intervention group, 5 from comparison group) Number of participants with no available outcome data: None, data found for all treatment non-completers Selective outcome reporting: No Any other limitations: No Indirectness Population: Tertiary center for high risk group (see baseline characteristics) Intervention: None Comparison: None Outcomes assessed: None - definitions given for outcomes assessed and relevant Imprecision Statistically significant benefit of	Funding Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research Foundation, Medical University of South Carolina Other information Informed consent given by women: Yes Sample size calculation: Power = 0.80, α = 0.05 requires 174 subjects in each arm to detect a 50% decrease in overall infectious morbidity for subjects given pre-operative antibiotic prophylaxis Ethics board permission: Institutional

There were no significant differences between the intervention and comparison groups for the following obstetric variables: indications for caesarean section, diabetes, multiple gestation, pre-eclampsia, estimated blood loss, ROM time, internal monitors, subcutaneous drain insertion and operative time.

The author notes that, compared to the general population, the study population (from a tertiary care centre) was at higher risk. Specifically, women were more obese, and more likely to have diabetes, pre-term delivery, multiple gestation and be of a minority ethnic group. Treatment effects might be diminished in a lower risk group.

Intervention Group

N = 175 mothers

Comparison Group

N = 182 mothers

longer than average surgery time (infection risk factor)

before incision intervention group = 2/175 (1%) after clamping comparison group = 10/182 (5%) RR 0.2 (95% CI 0.15 to 0.94) (NCC calculated RR 0.208) Adjusted OR 0.22 (95% CI 0.05 to 0.9) OR adjustment made during logistic regression for 6 unspecified demographic and clinical variables associated with infectious risk.

Neonatal outcomes

1) Sepsis

Definition: a positive blood culture

before incision intervention group = 6/185 (3%) after clamping comparison group = 7/194 (3%) p value = 0.99

2) Number of NICU admissions

Determined by staff neonatologists blinded to group assignment

before incision intervention group = 25/185 (13.5%) after clamping comparison group = 33/194 (17%) p value = 0.40

3) Mean number of days in NICU

Determined by staff neonatologists blinded to group assignment

before incision intervention group = 14.2 ± 15.8 , n = 185 after clamping comparison group = 19.7 ± 24.9 , n = 194 p value = 0.01

4) Length of stay

Unit of measurement unspecified, determined by staff neonatologists blinded to group assignment.

pre-clamp antibiotics for maternal outcomes Statistically significant benefit of pre-clamp antibiotics to reduce mean number of days in NICU No other statistically significant differences were found for other neonatal outcomes

at the Medical University of South Carolina and the research division of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology (approval #11120 Jan 2003)

Caesarean Section (update) - What is the effectiveness of a	antibiotics given prior to clamping of the cord compared to antibiotics given after clamping of the cord during a planned or emergency caesarean section?	22/07/2011 14:28:49
	before incision intervention group = 6.6 ± 9.9 , n = 185 after clamping comparison group = 8.5 ± 15.8 , n = 194 p value = 0.17	
	5) Intermediate admission	
	No definition reported, determined by staff neonatologists blinded to group assignment.	
	before incision intervention group = 35/185 (19%) after clamping comparison group = 32/194 (16.4%) p value = 0.65	
	6) Sepsis workup	
	before incision intervention group = 35/185 (19%) after clamping comparison group = 36/194 (18.5%) p value = 0.96	

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Intervention characteristics	Outcome measures	Quality Assessment	Reviewer comment
Authors Thigpen,B.D., Hood,W.A., Chauhan,S., Bufkin,L., Bofill,J., Magann,E., Morrison,J.C. Year of publication 2005 Study location USA Ref ID 57297 Aim of study To determine whether the timing of prophylactic antibiotics at caesarean delivery influences maternal/neonatal infectious morbidity Study type Randomised controlled study	Inclusion Criteria Women in active labour who subsequently required a caesarean section Women with GBS were given aqueous penicillin 5 million units IV then 3 million units q 4 hours Exclusion Criteria Acute chorioamnionitis Allergy to penicillin or cephalosporins Caesarean section without labour Administration of antibiotics in the previous 2 week prenatal period Vaginal birth before caesarean section performed 44/346 women were excluded prior to randomisation Baseline Characteristics At baseline, there were no significant differences between the intervention and comparison groups in age, race, gestational age nulliparity, parity, cervical ripening, induction and cervical dilation. Perioperatively, there were	Intervention 2g IV cefazolin given before skin incision and IV placebo given just after cord clamping Comparison IV placebo given before skin incision and 2g IV cefazolin given just after cord clamping	Maternal outcomes 1) Wound infection Definition: tenderness with wound dehiscence, breakdown of surgical edges, and/or purulent drainage with or without an elevated maternal temperature before incision intervention group = 6/153 after clamping comparison group = 8/149 RR 0.84 (95% CI 0.45 to 1.55) (NCC calculated RR 0.73 [95% CI 0.25 to 2.05]) 2) Endometritis Definition: maternal temperature ≥ 100.4°F on 2 separate occasions 6 hours apart, exclusive of the first 12 hours following surgery accompanied by uterine tenderness and/or purulent or foul smelling lochia before incision intervention group = 12/153 after clamping comparison group = 22/149 RR 0.67 (95% CI 0.42 to 1.07) (NCC calculated RR 0.52 [95% CI 0.26 to 1.01]) Neonatal outcomes 1) Total infectious morbidity Includes suspected sepsis, sepsis, pneumonia, UTI, meningitis, and viral syndrome. Definitions given. before incision intervention group = 20/153 after clamping comparison group = 21/149 RR 0.96 (95% CI 0.68 to 1.34) 2) Sepsis	Limitations Allocation concealment: Yes, pharmacy controlled Participants blinded to intervention: Yes Carers blinded to intervention: Yes Investigators blinded to intervention: Yes Number of participants not completing treatment: 44 women excluded prior to randomisation Number of participants with no available outcome data: None Selective outcome reporting: No Any other limitations: Indirectness Population: Population at high risk of infection Intervention: None Comparison: None Outcomes assessed: None Imprecision There were no statistically significant differences between treatment and comparison groups for any maternal or neonatal outcome	Funding Not reported Other information Informed consent given by women: Yes Sample size calculation: Power = 0.08 to detect a 10% difference between the 2 groups with 300 women in total. This was attained due to endometritis and wound infection rates being 50% higher than expected Ethics board permission: Institutional Review Board for the University of Mississippi Medical Centre (IRB #2000-112, Nov 28 2000)

Comparison Group

N = 149

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Intervention characteristics	Outcome measures	Quality Assessment	Reviewer comment
Authors Yildirim,G., Gungorduk,K., Guven,H.Z., Aslan,H., Celikkol,O., Sudolmus,S., Ceylan,Y. Year of publication 2009 Study location Turkey Ref ID 57299 Aim of study To determine whether the timing of antibiotic prophylaxis at caesarean delivery influences maternal and neonatal infectious morbidity Study type Randomised controlled study	Inclusion Criteria Women undergoing elective caesarean section during June 2007 and December 2007 in a tertiary care centre (without any exclusion criteria) Exclusion Criteria Use of antibiotics in the previous 24 hours Pathology needing treatment with antibiotics Pre-existing maternal disease such as diabetes, collagen vascular disease, or immune system problems Chorioamnionitis Fever on admission Need for transfusion before or during CS Preterm CS Baseline Characteristics At baseline, there were no significant differences between intervention and comparison groups in age, gravidity, parity, fetal gestational age, indications	Intervention 1g IV cefazolin in 50cc normal saline given 10 to 45 minutes prior to skin incision Comparison 1g IV cefazolin in 50cc normal saline post clamping	Maternal outcomes 1) Total infectious morbidity No definition given before incision intervention group = 17/194 (8.8%) after clamping comparison group = 23/195 (11.8%) p value = 0.32 RR 1.39 (95% CI 0.71 to 2.69) 2) Overall infectious morbidity Includes febrile morbidity, wound infection, endometritis, UTI, mastitis, septic pelvic thrombophlebitis, and RTI before incision intervention group = 23/194 (11.9%) after clamping comparison group = 27/195 (13.8%) p value = 0.65 RR 1.19 (95% CI 0.65 to 2.16) 3) Febrile morbidity Definition: persistent fever of greater than 38°C for at least 24 hours after surgery, not associated with lower abdominal or pelvic tenderness and with no signs of infection elsewhere. before incision intervention group = 9/194 (4.6%) after clamping comparison group = 7/195 (3.6%) p value = 0.60 RR 0.76 (95% CI 0.29 to 2.09) 4) Wound infection	Limitations Allocation concealment: Yes Participants blinded to intervention: No Carers blinded to intervention: Unclear Investigators blinded to intervention: Unclear Number of participants not completing treatment: 11 (6 in intervention group, 5 in comparison group) Number of participants with no available outcome data: 11 Selective outcome reporting: No Any other limitations: Indirectness Population: None Intervention: None Comparison: None Outcomes assessed: None Imprecision No statistically significant differences were found between the two treatment groups for any maternal or neonatal outcome	Funding Not reported Other information Informed consent given by women: Yes Sample size calculation: Power = 80%, α = 0.05, 197 women needed to detect a 50% difference in postoperative infections Ethics board permission: Not reported

for CS or BMI. Definition: ervthema, swelling, discharge or tenderness before incision intervention group = 6/194 (3.1%) Perioperatively, there were no significant after clamping comparison group = 8/195 (4.1%) differences between p value = 0.59intervention and RR 1.34 (95% CI 0.45 to 3.93) comparison groups for pre- or post-operative 5) Endometritis haematocrit, pre- or post-operative Definition: body temperature of greater than 38.5°C with concomitant foul smelling discharge or abnormally tender haemoglobin, estimated blood loss, pre-operative uterus on bimanual examination temperature or operative time. before incision intervention group = 5/194 (2.6%) after clamping comparison group = 7/195 (3.6%) Intervention Group p value = 0.56 N = 194RR 1.40 (95% CI 0.43 to 4.51) **Comparison Group** 6) Septic pelvic thrombophlebitis N = 195 No definition given before incision intervention group = 0/194 (0%) after clamping comparison group = 0/195 (0%) 7) UTI MSU culture before incision intervention group = 3/194 (1.5%) after clamping comparison group = 5/195 (2.6%) p value = 0.47RR 1.67 (95% CI 0.39 to 7.11) 8) RTI No definition given

before incision intervention group = 0/194 (0%)

aesarean Section (update) - What is the effectiveness of an	ibiotics given prior to clamping of the cord compared to antibiotics given after clamping of the cord during a planned or emergency caesarean section?	22/07/2011 14:28:4
	after clamping comparison group = 0/195 (0%)	
	Neonatal outcomes 1) Sepsis	
	No definition given	
	before incision intervention group = 9/201 (4.4%) after clamping comparison group = 13/198 (6.3%) p value = 0.38 RR 1.47 (95% CI 0.61 to 3.53)	
	2) Number of NICU admissions	
	before incision intervention group =4/201 (2%) after clamping comparison group = 7/198 (3.4%) p value = 0.35 RR 1.77 (95% CI 0.51 to 6.16)	
	3) Mean number of days in NICU	
	before incision intervention group = 8.25 ± 2.62 , n=201 after clamping comparison group = 5.66 ± 2.58 , n=198 p value = 0.16	

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Intervention characteristics	Outcome measures	Quality Assessment	Reviewer comment
Authors Wax,J.R.,	Inclusion Criteria Women undergoing	Intervention Pharmacy prepared 50ml	Maternal outcomes 1) Total infectious morbidity	Limitations Allocation concealment: Yes,	Funding Supported by
Hersey,K.,	caesarean section if in	intravenous infusion for		computer generated	the Bureau of
Philput,C.,	labour with a single fetus	each patient containing	Definition: wound infection, endometritis,	randomisation code used by	Medicine and
Wright,M.S.,	of at least 37 weeks	1g of cefazolin in 0.9%	intra-abdominal abcess formation, septic pelvic	pharmacy staff to generate	Surgery
Nichols,K.V.,	gestation, recruited over	saline identical in	thrombophlebitis, pneumonia or UTI	sequence	Clinical
Eggleston, M.K.,	the course of 12 months.	appearance		Participants blinded to	Investigation
Smith,J.F.	Exclusion Criteria	Comparison	before incision intervention group = 2/49	intervention: Yes	Program
Year of publication	Penicillin or cephalosporin	Pharmacy prepared 50ml	after clamping comparison group = 3/41	Carers blinded to intervention:	P93-00000-029
1997	allergy	intravenous infusion for		Yes	Other
		each patient containing	2) Wound infection	Investigators blinded to	information
Study location	Antibiotic use within 2	0.9% saline		intervention: Yes	Study
USA	weeks of delivery		Definition: incisional erythema, tenderness, warmth, with	Number of participants not	size calculation:
Ref ID	,		or without purulent drainage	completing treatment: None	The study was
57294	Temperature ≥37.8°C in		hafana indiana interpretana and 1/10	Number of participants with no available outcome data: None	powered for the
Aim of study	labour		before incision intervention group = 1/49 after clamping comparison group = 2/41	Selective outcome reporting: No	primary
To test the			arter clamping comparison group = 2/41	Any other limitations: No	outcome of
hypothesis that a	Insulin dependent		3) Endometritis	Any other inflitations. No	endometritis.
single 1g dose of	diabetes mellitus		3) Lindoinetitus	Indirectness	Given a 20%
cefazolin			Definition: fever reaching 100.4°F on two occasions at	Population: Military hospital	post-caesarean
administered	HIV infection		least 6 hours apart or a single fever ≥ 101°F outside the	Intervention: None	rate of
preoperatively is			first 24 hours following delivery, associated with uterine	Comparison: None	endometritis, a
no more effective	Chronic glucocorticoid use		or parametrial tenderness, malodorous or purulent lochia	Outcomes assessed: None -	sample size of
than one			or leucocytosis.	definitions given for outcomes	88 subjects
administered after	Multiple gestation.			assessed and relevant	would provide
cord clamping in	Baseline Characteristics		before incision intervention group = 1/49		80% power to
preventing post	The women in the two		after clamping comparison group = 1/41	Imprecision	detect a 25%
caesarean	groups were similar for			No statistically significant	difference in
infections	maternal age, race and		4) Septic pelvic thrombophlebitis	differences were found	post-operative
Chu du huma	weight.			for maternal or neonatal	infections with
Study type			No definition given.	outcomes	$\alpha = 0.05$.
Randomised	The two groups were also				Written and
controlled study	similar for the following		before incision intervention group = 0/49		verbal consent
	intrapartum and surgical				given by
					given by

characteristics: number of women with ruptured membranes, duration of rupture, number of women on whom internal monitors were used. number of vaginal examinations. pre-operative haematocrit, general anaesthetic, vertical uterine incision, manual placental delivery. duration of surgery, time from infusion to incision. and time from incision to second incision. The group receiving cefazolin preoperatively had a significantly longer mean duration of labour (13.0 ± 7.2 hours. n = 49 vs. 9.9 \pm 7.3 hours. n = 41: p = 0.03) and internal monitors were used for significantly longer (11.1 ± $4.2. n = 49 vs. 9.3 \pm 4.7. n$ = 41: p = 0.04) when compared to the group receiving antibiotics after cord clamping.

Their babies were similar for gestational age at delivery, birth weight, newborn 1 and 5 minutes Apgar scores < 7, umbilical arterial cord pH < 7.2 and intensive care admissions.

after clamping comparison group = 0/41

5) UTI

No definition given.

before incision intervention group = 0/49 after clamping comparison group = 0/41

Neonatal outcomes

1) Neonatal sepsis

before incision intervention group = 0/49 after clamping comparison group = 0/41

2) Neonatal sepsis workup

before incision intervention group = 6/49 after clamping comparison group = 2/41 p = 0.28

3) Neonatal pneumonia

Definition: based on clinical and radiographic findings

before incision intervention group = 2/49 after clamping comparison group = 0/41

•

Ethical approval given by hosting organisation

Caesar	esarean Section (update) - What is the effectiveness of antibiotics given prior to clamping of the cord compared to antibiotics given after clamping of the cord during a planned or emergency caesarean section?					
	Intervention Group n = 49					
	Comparison Group n = 41					

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Intervention characteristics	Outcome measures	Quality Assessment	Reviewer comment
Authors Gordon,H.R., Phelps,D., Blanchard,K. Year of publication 1979 Study location USA Ref ID 57293 Aim of study To resolve whether antibiotics can be started during surgery or immediately after cord clamping with the same decrease in maternal postoperative morbidity as when started pre-operatively, and whether the antibiotics have an effect on neonatal morbidity, including nursery stay Study type Some other intervention type	Inclusion Criteria Starting November 1976, all obstetric patients undergoing caesarean section at 2 Los Angeles medical centres were considered for inclusion. These were primarily indigent cases. Exclusion Criteria Exclusions were: penicillin allergy, temperature > 38°C prior to caesarean section, women already on prescribed antibiotics and those who declined to participate. The ethical board did not permit inclusion of emergency caesarean sections (due to anticipated difficulties with getting consent from women) and this resulted in sections for fetal distress and bleeding generally being excluded. For this review, a third treatment group who received no antibiotics is not reported. Baseline Characteristics	Intervention 1g of ampicillin given intravenously 15 - 30 minutes prior to anaesthetic induction and repeated 2 and 8 hours postoperatively for a total of 3 doses Comparison 1g ampicillin given intravenously immediately on clamping the umbilical cord and repeated 2 and 8 hours postoperatively for a total of 3 doses	Maternal outcomes 1) Total infectious morbidity Definition: includes endometritis, urinary tract infection and/or wound infection, with a positive culture. Inclusion of other infections not confirmed. before incision intervention group = 4/38 (10.6%) after clamping comparison group = 3/40 (7.3%) p = NS 2) Wound infection Definition: positive culture before incision intervention group = 0/38 after clamping comparison group = 1/40 p = NS 3) Endometritis Definition: positive culture before incision intervention group = 4/38 after clamping comparison group = 2/40 p = NS 4) Mean length of maternal hospital stay (days) before incision intervention group = 5.1, n = 38 after clamping comparison group = 4.7, n = 40 p = NS Neonatal outcomes	Limitations Allocation concealment: Unclear, randomisation performed, but method not stated Participants blinded to intervention: No Carers blinded to intervention: Yes Investigators blinded to intervention: Unclear, not stated Number of participants not completing treatment: None Number of participants with no available outcome data: None Selective outcome reporting: No Any other limitations: Only elective caesarean sections are included. Data not reported for neonatal outcomes because the number in each treatment group is not specified Indirectness Population: None Intervention: None Comparison: None Outcomes assessed: None - definitions given for outcomes assessed and relevant Imprecision No statistically significant differences were found for any maternal outcome	Funding Not stated Other information Ethical approval given by "The Human Subject Protection Committee" for inclusion of elective caesarean sections only No power calculation given

64 women were cared for	None reportable, due to the numbers of participants in	
at the San Bernardino	each group not being specified.	
County Medical Centre	cash group not being specimear	
and 50 were cared for at		
the University of		
California at Los Angeles		
Medical Centre.		
The author reports		
"acceptable		
randomisation" for		
baseline characteristics of		
indication for caesarean		
section (CPD, breech,		
repeat caesarean section,		
failed induction, bleeding,		
fetal distress), meconium,		
blood transfusion,		
duration of labour,		
duration of membranes		
rupture and duration of		
internal monitoring.		
No risk ratios or p values		
provided.		
Intervention Group		
N = 38		
Comparison Group		
N = 40		

Caesarean Section (update)

What are the risks and benefits of planned caesarean section compared with planned vaginal birth for both women and babies in women who have had a previous caesarean section?

	International Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision (ICD - 9) for 'previous caesarean delivery, delivered'. Charts were extracted by trained research nurses using close ended extraction tools.	Successful VBAC attempt ≥3 prior vs. 1 prior CS: Unadjusted RR 1.06 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.17) Adjusted OR* 1.40 (95% CI 0.81 to 2.41) p = 0.22 Successful VBAC attempt ≥3 prior vs. 2 prior CS: Unadjusted RR 1.07 (95% CI 0.96 to 1.19) Adjusted OR* 1.49 (95% CI 0.85 to 2.60) p = 0.16 VBAC (≥3) vs. Repeat CS: Uterine rupture = n/total (%) VBAC = 0/89 (0) Repeat CS = 0/771 (0) p = NC Bladder injury = n/total (%) VBAC = 0/89 (0) Repeat CS = 12/771 (1.6) p = 0.24 Surgical injury= n/total (%) VBAC = 0/89 (0)	and less likely to deliver at a university hospital. No significant difference was observed between the two groups with respect to maternal age, post term birth, diabetes, prior vaginal delivery, induction and oxytocin exposure.
--	--	--	--

Caesarean Section (update) - What are the r	sks and benefits of planned caesarean secti	on compared with planned vaginal birth for	both women and babies in women who ha	ave had a previous caesarean section?	22/07/2011 14:30:21
				oxytocin exposure, or diabetes (any type)	
				** adjusted for prior vaginal delivery or black vs. non black race	
				Results 2	
				Results 3	

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Intervention characteristics	Outcome measures to be used	Results	Reviewer comment
Authors Guise,J.M., Eden,K., Emeis,C., Jonas,D.E., Morgan,L.C., Reuland,D., Gilchrist,M., Finkelstein,J., Wiswanathan,M., Lohr,K.N., Lyda-McDonald,B. Year of publication 2010 Country of publication Developed countries Ref ID 66341 Sub-type Aim of study To examine the published literature on vaginal birth after caesarean (VBAC) and review the trends and incidence of VBAC, maternal benefits and harms, infants benefits and harms and relevant factors influencing each.	Inclusion Criteria Full text studies with data on women with a prior caesarean delivery eligible for a TOL (trial of labour) or ERCD (elective repeat caesarean delivery) and maternal and/or infant outcomes. Studies were included if: They had 10 or more participants, represented the target population, and reported data on benefits and harms to the mother or infant. Studies of women with prior caesarean delivery who delivered preterm and at term were included (for maternal outcomes). For neonatal outcomes, studies which reported outcomes for term babies (≥ 37 weeks) were included. Exclusion Criteria Studies of women without a prior caesarean delivery, nulliparous patients, breech delivery, exclusive focus on preterm delivery, low birth weight, studies of pregnancies including twins or abortions, studies begun or published	Experimental Elective Repeat Caesarean Delivery (ERCD) Control Trial of labour (TOL) No studies of health outcomes measured "intended" vaginal birth after caesarean (VBAC) therefore primary comparison groups are TOL and ERCD. method	Raw Data Studies were included in the synthesis if they achieved a good or fair quality rating. Two reviewers independently rated the quality of the RCTs, cohorts, case control studies and case series studies using valid tools specific to different study designs. The strength of available evidence was assessed using the method described in the Methods Reference Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews (Similar to the GRADE system). Meta analysis was conducted for homogenous studies using MetaAnalyst (Beta 3.13) and STATA 10.1 (Stata Corp). A random effects model was used to combine the studies while incorporating variations among studies. Statistical heterogeneity assessed using the standard Q test and the chi square statistic. Summary Data Maternal outcomes:	Results Maternal outcomes: Mortality rate Any gestational ages (GAs) n = 12 studies: Overall: Total n = 24/402,883 ERCD: n = 19/229635 13.4 per 100,000 (95% CI 4.3 to 41.6 per 100,000) Heterogeneity p = 0.521 TOL n = 5/167,220 3.8 per 100,000 (95% CI 0.9 to 15.5 per 100,000) Heterogeneity Fisher exact test p = 0.443 RR 2.76 (95 % CI 1.07 to 714) Adjusted risk difference = 9	Funding Supported by the office of Medical Applications of Research (OMAR) at the National Institute of Health and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Items Other information The range of ToL and VBAC rates were large (28 - 82% and 49 - 87% respectively). In 43 US based studies, 74% of women who had a ToL gave birth vaginally: Overall studies: n = 67 (14 prospective cohort studies + 53 retrospective cohort studies) Vaginal birth after caesarean rates in US studies Any GAs n = 30 studies 0.74 (95% CI 0.71 to 0.77) Term n= 13 studies 0.73 (95% CI 0.70 to 0.77) Vaginal birth after caesarean

before the 1980 NIH Consensus Conference on VBAC, and studies focusing on patients with particular conditions such as gestational diabetes, HIV, preeclampsia, etc.

Non-English language papers, editorials, letters, studies available exclusively in abstract form, and studies of animals or cadavers were

Studies conducted in undeveloped or developing countries were excluded.

For the neonatal outcomes, any studies that did not exclude cases with congenital or fetal anomalies (before or after analysis) were excluded

Demographics - Total

Relevant studies were identified from multiple searches of MEDLINE; DARE; Cochrane data base (1966 to September 2009); and from recent systematic reviews, reference lists, reviews, editorials, websites and experts. Of the 3,134 citations reviewed, 2171 met the exclusion criteria at the abstract level, 936 full text papers were retrieved and reviewed for inclusion. A total

Mortality

All GAs n = (12 good or fair quality studies observational studies)

Term studies (n = 4 good or fair quality studies observational studies)

Only one of the studies stratified maternal death rates by the institution size/number of births.

Uterine rupture

Defined as a complete uterine rupture (separation through the entire thickness of the wall including visceral serosa)

or incomplete uterine rupture (separation that was not completely through the entire thickness of the wall including visceral serosa)

All GAs (n = 4 good or fair quality observational studies)

Transfusion/PPH

Term studies (n = 4 good or fair quality observational studies)

Hysterectomy

less death per 100,000 (95% CI 1.6 to 11.7) from ToL group when compared to the ERCD group.

Term studies n= 4 studies:

Overall:

n = 20/381929

ERCD:

n = 17/225239

9.6 per 100,000 (95% CI 2.1 to 43.2 per 100,000)

Heterogeneity = Fisher's exact test p = 0.013

TOL:

n = 3/156690

1.9 per 100,000 (95% CI 0.4 to 9.5 per 100,000)

Heterogeneity Fisher's exact test p = 0.443

RR 3.94 (95% CI 1.2 to 12.5; p = 0.025)

Adjusted risk difference = 7 less death per 100,000 (95% CI 1.4 to 8.7) from ToL group when compared to the ERCD group.

rates in non-US studies

Any GAs n = 19 studies

0.73 (95% CI 0.70 to 0.77)

Term n = 5 studies

0.73 (95% CI 0.71 to 0.74)

Studies were stratified by the year of data collection, study design, country and gestational age. No factors except "study design" were found to result in statistically significant differences.

The rate of VBAC for 14 prospective studies was 73% (95% CI 71% to 77%) compared with 77% (95% CI 75% to 79%) for the 53 retrospective studies.

	T		
of 203 full text papers met			
inclusion after applying		Term studies (n = 3 good or	
paper inclusion/exclusion		fair quality observational	
criteria.		studies)	One Canadian study
Const			stratified maternal death
Cases		<u>Infection</u>	rate by institution size:
Controls			
		All GAs (n = 10 good or fair	Less than 500 deliveries per
		quality observational	year:
		studies)	
		,	Odds ratio TOL compared
		The confidence in the	with RCD = 2.68 (95% CI
		magnitude and direction of	0.16 to 45.5)
		the body of evidence is low	
		due to inconsistencies in	Higher than 500 deliveries
		definition, indirect evidence,	per year:
		and high risk of bias. Five	
		studies reported	Odds ratio TOL compared
		on endometritis and	with RCD = 0.16 (95% CI
		chorioamnionitis and five	0.02 to 1.29)
		other studies reported on	
		wound and other	
		postpartum infections.	
			<u>Uterine rupture rate</u>
		Surgical injury	
			All GAs n = 4 studies:
		All GAs (n = 7 observational	
		studies, 4 from same cohort	Overall:
		of patients that reported	
		differently on surgical injury	n = 154/47,202
		rates)	
			ERCD:
		Surgical injury was defined	
		differently between studies.	n = 6/26535
		Length of hospital stay	Uterine rupture rate:
			0.026% (95% CI 0.009 to
		All GAs (n = 8 good or fair	0.082)
		quality studies observational	
•		•	· · ·

All studies were affiliated with teaching institutions. There was significant the tetrogeneity among studies if 98.2%, p < 0.001 Neonatal outcomes	studies) Heterogeneity Fisher exact	
with teaching institutions. There was significant heterogeneity among studies! f = 82.2%, p < 0.001 Neonatal outcomes Mortality Perinatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death at first point of a fir quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life or terms studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of a good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of a good or fair quality observational studies, 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or population database and 2 studies representative of a good or popul	· ·	
There was significant heterogeneity among studies if = 98.2%, p < 0.001 Neonatal outcomes Mortality Mortality Perinatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation fair quality observational studies), 3 couldes used population databases. Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 couldes used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies) and the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies) and the first 28 days of life or studies used population databases. Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of a good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of a good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of a good or fair quality observational studies, 2 studies representative of a good or fair quality observational studies, 2 studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a good or fair quality observational studies, 2 studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a studies representative of a studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a studies representative o		
heterogeneity among studies 1² = 98.2%, p < 0.001 Neonatal outcomes Mortality Perinatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies, 1 = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertlary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaties used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of the fact o		
studies 1 ² = 98.2%, p < 0.001 Neonatal outcomes Mortally: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university setting, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies used in low transfer caesarean section. However the authors concluded that the contribution of incisional types to the overall data set was small, thus leaving this finding largely unexplained. Term studies (a = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a None of the four studies		
Neonatal outcomes Neonatal outcomes Neonatal outcomes Mortality Perinatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 2 gestion and the studies of the state of		
Neonatal outcomes Neonatal outcomes Mortality Perinatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 cuterion as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a good of the four studies Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life None of the four studies None of the four studies None of the four studies		
Mortality Mortality Perinatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a Mortality Heterogeneity Fisher exact test = 1° = 77.6% p = 0.004 RR 0.031 (95% CI 0.014 to 0.070) Adjusted risk difference = 5.1 additional ruptures per 1000 women undergoing Tol (95% CI 2.3 to 11.2) The increased risk of uterine rupture among the TOL group is largely affected by one study that included women with incisional types other than low transfer caesarean section. However the authors concluded that the contribution of incisional types to the overall data set was small, thus leaving this finding largely unexplained. None of the four studies	· ·	
Perinatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of as death in the overall data set was small, the test = 1° = 77.6% p = 0.004 RR 0.031 (95% CI 0.014 to 0.070) Adjusted risk difference = 5.1 additional ruptures per 100 vomen undergoing TOL (95% CI 2.3 to 11.2) The increased risk of uterine rupture among the 100 uterine rupture among the 101 included women with 102 included that 103 included that 103 included included that 104 included owner with 105 included that 105 included that 106 included that 107 included included that 108 included included included that 109 included included included that 109 included included included included included included that 109 included include	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Perinatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of None of the four studies	Neonatal outcomes 0.77%)	
Perinatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of None of the four studies	Mortality Heterogeneity Fisher exact	
Perinatal mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of None of the four studies		
mortality: Defined as death at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a supersormania and terms and the studies of the four studies of the four studies of the four studies on the four studies of the four studies on the		
at less than 28 days age and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of appulation database and 2 studies representative of appulation database and 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representa		
and fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies are presentative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of a studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of a studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of a studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies (n = 6 good o		
weeks or more gestation Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of academic more fair guelty of the four studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies). New Adjusted risk difference = 5.1 additional ruptures per 1000 women undergoing TOL (95% CI 2.3 to 11.2) The increased risk of uterine rupture among the TOL group is largely affected by one study that incisional types other than low transfer caesarean section. However the authors concluded that the contribution of incisional types to the overall data set was small, thus leaving this finding largely unexplained. None of the four studies		
Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a cate or fair quality of a cate of population database and 2 studies representative of a cate or fair quality of a cate		
Term studies (n = 5 good or fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a population database and 2 studies representative of a studies, and the fair of the fair quality observational studies, 2 studies representative of a studies representa		
fair quality observational studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a studies, 2 studies representative of a studies, 2 studies representative of a studies rep		
studies), 3 conducted in tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a studies from the tertiary or university and tertiary or university settings, 2 studies uterine rupture among the uterine rupture affected by one study that included women with included women w		
tertiary or university settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a The increased risk of uterine rupture among the TOL group is largely affected by one study that included women with incisional types other than low transfer caesarean section. However the authors concluded that the contribution of incisional types to the overall data set was small, thus leaving this finding largely unexplained. None of the four studies		
settings, 2 studies used population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a date with the population database and 2 studies representative of a cademic medical centres, 2 studies representative of a setting the four studies discovered affected by one study that included women with includ		
population databases. Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a studies of the studies included women with incisional types other than low transfer caesarean section. However the authors concluded that the contribution of incisional types to the overall data set was small, thus leaving this finding largely unexplained. None of the four studies		
Affected by one study that included women with incisional types other than first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a studies of studies representative of a study that included women with incisional types other than low transfer caesarean section. However the authors concluded that the contribution of incisional types to the overall data set was small, thus leaving this finding largely unexplained. None of the four studies		
Neonatal mortality: Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a studies repres		
Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a Defined as death in the first 28 days of life Incisional types other than low transfer caesarean section. However the authors concluded that the contribution of incisional types to the overall data set was small, thus leaving this finding largely unexplained.		
first 28 days of life first 28 days of life Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a first 28 days of life low transfer caesarean section. However the authors concluded that the contribution of incisional types to the overall data set was small, thus leaving this finding largely unexplained. None of the four studies	,	
Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a studies representative of a studies representative of a section. However the authors concluded that the contribution of incisional types to the overall data set was small, thus leaving this finding largely unexplained.		
Term studies (n = 6 good or fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a Mone of the four studies		
fair quality observational studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a None of the four studies		
studies), 2 studies representative of academic medical centres, 2 studies representative of representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a largely unexplained. None of the four studies		
representative of academic overall data set was small, medical centres, 2 studies representative of largely unexplained. representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a None of the four studies		
medical centres, 2 studies representative of population database and 2 studies representative of a None of the four studies		
representative of largely unexplained. population database and 2 studies representative of a None of the four studies		
population database and 2 studies representative of a None of the four studies		
studies representative of a None of the four studies		
diversity of hospital types) provided details on		
	aiversity of hospital types) provided details on	

	TOL = Test for heterogeneity performed based on fisher	the proportion of women who underwent induction of
	exact test:	labour.
	p = 0.037	
	NICU admission	Term n = 2 studies:
	NICO aumission	Overall:
	All GAs (n = 8 good or fair	
	quality observational studies), inconsistency	n = 222/34445
	and imprecise measures,	ERCD:
	no studies defined the	
	criteria for NICU	n = 4/18195
	admission	Uterine rupture rate =
	<u>Sepsis</u>	0.02% (95% CI 0.003 to
		0.189)
	All GAs (n = 3 good or fair quality observational	<u>Tol:</u>
	studies)	101.
		n = 118/16250
	Neonatal respiratory	Litarina runtura rata
	<u>morbidity</u>	Uterine rupture rate = 0.70% (95% CI 0.51 to
	Term studies (n = 6 fair	0.96)
	quality observational studies)	DD 0 03 (050)/ CL0 044 to
	studies)	RR 0.03 (95% CI 0.011 to 0.082)
	Bag and mask ventilation	
		Adjusted risk difference
	All GAs (n = 3 good or fair quality observational)	= 6 more rupture per 1000 from ToL group
	quality observational)	when compared to the
	Rates of transient	ERCD group.
	tachypnea (TTN)	
	Torm studies (n = 2 go = d	Transfusion rate
	Term studies (n = 3 good or fair quality	All GAs n = 9 studies:
	observational studies)	7.11 O. 15 II S SEMINESI
1	1	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				Overall:
			Hypoxic-ischemic	
			encephalopathy/asphyxia	n = 1353/401307
			(HIE)	FDCD.
			Term studies (n = 3 good or	ERCD:
			fair quality observational	n = 712/233884
			studies) lack of consistency in	
			measurement presented in	Heterogeneity I ² =
			studies	98.9%, p<0.001
				TOL
				TOL:
				n = 641/167423
				Heterogeneity I ² =
				98.6%, p<0.001
				RR 0.795 (95% CI 0.714
				to 0.884)
				10 0.00 1,
				limited to term studies:
				4 studies
				EDCD:
				ERCD:
				n = 607/227960
				Transfusion rate
				= 0.5% (95% CI 0.2 to 1.3
				per 100)
				Heterogeneity I ² =
				99.3%, p < 0.001
				TOL:
				n = 547/156690

One study reported women

Caesarean Section (update) - What are the risks and benefits of planned caesarean sec	on compared with planned vaginal birth for both women and babies in women who ha	ve had a previous caesarean section?	22/07/2011 14:30:21
		CI: 0.5 to 1.8), but the criteria for	
		infection were not defined. Urinary	
		tract infection (UTI) and upper	
		respiratory tract infection	
		(URI) were used by one study	
		to describe postoperative	
		infectious complications. One	
		study defined postpartum	
		endometritis clinically on the	
		absence of findings consistent	
		with an extrauterine source.	
		There was a statistically	
		significant increase in	
		endometritis with multiple	
		caesareans (p<0.001). Based	
		on these studies the risk of	
		postoperative infection with	
		multiple CSs remains unclear.	
		·	
		Multiple CC (DCD)	
		Multiple CS (RCD)	
		Manuelinfortion	
		Wound infection	
		All CA A - to disas	
		All GAs n = 4 studies:	
		One study reviewed wound	
		infection and wound	
		dehiscence and found no	
		statistically significant change	
		with multiple caesareans	
		(p=0.09 and 0.18,	
		respectively). Similarly,	
		another study found no	
		correlation between number	
		of caesareans and wound	
		problems.	
		p. 65.5116.	
		Surgical injury:	
	I		

Caesarean Section (update) - What are the risks and benefits of planned caesarean section compared with	planned vaginal birth for both women and babies in women who have had a previous caesarean section?	22/07/2011 14:30:21
	All GAs n = 7 studies:	
	Four studies (4) from same	
	cohort of patients (reported	
	differently on surgical	
	injury rates). None	
	found a significant	
	difference between	
	ERCD and TOL for the	
	rate of surgical injury.	
	Tate of surgicul right y.	
	Multiple CS n= 2	
	studies	
	<u>states</u>	
	Both studies evaluated	
	bladder injuries. One found	
	1.6% of women with two or	
	more prior caesareans had a	
	bladder injury (4/250).	
	Another study noted less	
	than 0.3% of women with	
	less than three prior	
	caesareans experienced a	
	bladder injury compared with	
	4.5% of women with five or	
	more prior caesareans. This	
	trend was statistically	
	significant at p<0.001. The	
	risk of bowel and ureteral	
	injury with increasing	
	number of caesareans was	
	also statistically significant,	
	although overall incidence	
	was less than 1.2%.	
	1.00.000 5.00. 2.270.	
	Mean length of hospital	
	stay (days)	
	All GAs n = 8 studies:	

ction (update) - What are the risks and benefits of pla	anned caesarean section compared with planned vaginal birth for both women ar	nd babies in women who have had a previous caesarean section?
		Studies reported higher risk
		of HIE for ToL compared with
		ERCD but the true
		relationship is
		not clear due to the low
		strength evidence.
		Pooled result not reported
		<u>Apgar score</u>
		n = 4 studies found no
		differences in apgar score of
		> 7 at 5 minutes in infants
		undergoing a TOL versus
		ERCD.
		n = 3 studies found no
		differences in apgar score of
		> 7 at 5 minutes in infants
		born by VBAC versus RCD
		after a TOL.

	mber of Participant ticipant Characteristics	Intervention characteristics	Outcome measures to be used	Results	Reviewer comment
Tahseen,S., Griffiths,M. Year of publication 2010 Country of publication UK Ref ID 76986 Sub-type Systematic review Aim of study To assess the success rate and associated major complications of trial of vaginal birth after two caesarean sections (VBAC-2) compared with VBAC -1 and repeat third caesarean section (RCD) Demon 1 = 20 appra exclu qualifinclud Cases Womn VBAC Contri	men with attempted AC after 2 prior CS htrols men with attempted	Control method Data was extracted independently by the two authors and discrepancies were resolved by discussion. Appraisal tools STROBE were used to assess methodological quality of evidence. Meta-analyses were performed with RevMan (Review Manager, The Cochrane Collaboration). Inter-study heterogenity was tested with chi square test for heterogenity at the significant level of p = 0.10 and a random effects model was generated whenever the I ² was > 25% using Mantel-Haenszel analysis method.	Outcomes VBAC 2 versus VBAC 1 Success rates Uterine rupture rates Hysterectomy rates Blood transfusion VBAC 2 versus RCS Hysterectomy rates Blood transfusion Febrile morbidity Adverse neonatal outcomes Perinatal death Asphyxial injury NICU admission rate Raw Data Summary Data	Results VBAC 2 versus VBAC 1 Success rate of VBAC 2 versus VBAC 1 n = 6 studies, events/numbers (%) VBAC 2 = 3274/4565 (72%) VBAC 1 = 38814/50685 (76.5%) p < 0.0001 OR 1.48 (95 % CI = 1.23 to 1.78) Heterogeneity = I ² = 83% Uterine rupture rates in VBAC 2 versus VBAC 1 n = 5 studies VBAC 2 = 69/4320 (1.5%) VBAC 1 = 327/45197 (0.7%) OR 0.42 (95 % CI = 0.29 to 0.60) Heterogeneity I ² = 35 % Hysterectomy rates in VBAC 2 versus VBAC 1 n = 3 studies Total number VBAC 2 = 8/4565	Funding Not reported Quality Items Other information

Bibliographic details	Number of Participant Participant Characteristics	Intervention characteristics	Outcome measures to be used	Results	Reviewer comment
Authors Law,L.W., Pang,M.W., Chung,T.K., Lao,T.T., Lee,D.T., Leung,T.Y., Sahota,D.S., Lau,T.K. Year of publication 2010 Country of publication Hong Kong Ref ID 109248 Sub-type Aim of study To examine and compare the psychological status and morbidity during and after delivery among women with a previous caesarean section (CS) who were randomised to planned vaginal birth (VBAC) or planned CS	Inclusion Criteria Women with one previous lower segment CS and singleton pregnancy, eligible for VBAC Exclusion Criteria Women who had one or more previous vaginal deliveries or a contraindication for vaginal delivery Demographics - Total Total planned CS n = 146, planned VBAC = 145, refused randomisation n = 103 Cases Planned CS Controls Planned VBAC	Experimental Planned CS: Women in this group were scheduled to have an elective CS at 38 weeks of gestation Control Planned VBAC: Women in this group were allowed to go into spontanous labour. Regardless of the original randomaisation, CS was arranged in presence of medical indications. method Eligible women were invited to participate in the study at their first antenatal visit before 28 weeks gestation. Women who agreed to participate were randomised to either planned VBAC or planned CS by drawing sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes, each containing a computer generated allocation code. Women who declined randomisation were also asked to complete baseline psychometric scales for comparison with those who agreed to randomisation. Psychometric tests were performed at the time of	Outcomes The difference in the psychometric scores in women randomised to planned VBAC or planned CS. Raw Data Summary Data	Results Comparison of psychometric scores of study women: S-AI median (IQR) Baseline: Planned CS = 33 (25 - 43.3) Planned VBAC = 31 (24 - 40) p = 0.226 3rd trimester (34 weeks): Planned CS = 35.5 (25.8 - 44) Planned VBAC = 33 (24.8 - 45) p = 0.423 Within subject changes (p) Planned CS = (0.078) Planned VBAC = (<0.001) EPDS median (IQR) Baseline	Funding Not reported Quality Items Other information
		recruitment, at 34 weeks			

gestation, 2-3 days after delivery, and at 3 months and 6 months after delivery.	Planned CS = 5.0 (1 - 10) Planned VBAC = 5 (1 - 9)
Psychometric scales used:	p = 0.398
State-Trait Anxiety Inventory:	3rd trimester (34 weeks)
used to measure the present existing state and the enduring anxiety trait of an	Planned CS = 5 (0 - 9)
individual. The scale has a 40 item self report scale divided	Planned VBAC = 3.5 (0 - 9.3)
into two 20 item sections (S-AI [evaluates the anxiety	p = 0.423
state], T-AI [assesses the anxiety trait])	Post delivery
	Planned CS = 2 (0 - 7)
EPDS (Edinburgh Postnatal Scale): 10 item scale for identifying antenatal and	Planned VBAC = 1 (0 - 7)
postnatal depression	p = 0.404
BDI (Beck Depression Inventory): 21 item scale to	Postnatal 3 months
measure the severity of depression	Planned CS = 2 (0 - 7)
GHQ-12: used to measure	Planned VBAC = 1 (0 - 6)
general psychological well-being and quality of life	p = 0.452
	Postnatal 6 months
All four scales were validated in Hong Kong	Planned CS = 0 (0 - 4)
Chinese populations.	Planned VBAC =0.5 (0 - 4)
The client's overall satisfaction with their childbirth	p = 0.766
experience was assessed using	Within subject changes (p)

a Chinese version of CSQ	
(Client Satisfaction	Planned CS = (p<0.001)
Questionnaire)	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Planned VBAC = (p<0.001)
Sample size: The required	Trainied VB/16 (p 10.001)
sample size for detection of	
a standardised effect size	
(on psychological well being)	BDI median (IQR)
of 0.4 at power of 90% and	<u> </u>
two tailed alpha of 0.05 was	Baseline
131 in each arm. Therefore	
the study required 144 in	Planned CS = 5 (3 - 9.3)
each arm (total 288),	
assuming 10% drop out rate.	Planned VBAC = 5 (2 - 9)
Statistical Analysis:	p = 0.514
<u> </u>	•
Performed with Statistical	3rd trimester (34 weeks) :
Package for Social Science	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
version 16.0 (SPSS, IL).	Planned CS = 4.5 (2 - 9)
Univariate analysis was used	, ,
to compare baseline	Planned VBAC = 4.5 (1 - 8)
characteristics, baseline	
psychometric scores and	p = 0.314
subgroup analyses. Fridman	
test or Wilcoxon signed	Post delivery :
ranks test and	,
Mann-Whitney test were	Planned CS = 2 (0 - 6)
also used.	
	Planned VBAC = 2 (0 - 6)
The analysis was based on	·
the intention to treat	p = 0.933
analysis.	
	Postnatal 3 months
<u>Characteristics:</u>	
	Planned CS = 2 (0 - 5.3)
There were no statistically	
significant differences	Planned VBAC = 2 (0 - 6)
between the three groups	

(planned CS, planned VBAC, refused randomisation) in maternal age, gestation at recruitment, marital status, educational level, residential status (Hong Kong citizen), background psychiatric disorders and future fertility wishes. Women who refused randomisation had higher family income (mean 3.37 thousand US \$ [SD 2.54]) when compared with randomised CS (mean 2.76 thousand US \$ [SD 2.09]) and planned VBAC group (mean 2.70 thousand US \$ [SD 2.03]) p = 0.01)	p = 0.780 Postnatal 6 months Planned CS = 1.5 (0 - 4.8) Planned VBAC = 1 (0-4.3) p = 0.929 Within subject changes (p) Planned CS = (p<0.001) Planned VBAC = (p<0.001) GHQ-12 median (IQR) Baseline Planned CS = 1 (0 - 3) Planned VBAC = 1 (0 - 3) p = 0.514 3rd trimester (34 weeks) Planned CS = 1 (0 - 3) Planned VBAC = 1 (0 - 3) Planned VBAC = 1 (0 - 3) Planned VBAC = 1 (0 - 3)
	, ,
	Post delivery
	Planned CS = 0 (0 - 2)

Caesarean Section (update) - What are the risks and benefits of planned caesarean section compared with planned vaginal birth for both women and babies in women who have had a previous caesarean section?	22/07/2011 14:30:21
showed that women who changed from planned CS to VBAC had lower satisfaction at delivery [Client Satisfaction Score: 24.0 (23.0-24.3), 23.0 (22.0-24.0); p=0.009] compared to women who did not change their plan for elective CS.	
Results 2	
Results 3	