# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

### **Centre for Clinical Practice**

#### Review consultation document

# Review of Clinical Guideline (CG83) - Rehabilitation after critical illness

# **Background information**

Guideline issue date: 2009

3 year review: 2012

National Collaborating Centre: Centre for Clinical Practice

### 1. Consideration of the evidence

#### Literature search

From a high-level randomised control trial (RCT) search, new evidence was identified relating to the following clinical areas within the guideline:

- Different rehabilitation strategies/programmes for adult patients.
- Optimal time for initiating or delivering rehabilitation strategies/programmes to adult patients.

Through this stage of the process, a sufficient number of studies (6) relevant to the above clinical areas were identified to allow an assessment for a proposed review decision.

No additional clinical area was identified from initial intelligence gathering, qualitative feedback from other NICE departments, and the views expressed

CG 83: Rehabilitation after critical illness

by the Guideline Development Group that required further focused literature searches.

The results of the high level RCT search are summarized in table 1 below and the references can be viewed in Appendix 1.

Table 1

Clinical area 1: Different rehabilitation strategies/programmes for adult patients.			
Clinical question	Summary of evidence	Relevance to guideline	
		recommendations	
Q: What are the clinical	Through the high level RCT search five studies relevant to the	No new evidence was	
effectiveness and cost-	clinical question were identified.	identified which would	
effectiveness of different	<ul> <li>One study<sup>1</sup> systematically reviewed the evidence and</li> </ul>	invalidate current	
rehabilitation	assessed the effects of protocolized weaning from	guideline	
strategies/programmes for adult	mechanical ventilation on the total duration of mechanical	recommendations.	
patients who have developed	ventilation for critically ill adults; ascertained differences		
physical and non-physical	between protocolized and non-protocolized weaning in		
morbidity including	terms of mortality, adverse events, quality of life, weaning		
psychological problems and	duration, intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital length of stay		
cognitive deficits following a	(LOS); and explored variation in outcomes by type of ICU,		
period of critical illness and	type of protocol and approach to delivering the protocol. The		
associated with their treatment	authors concluded that there is some evidence of a		
experience in critical care?	reduction in the duration of mechanical ventilation, weaning		

CG 83: Rehabilitation after critical illness

#### Relevant section of guideline

2.2 Rehabilitationstrategies/programmes

#### Recommendations

1.1.6, and 1.1.16 - 1.1.19

duration and ICU LOS with use of standardized protocols. However, with regard to this review, the authors commented that there was significant heterogeneity among studies and an insufficient number of studies to investigate the source of this heterogeneity.

- One study² investigated the hypothesis that nurse led follow-up programmes are effective and cost effective in improving quality of life after discharge from intensive care. The findings showed that a nurse led intensive care follow-up programme showed no evidence of being effective or cost effective versus standard care in improving patients' quality of life in the year after discharge from intensive care. The authors suggested that further work should focus on the roles of early physical rehabilitation, delirium, cognitive dysfunction, and relatives in recovery from critical illness.
- One study<sup>3</sup> investigated the effect of an individualised eightweek home-based physical rehabilitation program on

recovery. This individualised eight-week home-based physical rehabilitation program did not increase the underlying rate of recovery in this sample when compared to standard care, with both groups of critically ill survivors improving their physical function over the 26 weeks of follow-up. The authors suggested that further research should explore improving effectiveness of the intervention by increasing exercise intensity and frequency, and identifying individuals who would benefit most from this intervention.

 One study <sup>4</sup> evaluated whether a prospectively collected diary of a patient's intensive care unit (ICU) stay when used during convalescence following critical illness will reduce the development of new onset Post Traumatic Stress disorder (PTSD). The authors concluded that the provision of an ICU diary is effective in aiding psychological recovery and reducing the incidence of new PTSD.

	Summary	
	The results showed that protocolized weaning from mechanical	
	ventilation, nurse led follow-up programmes, and an individualised	
	eight-week home-based physical rehabilitation program were not	
	statistically significant and clinically effective when compared to	
	standard care. However, one study showed that provision of an	
	ICU diary may be effective but this is just a single small trial which	
	will require further validation to confirm these findings. Hence, this	
	evidence does not invalidate the current guideline	
	receommendations.	
Clinical area 2: Optimal time fo	or initiating or delivering rehabilitation strategies/programmes to	adult patients.
Clinical question	Summary of evidence	Relevance to guideline
		recommendations
Q: When is the optimal time for	Through the high level RCT search two studies relevant to the	No new evidence was
adult critical care rehabilitation?	clinical question were identified.	identified which would
This includes:		invalidate current
•\When is the optimal time for	<ul> <li>One study <sup>5</sup> assessed the efficacy of combining daily</li> </ul>	guideline

CG 83: Rehabilitation after critical illness

[19<sup>th</sup> April to 3<sup>rd</sup> May]

•When is the optimal time for

rehabilitation
strategies/programmes to adult
patients with physical and nonphysical morbidities including
psychological problems and
cognitive deficits following a
period of critical illness and
associated with their treatment
experience in critical care?

## Relevant section of guideline

2.2 Rehabilitationstrategies/programmes

## Recommendations

1.1.6, and 1.1.16 - 1.1.19

interruption of sedation with physical and occupational therapy on functional outcomes in patients receiving mechanical ventilation in intensive care. The findings showed that a strategy for whole-body rehabilitation - consisting of interruption of sedation and physical and occupational therapy in the earliest days of critical illness-was safe and well tolerated, and resulted in better functional outcomes at hospital discharge, a shorter duration of delirium, and more ventilator-free days compared with standard care.

One study <sup>6</sup> investigated whether a daily exercise session, using a bedside cycle ergometer, is a safe and effective intervention in preventing or attenuating the decrease in functional exercise capacity, functional status, and quadriceps force that is associated with a prolonged intensive care unit stay. The study showed that early exercise training in critically ill intensive care unit survivors

recommendations.

CG 83: Rehabilitation after critical illness

enhanced recovery of functional exercise capacity, selfperceived functional status, and muscle force at hospital discharge.

## **Summary**

Both the studies showed that commencing the interventions (physical and occupational therapy and a daily exercise session) early are beneficial to the patient, improve functional outcomes at hospital discharge and aid recovery of functional exercise capacity. This is in keeping the current guideline recomendations.

A few ongoing clinical trials (publication dates unknown) were identified focusing on rehabilitation following critical Illness, use of neuromuscular electrostimulation (NMES) for treatment or prevention of ICU-Associated weakness, rehabilitation among intensive care unit (ICU) survivors, impact of an aerobic exercise rehabilitation programme, evaluation of a rehabilitation complex intervention, and a trial of intensive versus standard physical rehabilitation therapy in the critically ill.

No evidence was identified that was relevant to research recommendations in the original guideline.

In conclusion, no identified new evidence contradicts current guideline recommendations.

# Guideline Development Group and National Collaborating Centre perspective

A questionnaire was distributed to GDG members and the National Collaborating Centre to consult them on the need for an update of the guideline. The questionnaire was designed to ask GDG members their opinion on the use of the current guideline, whether they are aware of any new literature relating to areas covered by the guideline, the potential to make better use of resources, the potential for avoiding unlawful discrimination and whether they feel an update of the guideline is required. Six responses were received. Three respondents indicated that there was no new relevant literature that potentially changes current recommendations. Three respondents mentioned new evidence on nurse led intensive care follow-up programmes for improving long term outcomes from critical illness, early physical and occupational therapy in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients, and further evidence supporting the importance of early mobilisation after critical illness. In terms of ongoing research relevant to the guideline, one

respondent did not identify any but five respondents identified the following trials:

- HTA for early physical therapy.
- CPAX study being carried by Eve Corner from Chelsea and Westminster.
- REVIVE study.
- RECOVER study
- REMAIC study on rehabilitating muscles after Intensive Care.
- NIHR for patient benefit study assessing mobilisation and amino acid supplementation.
- Aerobic exercise after critical illness.

Five respondents felt that there is insufficient variation in current practice and that the evidence available at this time does not warrant an update of the current guideline. But, one respondent said it should possibly be updated.

#### Implementation and post publication feedback

In total 12 enquiries were received from post-publication feedback, most of which were routine. Key themes included guidance in relation to the discharge of patients from accident and emergency departments; seeking help/resources for project work on improving post operative care, audit support of the guideline, plus requests for copyright permission, request on update of guideline.

This feedback did not contribute towards the development of the clinical questions as described above.

CG 83: Rehabilitation after critical illness

A field team implementation feedback report identified that the guidance was considered particularly difficult to implement, due mainly to the view that it required additional resources to implement the recommendations on follow-up. The guidance was therefore considered aspirational.

In summary, no new evidence was identified through post publication enquiries or implementation feedback that would indicate a need to update the guideline.

### Relationship to other NICE guidance

The following NICE guidance is related to CG83:

Guidance	Review date
CG 103: Delirium: diagnosis,	July 2013
prevention and management (July	
2010)	
CG 92: Reducing the risk of	January 2013
venous thromboembolism (deep	
vein thrombosis and pulmonary	
embolism) in patients admitted to	
hospital. (January 2010)	
00.440.0	
CG 113: Generalised anxiety	January 2014
disorder and panic disorder (with	
or without agoraphobia) in adults.	
(January 2011)	
CG90: Depression: the treatment	October 2012
and management of depression in	00.0001 2012
adults (update). (October 2009)	
dudito (apadito). (October 2009)	

CG42: Dementia: Supporting April 2012 people with dementia and their carers in health and social care. (November 2006) CG 56: Triage, assessment, An update of this guideline is currently in investigation and early the process of being scheduled management of head injury in infants, children and adults. (September 2007) February 2014 CG 48: Secondary prevention in primary and secondary care for patients following a myocardial infarction. (May 2007) CG 32: Nutrition support in adults: June 2014 oral nutrition support, enteral tube feeding and parenteral nutrition. (February 2006) CG 26: Post-traumatic stress July 2014 disorder (PTSD): The management of PTSD in adults and children in primary and secondary care. (March 2005) CG 68: Diagnosis and initial Currently under review (April 2012) management of acute stroke and

CG 83: Rehabilitation after critical illness

transient ischaemic attack (TIA).				
(July 2008)				
CardioQ-ODM (oesophageal	To be confirmed			
Doppler monitor). Medical				
technologies guidance. (March				
2010).				
Cardiac rehabilitation service.		To be confirmed		
Commissioning guide. (March				
2008)				
2000)				
Poloted NICE guidance not include	lad in (	2003		
Related NICE guidance not included in CG83  None				
Related NICE guidance in progress  Stroke rehabilitation. April 2012				
Stroke rehabilitation. Ap		2012		
Related NICE quality standard				
Stroke. Quality standard. June 2010.		Review date: TBC.		
[Specific quality measures: Ongoing				
inpatient rehabilitation and ongoing				
rehabilitation]				

## Anti-discrimination and equalities considerations

No evidence was identified to indicate that the guideline scope does not comply with anti-discrimination and equalities legislation. The original scope contains recommendations for adults with rehabilitation needs as a result of a period of critical illness.

#### Conclusion

CG 83: Rehabilitation after critical illness

No additional areas were identified through the process which were not covered in the original guideline scope or would indicate a significant change in clinical practice. There are no factors described above which would invalidate or change the direction of current guideline recommendations. The rehabilitation after critical illness guideline should not be updated at this time.

# 2. Review recommendation

The guideline should not be updated at this time.

The guideline will be reviewed again according to current processes.

Centre for Clinical Practice 16.04.12

# Appendix I

- 1. Blackwood B, Alderdice F, Burns-Karen EA et al. (2010) Protocolized versus non-protocolized weaning for reducing the duration of mechanical ventilation in critically ill adult patients. fff .
- Cuthbertson BH, Rattray J, Campbell MK et al. (2009) The PRaCTICaL study of nurse led, intensive care follow-up programmes for improving long term outcomes fromcritical illness: A pragmatic randomised controlled trial. BMJ 339:1016.
- 3. Elliott D, McKinley S, Alison J et al. (2011) Health-related quality of life and physical recovery after a critical illness: A multi-centre randomised controlled trial of a home-based physical rehabilitation program. Critical Care 15.
- 4. Jones C, Backman C, Capuzzo M et al. (2010) Intensive care diaries reduce new onset post traumatic stress disorder following critical illness: a randomised, controlled trial. Critical Care (London, England) 14:R168.
- 5. Schweickert WD, Pohlman MC, Pohlman AS et al. (30-5-2009) Early physical and occupational therapy in mechanically ventilated, critically ill patients: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 373:1874-1882.
- 6. Burtin C, Clerckx B, Robbeets C et al. (2009) Early exercise in critically ill patients enhances short-term functional recovery. Critical Care Medicine 37:2499-2505.