Characteristics Table for The Clinical Question: In the treatment of GAD, what are the risks and benefits associated with the following high intensity psychological interventions compared with other interventions (including treatment as usual)?

Comparisons Included in this Clinical Quest			
Acceptance based behavioural therapy vs. waiting list control		Affect-fo TAU	
ROEMER2008		BERG20	

Affect-focused body psychotherapy vs.
TAU
BERG2009

Analytic psychotherapy vs. anxiety management

Durham1994

Applied relaxation vs CT + Applied relaxation

BARLOW1992

BORKOVEC2002

Applied relaxation vs wait list control
BARLOW1992
DUGAS2009A

Applied relaxation vs. cognitive therapy OST2000

Applied relaxation vs. worry exposure HOYER2009

Behaviour therapy vs. WLC BUTLER1991

Brief dynamic therapy vs. supportive therapy

Crits-Christoph2005

DURHAM2004

Brief vs standard CBT

CBT vs Applied relaxation
DUGAS2009A

CBT vs other active psychological treatment

ARNTZ2003
BORKOVEC1993
LEICHSENRING2009
STANLEY1996

CBT vs. CBT + Interpersonal therapy
REZVAN2008

CBT vs. discussion group
WETHERELL2003

CBT vs. enhanced usual care STANLEY2009

DUGAS2009A LADOUCEUR2000 LINDEN2005 MOHLMAN2003a STANLEY2003B WETHERELL2003

CBT vs. wait list control

Cognitive therapy vs. Behaviour therapy BUTLER1991

CT + applied relaxation vs. WLC
BARLOW1992

CT vs. Analytic psychotherapy
Durham1994

CT vs. Anxiety management

Durham1994

CT vs. CT + relaxation

BARLOW1992

BORKOVEC2002

CT vs. WLC

BARLOW1992

BUTLER1991

Discussion group vs. WLC
WETHERELL2003

Group CBT vs. wait-list control
DUGAS2003

Integrative relaxation training vs. WLC
JANBOZORGI2009

Meta-cognitive therapy vs. Applied relaxation
WELLS2010

Motivational interviewing + CBT vs. CBT alone
WESTRA2009 Motivational interviewing vs. WLC
WESTRA2009

Relaxation vs CT

BARLOW1992 BORKOVEC2002

Characteristics of Included Studies Methods

Participants

Interventions

Notes

Funding: No details provided

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: A comparison of CBT (6wk

vs. 12) with CCBT

Type of Analysis: ITT
Blindness: No mention

Duration (days): Mean 10 Range 6-12

Followup: 6 months

Setting: Outpatients: Scotland and Australia

Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided

Info on Screening Process: 186 met entry criteria after screening, 23 were excluded as they failed to receive at least three sessions of their treatment. However, these were replaced

n= 186

Age: Mean 37 Range 18-60 Sex: 46 males 140 females

Diagnosis:

100% Panic disorder by DSM-IV

Exclusions: having a depressive disorder severe enough to require urgent treatment; undergoing CBT for their current episode; evidence of organic mental disorder, schizophrenia, alcohol or drug dependence, cardiovascular disease, asthma, epilepsy, or pregnancy or intention to become pregnant during the course of the study. Not on a stable course of medication (i.e. for at least 3 months prior to study)

Notes: Ppts had a current episode duration of at least 3 months; were between 18-60; considered panic as primary disorder, if on medication were on a stable dose for 3 months at least

Baseline: The majority of patients had a diagnosis of panic disorder COMORBID with agoraphobia (76.1%). Patients in Scotland had a significantly greater MEAN DURATION current episode compared with the Australian patients, 114.9 months compared with 40.2 months, F (1, 163) = 34.11, p < .001. (DRUG)Significantly more of the Australian patients (46.6%) were prescribed concurrent benzodiazepines compared with Scottish patients, 14.1%, X2(1, N = 163) = 21.01, p < .001.

Data Used

STAI-T

Agrophobic Cognitions Questionnaire Mobility Inventory

Outcomes

Clinician assessed panic severity

Remission ('panic free status')

Social Phobia

Fear Questionnaire

Number of panic attacks per week

Body Sensations Questionnaire

Notes: 14/107 completers in active treatments were lost to follow up. All participants in each group were included in post-treatment analysis (intention-to-treat paradigm). DROP OUTS: defined as those receiving < 3 sessions, those w/out adequate pre-trmt data

Group 1 N= 41

Waiting-list control

Group 2 N= 39

Brief CBT. Mean dose 6 - 6 sessions face to face CBT

Group 3 N= 42

CBT. Mean dose 12 - 12 sessions face to face CBT

Group 4 N= 41

CBT 2. Mean dose 6 - Face to face CBT augmented with a palmtop computer programme.

ARNTZ2003

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Comparison of cognitive therapy vs. applied relaxation for long term and immediate effects in sample of 45 GAD patients representative of population.

Type of Analysis: Completer analysis

Blindness: No mention
Duration (days): Mean 84
Followup: 6 months (extracted)

Setting: Recruited from community mental

health center: Maastricht, Netherlands

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details provided

Info on Screening Process: 47 screened, 2 excluded because they received a primary diagnosis of personality disorder

n= 45

Age: Mean 36 Range 20-60 Sex: 15 males 30 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III-R

Exclusions: i) not having a primary diagnosis of GAD ii) not requesting treatment iii) younger than 17 or older than 70, iv) a depressive disoder preceding the current episode of GAD or requiring immediate treatment, v) receiving behaviour therapy for GAD, vi) evidence of organic mental disorder accounting for complaints, mental retardation, psychotic disorders, alcohol or drug dependence.

Notes: 22.2% had a diagnosis of GAD alone, the others also had a secondary diagnosis (46.7%). Mean duration of GAD was 8.8 yrs (range = 1-35)

Baseline: STAI-trait scale: CT = 57.5 (8.3), AR = 53.7 (10.2); AR group had lower education level (compared to CT)

Data Used

Remission (STAI-T <45 pts)
Clinically significant change (>8 on STAI-T)

STAI-trait Data Not Used

Fear Questionnaire - no data SCL anxiety factor - no data

Notes: Taken at baseline, 12 weeks, 1 and 6-month follow up. Follow-up data extracted at 6 months. DROP OUT: 5/25 CT (20%), 3/20 AR (15%). Therapist qualification: Trained specifically at workshops. Did not mention if they were clinical psychologists.

Group 1 N= 25

Cognitive therapy. Mean dose 12 sessions - Self-monitored & challenged automatic thoughts and formulated rational thoughts in diaries, including behavioural experiments to test catastrophic beliefs. Did not involve exposure in-vivo nor relaxation training.

Group 2 N= 20

Applied relaxation. Mean dose 12 sessions - Learned to apply relaxation skills daily & in difficult situations, identified early signs of anxiety, to use skills to counter anxiety as early as possible. Instructed to practice at least twice a day. Graduated exposure to feared situations.

FUNDING: None declared, Quality assessed: selection bias-unclear; performance bias-unclear; attrition biaslow; detection bias-unclear. Additional booster sessions offered upon request from those with complex problems.

Results from this paper:

AR and CBT are similarly effective.

BARLOW1992

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Relaxation, CT or their combination were compared to WLC for those

with GAD

Type of Analysis: Completers

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 105

Followup: 24 months (not extractable)

Setting: Phobia and Anxiety Disorder Clinic: New York (referred by health professionals, community agencies, or self-referred)

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details provided

Info on Screening Process: No details provided

n= 65

Age: Mean 40 Range 18-65

Sex: no information

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by

Exclusions: a) had begun benzodiazepines or beta-blockers within previous 3 months or tricyclics of MAO inhibitors within the previous 6 months, b) subjects on medication or currently receiving alternative psychotherapy were included in the programme provided that they maintained their current medication regimen or psychotherapy contact at a constant level throughout c) outside the ages of 18-65, d) had been drug/alcohol dependent/abusers within past 6 months, e) were currently suicidal, or showed signs of psychosis or organic brain syndrome

Notes: Only subjects meeting criteria for GAD as a principal diagnosis and for whom that diagnosis rated an ADIS-R clinical severity rating of 4 or more were included in study.

Baseline: Those in the CT group scored significantly lower on BDI than WLC and combined CT and relaxation group.

Data Used

STAI-trait

HDRS (Hamilton depression rating scale)

ADIS-R (clinical severity)

HAMA

Fear Questionnaire

Response (20% improvement on 3/4 outcome measures)

Cognitive-Somatic Anxiety Questionnaire Beck Depression Inventory

Notes: Taken at baseline, 15 wks. DROP OUTS: WL = 10/20 (50%), Relaxation = 6/16 (38 %), Cognitive therapy = 4/17, Combined = 1/12 (8%). Follow up 6, 12, 24 - months (data not extractable).

Group 1 N= 17

Cognitive therapy. Mean dose 15 sessions (60 mins each) - Involved 2 phases: exploration of the role of thoughts and their significance, and cognitive skills training in the from of an individualised, 10 item hierarchy.

Group 2 N= 12

CBT. Mean dose 15 sessions (60 mins each) - Involved training in both relaxation and cognitive restructuring. More emphaisis was placed in earlier sessions on relaxation with gradually greater emphasis on cognitive restructuring and application to anxiety-provoking situations.

Group 3 N= 16

Applied relaxation. Mean dose 15 sessions (60 mins each) - Focused attention onto particular muscle groups. Home practice was required twice per day. Required to apply skills to everyday anxiety provoking situations in a graduated manner based on an individualized, 10 item hierarchy.

Group 4 N= 20

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 15 -Required to self-monitor for 15 wks following which they were to receive treatment. They were informed that help was available in event of a crisis but no other intervention took place.

Results from this paper:

Treatments effective against WLC.

But treatments do not differ between each other.

Note the higher drop out rate in Applied Relaxation. Author explained it is hard to retain participants by teaching them relaxation techniques.

BERG2009

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Explored the long-term effects of affect-focused body psychotherapy for patients with GAD.

Type of Analysis: Intention to treat

Blindness: Open

Duration (days): Mean 365

Followup: 2 years (extracted)

Setting: Recruited from 6 outpatient clinics:

Sweden

Notes: RANDOMISATION: Random number

table

Info on Screening Process: 64 screened; 3 excluded due to not meetin inclusion criteria: GAD according to DSM-IV, aged 18-55 and ability to speak Swedish

n= 61

Age: Mean 37 Range 21-55 Sex: 19 males 42 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: a) major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder according to DSM-IV; b) with or without severe suicidal risk; c) organic brain damage; d) psychotic syndrome; e) ongoing drug or alcohol abuse; f) current pregnancy

Notes: 49 (80%) patients met DSM-IV Axis 2 criteria for at least one personality disorder. Slightly more than 70% of patients were on medication.

Baseline: No significant differences in baseline scores.

Data Used

SCL anxiety factor

SCL-90 General Severity index

Beck Anxiety Inventory

WHO (Ten) Well-being index

Notes: Taken at baseline, 1 and 2 years. Drop outs: 6/33 for ABP and 0/28 for TAU. Therapy delivered by physiotherapists.

not frequent doctors visits and 5 had unsystematic treatment contacts. **Group 2 N= 33**

Group 1 N= 28

Affect-focused body psychotherapy. Mean dose 33 weekly sessions - Integrated bodily techniques and the exploration of affects into psychodynamic frame of reference. Adhered to treatment manual throughout.

Treatment as usual. Mean dose 52

weekly sessions - 11 patients given

formal psychotherapy, 12 had regular but

FUNDING: supported by grants from various instutions in Sweden, Quality Assessed: selection bias = unclear, performance bias = high, attrition bias = unclear, detection bias = unclear.

FUNDING: National Institute

Detection: low. Delivered by

of Mental Health, Quality

assessed: Selection:

unclear: Performance:

unclear; Attrition: high;

doctoral students or

pscyhologists.

BORKOVEC1993

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Non-directive, applied relaxation, & CBT therapies for GAD were compared at baseline, 10-14 days after 12th

n= 66

Age: Mean 38

Sex: 23 males 43 females

Data Used

Responders (20% reduction in 75% of all scales)

HDRS (Hamilton depression rating scale)

Group 1 N= 20

Non-directive therapy. Mean dose 12 sessions (each 90 mins) - involved exploration of life experiences, changing

FUNDING: supported in part by National Institute of Mental Health Research Grant. Quality assessed: session and 6 &12-month follow up

Type of Analysis: Completers

Blindness: No mention
Duration (days): Mean 84

Followup: 6 & 12- months (extracted)

Setting: Recruited from agencies and advertisements: Pennsylvania, US.

Notes: RANDOMISATION: Assignment to therapist was random within restrictions of availability and caseload.

Info on Screening Process: 508 screened, 442 excluded for not meeting DSM-III-R criteria

Exclusions: 1) GAD not primary diagnosis; 2) panic disorder criteria met; 3) assessor severity was too mild (<4); 4) other psychosocial therapy ongoing; 4) reviewed methods of study previously; 5) medical conditions contributing to anxiety symptoms; 6) taking anti-depressant medication; 7) presence of severe depression, substance abuse.

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by

psychosis, and organic brain syndrome.

Notes: Average duration of problem = 17.1 (17.2) yrs. 4 clients in each therapy condition were taking anti-anxiety drugs.

Baseline: HARS: ND = 19.7 (4.3), AR = 20.8 (4), CBT = 19.4 (5.4)

STAI-trait

Assessor Severity Scale

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Beck Depression Inventory

HARS (Hamilton anxiety rating scale)

Notes: Taken at: baseline, 10-14 days after session 12. DROP OUT: ND: 2/20, AR: 5/23, CBT: 4/23. Therapy delivered by two experienced & advanced clinical graduate students.

anxious experience & increasing selfconfidence, discovering new strengths through introspection & affective experience. Guided by manual, daily homework included.

Group 2 N= 23

Applied relaxation. Mean dose 12 sessions (each 90 mins) - learned new coping tech for reducing anxiety. Guided by manual. Relaxation practice encouraged twice daily and daily diaries utilised to note early cues.

Group 3 N= 23

CBT. Mean dose 12 sessions (each 90 mins) - All procedures equal to that of AR except that the extensive time spend in discussion of early cue detection and ways of relaxing in daily life was instead devoted to self-control desensitization. Only 10-15 mins devoted to cognitive therapy per session.

Bias: Selection-Unclear; Performance-Unclear; Attrition-High; Detection-Low

Results from this paper:

CBT and AR are similarly effective. They are both superior than Non-Directive therapy.

Conclusions: Suggesting relaxation technique is the core component of treatment of GAD. And that reflective listening is just non-specific factor of treatment.

BORKOVEC2002

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Comparison of applied relaxation with self-control desentization & cognitive therapy with a combination of these methods for clients with GAD

Type of Analysis: Intention to treat

Blindness: Single blind Duration (days): Mean 98

Followup: 6, 12, & 24 - months (all extracted)

Setting: 9 referred by mental health practitioners, remaining responded to media advertisement: Pennsylvania. US

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details

Info on Screening Process: 459 screened; 383 excluded by phone screening/diagnostic interviews for not meeting admission criteria

n= 69

Diagnosis:

DSM-III-R

Age: Mean 37 Sex: no information

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III-R

Exclusions: Did not have a principal diagnosis of GAD; had Panic Disorder; had a low assessor severity rating (<4):had concurrent psychosocial therapy, history of receiving CBT methods in prior therapy, medical contributions to anxiety, on antidepressant med, presence of severe depression, substance abuse, psychosis, and organic brain syndrome.

Notes: duration of the GAD diagnosis averaged 12.81yrs (12.07). Only 2 clients (1 in applied relaxation, 1 in CBT) were taking psychotropic drugs for anxiety (agreed to maintain dosage & frequency during therapy)

Baseline: Hamilton Anxiety: CT = 25.83 (7.73), AR= 25.04 (6.24), CBT = 23.21 (6.42)

Data Used

Remission (not meeting diagnosis according to SCID

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (depression)

STAI-trait

Assessor Severity Scale

HAMA

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Beck Depression Inventory

Notes: Taken at baseline, 10-14 days after 14th session. DROP OUTS: 4/23 for applied relaxation, 2/23 for CT, 1/23 CBT. Delivered by doctoral therapists & advanced clinical graduate students.

Group 1 N= 23

Cognitive therapy. Mean dose 14 weekly sessions (90-120 mins each) - based on cognitive model of anxiety. Involved training in self-monitoring and early identification of cues. Homework emphasized frequent applications of alternative perspectives and behavioural tasks to test beliefs & predictions

Group 2 N= 23

Applied relaxation. Mean dose 14 weekly sessions (90-120 mins each) - also involved self-control desensitization, learning new coping techniques to reduce anxiety, self-monitoring, intervening with relaxation responses early, focusing attention on present moment, rehearsal of coping methods, relaxation training, homework

Group 3 N= 23

CBT. Mean dose 14 weekly sessions (90-120 mins each) - A combination of previous 2 techniques, except that no supportive listening element was included & perspective shifts created during the Ctportions of the session were used during SCD rehearsals along with relaxation responses. FUNDING: supported in part by NIMHRG. Quality assessed: Bias-Selectionunclear; performanceunclear; attrition-low; detection-low

Results from this paper:

No difference found between treatments. Effort to increase therapeutic effectiveness also not successful.

BUTLER1991

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Compared Behavioural therapy & Cognitive Behavioural therapy to

Data Used Remission (HAMA & BAI <10)

STAI-trait

Group 1 N= 19

Behaviour therapy. Mean dose 12 sessions over 3 months (60 mins each) -

FUNDING: Medical Research Council of GB, Quality assessed: Bias: selection-unclear; waiting list control for the treatment of a GAD.

Type of Analysis: Completor

Blindness: No mention

Duration (days): Mean 56 Range 28-84

Followup: 6 months (extracted)

Setting: Referrals from psychiatric hospital sources & general practice; Oxford, UK

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details provided

Info on Screening Process: 161 screened, 104 excluded due to insufficient severity or duration of anxiety (38); other psychiatric diagnosis (37) and failure to attend interview (23)

Age: Mean 35

n = 57

Sex: 8 males 49 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III-R

Exclusions: a) patients who experienced panic attacks if they had a primary diagnosis of panic disorder or if their GAD centered around fear of another painc attack; b) met diagnositic criteria for phobic disorder or MDD; c) if they were taking anti-depressant medication, or it they had received psychological treatment for anxiety within 2 years.

Baseline: no significant differences between groups

Beck Anxiety Inventory **Beck Depression Inventory**

Notes: Taken at baseline, 12 wks & 6 month follow up; DROP OUT: CBT: none, WL = NONE, Group 2 N= 19 BT = 3/19. Delivered by clinical psychologists. Note: Some indication CBT participants are more

Group 3 N= 19 resistant to early relapse.

Involved reducing avoidance through graded exposure & building confidence through reengagement in pleasurable and unclear; detection-low rewarding activities

performanceunclear:attrition-

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 19 weeks

CBT. Mean dose 12 sessions over 3 months (60 mins each) - Activity schedules and records of dysfunctional thoughts were used to identify anxious thoughts & develop skills needed to examine them and formulate alternatives.

Results from this paper:

Cognitive Behavioural therapy significantly better than Behavioural therapy Cognitive Behavioural therapy significantly better than waiting list control. Behavioural therapy marginally better than waiting list control.

Crits-Christoph2005

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Compared the efficacy of brief dyanamic therapy with supportive therapy on interpersonal outcomes for people diagnosed with GAD.

Type of Analysis: ITT Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 112

Followup: none

Setting: Recruited from outpatient referral line, adverts & professional referrals: Pennsylvania

Notes: RANOMIZATION: No details provide

(note this is a pilot RCT)

Info on Screening Process: No details provided

n= 31

Age: Range 18-60 Sex: no information

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: Patients who had begun a psychotropic medicine within the previous 3 months or who had an acute, unstable, or severe AXIS III medical disorder that might interfere with either the safe conduct of the study or the interpretation of the study results. Patients with any current or past history of bipolar disorder, schizophrenic disorders, or Cluster A personality disorders, and patients with any current or past history of seizure disorder (other than febrile seizure as an infant). Also, patients with a clinicallly significant organic pathology and patients who, in the previous 12 months, met criteria for alcohol or substance dependence or abuse, OCD, eating disorder, or BPD.

Baseline: No details provided

Data Used

Remission (less than 7 on HAMA)

Data Not Used

Beck Anxiety Inventory - no data Beck Depression Inventory - no data Penn State Worry Questionnaire - no data HDRS (Hamilton depression rating scale) - no

HAMA - no data

Notes: DROP OUTS: psychodyanamic = 1/15, Supportive therapy = 2/16. Outcomes taken at pre and post (16 wks). No follow up. Delivered by phD & Master & social worker therapists with a minimum of 10 years experience. Note only data from study 2 is relevant.

Group 1 N= 15

Short-term psychodynamic psychotherapy. Mean dose 16 weekly sessions - Manual based therapy with boosters. Main goal was to understand the anxiety symptoms of the patient in the context of interpersonal conflicts. Through uncovering the patients' relationshop pattern, the conflicts are worked through.

Group 2 N= 16

Supportive therapy. Mean dose 16 weekly sessions - Conducted using the treatment manual for non-directive therapy. Based on person-centered therapy & is oriented toward creating an accepting, nonjudgemental, & empathic environment. Direct suggestion or coping methods are forbidden.

Funding: Funded in part by National institute of Mental health Grants (R21-MH56018 & P30-MH45178). Qualitly assessed: Selection bias = unclear risk. Performance bias = unclear risk, attrition bias = low risk. detection bias = unclear risk

Results from this paper:

No statistically significant difference between the two treatments.

DUGAS2003

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: CBT in group format is compared to a wait-list control group over 14 sessions.

Type of Analysis: Intention to treat

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 98

Followup: 2 years (not extractable)

Setting: Outpatients recruited from advertisements in Canada

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details provided

n= 52

Age: Mean 41

Sex: 15 males 37 females

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: a) not given a primary diagnosis of GAD; b) change in medication type or dose during the 8 weeks before treatment; c) unwilling to keep medication stable while participating in study; d) evidence of suicidal intent; e) evidence of substance abuse; and f) evidence of current or past schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or organic mental

Data Used

Worry and Anxiety Questionnaire ADIS-IV

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Beck Anxiety Inventory Beck Depression Inventory

Data Not Used

Response (40% reduction in HAMA score) not reportable

Group 1 N= 27

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 14 weeks - Telephoned every 3 weeks by clinician who had administered the ADIS-IV to monitor their state

Group 2 N= 25

CBT. Mean dose 14 weekly 2 hour sessions. - Group CBT (4-6 in each group) which involved 5 treatment components: treatment rationale, awareness, reevaluation, problemsolving, & cognitive exposure. Involved a session-by-session treatment manual.

FUNDING: Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Qualtiy assessed: selection biasunclear; performance biasunclear: attrition bias-low: detection bias-low

Info on Screening Process: 170 screened, 118 excluded due to: not meeting GAD diagnostic criteria (19 had another disorder that was as severe as GAD; 14 had another primary disorder; and 7 had subclinical GAD), and 10 were unable to fit weekly sessions into their schedule

disorder.

Notes: Average duration of 16.9 years (SD = 15.2), 35 had one/more additional diagnoses, with a range of 1-5 comorbid disorders.11 participants were taking anxiolytic or antidepressant medication.

Baseline: BAI; group CBT: 18.43 (10.71), wait-list control: 16.30 (9.34)

Notes: Outcomes taken at pre-wait list, pretreatment, & post-treatment. DROP OUT: WLC = 2/27, CBT = 3/25. Delivered by licensed, trained CBT psychologists.

Results from this paper:

Effective against waiting list control and results maintained at 2 years (not extractable as WLC data not extractable)

DUGAS2009A

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: A randomized clinical trial of CBT & AR for adults with GAD.

Type of Analysis: Intention to treat analysis

Blindness: Single blind Duration (days): Mean 84

Followup: 6, 12 and 24 months (not reportable)

Setting: Referred by GPs, recruited from Anxiety Disorder Clinic. Montreal; Canada

Notes: Random allocation sequence used and allocation concealed

Info on Screening Process: 83 patients screened. 14 excluded because GAD was not diagnosed (5) or was not primary diagnosis (5), the severity of comorbid disorder was not at least 2 points less on Clinician's Severity Rating (2), or a medical problem required immediate attention

n= 65

Age: Mean 39 Range 18-64 Sex: 22 males 43 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: GAD not primary disorder or severity of comorbid disorder is not at least 2 points less on Clinician's Severity Rating, not between age range of 18-64, change in medication type or dose during 4-12 wks before assessment, unwilling to keep mediation stable during treatment phase of study, evidence of suicidal intent or current substances misuse or current or past schizophrenia, bipolar disorder or organic mental disorder

Notes: Secondary conditions were panic disorder (27), specific phobia (13), social anxiety disorder (9), dysthymic disorder (8), MDD (5), OCD (3) & hypocondriasis (1). 55.4% on anxiolytic or antidepressant medication & 43.1% had previously received CBT

Baseline: CSR: CBT 5.78 (1.04) AR 5.36 (1.26) WL 5.90 (1.25), PSWQ: CBT 61.65 (8.27) AR 58.01 (5.51) WL 57.34 (9.78), WAQ-Som: CBT 21.13 (4.07) AR 20.82 (5.48) WL 22.42 (3.17), STAI-T: CBT 53.04 (7.30) AR 52.23 (7.15) WL 52.06 (9.62), BDI-II: CBT 15.36 (8.20) AR 16.65 (9.27) WL 13.70 (7.72)

Data Used

WAQ- somatic subscale

Remission (Clinician severity rating of 3 or less

Beck Depression Inventory

STAI-T

Worry and Anxiety Questionnaire

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Clinician rated GAD severity

Notes: Taken at 12 wks, 6-,12- and 24-month follow up. DROP OUTS: 2/23 for CBT, 5/22 for AR, no drop outs of WLC. Delivered by licensed psychologists (with 5 years experience) with extensive training in CBT.

Group 1 N= 23

CBT - 12 weekly 1-hour sessions with a clinical psychologist. Included sessions on psychoeducation, uncertainty recognition, reevaluation of usefullness of worry, problem-solving training and imaginal exposure.

Group 2 N= 22

Applied relaxation - 12 weekly 1-hour sessions with a clinical psychologist. Modules included pscyhoeducation, tension release, relaxation by recall & counting and conditioned relaxation.

Group 3 N= 20

Waiting-list control - Duration of wait list condition was 12 weeks. Participants were contacted by telephone every 3 weeks. Following this patients were randomly allocated to one of the two active treatment conditions: 33 (CBT) and 31 (AR) with 1 drop out.

Funding: Supported by a grant MOP-42454 from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. Quality assesment completed: unclear risk of bias for selection, unclear risk of bias for performance, unclear risk of bias for attrition, low risk of detection bias.

Results from this paper:

Cognitive Behavioural therapy statistically significantly better than waiting list control

Applied Relaxation was marginally better than waiting list control

Cognitive Behavioural therapy marginally better than Applied Relaxation. Only Cognitive Behavioural therapy led to continued improvement.

Durham1994

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Tested whether CT was of comparable efficacy to psychdynamic psychotherapy & if 8-10 sessions of therapy is as effective as 16-20 sessions

Type of Analysis: Completors

Blindness: No mention

Duration (days): Mean 98 Range 56-140

Followup: 6 & 12 months (extracted)
Setting: Referred outpatients by GPs &

psychiatrists. Dundee; UK

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details

Info on Screening Process: 178 screened, 68

n= 110

Age: Mean 39 Range 18-65 Sex: 35 males 75 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III-R

Exclusions: Aged under 18 years or over 65, duration of less than 6 months, scored less than 6 on Leeds Anxiety Scale, primary diagnosis not GAD

Baseline: No significant differences

Data Used

Remission (Jacobson criteria for normative funct)

STAI-trait

Brief symptom inventory

Beck Anxiety Inventory

Beck Depression Inventory

HARS (Hamilton anxiety rating scale)

Social Adjustment Scale

Data Not Used

Self-esteem scale - no data

Group 1 N= 25

Anxiety Management training. Mean dose 10 sessions - Taught coping skills, structured indiv session, & given homework

Group 2 N= 25

Low intensity analytic psychotherapy. Mean dose 10 sessions - Consisted of 8-10 hrs of therapy over 6 months (same as before only less intensive) FUNDING: Scottish Hospital Endowments Research Trust, Quality Assessed: Bias: Selection-unclear; Performance-unclear; Attrition-High; Detection-Low excluded as; primary diagnosis other than GAD (22), failure to complete self-report (25), failure to attend interviews (8), unwilling to participate (5), receiving other treatment (2)

Notes: DROP OUTS: AP (HIGH) = 5/20, AP(LOW) = 4/25, CT (HIGH) = 4/19, CT (LOW) = 0/21. AMT = 6/25. Follow up data extractable at 6 months. Delivered by clinical psychologists, consultant psyhotherapists & trainee psychiatrists

Group 3 N= 20

High intensity analytic psychotherapy. Mean dose 20 sessions - Consisted of 16-20 hour long sessions of anavaltic psychotherapy. Involved the exploration and understanding of the presenting symptom, attention paid to negative transference reaction to the pre-set termination.

Group 4 N= 21

Low intensity CT. Mean dose 10 sessions - Same as before only less intensive

Group 5 N= 19

High intensity CT. Mean dose 20 sessions - Main focus was on identifying anxious cognitions and thinking errors, and on learning to switch to more helpful thoughts and reactions to stressful situations and unpleasant bodily sensations

Results from this paper:

Cognitive Therapy was found to be significantly more effective than Analytic Psychotherapy.

Cognitive Therapy had similar effects as Anxiety Management training

There are no statistically significant difference between the high or low contact groups.

Cognitive Therapy has relatively more sustainable with regard to long term outcomes.

DURHAM2004

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined whether standard CBT in comparison to high intensity CBT improved outcome for those with poor prognosis

Type of Analysis: Completors

Blindness: No mention

Duration (days): Mean 70 Range 35-105

Followup: 6 months (extracted)

Setting: referrals from clinical sources; Scotland

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details provided

Info on Screening Process: 171 screened, 77 excluded as failed to attend interview (27). insufficient duration or severity of anxiety (24). primary AXIS I diagnosis other than GAD (25), and one patient too old

n = 55

Age: Mean 39 Range 18-65 Sex: 27 males 28 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: No primary diagnosis of GAD, clinical global severity rating of less than 4, aged below 18 or older than 65. unwilling to accept random allocation, receiving concurrent psychological intervention, CBT 2 years prior to referral, evidence of psychosis, substance abuse or a medical condition underlying anxiety

Baseline: Patients in standard tended to have scores indicating somewhat greater severity compared with intensive on some measures, but controlled for in analysis

Data Used

STAI-trait

Brief symptom inventory

ADIS-IV (CGS)

Remission (Jacobson criteria for normative funct)

HARS (Hamilton anxiety rating scale) Notes: DROP OUT: brief = 14/33, standard = 11/29, intensive = 14/32. Follow-up data extractable at 6 months. Delivered by clinical psychologist & clinical nurse specialists trained in Group 3 N= 18 CBT.

Group 1 N= 19

Brief CBT. Mean dose 5 sessions (each 60 mins) - Broad CBT approach with least opportunity to focus on key issues. All participants assigned to this group were given a good prognosis.

Group 2 N= 18

Standard CBT. Mean dose 10 sessions (each 60 mins) - All participants assigned to this group were given a poor prognosis.

Intensive CBT. Mean dose 15 sessions (each 60 mins) - More opportunity to focus on key issues. All participants assigned to this group were given a poor prognosis.

FUNDING: Chief Scientist Office. Scottish Home and Health dept, Quality assessed: unclear risk of bias for performance. selection, detection & attrition

Results from this paper:

Brief intervention was statistically significantly better than standard on clinician rated anxiety, but not on self rated scores.

Brief intervention slightly better than intensive therapy on clinician rated anxiety, but not on self rated scores.

No statistically significant difference between standard and intensive therapy (both poor prognosis).

Conclusions: This study may have implications for stepped care model

HOYER2009

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined whether worry exposure alone is as efficacious as the empirically supported stand-alone treatment for GAD, applied relaxaion over 15 sessions

Type of Analysis: ITT analysis

n= 73

Age: Mean 45 Range 18-70 Sex: 21 males 52 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Data Used

Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) HDRS (Hamilton depression rating scale) STAI-trait

Penn State Worry Questionnaire **Beck Depression Inventory**

1 N= 24

Worry exposure. Mean dose 15 weekly sessions - Manualized protocol addressing avoidance and reassurance behaviours with the aim of reduction. Homework involved practicing worry exposure alone.

FUNDING: German Research Council, Quality assessed: Bias: Selection-Low; Performance-Unclear; Attrition-Low; Detection-Low Blindness: No mention
Duration (days): Mean 105

Followup: 6 &12 months (extracted)

Setting: Outpatient psychotherapy unit:

Germany

Notes: RANDOMISATION: Random number generator, randomisation not balanced, therefore resulting in unequal groups.

Info on Screening Process: 688 screened, 615 excluded for following reasons: a) no GAD diagnosis; b) intake assessment not completed; c) refused participation

Exclusions: a) no primary diagnosis of GAD as assessed by DSM-IV; b) younger than 18 and older than 80; c) serious physical impairment (axis III); d) any lifetime history of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, seizure or organic brain syndrome, substance abuse or dependence within the past year, serious personality disorder, any concurrent psychotherapeutic intervention or benzodiazepine use.

Notes: 3 participants from worry exposure group and 5 in applied relaxation group were on antidepressant medication before onset of study and maintained a stable dosage throughout study

Baseline: HAMA: WL = 23.33 (7.02), AR = 22.71 (7.16), WE= 21.6 (7.23)

Notes: Taken at baseline & 15 weeks. DROP OUT: AR= 4/18, WE = 7/24, WL = 1/31. Follow up data available for some but not all measures. Delivered by graduate clinical psychology students.

Group 2 N= 18

Applied relaxation. Mean dose 15 weekly sessions - Manualized protocol with homework at end of each session. Involved psychoeducation, relaxation procedures and homework focused on learning relaxation skills & applying these whenever signs of arousal were noticed.

Group 3 N= 31

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 15 weeks - patients who completed waiting list condition were subsequently rerandomized to 1 of 2 active treatments.

Results from this paper:

Worry Exposure statistically significantly better than waiting list control, Applied Relaxation statistically significantly better than waiting list control. No statistically significant difference between Applied Relaxation or waiting list control.

JANBOZORGI2009

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined the efffects of integrative relaxation training (relaxation, lifestyle, & spirituality) on emotional stability for people with GAD.

Type of Analysis: Completors

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 84

Followup: No follow up

Setting: Patients were referred from a counselling & psychotherapy centre; Iran.

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No information

provided

Info on Screening Process: 64 referrals, 32 excluded met one or more of the exclusion criteria below.

n= 35

Age: Mean 24 Range 19-35 Sex: 4 males 31 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: a) undergoing concurrent psychological treatment for anxiety disorder (n = 2); b) had a current diagnosis of schizophrenia (n = 1); c) an intellectual disability (n = 1); d) an organic mental disorders (n = 1); e) not within the age range of 19-35 (n = 4), refused to take part in the study (n = 6), or a principal diagnosis other than GAD was indicated at assessment (n = 19)

Baseline: No baseline statistics provided for STAI-T

Data Used

STAI-T

Notes: DROP OUTS: Treatment = 1/18, WLC = 2/17. Note STAI-T was the Iranian version of this measure

Group 1 N= 18

Integrative relaxation training. Mean dose 12 sessions (weekly & lasted 1.5-2hrs each) - Group programme consisting of a combination of progressive relaxation, lifestyle (e.g. sleep, eating, leisure time management and physical & spiritiual exercise). Given a weekly task.

Group 2 N= 17

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 12 weeks - Completed the questionnaires but did not take part in the interventions.

Quality assessed: selection = unclear risk of bias, performance = high risk of bias, attrition = unclear risk of bias, detection = unclear risk of bias. FUNDING: Funded by the Thalieh Counselling Centre in Tehran.

LADOUCEUR2000

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined the efficacy of CBT for GAD compared with a delayed treatment control condition.

Type of Analysis: ITT Blindness: Open

Duration (days): Mean 112

Followup: 1 year (not reportable)

Setting: Outpatients self-recruited, Canada Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided

Info on Screening Process: 99 screened; 73 excluded due to following reasons: a) clearly did not have GAD b) would not benefit from treatment being offered, c) GAD not the most

n= 26

Age: Mean 40

Sex: 6 males 20 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by

Exclusions: a) no primary diagnosis of GAD, b) change in medication type or dose during the 8-week before treatment, c) unwilling to keep medication status stable while participating in study, d) evidence of suicidal intent, e) evidence of current substance abuse, and f)evidence of current or past schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or organic mental disorder

Notes: 9 participants were taking medication and mean duration of GAD of 15.6 yrs (13.3)

Baseline: BAI: CBT = 16.54 (10.53), WL = 14.33 (5.85)

Data Used

Worry and Anxiety Questionnaire ADIS-IV

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Beck Anxiety Inventory
Beck Depression Inventory

Data Not Used

Response (40% reduction in HAMA score) - not reported separately for each group

Notes: Taken at: Baseline & 16 weeks. DROP OUT: none. Therapy was delivered by licensed psychologists.

Group 1 N= 12

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 16 weeks - Treatment began after 16 weeks of their initial assessment. Telephoned once a month to monitor their state and provide a minimal amount of support.

Group 2 N= 14

CBT. Mean dose 16 sessions (each 60 mins) - Treatment consisted a) rationale, b) awareness training, c) correction of erroneous beliefs, d) problem-orientation training, and cognitive exposure.

FUNDING: Medical Research Council of Canada. Quality assessed: bias: selection-unclear; performance-unclear; attrition-low; detectionunclear

Results from this paper:

severe psychological disorder.

CBT statistically significantly better than WLC

Results maintained at 6, 12-months follow up (but not meta-analysable)

LEICHSENRING2009

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Compared short-term psychodynamic psychotherapy and CBT with regard to treatment outcome in GAD.

Type of Analysis: ITT Blindness: Open

Duration (days): Mean 210

Followup: 6 months (extracted)

Setting: Recruited by referrals in private practice & advertisements: Germany
Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details

Info on Screening Process: 231 screened, 174 excluded as did not meet inclusion criteria

and/or met exclusion criteria

n= 57

Age: Mean 42 Range 18-65 Sex: 11 males 46 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by

Exclusions: a) younger than 18 or older than 65; b) no primary diagnosis of GAD; c) any acute, unstable, or severe axis III medical disorder that might interfere with the successful completion of treatment; d) any current or past history of schizophrenic disorder, bipolar disorder, or cluster A or B axis II disorder; e) any current or past neurological disorder; f) alcohol or substance dependence or misuse, eating disorder, or major depression in the previous 12 months, and g) current concomitant psychotherapeutic or psychopharmacological treatment.

Notes: 72 % had comorbid mental disorders.

Baseline: HARS: CBT= 25.90 (5.83), Short-term psychodyanmic therapy = 25 (4.18)

Data Used

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (anxiety)

STAI-trait

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Beck Anxiety Inventory

Beck Depression Inventory

HARS (Hamilton anxiety rating scale)

Notes: Taken at baseline, 30 weeks and 6-month follow up. DROP OUTS: CBT=2/29, Psychodynamic therapy = 3/28. Therapy delivered by licensed psychotherapists (both>15 years experience).

Group 1 N= 28

Short-term psychodynamic psychotherapy. Mean dose 30 - Based on supportive-expressive therapy. Focused on the core conflicutal relationship theme associated with the symptoms of GAD. Emphasis was put on a postive therapeutic allegiance

Group 2 N= 29

CBT. Mean dose 30 - Included up to 30 (50min) sessions carried out accord. to treatment manualization. Included relaxation training, problem solving, planning of recreational activities and homework.

FUNDING: LE 1250/1-1/1-2. Quality assessed: Selectionunclear; Performanceunclear; Attrition-low; Detection-low

Results from this paper:

No statistically significant differences between treatments for primary outcome measures. But CBT is superior than psychodynamic on secondary outcomes (depression, worry)

LINDEN2005

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: A controlled clinical trial was done to evaluate the efficacy of CBT treatment in outpatients with GAD who treated by a therapist.

Type of Analysis: ITT (Last observation carried forward)

Di: 1

Blindness: No mention
Duration (days): Mean 175

Followup: 8 months (not reportable)

Setting: Recruited from GPs and anxiety call

center, Germany

Notes: RANDOMISATION: simple

Info on Screening Process: 576 screened, 504

excluded as did not meet criteria

n= 72

Age: Mean 43 Range 18-65 Sex: 12 males 60 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: had psychiatric comorbidity, a score of less than 18 on HAM-A, serious somatic illness, younger than 18 or older than 65, intake of sedatives during last 3 months, psychotropic treatment for the duration of the therapy, other psychotherapy during last 2 yrs or insufficient language competency.

Baseline: No significant differences

Data Used

Remission (NIMH-CGI "Not ill at all") HAMA STAI-S

Notes: Taken at baseline, 25 wks and 8 month follow up, DROP OUT: CBT = 5/36 (13.9%), WLC = 4/36 (11.1%). Therapy delivered by professionals in private practice for 11 years.

Group 1 N= 36

CBT. Mean dose 20 sessions over 35 weeks (50 mins each) - CBT (A): Given immediate CBT treatment

Group 2 N= 36

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 14.5

FUNDING: Yes. Quality assessed: Bias: Selectionunclear; Performanceunclear; Attrition-Low; Detection-Unclear

Results from this paper:

Statistically significant difference in favour of CBT which was sustained at follow-up.

MOHLMAN2003a

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined the efficacy of CBT in comparison to waiting list in late-life

Type of Analysis: Completors

Blindness: Open

Duration (days): Mean 91

Followup: 6 months (not reportable)
Setting: Recruited via radio and print

n= 27

Age: Mean 66 Range 60-74 Sex: 8 males 19 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: a) current use of antianxiety medications; b) active suicidality over previous 6 montsh; c) acute current MDD episode; d) lifetime psychotic symtoms; e) organic

Data Used

Responders (20% reduction in 75% of all scales)

Free of comorbid psych diagnosis
Clinician rated GAD severity
Remission- SCID(free of GAD)
SCL anxiety factor
Trait worry (PSWQ + STAI-TRAIT)

SCL-90 General Severity index

Beck Anxiety Inventory

Group 1 N= 13

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 13 weeks - contacted every month by phone. Any participants who experienced a worsening of symptoms was referred to a clinic in the community for immediate treatment (n= 1). All invited to begin CBT after waiting period.

FUNDING: Supported in part by National Institute of Mental Health grant MH01397 & R01MH53582, Q.A.: Bias: Selection-Unclear; Performance-Unclear; Attrition-High; Detection-Unclear advertisements: New York, US

Notes: RANDOMISATION:no details provided

Info on Screening Process: 81 screened, 54 excluded as did not meet DSM-IV criteria for principal diagnosis of GAD.

brain disease; f) current use of medications that can cause anxiety-like symtoms; g) raw scores of 131 or below on the Dementia Rating Scale.

Notes: Those who reported frequent, uncontrollable worries and other GAD symtoms were scheduled for a comprehensive consultation

Baseline: There was a significant difference between groups on gender composition, with a higher proportion of women in the CBT group (86 vs. 54%). Comorbid psychiatric problems: WLC = 1.08 (1.04), CBT = 0.67 (0.71) **Beck Depression Inventory**

Notes: Taken at baseline, 13 weeks and 6 month follow up. DROP OUT: CBT = 3/14, WLC = 3/13. Therapy delivered by doctoral clinicians with CBT

Group 2 N= 14

Group 1 N= 16

Group 2 N= 20

release of muscles

across six sessions

CBT. Mean dose 13 sessions (each 50 mins) - consisted of psycho-education about GAD and mood, muscle relaxation. cogn restructuring, worry prevention, problem-solving, daily exercises, homework etc

Applied relaxation. Mean dose 12 -

Progressive relaxation with tension

Cognitive therapy. Mean dose 12 -

Manualized therapy involving 6 steps

Results from this paper:

CBT only marginal effective against WLC.might be due to the lack of statistical power

OST2000

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Investigates the efficacy of applied relaxation and CT in the treatment of

Type of Analysis: Completors

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 84

Followup: 1 year (extracted)

Setting: Recruited by media: Sweden

Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided

Info on Screening Process: 68 screened, 32 excluded since did not have GAD as primary diagnosis or began drugs while waiting for first session, becoming too depressed to take part, lived too far

n = 36

Age: Mean 40 Range 22-60 Sex: 10 males 26 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by

Exclusions: Did not meet criteria for GAD, duration of less than 1 year, outside age range of 18-60, had a primary diagnosis of depression, had other psychiatric illness in need of treatment, GAD not primary disorder, if on prescribed drugs for GAD dosage was not kept constant over 3 months before commencement of treatment or unwilling to keep constant for duration of study. Finally, if unwilling to take part for whole duration of study.

Baseline: No significant differences

Data Used

Response-Clinical improvement (HAMA+/-2SD)

Assessor Severity Scale

HDRS (Hamilton depression rating scale)

HAMA STAI-T

STAI-S

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Cognitive-Somatic Anxiety Questionnaire

Beck Anxiety Inventory

Beck Depression Inventory

Notes: Taken at baseline, 12 wks and 1 year follow up. DROP-OUT: AR= 2/16 (12%), CT = 1/20 (5%). Therapy delivered by therapists with more than 8 years experience. Bias: Selectionunclear; Performance-unclear; Attrition-unclear; Detection-low

FUNDING: Swedish Medical

Research Council. Quality assessed: low- unknown risk of bias

Results from this paper:

No statistical significance between CBT or AR.

REZVAN2008

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Cmpared the effectiveness of CBT with the combination of CBT & interpersonal therapy on decreasing the excessiveness of pathological worry in GAD.

Blindness: Open Duration (days): Mean 56

Followup: 1 year (extracted) Setting: Self-recruited from university

counselling center, Iran.

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details provided

Info on Screening Process: 120 people screened: 84 excluded due to not meeting the cut-off of the GAD-Q, did not meet criteria according to DSM-IV, GAD less severe than other comorbid diagnoses

n = 36

Age: Mean 20 Sex: all females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: a) did not meet cut off score of GAD-Q (5.7); b) did not meet GAD criteria after a diagnostic interview using DSM-IV; c) GAD not as severe as other co-morbid diagnoses.

Baseline: All conditions scored similarly on PSWQ and Oxford happiness scale.

Data Used

Penn State Worry Questionnaire Oxford Happiness Scale

Notes: Taken at baseline, 8 wks and 1 year follow up. Did not report if any drop outs. No remission/response data. Follow-up data extracted at 1 yr. No mention of therapist competence.

Group 1 N= 12

CBT + Interpersonal therapy. Mean dose 8 sessions (each 90 mins) - In addition to the interventions that CBT group received, some more instructions and techniques were applied in CBT & IPT group

Group 2 N= 12

CBT. Mean dose 8 sessions (each 90 mins) - 8 sessions of CBT. Included stimulus control methods, breathing and relaxation techniques, desensitization and applied relaxation.

Group 3 N= 12

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 8 weeks

FUNDING:none declared. Quality Assessed: Selectionunclear: Performanceunclear: Attrition-unclear: Detection-unclear

Results from this paper:

CBT statistically significantly better than WLC.

But no statistically significant difference between CBT and CBT+IPT

ROEMER2008

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined the efficacy of an acceptance-based Behaviour therapy aimed at increasing acceptance of internal experiences for those with GAD.

Type of Analysis: ITT Blindness: Unclear

Duration (days): Mean 98

Followup: 9 months (not reportable)

Setting: Unknown, Boston, US

Notes: RANDOMISATION: coin flip,

randomized block fashion

Info on Screening Process: 36 screened: 5 excluded (3 declined, 1 missed informed consent meeting, 1 away during study period)

n= 31

Age: Mean 34 Range 18-Sex: 9 males 22 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: a) no primary diagnosis of GAD; b) reported current suicidal intent; c) met criteria for current bipolar disorder, substance dependence, or psychotic disorders; d) less than 18 years old

Notes: a full 6 months of GAD symptoms without MDD was not required for inclusion.

Baseline: Conditions did not differ significantly on demographic variables. BDI: Treatment = 17.53 (1.94), control = 19.69 (1.83), QOLI: Treatment = 0.83 (0.62), Control = 0.27 (0.48).

Data Used

Response (20% improvement on 3/4 outcome measures)

Remission (not meeting diagnosis according to SCID

ADIS-IV

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Beck Depression Inventory

QoL

Depression Anxiety Stress Scales

Notes: Taken at baseline, 14 wks and 3 & 9 month follow up. DROP OUT: Treatment grp = 2/15, WLC = 4/16. Follow up data was not extractable as did not report for each group separetly. Therapy delivered by doctoral students

Group 1 N= 15

Acceptance-based behaviour therapy. Mean dose 16 sessions (each 60-90 mins) - Taught a variety of mindfulness practices and were encouraged to engage in both formal and informal mindfulness practice every day.

Group 2 N= 16

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 16 weeks - Completed a postassessment at least 14 weeks after their informed consent meeting and were offered the full treatment, after which they were assessed.

FUNDING: National Institute of Mental Health Grant No. MH63208, Quality assessed: Bias: Selection-Low; Performance-Unclear; Attrition-Low; Detectionunclear

Results from this paper:

Statistically significant difference in favour of acceptance based behaviour therapy.

STANLEY1996

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Compared the efficacy of CBT and nondirective, supportive psychotherapy for older adults with GAD

Type of Analysis: Completors Blindness: No mention

Duration (days): Mean 98

Followup: 1 & 6 months (extracted)

Setting: Recruited via community programs for older adults, church groups and media: Texas

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details
Info on Screening Process: 17% of potential

participants exluded due to the use of psychotropic medicine

n= 48

Age: Mean 68 Range 55-81 Sex: 14 males 34 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by

Exclusions: Primary diagnosis of an alternate AXIS I disorder, current involvement in psychotherapy, serious medical conditions, alcohol or substance abuse within the previous 6 months, psychotic symptoms, or evidence of cognitive impairment according to a score of 22/lower on mini-mental state exam

Baseline: No significant differences

Data Used

Response (20% improvement on 3/4 outcome measures)

HDRS (Hamilton depression rating scale)

HAMA

STAI-T

Clinician rated GAD severity

Fear Questionnaire

Beck Depression Inventory

Notes: Taken at baseline, 14 wks and 6 months. DROP OUTS: SP = 7/20, CBT = 8/26. Follow-up data extracted at 6 months. Therapy delivered by therapists with 2 years CBT experience, the other experienced in non-directive approach.

Group 1 N= 20

Non-directive therapy. Mean dose 14 sessions (each 90 mins) - Focused on nondirective group discussion of anxiety symtoms and experiences

Group 2 N= 26

CBT. Mean dose 14 sessions (each 90 mins) - Included 3 major components: progressive deep muscle relaxation (sessions 1-5), CT (sessions 6-10), and exposure treatment (session 11-14).

FUNDING: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, Quality assessed: Selection-unclear; Performance-unclear; Attrition-unclear; Detectionhigh

Results from this paper:

No statistically significant difference between CBT and NDT for older adults

STANLEY2003B

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Addressed the efficacy of CBT, relative to WLC in sample of 85 older adults with GAD

Type of Analysis: Completor

n= 80

Age: Mean 66 Range 60-100 Sex: 20 males 60 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Data Used

Remission (not meeting diagnosis according to SCID

HDRS (Hamilton depression rating scale)

HAMA STAI-T

Clinician rated GAD severity

Group 1 N= 41

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 15 weeks - Involved weekly telephone calls to assess symptom severity, to determine the potential need for immediat care and to maintain low attrition rates. Min support provided, but no active intervention was administered

FUNDING: Supported by a grant by National Institute of Mental Health, Quality assessed: Selection-unclear; Performance-unclear; Attrition-unclear; Detection-low

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 105

Followup: 3. 6, & 12 months (not reportable)

Setting: Recruited primarily via media, Texas,

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details

Info on Screening Process: Not stated how many screened or exluded: included only if principal or co-principal diagnosis was GAD with at least a moderate level of severity

Exclusions: Current involvenment in psychotherapy, alcohol or substance abuse within the previous year, serious medical conditions that may have accounted for anxiety symptoms or may have interfered with treatment, psychotic symptoms and evidence of cognitive impairment. Also excluded if principal or co-principal diagnosis was not GAD with less than a moderate level of severity or if they failed to discontinue antianxiety or antidepressant medication at least 2 weeks prior to initial screening.

Baseline: Groups differed only with regard to gender, with more men and fewer women assigned to WLC than CBT. Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Response (20% improvement on 3/4 outcome measures)

Beck Depression Inventory QoL

Notes: Taken at baseline 15 wks and 3- 6 & 12month follow up (however follow-up not extractable as not given for each group separately). Drop outs (not extractable but 14 in total). Delivered by post doctoral psychologists, & advanced psychology graduates.

Group 2 N= 39

CBT. Mean dose 15 sessions (each 90 mins) - Adapted and standardized for use with the elderly in an earlier treatment study. Included education and awareness training, deep muscle relaxation, cognitive therapy and graduated exposure. Homework assignments were completed on a weekly basis.

Results from this paper:

CBT statistically significantly better than WLC. Effects maintained over 1 year follow up

STANLEY2009

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined the impact of CBT relative to enhanced usual care in older adults with GAD in primary care.

Type of Analysis: Completors

Blindness: Open

Duration (days): Mean 92

Followup: 6, 9, 12, &15 months (extracted)

Setting: Primary care: Texas, US

Notes: RANDOMISATION: 1: 1 ratio within blocks of 10 to receive CBT or TAU, random

number generator

Info on Screening Process: 968 screened, 834 excluded due to following reasons: could not be contacted, reported no anxiety, ineligible, negative responses to screening questions on the primary care evaulation of mental disorders, lack of interest, logistic problems

n= 134

Age: Mean 70 Range 60-100 Sex: 29 males 105 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: No principal or co-principal diagnosis of GAD, mini-mental state exam score of less than 24, active substance abuse, psychosis, or bipolar disorder, or if younger than 60.

Baseline: PSWQ: CBT = 53.3 (10.57), TAU = 57.6 (10.91)

Data Used

Response (2 point change on GAD severity scale)

SF-12 (MCS)

BDI-II

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Generalized anxiety disorder severity scale

SIGH-A (anxiety) SF-12 (PCS)- Quality of life

Notes: Taken at baseline. 3 months and 6. 9. 12 month follow up. DROP OUTS: CBT = 4/70, TAU = 14/64. Extracted follow up at 15 months. Delivered by 5 therapists (3 had a MSc. 1 predoctoral, 1 post-bachelor) with 2-5 years of experience).

Group 1 N= 64

Treatment as usual. Mean dose 6 sessions - Enhanced usual care: telephoned biweekly during first 3 months to provide support and ensure patient safety. Calls lasted 15 mins.

Group 2 N= 70

CBT. Mean dose 10 sessions - included education and awarenss, motivational interviewing, relaxation training, cognitive therapy, exposure, problem-solving skills training and behavioural sleep management.

FUNDING: supported by National Institute of Health, Quality assessed: Selectionunclear: Performanceunclear: Attrition-unclear: Detection-low

Results from this paper:

CBT was statistically significantly better than enhanced usual care on depression, worry outcomes but not on clinician rated anxiety outcome

WELLS2010

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Compared meta-cognitive therapy to applied relaxation in 20 outpatients with GAD.

Type of Analysis: Completor Blindness: No mention

Duration (days): Mean 84

Followup: 6 & 12 months follow up (both

extractable)

Setting: Outpatients: UK. Recruitement: drawn from 2 clinical psychology NHS waiting lists comprised of individuals referred mostly by GPs

or psychiatrists.

Notes, DANDOMICATION, Individuals

n= 20

Age: Mean 49 Range 25-78 Sex: 8 males 12 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV-TR

Exclusions: a) did not have excessive worry, difficulty controlling worry, a minimum of 2 worry topics or were not troubled by excessive & uncontrollable worries more days than not; b) did not have a DSM-IV diagnosis of GAD; were not medication free or stable on medication for at least 12 weeks: suffered from alcohol or substance abuse: were not willing to accept random allocation; not fluent in written & spoken English; were younger than 18; had previous CBT

Data Used

Meta-cognition Questionnaire **Beck Depression Inventory** Beck Anxiety Inventory Penn State Worry Questionnaire STAI-T

Remission-SCID(free of GAD)

Group 1 N= 10

Meta-cognitive therapy. Mean dose 8-12 weekly sessions - The therapist focused on beliefs about the uncontrollability of worry & used verbal stategies & behavioural experiments to begin to weaken these beliefs. Patients were instructed in the use of detachedmindfulness in response to intrusive thoughts.

FUNDING: none declared. Quality assessed: Selection bias = low risk, performance bias = unclear risk, attrition bias = low risk, detection bias = unclear risk.

unrelated to the trial were asked to draw sealed envelopes from a box.

Info on Screening Process: 61 patients were identified & mailed the questionnaire & based on responses 33 were invited to the screening interview. 24 patients attended & were found to meet the inclusion criteria. Of these 24, 4 were excluded (medical diagnosis/regime & withdrew).

treatment for GAD; or evidence of a psychotic or organic illness

Notes: The duration of GAD ranged from 6 months to lifelong. The majority of cases (60%) reported a duration of greater than 5 years. 65% had an additional diagnosis.

Baseline: 2 patients were from an older age grp (76 & 78) & were yoked at randomisation. There were equal proportions of men & women in each group. MCQ-Neg was higher in the AR group (53.9 (4.2) vs. 45.7 (10.4)). Although the other measures were non-significant, examiniation of means show that AR group had higher scores on all measures suggesting they may be more severe.

Notes: Assessed before treatment, posttreatment (12 weeks), 6 & 12 month follow up. DROP OUTS: MCT = 0/10, AR = 1/10 at 6 month follow up. RCI = reliable change index (Jacobson criteria)

Group 2 N= 10

Applied relaxation. Mean dose 8-12 weekly sessions - Apply a relaxation response that could reduce anxious bodily symptoms & worry. 6 stages of relaxation: progressive, release-only, cue-controlled, differential, rapid & application training. Frequent practice was emphasized.

Results from this paper:

At post-treatment and at both follow up points meta-cognitive therapy was superior to applied relaxation. Anxiety, depression and remission outcomes were significantly better for meta-cognitive therapy.

WESTRA2009

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined whether adding motivational interviewing as a pre-treatment to CBT would improve outcomes

Type of Analysis: ITT Blindness: Single blind

Duration (days): Mean 42 Range 28-56

Followup: 6 months (extractable)

Setting: Participants were recruited from community ads in Toronto which targeted those who worried excessively. No payment given.

Notes: RANDOMISATION: were randomly assigned to treatment condition by the research co-ordinator using a random numbers table.

Info on Screening Process: 650 screened, 543 exlcude as did not meet inclusion criteria (n = 315) or refused to participate (n = 248)

n= 76

Age: Mean 42 Range 16-Sex: 25 males 51 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by

Exclusions: A) did not meet the DMS-IV criteria for GAD; B) were not at least 16 years of age; C) received a GAD severity rating of less than 4 on the ADIS-IV

Baseline: Baseline ADIS score: MI-CBT 6.03 (0.97) CBT 6.03 (0.75) Data Used

ADIS-IV CGI

Meta-cognition Questionnaire Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) Depression Anxiety Stress Scales

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Data Not Used

Clinical significant change - Not standardised Notes: DROP OUTS: MI + CBT = 6/44 (2 misdiagnosed), CBT = 6/46 (2 primary diagnosis shifted to MDD), WLC = same as CBT group.

Group 1 N= 46

CBT. Mean dose 6 weekly 2 hour sessions, followed by 2 1 hr ses - Received CBT only (no pre-treatment of MI provided). Followed manual developed by Borkovec and colleagues involving self-monitoring, applied relaxation, cognitive therapy, & exposure to worry. Total of 14 hrs of CBT provided.

Group 2 N= 46

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 4 weeks -The CBT only group were put on a waiting list for 4 weeks whilst awaiting CBT treatment and thus acted as a waiting list control comparison

Group 3 N= 44

Motivational interviewing + CBT. Mean dose MI = 4 weeks, CBT = 8 weeks - Received 4 individual 50-min weekly MI sessions which followed the principles of Miller (2002) but with a focus on ambivalence and motivation to change worry & related problems. This was followed by 8 weeks of CBT (described above).

FUNDING: National Institute of Mental Health R34-MH072615 and a New Investigator award from the Canadian Institute of Health Research. Quality assessed: Unclear risk of bias for selection, performance & attrition. Low risk of bias for detection.

Results from this paper:

There was no statistically significant difference found between participants who received four weeks of motivational interviewing and those who did not on any outcome measures.

There was no statistical significant difference between MI plus CBT group and CBT only group on anxiety and depression outcomes at post-treatment, 6 months or 12 months follow up. The only statistically significant finding was found in improvement of worry score at post-treatment favouring MI plus CBT group.

Conclusions: As these findings are based on a single study, it is difficult to conclude the effect of motivational interviewing as a pre-treatment to CBT.

WETHERELL2003

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined the effectiveness of CBT, discussion groups or a waiting period for older adults with GAD.

Type of Analysis: Completors

Blindness: No mention
Duration (days): Mean 84

Followup: 6 month (not reportable)

Setting: Recruited through hospital-affiliated health education programs, senior centers, and

n= 75

Age: Mean 67 Range 55-100 Sex: 15 males 60 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: a) primary diagnosis other than GAD; b) aged under 55; c) history of mania or psychosis, cognitive impairment; d) current participation in psychotherapy, alcohol or other substance abuse within 6 months; e)

Data Used

Remission (not meeting diagnosis according to SCID

HDRS (Hamilton depression rating scale)

QoL ADIS-IV

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Beck Anxiety Inventory
Beck Depression Inventory

HARS (Hamilton anxiety rating scale)

Data Not Used

Group 1 N= 26

CBT. Mean dose 12 sessions - Met for 90 mins weekly in groups of 4-6 adults with a leader. Consisted of relaxation training, cognitive restructuring, and worry exposure based on a manual. Also incorporated 30 min per day homework exercises.

FUNDING: National Institute for Mental Health Grant, Quality Assessed: Selection B-unclear; Performance Bunclear; Attrition B-high; Detection B-Low the media: California, US (advertised as Worry Reduction class)

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details

Info on Screening Process: 498 screened, 423 excluded due to refusing to provide baseline data or not meeting diagnostic criteria

commencement of psychotropic medication within 2 months, and lack of a recent medical check-up to rule out alternative causes of anxiety symptoms.

Notes: Individuals with disorders that mimic anxiety were also included, provided that they were under the care of a physician and disorder was medically controlled. Average duration: 29.4 (28.7)

Baseline: GAD severity rating: CBT =4.9 (0.8), DG = 5.1 (1.1), WL = 5.1 (0.9)

Response (20% improvement on 3/4 outcome **Group 2 N= 26** measures) - no data

High end state functioning - no data

Notes: Taken at baseline, 12 weeks and 6 month follow up. DROP OUT: CBT = 8/26, DG = 8/26, WL = 2/23. (medication, therapist, comorbidity-attrition bias). Therapy delivered by advanced doctoral students in clinical psychology.

Discussion group. Mean dose 12 sessions - Designed to be comparable to CBT in level of structure, with participants required to adhere to an agenda during sessions and with homework assignments of similar duration. Series of 12 discussions focused on topics known to be worry-provoking.

Group 3 N= 23

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 12

Results from this paper:

Moderate to large effect on Anxiety, depression, worry Improved remission & response rates compared to WLC No difference between CBT and discussion group.

Conclusions: Note the high attrition bias (those with history of psychotropic medication, those NOT taught by principal investigator had a higher attrition rate)

Characteristics of Excluded Studies

Reference ID	Reason for Exclusion
BAKHSHANI2007	Participants aged under 18
BOND2002a	Combination therapy

BONNE2003 Not a psychological intervention

BORKOVEC1987 DSM III used

BOYER2004 Pharmacological study
BUTLER1987 Diagnostic criteria

BUTLER1988 Co-morbidity and diagnostic criteria

CONRAD2008 Outcome measures not valid

DEN-BOER2007 GAD not primary diagnosis (only 6% had GAD)

DURHAM1987 Aged under 18 EVANS2008 Not RCT

GARCIA2004 Not GAD specific: related to all anxiety disorders

GATH1986 Not given a primary diagnosis of GAD

GOSSELIN2006 Outside the scope: discontinuation of medication with psychological

treatment

JANNOUN1982 Diagnostic criteria KIM2006a Pharmacological data

LINDEN2002 In german

LINDSAY1987b DSM-III diagnosis

MOHLMAN2003b N<10

RUINI2006 Outcome measures not viable. Also in Italian.

STANLEY2003A Only 6 participants in each condition

VAN-BOEIJEN2005 GAD not primary diagnosis

 WELLS2006
 Non-RCT

 WHITE1992
 Non-RCT

 YONG2009
 Non-RCT

References of Included Studies

(Published Data Only)

Kenardy, J.A., Dow, M.G.T., Johnston, D.W., et al. (2003) A comparison of delivery methods of cognitive-behavioural therapy for panic disorder: an international multicentre trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 71, 1068-1075

ARNTZ2003

(Published Data Only)

Arntz, A. (2003) Cognitive therapy versus applied relaxation as treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 41, 633-646.

BARLOW1992

(Published Data Only)

Barlow, D. H., Rapee, R. M., & Brown, T. A. (1992) Behavioral treatment of generalized anxiety disorder, Behavior Therapy, 23, 551-570.

BERG2009

(Published Data Only)

Berg, A. L., Sandell, R., & Sandahl, C. (2009) Affect-focused body psychotherapy in patients with generalized anxiety disorder: Evaluation of an integrative method, Journal of Psychotherapy Integration, 19, 67-85.

BORKOVEC1993

(Published Data Only)

Borkovec, T. D. & Costello, E. (1993) Efficacy of applied relaxation and cognitive-behavioral therapy in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology, 61, 611-619.

BORKOVEC2002

(Published Data Only)

Borkovec, T. D., Newman, M. G., Pincus, A. L., et al. (2002) A component analysis of cognitive-behavioral therapy for generalized anxiety disorder and the role of interpersonal problems. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 70, 288-298.

BUTLER1991

(Published Data Only)

Butler, G., Fennell, M., Robson, P., et al. (1991) Comparison of behavior therapy and cognitive behavior therapy in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 59, 167-175.

Crits-Christoph2005

(Published Data Only)

Crits-Christoph, P., Connolly Gibbons, M. B., Narducci, J, et al. (2005) Interpersonal problems and the outcome of interpersonally oriented psychodynamic treatment of GAD. Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training, 2, 211-224.

DUGAS2003

(Published Data Only)

*Dugas, M., Ladouceur, R., Leger, E., et al. (2003) Group cognitive-behavioral therapy for generalized anxiety disorder: Treatment outcome and long-term follow-up. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 71, 821-825.

DUGAS2009A

(Published Data Only)

Dugas, M.J., Brillon, P., Savard, P., et al. (2009) A randomized clinical trial of cognitive-behavioral therapy and applied relaxation for adults with generalized anxiety disorder, Behavior Therapy, 10, 1-13.

Durham1994

(Published Data Only)

Durham, R. C., Fisher, P. L., & Treliving, L. R. (1999) One year follow-up of cognitive therapy, analytic psychotherapy and anxiety management training for generalized anxiety disoder: Symptom change, medication usage and attitudes to treatment. Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 27, 19-35.

**Durham, R. C., Murphy, T. Allan, T., et al. (1994) Cognitive therapy, analytic psychotherapy and anxiety management training for generalized anxiety disorder, British Journal of Pscyhiatry, 165, 315-323.

DURHAM2004

(Published Data Only)

Durham, R. C., Fisher, P. L., Dow, M. G. T., et al. (2004) Cognitive behaviour therapy for good and poor prognosis generalized anxiety disorder: A clinical effectiveness study. Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, 11, 145-157.

HOYER2009

(Published Data Only)

Hoyer, J., Beesdo, K., Gloster, A. T., et al. (2009) Worry exposure versus applied relaxation in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 78, 106-115.

JANBOZORGI2009

(Published Data Only)

Janbozorgi, M., Zahirodin, A., Norri, N., et al. (2009) Providing emotional stability through relaxation training. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal, 15, 629-638.

LADOUCEUR2000

(Published Data Only)

Ladouceur, R., Dugas, M. J., Freeston, M. H., et al. (2000) Efficacy of a cognitive-behavioral treatment for generalized anxiety disorder: Evaluation in a controlled clinical trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 68, 957-964.

LEICHSENRING2009 (Published Data Only)

Leichsenring, F., Salzer, S., Jaeger, U., et al. (2009) Short-term psychodynamic psychotherapy and cognitive-behavioral therapy in generalized anxiety disorder: A randomized, controlled trial. American Journal of Psychiatry, 166, 875-881.

LINDEN2005 (Published Data Only)

Linden, M., Zubraegel, D., Baer, T., et al. (2005) Efficacy of cognitive behaviour therapy in generalized anxiety disorders. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 74, 36-42.

MOHLMAN2003a (Published Data Only)

Mohlman, J., Gorenstein, E.E., Kleber, M., et al. (2003) Standard and enhanced cognitive-behaviour therapy for late-life generalized anxiety disorder: Two pilot investigations. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 11, 24-32.

OST2000 (Published Data Only)

Ost, L. G. & Breitholtz, E. (2000) Applied relaxation vs. cognitive therapy in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 38, 777-790.

REZVAN2008 (Published Data Only)

Rezvan, S., Baghban, I., Bahrami, F., et al. (2008) A comparison of cognitive-behavior therapy with interpersonal and cognitive behavior therapy in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Counselling Psychology Quarterly, 21, 309-321.

ROEMER2008 (Published Data Only)

Roemer, L., Orsillo, S. M., & Salters-Pedneault, K. (2008) Efficacy of an acceptance-based behavior therapy for generalized anxiety disorder: Evaluation in a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 76, 1083-1089.

STANLEY1996 (Published Data Only)

Stanley, M. A., Beck, J. G., & Glassco, J. D. (1996) Treatment of generalized anxiety in older adults: A preliminary comparison of cognitive-behavioral and supportive approaches. Behavior Therapy, 27, 565-581.

STANLEY2003B (Published Data Only)

Stanley, M. A., Beck, J. G., Novy, D. M., et al. (2003) Cognitive-behavioral treatment of late-life generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology, 71, 309-319.

STANLEY2009 (Published Data Only)

Stanley, M. A., Wilson, N. L., Novy, D. M., et al. (2009) Cognitive behavior therapy for generalized anxiety disorder among older adults in primary care a randomized clinical trial. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 301, 1460-1467.

WELLS2010 (Published Data Only)

Wells, A., Welford, M., King, P., et al. (2010) A pilot randomized trial of metacognitive therapy vs applied relaxation in the treatment of adults with generalized anxiety disorder. Behavior Research and Therapy, 1, 1-6.

WESTRA2009 (Published Data Only)

Westra, H. A., Arkowitz, H., & Dozois, D. J. A. (2009) Adding a motivational interviewing pretreatment to cognitive behaviour therapy for generalized anxiety disorder: A preliminary randomized controlled trial. Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 23, 1106-1117.

WETHERELL2003 (Published Data Only)

Wetherell, J. L., Gatz, M., & Craske, M. G. (2003) Treatment of generalized anxiety disorder in older adults. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 71, 31-40.

References of Excluded Studies

BAKHSHANI2007 (Published Data Only)

Bakhshani, N. M., Lashkaripour, K., & Sadjadi, S. A. (2007) Effectiveness of short term cognitive behavior therapy in patients with generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Medical Sciences, 7, 1076-1081.

BOND2002a (Published Data Only)

Bond, A.J., Wingrove, J., Curran, H.V., & Lader, M.H. (2002) Treatment of generalised anxiety disorder with a short course of psychological therapy, combined with busprione or placebo. Journal of Affective Disorders, 72, 267-271.

BONNE2003 (Published Data Only)

Bonne, O., Shemer, Y., Gorali, Y., et al. (2003) A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of classical homeopathy in generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 64, 282-287.

BORKOVEC1987 (Published Data Only)

Borkovec, T. D., Mathews, A. M., Chambers, a., et al. (1987) The effects of relaxation training with cognitive or non-directive therapy and the role of relaxation-induced anxiety in the treatment of generalized anxiety. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 55, 883-888.

BOYER2004 (Published Data Only)

Boyer, P., Mahe, V., Hackett, D., et al. (2004) Social adjustment of generalized anxiety disorder: A long-term, placebo-controlled study of venlafaxine extended release. European Psychiatry, 19, 272-279.

BUTLER1987 (Published Data Only)

Butler, G., Cullington, A., Hibbert, G., et al. (1987) Anxiety management for persistent generalised anxiety. British Journal of Psychiatry, 151, 535-542.

BUTLER1988 (Published Data Only)

Butler, G. & Anastasiades, P. (1988) Predicting response to anxiety management in patients with generalized anxiety disorder. Behaviour Research Therapy, 26, 531-534.

CONRAD2008 (Published Data Only)

Conrad, A., Isaac, L., & Roth, W. T. (2008) The psychophysiology of generalized anxiety disorder: 2. Effects of applied relaxation. Psychophysiology, 45, 377-388.

DEN-BOER2007 (Published Data Only)

Den Boer, P., Wersm, D., Vaarwerk, I., et al. (2007) Cognitive self-therapy for chronic depression and anxiety: A multicentre randomized controlled study. Psychological Medicine, 37, 329-339.

DURHAM1987 (Published Data Only)

Durham, R. C. & Turvey, A. A. (1987) Cognitive therapy vs. behaviour therapy in treatment of chronic general anxiety. Behaviour Research Therapy, 25, 229-234.

EVANS2008 (Published Data Only)

Evans, S., Ferrando, S., Findler. A., et al. (2008) Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 22, 716-721.

FAVA2005 (Published Data Only)

Fava, G. A., Ruini, C., Rafanelli, C., et al. (2005) Well-being therapy of generalized anxiety disorder. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 74, 26-30.

FEDERICA2010 (Unpublished Data Only)

Federica, P., Davide, A., Claudia, R., et al. (2010) Biofeedback virtual reality and mobile phones in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: A phase-2 controlled clinical trial. Journal of Cybertherapy and Rehabilitation (in press)

GARCIA2004 (Published Data Only)

Garcia, M. S. (2004) Effectiveness of cognitive behaviour group therapy in patients with anxiety disorders. Psychology in Spain, 8, 89-97

GATH1986 (Published Data Only)

Gath, D. & Catalan, J. (1986) The treatment of emotional disorders in general practice: psychological methods versus medication. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 30, 381-386.

GOSSELIN2006 (Published Data Only)

Gosselin, P., Ladouceur, R., Morin, C.M., et al. (2006) Benzodiazepine discontinuation among adults with GAD: A randomized trial of Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy. Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology, 74, 908-919.

JANNOUN1982 (Published Data Only)

Jannuon, L., Oppenheimer, C., & Gelder, M. (1982) A self-help treatment program for anxiety state patients. Behaviour Therapy, 13, 103-111.

KIM2006a (Published Data Only)

Kim, T-S, Pae, C-U, Yoon, S-J., et al. (2006) Comparison of venlafaxine extended release versus paroxetine for treatment of patients with generalized anxiety disorder. Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 60, 347-351.

LINDEN2002 (Published Data Only)

Linden, M., Bar, T., Zubragel, D., et al. (2002) Effectiveness of the cognitive behavior therapy in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorders - Results of the Berlin GAD-KVT-study. Verhaltenstherapie., 12.

LINDSAY1987b (Published Data Only)

Lindsay, W.R., Gamsu, C.V., McLaughlin, E., et al. (1987) A controlled trial of treatments for generalized anxiety. British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 26, 3-15.

MOHLMAN2003b (Published Data Only)

Mohlman, J., Kleber, M., & Gorman, J. M. (2003) Standard and enhanced cognitive-behavior therapy for late-life generalized anxiety disorder, American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 11, 24-32.

RUINI2006 (Published Data Only)

Ruini, C., Rafanelli, C., Belaise, C., et al. (2006) Well-Being Therapy of generalized anxiety disorder: A controlled clinical trial. Rivista di Psichiatria, 41, 26-30.

STANLEY2003A (Published Data Only)

Stanley, M. A., Hopko, D. R., Diefenbach, G. J., et al. (2003) Cognitive-behavior therapy for late-life generalized anxiety disorder in primary care: Preliminary findings. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 11, 92-96.

VAN-BOEIJEN2005 (Published Data Only)

Van Boeijen, C. A., Oppen, P. V., Van Balkan, A., et al. (2005) Treatment of anxiety disorders in primary care practice. British journal of general practice, 55, 763-769.

WELLS2006 (Published Data Only)

Wells, A. & King, P. (2006) Metacognitive therapy for generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry, 37, 206-212.

WHITE1992 (Published Data Only)

White, J., Keenan, M., & Brooks, N. (1992) Stress control: A controlled comparative investigation of large group therapy for generalized anxiety disorder. Behavioural Psychotherapy, 20, 97-113.

YONG2009 (Published Data Only)

Yong, W. K., Lee, S. H., Tae, K. C., et al. (2009) Effectiveness of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy as an adjuvant to pharmacotherapy in patients with panic disorder or generalized anxiety disorder. Depression and Anxiety, 26, 601-606.

© NCCMH. All rights reserved.