**National Institute for Health and Care Excellence**

### Surveillance Review of [PH41 – Walking and cycling](http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph41)

###  Stakeholder Comments Form

|  |
| --- |
| **Please enter the name of your registered stakeholder organisation below.****NICE is unable to accept comments from non-registered organisations. If you wish your comments to be considered please register via the** [**NICE website**](http://www.nice.org.uk/get-involved/stakeholder-registration) **or contact the** [**registered stakeholder organisation**](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG50/documents/stakeholder-list) **that most closely represents your interests and pass your comments to them.** |
| Stakeholder Organisation: |  |
| Name of commentator: |  |

***Please note an overview of the process for reviewing NICE published clinical guidelines can be found*** [**here**](https://www.nice.org.uk/Media/Default/About/what-we-do/our-programmes/developing-NICE-guidelines-the-manual.pdf)

In order to guide your comments, please refer to the following question:

1. Do you agree that the guidance should not be updated?

If a stakeholder wishes to draw our attention to published literature, please supply the full reference.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Do you agree the guideline should not be updated? | Comments**If you disagree please explain why**Please insert each new comment in a new row |
| Agree / Disagree (please delete as appropriate) | Comments on proposal not to update the guideline |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Do you have any comments on equality issues or areas excluded from the original [scope](http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/PH41/documents/walking-and-cycling-final-scope-2)?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Please add extra rows as needed

**Please email this form to:** ph&sccsurveillance@nice.org.uk

**Closing date: 5pm 09 February 2016**

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Institute reserves the right to summarise and edit comments received during consultations, or not to publish them at all, where in the reasonable opinion or the Institute, the comments are voluminous, publication would be unlawful or publication would be otherwise inappropriate.