

Information about how the guideline was developed is on the [guideline's webpage](#). This includes the evidence reviews, the scope, details of the committee and any declarations of interest.

New and updated recommendations

We have reviewed the evidence on assessing and diagnosing delirium. You are invited to comment on the new recommendations (1.4.2, 1.5.1 and 1.5.2).

We have not reviewed the evidence for the recommendations marked **[2010]** (shaded in grey) and cannot accept comments on them. In some cases, we have made minor wording changes for clarification, which are highlighted in yellow where the changes could affect the intent).

Sections of the guideline that have had no changes at all have been temporarily removed for this consultation and will be re-instated when the final guideline is published. See the [current version of the guideline](#).

See [update information](#) for a full explanation of what is being updated.

Full details of the evidence and the committee's discussion on the 2023 recommendations are in the [evidence review](#).

1
2
3
4
5

1 **Contents**

2 Recommendations 4

3 Recommendation for research 5

4 Rationale and impact 6

5 Finding more information and committee details 8

6 Update information 8

7

1 Recommendations

People have the right to be involved in discussions and make informed decisions about their care, as described in [NICE's information on making decisions about your care](#).

[Making decisions using NICE guidelines](#) explains how we use words to show the strength (or certainty) of our recommendations, and has information about prescribing medicines (including off-label use), professional guidelines, standards and laws (including on consent and mental capacity), and safeguarding.

2

3 1.4 Indicators of delirium: daily observations

4 1.4.1 Observe, at least daily, all people in hospital or long-term care for
5 recent (within hours or days) changes or fluctuations **indicating**
6 **delirium (for example, see recommendation 1.2.1)**. These may be
7 reported by the person at risk, or a carer or relative. If any of these
8 **changes are present the person should have an assessment using**
9 **an appropriate tool (see recommendation 1.5.1)**. **[2010, amended**
10 **2023]**

11 1.4.2 Ensure that any changes that may indicate delirium are
12 documented in the person's record or notes. **[2023]**

For a short explanation of why the committee made these recommendations and how they might affect practice, see the [rationale and impact section on indicators of delirium: daily observations](#).

Full details of the evidence and the committee's discussion are in [evidence review A: diagnostic accuracy of tests to identify delirium](#).

13

1 **1.5 Assessment and diagnosis**

2 1.5.1 If indicators of delirium are identified, a healthcare practitioner who
3 is trained and competent to do so should carry out an assessment
4 using the 4AT. In critical care or in the recovery room after surgery
5 use the Brief Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care
6 Unit (CAM-ICU) or Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist
7 (ICDSC) instead of the 4AT. **[2023]**

8 1.5.2 If the assessment described in recommendation 1.5.1 indicates
9 delirium, a specialist with the relevant expertise should make the
10 final diagnosis, for example a geriatrician or psychiatrist. **[2023]**

11 1.5.3 If there is difficulty distinguishing between the diagnoses of
12 delirium, dementia or delirium superimposed on dementia, manage
13 the delirium first. **[2010]**

14 1.5.4 Ensure that the diagnosis of delirium is documented both in the
15 person's record or notes, and in their primary care health record.
16 **[2010]**

For a short explanation of why the committee made these recommendations and how they might affect practice, see the [rationale and impact section on assessment and diagnosis](#).

Full details of the evidence and the committee's discussion are in [evidence review A: diagnostic accuracy of tests to identify delirium](#).

17

18 **Recommendation for research**

19 **Delirium assessment tools**

20 What is the diagnostic accuracy, and ease of implementation, of different
21 delirium assessment tools:

- 1 • for people with pre-existing cognitive impairment, for example dementia,
- 2 learning disability or severe depression
- 3 • for people who do not speak English as a first language
- 4 • in different settings, for example emergency departments, residential care
- 5 homes or virtual consultations
- 6 • when delivered by different types of healthcare practitioners, for example
- 7 healthcare assistants or allied health professionals such as paramedics?

For a short explanation of why the committee made this recommendation for research, see the [rationale and impact section on assessment and diagnosis](#).

Full details of the evidence and the committee's discussion are in [evidence review A: diagnostic accuracy of tests to identify delirium](#).

8

9 **Rationale and impact**

10 This section briefly explains why the committee made the updated
11 recommendations and how they might affect practice.

12 **Indicators of delirium: daily observations**

13 [Recommendations 1.4.1 to 1.4.2](#)

14 **Why the committee made the recommendations**

15 The committee agreed with the recommendation in the previous version of the
16 guideline that all staff should be observing the people in their care and should
17 be alert for changes indicating delirium. They noted that some simple tools
18 like the Single Question to Identify Delirium (SQiD) might be useful to help
19 practitioners notice any changes. They did not add SQiD specifically to the
20 recommendation because they agreed that it is just one of many ways to
21 encourage observation and that many places already had systems set up for
22 this. They noted that in some settings the recording of these observations
23 could be inconsistent, and that routine recording of changes that might
24 indicate delirium was important.

1 **How the recommendations might affect practice**

2 Better recording of the indicators of delirium will improve the chances of these
3 changes being noticed and acted upon.

4 **Assessment and diagnosis**

5 [Recommendations 1.5.1 to 1.5.2](#)

6 **Why the committee made the recommendations**

7 The committee agreed that once a change that might indicate delirium has
8 been noted and recorded, a member of staff trained to do so should carry out
9 a formal assessment.

10 Several assessment tools had high enough sensitivity and specificity to be
11 useful in clinical practice. However, the committee agreed that implementation
12 issues need to be considered as well. For example, who can do the test, how
13 long does it take and how much training is needed?

14 Balancing the evidence for accuracy and cost-effectiveness with the
15 practicality of implementing the tests, the committee agreed that the 4AT was
16 the best option for most settings. It is among the most accurate of the tools
17 reviewed, quick and simple to use, and has a broader range of evidence to
18 support it. For critical care and post-surgical settings, the Brief Confusion
19 Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit (CAM-ICU) and Intensive
20 Care Delirium Screening Checklist (ICDSC) worked best because they were
21 specifically designed for those settings.

22 If the assessment shows delirium is likely, the committee agreed that the final
23 diagnosis should be carried out by a specialist healthcare professional with
24 the necessary experience and expertise, for example a geriatrician or
25 psychiatrist.

26 The committee agreed that although the evidence allowed them to make
27 recommendations overall, further, more specific, research on the accuracy
28 and ease of use of different assessment tools in different settings, for different
29 patient groups (including those with dementia, cognitive impairments, learning

1 disabilities or affective disorders) and by different healthcare practitioners,
2 would help to make future guidance more specific. They therefore made a
3 [research recommendation on delirium assessment tools](#).

4 **How the recommendations might affect practice**

5 The committee noted that the assessment tools they recommended are
6 already the most commonly used in practice. The change from healthcare
7 professional in the previous version of this guideline to healthcare practitioner
8 in this version will potentially reduce the workload on healthcare professionals
9 who previously had to carry out assessments for delirium.

10 [Return to recommendations](#)

11 **Finding more information and committee details**

12 To find NICE guidance on related topics, including guidance in development,
13 see the [NICE topic page on delirium](#).

14 For details of the guideline committee see the [committee member list](#).

15 **Update information**

16 This guideline is an update of NICE guideline CG103 (published July 2010).
17 We have reviewed the evidence on assessing and diagnosing delirium.

18 Recommendations are marked **[2023]** if the evidence has been reviewed.

19 For recommendations shaded in grey and ending **[2010, amended 2023]**, we
20 have made changes that could affect the intent without reviewing the
21 evidence. Yellow shading is used to highlight these changes. We changed
22 'changes or fluctuations in usual behaviour' to 'changes or fluctuations
23 indicating delirium' to reflect that some indicators may not be related to
24 behaviour. We also updated the recommendation to reflect the new
25 recommendation on the use of an assessment tool.

26 ISBN: 978-1-4731-2992-4