**2019 surveillance – Hip fracture: management (2011) NICE guideline CG124**

**Stakeholder consultation comments form - proposal ‘for a partial update’**

Consultation on the proposal ‘for a partial update’opens at: 9am, on Friday, 30 August 2019

Comments on proposal to be submitted: no later than 5pm, on Friday, 12 September 2019

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Please enter the name of your registered stakeholder or respondent organisation below.**  Please use this form for submitting your comments to NICE.   1. Please put each new comment in a new row. 2. Please note – we cannot accept comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets. If we receive forms with attachments we will return them without reading the comments. If you resubmit the comments on a form without attachments, this must be by the consultation deadline. 3. If you wish to draw our attention to published studies, please supply the full reference. 4. If you’re commenting for an organisation, your organisation needs to be [registered as a stakeholder](https://www.nice.org.uk/get-involved/stakeholder-registration).   Not eligible? Contact [the registered stakeholder organisation](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg124/update/cg124-update-1/documents/stakeholder-list-2) that most closely represents your interests and pass your comments to them.  We can accept comments from individuals. These will be considered, but you won’t get a formal response and they won’t be posted on the NICE website. Wherever possible we encourage you to submit your comments through a registered stakeholder organisation. | |
| Organisation name – Stakeholder or respondent  (if you are commenting as an individual rather than a registered stakeholder or respondent organisation, please leave blank): |  |
| Disclosure  Please disclose whether the organisation has any past or current, direct or indirect links to, or receives funding from, the tobacco industry. |  |
| Name of commentator: |  |

[Developing NICE guidelines: the manual](https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg20/chapter/1-introduction-and-overview) gives an overview of the processes used in surveillance reviews of NICE clinical guidelines.

| **ID** | **Questions** | **Overall response**  yes / no | **Comments**  Please insert each new comment in a new row |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposal for a partial update the guideline? |  |  |
| 2 | Do you have any comments on areas excluded from the scope of the guideline? |  |  |
| 3 | Do you have any comments on equalities issues? |  |  |
| 4 | Recommendation 1.6.4 suggests using a proven femoral stem design rather than Austin Moore or Thompson stems. New evidence indicates that the older Thompson hemiarthroplasty may be clinically equivalent to the Exeter/unitrax stem and head. This new evidence contradicts the current guideline recommendation 1.6.4 and as such an update is proposed.   1. Is there any other new evidence we need to be aware of in relation to this recommendation? 2. Are there any issues for clinical practice with this current recommendation that need to be considered alongside the evidence? | a.  b. | a.  b. |
| 5 | Recommendation 1.6.2 and 1.6.3 provide advice on total hip replacements. New evidence from 2 small RCTs indicates that total hip replacement and hemiarthroplasty may be equivalent. This new evidence does not appear sufficient to change recommendations 1.6.2 and 1.6.3 and as such no update is proposed.   1. Is there any other new evidence we need to be aware of in relation to these recommendations? 2. Are there any issues for clinical practice with these current recommendations that need to be considered alongside the evidence? | a.  b. | a.  b. |

**Please email this form to:** [**surveillance@nice.org.uk**](mailto:surveillance@nice.org.uk)

**Closing date: 5pm, on Friday, 12 September 2019**

**PLEASE NOTE:**

NICE reserves the right to summarise and edit comments received during consultations, or not to publish them at all, if NICE’s reasonable opinion is that the comments are voluminous, publication would be unlawful or publication would be otherwise inappropriate.