Study	Delirium assessment	Representa tiveness		pts per covariate	source of population	Initial exposure	Loss to follow up	Overall Comments
Agostini 2001 Prospective study	l; Partly inadequate	somewhat representative of the community	Comparable at baseline apart from study risk factor	122/4 (=30)	Exposed/non- exposed from same cohort	No patients haddelirium at start of study	Not stated	Cohort comparable on age, gender, ethnicity, being admitted from either home or nursing home, APACHE II and MMSE score; mean medications Key RF: 1/3 (age);
Evidence	quality: low							patients with profound dementia excluded
Centorrino 20 Retrospective study	,	selected group eg specific operations	Confounding possible : not enough factors included	e / (=)	Unclear	Unclear	Not stated	In patients with more than one admission within the study period, one entry was randomly selected for analysis without knowledge fo delirium; univariate analysis only
Evidence	quality: biased							
Dubois 2001; Prospective study	adequate (e.g.MMSE)	somewhat representative of the community	Confounding possible: not enough patients for multivariate analysis	38/7 (=5)	Exposed/non- exposed from same cohort	No patients haddelirium at start of study	Acceptable: ≤20% loss to follow up; 9 patients with delirium were excluded form MV analysis	Key RF: none
Evidence of	quality: biased						-	

Study	Delirium assessment	Representa tiveness		pts per covariate	source of population	Initial exposure	Loss to follow up	Overall Comments
Foy 1995; Prospective study	adequate (e.g. CAM/DSM IV validated)	no description of the derivation of the cohort or unclear	Acceptable: confounders taken into account in analysis (multivariate	21/12 (=2)	Unclear	No patients haddelirium at start of study	Not stated; Not reported	Key RF:1/3 (age); Designed to recruit 400 patients and would give a power of 98% to detect a relative risk of 4 for the development of cognitive impairment
Evidence	quality: low							
Holroyd 1994 Retrospective study		selected group eg specific operations	Confounding possible : not enough factors included	/ (=)	Unclear	Unclear	Not stated	univariate analysis only.
Evidence	quality: biased							
Morrison 200 Prospective study	3; partly adequate (e.g.MMSE)	somewhat representative of the community	Confounding possible: not enough patients for multivariate analysis	87/16 (=5)	Exposed/non- exposed from same cohort	No patients haddelirium at start of study	Adequate: all patients followed up	Key RF: 2/3 (age, cognitive impairment)

Evidence quality: moderate

Study	Delirium assessment	Representa tiveness		pts per covariate	source of population	Initial exposure	Loss to follow up	Overall Comments
Pandharipan 2006; Prospective study	de adequate (e.g. CAM/DSM IV validated)	somewhat representative of the community	Fairly acceptable: multivariate analysis with nearly enough patients (8-10 per covariate)	118/17 (=7)	Exposed/non- exposed from same cohort	Some patients had delirium at start of study	Not stated	Key RF: 3/3 (age, sensory impairment, dementia); ratio ranging from : 4 [66/17] to 7 [118/17]
Evidence	Evidence quality: moderate							
Pisani 2009; Prospective study	adequate (e.g. CAM/DSM IV validated)	somewhat representative of the community	Acceptable: confounders taken into account in analysis (multivariate	304/10 (=30)	Exposed/non- exposed from same cohort	Unclear	Not stated	Key RF: 1/3 (dementia); dementia: IQCODE score >3.3
Evidence	quality: low							
Pisani 2009; Prospective study	adequate (e.g. CAM/DSM IV validated)	somewhat representative of the community	Acceptable: confounders taken into account in analysis (multivariate	304/10 (=30)	Exposed/non- exposed from same cohort	Unclear	Not stated	Key RF: 1/3 (dementia); dementia: IQCODE score >3.3

Evidence quality: low

Delirium Representa Cohort Initial **Overall Comments** Study pts per source of Loss to comparability covariate population follow up tiveness exposure assessment Shulman 2005; Confounding possible 282/4 Unclear Unclear Not stated; no details 3 groups similar mean age, gender, not stated/ somewhat : not enough factors Retrospective Unclear representative (=70)hearing impairment, visual impairment study of the included retrospecitve and method of community assessment unclear;

Evidence quality: biased