Appendix D - Clinical Evidence Extractions

Question: What are the education and information needs in adults presenting with chest pain to optimise their understanding of the diagnostic process and their participation in decisions about their investigations? Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Arnold J;Goodacre S;Bath P;Price J;

Information sheets for patients with acute chest pain: randomised controlled trial

| Ref 25415 ID | Brit Med | J | pgs: | b541 | to ^k | 546 2 | 2009 | | |
|--|-----------|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | I | Fundiı | ng | Health Foun Leadership F | dation Practice Award | | |
| Number of part | ticipants | Intervention group, n=349; Control gr | oup n= | 351. T | otal | n=700. | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | usion | Subjects were patients who were investigated for chest pain of possible cardiac origin, were aged over 25, had no changes for acute coronary syndrome on a diagnostic electrocardiogram, had no suspected life threatening non-cardiac disease and did not have known coronary heart disease presenting with recurrent or prolonged episodes of cardiac type chest pain. Patients were excluded if they were unable to read or comprehend the trial documentation. | | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics The study population had a mean age of 48.6 years, and 61.6% were men. Information sheets were deemed suitable for 19 patients with a diagnosis of (mean age 69,58% men) 162 with a diagnosis of definite benign non-cardiac (mean age 43, 65% men), 61 with a diagnosis of uncertain cause requiring f cardiology investigation (mean age 52, 49% men), and 458 with a diagnosis uncertain cause suitable for expectant management (mean age 49, 62% me | | | | | | osis of angina cardiac pain uiring further gnosis of | | | |
| Recruitment | | The aim was to recruit 700 consecutive patients who had been investigated for suspected acute coronary syndrome. The chest pain nurses identified eligible patients. | | | | | | | |
| Setting | | Chest pain unit, emergency centre, S | Sheffield | ł | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Factor being investigated | Test/ | The objective was to determine wheth with acute hest pain reduces anxiety, satisfaction with care or alters subsect information sheets were developed: chest pain, uncertain cause requiring cause suitable for expectant manage | , improv quent sy definite further | ves hea ympton angina | lth re ns oi a, de | elated quality ractions. Fou finite benign r | of life, improves ir separate non-cardiac | | |
| Comparisons | | This study compared those receiving advice and an information sheet. | This study compared those receiving standard verbal advice with those receiving advice and an information sheet. | | | | | | |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | ly/ | One month after recruitment all patien Questionnaires were resent to non-re | | | | | | | |
| Outcome meas studied | ures | The primary outcome was scores on the anxiety subscale of the hospital anxiety an depression scale. Secondary outcomes included the depression and SF-36 scores;satisfaction;further symptoms; life style changes | | | | | | | |
| Results | | 494 of 700 (70.6%) responses. Compared with those receiving standard verbal advice those receiving advice and an information sheet had significantly lower anxie scores 7.61 versus 8.63 (95% Cl 0.20 to 1.84, p=0.015) and depression scores 4.14 versus 5.28 (95% Cl 0.41 to 1.86, p=0.002). On the anxiety subscale, intervention was associated with a shift from mild or moderate anxiety to no anxiety; on the depression subscale the intervention was associated with a shift for moderate and also a reduction in the proportion with moderate depression. The number needed to treat to avoid one case of anxiety wa 9.0 and the NNT for depression was 13.1. Patients in the intervention group had significantly higher scores for mental health (p<0.007) and general health perceptior (p<0.006) on the SF-36 than those in the control group. There were no other significant differences between the two groups. | | | | | | | |
| Safety and adv effects | verse | None reported | | | | | | | |
| 15 September 200 |)9 | Page 3 of 199 | | | | | | | |

| Does the study answer the question? | Provision of an information sheet to patients with acute chest pain can reduce anxiety and depression and improve mental health and perception of general health but does not alter satisfaction with care or other outcomes. The authors of the study conclude that as the information sheets are simple to administer and outcomes were on balance positive, the use of these sheets should be recommended in patients receiving diagnostic assessment for acute chest pain. |
|--|---|
| Effect due to factor in study? | There are some limitations which may bias the outcome of this study: it is not blinded; there was a 30% non response rate to the questionnaire; there was potential for contamination between groups by the nurses giving the information on the information sheet verbally to the control group. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | There are no other studies in this field. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | This study population excluded all patients who could not read English. Thus it may not be generalisable to all individuals with chest pain. |
| Internal Validity | Subjects are not blinded; 29% non response |

- Question: What is the incremental benefit and cost effectiveness of a
 - clinical history, risk factors and physical examination in evaluation of individuals with acute chest pain of suspected cardiac origin?

High-quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a very low risk of bias

Bruyninckx R;Aertgeerts B;Bruyninckx P;Buntinx F;

Signs and symptoms in diagnosing acute myocardial infarction and acute coronary syndrome: a diagnostic metaanalysis

| Ref 10251 ID | Br J Gen | Pract | pgs: | e1 | to | e8 | 2008 | |
|--|-----------|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Study Type | | natic Review | | Fund | - | | ot reported | |
| Inclusion/Exclu | • | 28 prospective and retrospective obs Studies had to describe at least 1 of | | | | | otoms for diagnosing ACS | |
| Criteria | | or AMI, and based on original data | | C | | | | |
| Patient Charac | teristics | Patients with signs and symptoms fo ACS. | or the d | iagnos | is of | acut | e MI, unstable angina or | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | | | |
| Setting | | Secondary and primary care | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Factor being investigated | Test/ | The signs and symptoms considered were pain in left arm and/or shoulder, pain in right arm and/or shoulder, pain in both arms, pain in neck, pain in back, epigastric pain, oppressive pain, vomiting and/or nausea, sweating or absence of chest wall tenderness | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | Signs and symptoms to diagnose ch | est pai | n | | | | |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | ly/ | | | | | | | |
| Outcome meas studied | ures | | | | | | | |
| Results | | The results of the meta-analysis sho highly sensitive for AMI and ACS (92 the patient presented with pain on pa reduced (LR- 0.23 and 0.17 respecti had a sensitivity of 60% and specific likelihood of the patient having an AI the study had lower sensitivity and s exclude an AMI or ACS. | 2 % and alpatior vely). T ity of 5 VI. The | d 94% n the cl he ana 8% an other | resp hanc alysis d ha sign | ectiv e of s sho d alm s and | ely). It was seen that when an AMI or ACS was greatly bwed that oppressive pain nost no influence on the d symptoms considered in | |
| | | The sensitivity of absence of tenderr 96.4) for acute myocardial infarction coronary syndrome. Oppressive pair 53.7 to 66.0 for acute myocardial infa 2.92 (95% CI = 1.97 to 4.32 for acute shoulder pain was 2.89 (95% CI = 1. study). The other LR+ fluctuated bet syndrome. Absence of tenderness h acute myocardial infarction and 0.17 syndrome. Other LR- varied betwee myocardial infarction) and 0.98 (epig | and 94 arction) e myoc 40 to 5 ween 1 ad a LF (95% 0 n 0.69 | 95 (95) ved with ardial 5.98) fo .05 an R- of 0. CI = 0. (oppre | % Cl h a s ating infare or act d 1.4 .23 (9 .23 (9 .11 to essive | $ = 9^{\circ}$ ensit had ction ute m 19 for 95% 0.20 e pair | 1.4 to 96.1) for acute tivity of 60% (95% CI = the highest LR+, namely). The LR+ of right arm or hyocardial infarction (one r acute coronary CI = 0.18 to 0.29) for 6) for acute coronary n and sweating for acute | |
| Safety and adv effects | erse | None reported | | | | | | |

Does the study answer the question?

| 1? | 5606 papers were initially identified of these 28 papers met the inclusion criteria for the use of 10 signs and symptoms, the studies included were prospective and retrospective observational studies, more than half of the studies were published since Mant et al's selection for the HTA published in 2004. A total of 46,908 patients were included in the review. The signs and symptoms considered were pain in left arm and/or shoulder, pain in right arm and/or shoulder, pain in both arms, pain in neck, pain in back, epigastric pain, oppressive pain, vomiting and/or nausea, sweating or absence of chest wall tenderness. Of the 28 papers, 11 were set in the emergency department, 10 were set in coronary care unit or the patients had been admitted to hospital, 3 were on the paramedics in an ambulance, 2 were set in GPs, 1 was carried out by a cardiologist and 1 was in a chest pain observational unit. 16 of the studies had non-selected patients, 11 had selected patients and 1 was from a chest pain observation unit. Selected patients were those who were recruited by coronary care units and cardiologists. All studies included patients had chest pain, in two studies patients also had pulmonary oedema. The mean age of the participants in all the studies was 53-71 years old, and the % of males was from 40-71%. |
|----|--|
| | The results of the meta-analysis showed that absence of chest wall tenderness was highly sensitive for AMI and ACS (92 % and 94% respectively). It was seen that when the patient presented with pain on palpation the chance of an AMI or ACS was greatly reduced (LR- 0.23 and 0.17 respectively). The analysis showed that |

greatly reduced (LR- 0.23 and 0.17 respectively). The analysis showed that oppressive pain had a sensitivity of 60% and specificity of 58% and had almost no influence on the likelihood of the patient having an AMI. The other signs and symptoms considered in the study had lower sensitivity and specificity and therefore could not be used to exclude an AMI or ACS.

The sensitivity of absence of tenderness was high, namely 92% (95% CI = 85.5 to 96.4) for acute myocardial infarction and 94% (95% CI = 91.4 to 96.1) for acute coronary syndrome. Oppressive pain followed with a sensitivity of 60% (95% CI = 53.7 to 66.0 for acute myocardial infarction). Sweating had the highest LR+, namely 2.92 (95% CI = 1.97 to 4.32 for acute myocardial infarction). The LR+ of right arm or shoulder pain was 2.89 (95% CI = 1.40 to 5.98) for acute myocardial infarction (one study). The other LR+ fluctuated between 1.05 and 1.49 for acute coronary syndrome. Absence of tenderness had a LR- of 0.23 (95% CI = 0.18 to 0.29) for acute myocardial infarction and 0.17 (95% CI = 0.11 to 0.26) for acute coronary syndrome. Other LR- varied between 0.69 (oppressive pain and sweating for acute myocardial infarction) and 0.98 (epigastric pain) for acute coronary syndrome.

The authors concluded that it was not possible to define an important role for signs and symptoms in the diagnosis of AMI or ACS. Only chest wall tenderness on palpation largely ruled out AMI or ACS.

See tables in guideline for detailed results. (NB pleuritic pain not considered).

Effect due to factor in Yes study? Consistency of Corresults with other

studies?

Directly applicable to Correct population guideline population?

Consistent

Internal Validity

Mant J;McManus RJ;Oakes RL;Delaney BC;Barton PM;Deeks JJ;Hammersley L;Davies RC;Davies MK;Hobbs FR;

Systematic review and modelling of the investigation of acute and chronic chest pain presenting in primary care

| Ref 728 ID | Health technology assessment | pgs: 1 to | 158 2004 |
|---------------|------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Study Type | Systematic Review | Funding | NHS R&D Health Technology Assessment Programme |

| Number of participants | 21 observational studies |
|--|---|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Papers used at least one of the signs and symptoms in the diagnosis of chest pain |
| Patient Characteristics | |
| Recruitment | |
| Setting | 8 secondary care, 10 A&E, 3 primary secondary care |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | The signs and symptoms considered were pluritic pain, sharp pain, positional pain, pain on palpation, crushing pain, central pain, left-sided radiation pain, right-sided radiation pain, any radiation of pain, pain duration of longer than 1 hour, previous MI/angina, nausea/vomiting, sweating, pulmonary crackles, systolic blood pressure under 80 mmHg or a third heart sound |
| Comparisons | Signs and symptoms to diagnose chest pain |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | |
| Outcome measures studied | |
| Results | None of the signs and symptoms in isolation were found to be particularly useful: no sign or symptom achieved an LR of <0.1 or >10.22 Indeed, only one of the upper limits of the 95% CIs exceeded 10 – for right-sided radiation of pain in diagnosis of ACS – which was based on only one study. Similarly, only one of the lower limits (for pain on palpation) was <0.1. The results for presence of a sign or symptom (LR+) were more informative than those for the absence of a symptom or sign (LR–) which were non-contributory to making a diagnosis in every case. Systolic hypotension, the presence of a third heart sound and right-sided radiation of chest pain, achieved the highest positive LRs (LR+ $3.21-2.59$) for diagnosis of MI. Where the reference standard was MI or unstable angina, right-sided radiation was associated with a higher positive LR (6.68). Clinical features most helpful in ruling out the diagnosis were the presence of pleuritic, sharp or positional pain, and pain produced by palpation (LR+ $0.19-0.32$). It should be noted that there was considerable heterogeneity in the results, particularly (although not exclusively) for the negative LRs. This makes the summary statistics difficult to interpret. Nevertheless, there is no evidence that any single symptom or sign taken in isolation is of much value in the diagnosis of acute chest pain. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | 10862 papers were initially identified of these 21 papers met the inclusion criteria for the use of 16 difference clinical signs and symptoms. A total of 38638 patients were included in the review. The signs and symptoms considered were pleuritic pain, sharp pain, positional pain, pain on palpation, crushing pain, central pain, left-sided radiation pain, right-sided radiation pain, any radiation of pain, pain duration of longer than 1 hour, previous Ml/angina, nausea/vomiting, sweating, pulmonary crackles, systolic blood pressure under 80 mmHg or a third heart sound. Of the 21 papers, 8 were set in secondary care, 10 in A&E, and 3 in primary and secondary care. The mean age of the participants in all the studies was 50-73 years old, and the % of males was from 50-71%. |
| | None of these in isolation were found to be particularly useful: no sign or symptom achieved an LR of <0.1 or >10.22 Indeed, only one of the upper limits of the 95% CIs exceeded 10 – for right-sided radiation of pain in diagnosis of ACS – which was based on only one study. Similarly, only one of the lower limits (for pain on palpation) was <0.1. The results for presence of a sign or symptom (LR+) were more informative than those for the absence of a symptom or sign (LR–) which were non-contributory to making a diagnosis in every case. Systolic hypotension, the presence of a third heart sound and right-sided radiation of chest pain, achieved the highest positive LRs (LR+ $3.21-2.59$) for diagnosis of MI. Where the reference standard was MI or unstable angina, right-sided radiation was associated with a higher positive LR (6.68). Clinical features most helpful in ruling out the diagnosis were the presence of pleuritic, sharp or positional pain, and pain produced by palpation (LR+ $0.19-0.32$). It |

| | should be noted that there was cons (although not exclusively) for the ne difficult to interpret. Nevertheless, th sign taken in isolation is of much va | egative L here is r | .Rs. Th no evid | nis ma ence t | kes the su hat any si | ummary statistics ngle symptom or |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | |
| Mant J;McManus RJ;Oakes | RL;Delaney BC;Barton PM;Deeks JJ | ;Hamme | ersley | L;Davi | es RC;Da | vies MK;Hobbs FR; |
| Systematic review and mode | elling of the investigation of acute and | d chronie | c ches | t pain | presenting | g in primary care |
| Ref 728 Health te ID | chnology assessment | pgs: | 1 | to 1 | 58 | 2004 |
| Study Type System | natic Review | | Func | ling | | D Health ogy Assessment me |
| Number of participants | 21 Cohort studies studies | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | papers used at least one of the sigr | ns and s | ympto | ms in | the diagno | osis of chest pain |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | 8 secondary care, 10 A&E, 3 prima | ry&seco | ndary | care | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | ctor being pain on palpation, crushing pain, central pain, left-sided radiation pain, right-sided | | | | | |
| Comparisons | Signs and symptoms to diagnose c | hest pai | n | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | | |
| Results 15 September 2009 | None of the signs and symptoms in sign or symptom achieved an LR of limits of the 95% CIs exceeded 10 - ACS – which was based on only on pain on palpation) was <0.1. The re were more informative than those for were non-contributory to making a co- presence of a third heart sound and highest positive LRs (LR+ 3.21–2.5 standard was MI or unstable angina higher positive LR (6.68). Clinical fer were the presence of pleuritic, shar palpation (LR+ 0.19–0.32). It should heterogeneity in the results, particul LRs. This makes the summary stati Page 9 of 199 | <0.1 or for righter study. sults for the abdiagnosidal right-side study. for diagnosidal right-side study. | >10.2 simila prese osence s in ev ded ra agnosi ided ra most h itional ed tha hough | 2 Inde d radia arly, or ence of e of a s very ca diation s of M adiatio pain, t there not es | ed, only c ation of pa hly one of f a sign or symptom c se. Systo n of chest II. Where n was ass in ruling o and pain p was cons kclusively) | one of the upper in in diagnosis of the lower limits (for symptom (LR+) or sign (LR-) which lic hypotension, the pain, achieved the the reference sociated with a ut the diagnosis produced by siderable for the negative |

| | evidence that any single symptom or sign taken in isolation is of much value in the diagnosis of acute chest pain. | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | 10862 papers were initially identified of these 21 papers met the inclusion criteria for the use of 16 difference clinical signs and symptoms. A total of 38638 patients were included in the review. The signs and symptoms considered were pluritic pain, sharp pain, positional pain, pain on palpation, crushing pain, central pain, left-sided radiation pain, right-sided radiation pain, any radiation of pain, pain duration of longer than 1 hour, previous MI/angina, nausea/vomiting, sweating, pulmonary crackles, systolic blood pressure under 80 mmHg or a third heart sound. Of the 21 papers, 8 were set in secondary care, 10 in A&E, and 3 in primary and secondary care. The mean age of the participants in all the studies was 50-73 years old, and the % of males was from 50-71%. | | | | |
| | None of these in isolation were found to be particularly useful: no sign or symptom achieved an LR of <0.1 or >10.22 Indeed, only one of the upper limits of the 95% CIs exceeded 10 – for right-sided radiation of pain in diagnosis of ACS – which was based on only one study. Similarly, only one of the lower limits (for pain on palpation) was <0.1. The results for presence of a sign or symptom (LR+) were more informative than those for the absence of a symptom or sign (LR–) which were noncontributory to making a diagnosis in every case. Systolic hypotension, the presence of a third heart sound and right-sided radiation of chest pain, achieved the highest positive LRs (LR+ $3.21-2.59$) for diagnosis of MI. Where the reference standard was MI or unstable angina, right-sided radiation was associated with a higher positive LR (6.68). Clinical features most helpful in ruling out the diagnosis were the presence of pleuritic, sharp or positional pain, and pain produced by palpation (LR+ $0.19-0.32$). It should be noted that there was considerable heterogeneity in the results, particularly (although not exclusively) for the negative LRs. This makes the summary statistics difficult to interpret. Nevertheless, there is no evidence that any single symptom or sign taken in isolation is of much value in the diagnosis of acute chest pain. | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | |
| Swap CJ;Nagurney JT; | | | | | |
| Value and limitations of che | st pain history in the evaluation of patients with suspected acute coronary syndromes | | | | |
| Ref ₃₈₁ JAMA : t ID Associat | he journal of the American Medical pgs: 2623 to 2629 2005 ion | | | | |
| Study Type System | matic Review Funding Not reported | | | | |
| Number of participants | 28 prospective and retrospective observational studies and systematic reviews | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Studies needed to be observational studies including at least 80 patients. Studies needed to include at least 1 chest pain characteristic and make a diagnosis of ACS or AMI with appropriate diagnostic tests | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Patients described at least on chest pain characteristic which was diagnosed as ACS or AMI. | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | The studies considered the following chest pain characteristics: quality, location, radiation, size of area or distribution, severity, time of onset and is it continuing, duration, first occurrence frequency, similar to previous cardiac ischemic episodes and the following precipitating or aggravating factors: pleuritic, positional, palpable, exercise, emotional stress, relieving factors, associated symptoms |
|--|--|
| Comparisons | Chest pain characteristics for diagnosing chest pain |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | |
| Outcome measures studied | |
| Results | Certain chest pain characteristics decrease the likelihood of ACS or AMI, namely, pain that is stabbing, pleuritic, positional, or reproducible by palpation (likelihood ratios [LRs] 0.2 to 0.3). Conversely, chest pain that radiates to one shoulder or both shoulders or arms or is precipitated by exertion is associated with LRs (2.3 to 4.7) that increase the likelihood of ACS. The chest pain history itself has not proven to be a powerful enough predictive tool to obviate the need for at least some diagnostic testing. Combinations of elements of the chest pain history with other initially available information, such as a history of CAD, have identified certain groups that may be safe for discharge without further evaluation, but further study is needed before such a recommendation can be considered reasonable. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | 28 papers were initially identified that were relevant to the evaluation of chest pain using signs and symptoms, the studies included were prospective and retrospective observational studies and systematic reviews, considering both predictors of AMI and ACS. The studies considered the following chest pain characteristics: quality, location, radiation, size of area or distribution, severity, time of onset and is it continuing, duration, first occurrence frequency, similar to previous cardiac ischemic episodes and the following precipitating or aggravating factors: pleuritic, positional, palpable, exercise, emotional stress, relieving factors, associated symptoms. |
| | Risk stratification for ACS according to components of chest pain history: Low risk: pain that is pleuritic, positional, or reproducible with palpation or is described as stabbing Probable low risk: pain not related to exertion or that occurs in a small inframammary area of the chest wall Probable high risk: pain described as pressure, is similar to that of prior MI or worse than prior anginal pain or is accompanied by nausea, vomiting or diaphoresis High risk: pain that radiates to one or both shoulders or arms or is relate to exertion |
| | Certain chest pain characteristics decrease the likelihood of ACS or AMI, namely, pain that is stabbing, pleuritic, positional, or reproducible by palpation (likelihood ratios [LRs] 0.2 to 0.3). Conversely, chest pain that radiates to one shoulder or both shoulders or arms or is precipitated by exertion is associated with LRs (2.3 to 4.7) that increase the likelihood of ACS. The chest pain history itself has not proven to be a powerful enough predictive tool to obviate the need for at least some diagnostic testing. Combinations of elements of the chest pain history with other initially available information, such as a history of CAD, have identified certain groups that may be safe for discharge without further evaluation, but further study is needed before such a recommendation can be considered reasonable. |
| | The authors concluded that although certain elements of the chest pain history are associated with increased (LR = 2.3 to 4.7) or decreased (LR = 0.2 to 0.3) likelihoods of a diagnosis of ACS or AMI, none of them alone or in combination identify a group of patients that can be safely discharged without further diagnostic testing (see table in guideline for detailed results). |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 11 of 199 |

Directly applicable to Correct guideline population?

Correct population

Internal Validity

Grading: 2++ High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal

Conti A;Paladini B;Toccafondi S;Magazzini S;Olivotto I;Galassi F;Pieroni C;Santoro G;Antoniucci D;Berni G;

Effectiveness of a multidisciplinary chest pain unit for the assessment of coronary syndromes and risk stratification in the Florence area

| Ref ₉₂₆ ID | American | i heart journal | pgs: | 630 | to ⁶ | 635 | 2002 | | |
|---|-----------|---|----------|----------|-----------------|---------|---|--|--|
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fund | ing | | n Ministry for Scientific Technological Research | | |
| Number of part | ticipants | 13 762 patients | | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | usion | Inclusion: over 18 years old, chest pain defined as pain in the thoracic region, independent of duration, radiation, or relation to exercise, occurring in the last 24 hours and lasting minutes to hours | | | | | | | |
| Patient Charac | teristics | The mean age was 65±18 years and 43% were women Those who were categorised as being at high risk (21%) had a mean age of 63±10 years, 33% were female, 35% smoked, 25% had diabetes, 38% had hypertension, 13.4 % died during the follow up. Those who were categorised as being at intermediate risk (47%) had a mean age of 64±11 years, 38% were female, 33% smoked, 28% had diabetes, 41% had hypertension, 2.2 % died during the follow up. Those who were categorised as being at low risk (32%) had a mean age of 38±15 years, 66% were female, 12% smoked, 8% had diabetes, 22% had hypertension, 0.2 % died during the follow up. | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | Admitted to emergency department | with ch | est pair | n as d | describ | ed above | | |
| Setting | | ED. Careggi General Hospital, Flore | nce, Ita | aly | | | | | |
| Interventions/ ⁻ Factor being investigated | Test/ | Diagnosing chest pain | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | The chest pain score was based on: pain, history of angina | locatio | on of pa | iin, ra | diatior | ۱ of pain, character of | | |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | ly/ | 6 months | | | | | | | |
| Outcome meas studied | ures | Effectiveness of chest pain score in | diagno | sing ch | est p | ain | | | |
| Results | 10 | The chest pain score was based on the following elements each of which was given value: location of pain: substernal or precordial = +3, left chest, neck, lower jaw or epigastrium = +1, apex = -1; radiation of pain: arm, shoulder, back, neck or lower jaw = +1; character of pain: crushing, pressing or heaviness = +2 sticking, pleuritic or binprick = -1; associated symptoms: dyspnea, nausea or diaphoresis = +2; history or angina = +3. The mean age was 65 ± 18 years. Patients were classified into 1 of 4 groups. 1) Patients at low risk with obvious noncardiac causes of chest pain, chest pain score 4, normal ECG, and normal serum markers of cardiac injury obtained at least 6 hours from symptoms, were sent home and followed up. (2672 patients) 2) Patients at low risk with chest pain score \geq 4, normal ECG, normal serum cardiac narkers, independent of age or coexisting coronary risk factors, were not admitted and underwent a second-line evaluation and short-term observation in the CPU area ncluding chest radiography and arterial blood gas analysis. When at least one of hese tests or procedure results was found to be suggestive of AMI, unstable angina or CAD or left ventricular failure was detected these patients were considered for angiography with no additional testing. After an observation period up to 6 hours Page 13 of 199 | | | | | | | |
| 15 September 200 | 19 | Page 13 of 199 | | | | | | | |

| | patients without ongoing cardiovascular events underwent exercise tolerance test or SPECT or stress echocardiography. (1755 patients) 3) Patients at intermediate risk with clinical score ≥ 4 and abnormal ECG (ST-segment elevation <1mm or ST-segment depression <1mm at 60ms from J point) were admitted and managed in the CPU area. 4) Patients at high risk with ECG suggestive for AMI (defined as ST elevation ≥1 mm at 60ms from J point, ≥2 contiguous leads) were directly transferred to the coronary care unit and patients with suspected major cardiovascular disease, such as aortic arch dissection, pulmonary embolism, pneumothorax and acute pericarditis, were admitted and managed with arterial blood gas analysis, chest radiography, echocardiography, and thorax computed tomography if required by clinical assessment. |
|--|---|
| | At six month follow up 0.2% of these patients were recognised as having nonfatal coronary artery disease, hence, the negative predictive value of a chest pain score of < 4 and normal ECG was > 99% |
| | Of the patients with a chest pain score \geq 4 and normal or non diagnostic electrocardiogram results (1755 patients, 40%), 20% of the low risk group with chest pain score < 4 (group 1) (885 patients) had documented coronary artery disease, 18% of which were by recurrent angina, delayed ECG changes, late rise in markers, the other 2% was by positive stress test. There were 9335 intermediate and high risk patients, of which 2420 patients (26%) had an MI, 3764 patients (40%) had unstable angina, 129 (1.4%) had aortic dissection and 408 (4%) had pulmonary embolism, other major cardiovascular conditions were diagnosed, including aortic arch dissection, pulmonary embolism, pneumothorax, and acute pericarditis. 2256 patients had atypical chest pain diagnosed as multi-organ disease including chronic and stable ischemic heart disease, defined as known stable angina, previous myocardial infarction, or angiographically documented CAD |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | Of the patients with a chest pain score > 4 and normal electrocardiogram results, 20% (885 patients) had documented coronary artery disease. There were 9335 intermediate and high risk patients, of which 2420 patients (26%) had an MI, 3764 patients (40%) had unstable angina, 129 (1.4%) had aortic dissection and 408 (4%) had pulmonary embolism. Other multi-organ disease was found in 2256 patients. The authors concluded that the chest pain score screening programme was effective and could significantly reduce admissions and optimise the care of those with an intermediate or high risk score. The authors also concluded that the screening programme could aid the diagnosis of alternative causes of chest pain in patients who do not have evidence of coronary artery disease |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

Schillinger M;Sodeck G;Meron G;Janata K;Nikfardjam M;Rauscha F;Laggner AN;Domanovits H;

Acute chest pain--identification of patients at low risk for coronary events. The impact of symptoms, medical history and risk factors

| Ref ₇₃₅ ID | Wiener k | linische Wochenschrift | pgs: | 83 | to | 89 | 2004 | | |
|--|-----------|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fund | ing | Not reported | d | | |
| Number of part | icipants | 1288 patients | | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | ision | Inclusion criteria: all patients present hours, at a non-trauma emergency d | | | e che | st pain, onset | in previous 24 | | |
| Patient Charact | teristics | The mean age of the population was 49±17 years, 41% were women, 29% had hypertension, 9% had diabetes mellitus, 35% had hyperlipidaemia, 32% were current smokers, 26% were obese (BMI>28), 20% had a family history of MI, 15% had a history of prior MI, 23% had a history of coronary artery disease, 2% had a history of congestive heart failure, 3% had valvular heart disease | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | Patients presenting with chest pain a | at a nor | n-traum | na em | ergency depa | artment | | |
| Setting | | University hospital in Helsinki, Finlan | nd | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ 1 Factor being investigated | Γest/ | Diagnosing chest pain | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | Seven pre-defined criteria are evalua atypical | ated an | d were | assi | gned as eithe | r typical or | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | y/ | 6 months | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ures | Prediction or exclusion of acute MI a months | nd maj | or adve | erse | coronary ever | nts (MACE) at six | | |
| Results | | Seven pre-defined criteria are evalua atypical; namely, location of chest pa character of pain (typical: crushing / / single spot / superficial), radiation (atypical: not radiating), appearance of undulating / relieved with rest or nitro palpitations / sustained / position dep dependent), vegetative signs (typical absence of vegetative signs), history CABD, atypical: none) and risk facto obesity, hypertension, diabetes, hype was defined as absence or only one and LR of typical and atypical criteria acute MI and major adverse coronary Thirteen percent (168 patients) of pa had a MACE (CVD, percutaneous co months follow up. | ain (typi sneezir typical of ches oglyceri oenden dyspn of cord risk fac a were o y event tients h oronary / the lik 15; 2 ty | ical: lef ing / bu to the l t pain (n, atyp t / resp ea / na oronary a oronary a oronary mia, ar ctor. Th evaluat s (MAC nad an interve elihooo pical s | It side rning left of (typic vical: viratic uusea artery y arte artery y arte fan fan e po ted fo CE) a acuto entior d ratio | ed, atypical: ri / tightness, a both arms, n al: exercise ir inducible by p on dependent / diaphoreis disease (typi ery disease na mily history al sitive prediction of prediction o t six months. MI and 19% ns, bypass su os (LR) to pre oms and/or hi | ight sided), itypical: stabbing heck, back, hduced / pressure / abrupt / cough atypical: cal: MI / PTCA / amely; smoking, Il typical, atypical ve value (PPV) pr exclusion of (240 patients) rgery or MI) at six edict an MI were: istory LR = 1.32; | | |
| | | 1.77; 5 typical symptoms and/or histo LR = 1.85 | | | | | | | |
| 15 September 200 | 9 | Page 15 of 199 | | | | | | | |

| | From the typical symptoms or history the LR to predict a cardiac adverse event in the following 6 months were: 1 typical symptom or history LR = 1.15; 2 typical symptoms and/or history LR = 1.34; 3 typical symptoms and/or history LR = 1.58; 4 typical symptoms and/or history LR = 1.87; 5 typical symptoms and/or history LR = 2.11; 6 typical symptoms and/or history LR = 1.57; 5 typical symptoms or history the LR to exclude an MI were: 1 atypical symptom or history LR = 1.05; 2 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 1.25; 3 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 1.76; 4 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 2.22; 5 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 3.19; 6 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 3.00 From the atypical symptoms or history the LR to exclude a cardiac adverse event in the following 6 months were: 1 atypical symptom or history LR = 1.04; 2 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 1.29; 3 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 1.85; 4 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 4.58 The presence of four or more typical criteria was associated with a PPV of 0.21 (95%CI 0.17 to 0.25) to predict acute MI and 0.30 (95% CI 0.25 to 0.35) for 6 month MACE. Increasing numbers of atypical chest pain criteria was associated with increasing PPVs for excluding acute MI and 6 month MACE. The presence of four or more typical chest pain criteria was associated with increasing PPVs for excluding acute MI and 6 month MACE. The presence of four or more typical chest pain criteria was associated with increasing PPVs for excluding acute MI and 6 month MACE. The presence of four or more typical chest pain criteria was associated with increasing PPVs for excluding acute MI and 6 month MACE. The presence of four or more typical chest pain criteria was associated with increasing PPVs for excluding acute MI and 6 month MACE. The presence of four or more typical criteria was associated with a PPV of 0.94 (95%CI 0.92 to 0.96) to exclude acute MI and 0.93 (95% CI 0.90 to 0.96) for 6 month babsence of MACE. The output the output wasi | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | authors concluded that the evaluation of criteria atypical for MI may identify patients suitable for early discharge; however criteria typical of MI have little diagnostic value | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The presence of four or more typical criteria was associated with a PPV of 0.21 (95%CI 0.17 to 0.25) to predict acute MI and 0.30 (95% CI 0.25 to 0.35) for 6 month MACE. Increasing numbers of atypical chest pain criteria was associated with increasing PPVs for excluding acute MI and 6 month MACE. The presence of four or more typical criteria was associated with a PPV of 0.94 (95%CI 0.92 to 0.96) to exclude acute MI and 0.93 (95% CI 0.90 to 0.96) for 6 month absence of MACE. The authors concluded that the evaluation of criteria atypical for MI may identify patients suitable for early discharge; however criteria typical of MI have little diagnostic value | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | |
| Schillinger M;Sodeck G;Mer | on G;Janata K;Nikfardjam M;Rauscha F;Laggner AN;Domanovits H; | | | |
| Acute chest painidentificati and risk factors | on of patients at low risk for coronary events. The impact of symptoms, medical history | | | |
| Ref 735 Wiener k ID | linische Wochenschrift pgs: 83 to 89 2004 | | | |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Not reported | | | |
| Number of participants | 1288 patients | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion criteria: all patients presenting with acute chest pain, onset in previous 24 hours, at a non-trauma emergency department | | | |

| Patient Characteristics | The mean age of the population was 49 ± 17 years, 41% were women, 29% had hypertension, 9% had diabetes mellitus, 35% had hyperlipidaemia, 32% were current smokers, 26% were obese (BMI>28), 20% had a family history of MI, 15% had a history of prior MI, 23% had a history of coronary artery disease, 2% had a history of congestive heart failure, 3% had valvular heart disease |
|--|---|
| Recruitment | Patients presenting with chest pain at a non-trauma emergency department |
| Setting | University hospital in Helsinki, Finland |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Diagnosing chest pain |
| Comparisons | Seven pre-defined criteria are evaluated and were assigned as either typical or atypical |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Prediction or exclusion of acute MI and major adverse coronary events (MACE) at six months |
| Results | Seven pre-defined criteria are evaluated and were assigned as either typical or atypical; namely, location of chest pain (typical: left sided, atypical: right sided), character of pain (typical: crushing / sneezing / burning / tightness, atypical: stabbing / single spot / superficial), radiation (typical to the left or both arms, neck, back, atypical: not radiating), appearance of chest pain (typical: exercise induced / undulating / relieved with rest or nitroglycerin, atypical: inducible by pressure / abrupt palpitations / sustained / position dependent / respiration dependent / cough dependent), vegetative signs (typical dyspnea / nausea / diaphoreis atypical: absence of vegetative signs), history of coronary artery disease (typical: MI / PTCA / CABD, atypical: none) and risk factors for coronary artery disease namely; smoking, obesity, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and family history all typical, atypical was defined as absence or only one risk factor. The positive predictive value (PPV) and LR of typical and atypical criteria were evaluated for prediction or exclusion of acute MI and major adverse coronary events (MACE) at six months. Thirteen percent (168 patients) of patients had an acute MI and 19% (240 patients) had a MACE (CVD, percutaneous coronary interventions, bypass surgery or MI) at six months follow up. |
| | and/or history LR = 3.00 From the atypical symptoms or history the LR to exclude a cardiac adverse event in the following 6 months were: |
| | 1 atypical symptom or history LR = 1.04; 2 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 1.29; 3 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 1.85; 4 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 3.02; 5 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 4.87; 6 atypical symptoms and/or history LR = 4.58 |
| 15 September 2009 | The presence of four or more typical criteria was associated with a PPV of 0.21 (95%CI 0.17 to 0.25) to predict acute MI and 0.30 (95% CI 0.25 to 0.35) for 6 month MACE. Increasing numbers of atypical chest pain criteria was associated with Page 17 of 199 |

| | increasing PPVs for excluding acute MI and 6 month MACE. The presence of four or more typical criteria was associated with a PPV of 0.94 (95%CI 0.92 to 0.96) to exclude acute MI and 0.93 (95% CI 0.90 to 0.96) for 6 month absence of MACE. The authors concluded that the evaluation of criteria atypical for MI may identify patients suitable for early discharge; however criteria typical of MI have little diagnostic value |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The presence of four or more typical criteria was associated with a PPV of 0.21 (95%CI 0.17 to 0.25) to predict acute MI and 0.30 (95% CI 0.25 to 0.35) for 6 month MACE. Increasing numbers of atypical chest pain criteria was associated with increasing PPVs for excluding acute MI and 6 month MACE. The presence of four or more typical criteria was associated with a PPV of 0.94 (95%CI 0.92 to 0.96) to exclude acute MI and 0.93 (95% CI 0.90 to 0.96) for 6 month absence of MACE. The authors concluded that the evaluation of criteria atypical for MI may identify patients suitable for early discharge; however criteria typical of MI have little diagnostic value |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

Question: What is the diagnostic utility of pain relief with nitrates in the identification of patients with acute chest pain of cardiac origin.

Grading: 2++ High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal

Steele R;McNaughton T;McConahy M;Lam J;

Chest pain in emergency department patients: if the pain is relieved by nitroglycerin, is it more likely to be cardiac chest pain?

| Ref ₇₀₉₉ ID | CJEM: The Journal of the Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians | pgs: 164 _{to} 170 | 2006 |
|--|--|--|---|
| Study Type | Diagnostic | Funding Not stat | ed |
| Number of parti | cipants | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | sion | | |
| Patient Character | eristics | | |
| Recruitment | | | |
| Setting | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | | |
| Comparisons | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | <i>II</i> | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | | |
| Results | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | | |
| Does the study answer the que | stion? relief. The sensitivity of nitroglycerin a The specificity was 37% (95% C Cl 0.96 to 1.34). Nitroglycerin as | e question of the diagnostic value s a diagnostic test was 72% (95% Cl 34% to 41%). The positive likel s a diagnostic tool was not found veen patients with and without car 12) | b CI 64% to 80%). hood was 1.1 (95% to be statistically |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | | |
| Consistency of results with oth studies? | er | | |
| Directly applica guideline popul | | icable, patients with chest pain of | suspected cardiac |
| Internal Validity | , | | |

Changes in the numeric descriptive scale for pain after sublingual nitroglycerin do not predict cardiac etiology of chest pain

| - | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Ref ₉₈₃ ID | Annals of Emergency Medicine 45(6):581-5, | pgs: | to | 2005 |
| Study Type | Diagnostic | | Funding | Stated that the authors did not receive any outside funding or support. |
| Number of part | icipants | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | ision | | | |
| Patient Charact | teristics | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | |
| Setting | | | | |
| Interventions/ 1 Factor being investigated | Fest/ | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | y/ | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ures | | | |
| Results | | | | |
| Safety and adveetfects | erse | | | |
| Does the study answer the que | | ad unsta 71%), an nd in 82 ented in | ble angina. <i>I</i> d in this grou patients (179 186 patients | An initial pain score of > 5 up the primary outcome of %). An initial pain score of (29%), and in this group the |
| | In the total patient population, 125 patients had minimal pain reductio 188 (28%) patients had significant numeric descriptive scale score wa artery disease in any of these 4 su The study shows that nitroglycerin identifying cardiac-related chest pa | n, 145 (2 or comp as not as bgroups pain reli | 22%) had mo lete pain red sociated with (using Pears | derate pain reduction, and uction. A change in the a diagnosis of coronary son statistic = 1.0, P = 0.76). |
| Effect due to fa study? | ictor in | | | |
| Consistency of results with oth studies? | | | | |

15 September 2009

Directly applicable to Patient population directly applicable, patients with chest pain of suspected cardiac guideline population? origin. **Internal Validity** Henrikson CA;Howell EE;Bush DE;Miles JS;Meininger GR;Friedlander T;Bushnell AC;Chandra-Strobos N; Chest pain relief by nitroglycerin does not predict active coronary artery disease Ref 7172 Ann Intern Med 979 _{to} NaN 2003 pqs: ID Study Type Diagnostic Fundina National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute Training grant for CA Henrikson, USA. Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria **Patient Characteristics** Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied Results Safety and adverse effects Does the study The study is directly applicable to the question of the utility of nitroglycerin pain relief in the diagnosis of chest pain of cardiac origin. answer the question? The sensitivity and specificity of chest pain relief with nitroglycerin for the presence of active coronary artery disease were 35% and 58%, respectively. The positive and negative likelihood ratios were 0.85 and 1.4, respectively. Further analysis was conducted in 3 pre-specified subgroups for chest pain relief with nitroglycerin for the presence of active coronary artery disease. For troponin negative patients the sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio and negative likelihood ratio were 39%, 58%, 0.88 and 1.1, respectively. For patients with a history of coronary artery disease the sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio and negative likelihood ratio were 30%, 63%, 0.84 and 1.3, respectively. For patients with no history of coronary artery disease, the sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio and negative likelihoods were 40%, 56%, 0.87 and 1.1, respectively. ROC curves were constructed for chest pain relief by nitroglycerin and active coronary artery disease. For ROC curves of both reduction in pain intensity and absolute changes in pain intensity the plotted points closely approximated to a likelihood of 1.0. Hence regardless of which definition is used, either percentage chest pain reduction or absolute pain reduction, the test of chest pain with nitroglycerin has no value in determining the presence or absence of coronary artery disease.

Effect due to factor in study?

Consistency of results with other studies?

Directly applicable to guideline population? Patient population directly applicable, patients with chest pain of suspected cardiac origin.

Internal Validity

Case–control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias, or chance and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal*

Shry EA;Dacus J;Van De GE;Hjelkrem M;Stajduhar KC;Steinhubl SR;

Usefulness of the response to sublingual nitroglycerin as a predictor of ischemic chest pain in the emergency department

| Ref 7214 ID | Am J Cardiol | pgs: 1264 _{to} 1267 | 2002 |
|---|--|---|---|
| Study Type Number of partic | Diagnostic cipants | Funding Not sta | ated. |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | | |
| Patient Characte | ristics | | |
| Recruitment | | | |
| Setting | | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | | |
| Comparisons | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | , | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | | |
| Results | | | |
| Safety and adver | se | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | | cted retrospectively, hence, it is open to so ormation on the diagnostic utility of nitrog origin. | |
| | reduction in chest pair chest pain attributable while 92% of the non of percent of patients (52 resolution with nitrogly | at of 223 patients responded to nitroglyce a based on the 10 point scale). Of the patient to coronary artery disease, 88% respondent cardiac chest pain group responded to nit 2 out of 74 patients) with cardiac chest pain verin versus 73% of patients (108 out of d complete resolution ($P = 0.85$). | ients diagnosed with ded to nitroglycerin, troglycerin. Seventy in had complete pain |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | r | | |
| Directly applicat | | ectly applicable, patients with chest pain | of suspected cardiac |

Internal Validity

Question: Are the symptoms and description of the symptoms different in women presenting with acute chest pain of suspected cardiac origin compared with men

Grading: 1- Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias* Shaw LJ;Bairey Merz CN;Pepine CJ;Reis SE;Bittner V;Kelsey SF;Olson M;Johnson BD;Mankad S;Sharaf BL;Rogers WJ;Wessel TR;Arant CB;Pohost GM;Lerman A;Quyyumi AA;Sopko G;

Insights from the NHLBI-Sponsored Women's Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE) Study: Part I: gender differences in traditional and novel risk factors, symptom evaluation, and gender-optimized diagnostic strategies

| Ref 10303 ID | J Am Coll | l Cardiol | | pgs | : S4 | to | S20 | 2006 |
|--|-----------|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| Study Type | System | natic Review | | | Fu | nding | Bloo Cent Reso Louis Four of Co Cent Soci | onal Heart, Lung and d institute; National tre for Research burces; Gustavus and s Pfeiffer Research ndation; Womens Gulid edars-Sinai Medical tre; Ladies Hospital Aid ety of Western nsylvania |
| Number of parti | icipants | 195 Studies, | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | sion | | | | | | | |
| Patient Character | eristics | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | // | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the que | stion? | the symptoms fully evaluated defined throug frequency, type that initial symp shortness of bi The review sta typical sympton arm or should chest pain/disc | onary diseas women prese due to studie h male popule and quality ptoms in wom reath. tes that a rec ms, defined a er pain betwe comfort and d | e. The review ent with; symp es often applyi ations to fema of symptoms. nen often inclu cent study repo as chest pain of en men and w liaphoresis we | sugge toms e ng typ les. Th The s ide fat orted n or disc omen re the | sts, de evaluat ical an hese di tudy re igue, s omfort, when o most c | espite the ion in v gina de ifference views e leep dis rences dyspn diagnos commo | nere being differences in vomen had not been efinitions which were ces are seen in the evidence which shows |

report acute initial symptoms but up to half of the women had no prior chest pain symptoms when diagnosed with AMI. The review reports that women are less likely to present with exertional chest pain (typical angina) than men but were more likely to be admitted to hospital for chest pain than men (4 million visits for women vs. 2.4 million for men). The review suggests from this evidence that when assessing chest pain in women the effect exertion has on symptoms should be taken into account for defining typical angina. The review states that the Yale group's definition of angina (which includes chest pain or discomfort, dyspnea, diaphoresis, and arm or shoulder pain) gives an accurate method of identifying unstable angina, however other studies have included exertional components to the symptoms which leads to more accurate diagnosis.

The review states that older women are more likely to present with symptoms similar to men compared with younger women, which could be explained by the fact that older women have more typical angina. There are no differences in the rate of diagnosis of ACS in older men and women; however women aged under 65 are more likely to be discharged without a diagnosis of unstable angina, who are also less likely to have ST-segment elevation MI, the review suggests that this can protract their time to diagnosis and the intensity of management and can lead to poorer outcomes.

The review went on to analyse the presenting symptoms which are suggestive of MI, women were less likely to have obstructive CAD than men on angiography, which was first highlighted by Diamond and Forrester in the 1980's. This study showed that women with typical and atypical chest pain symptoms have been used to calculate the probably of a women having obstructive CAD being considerably less than that for a man. The review gives the example of "typical exertional angina in a 55 year old man has a probability of obstructive CAD of approximately 90% as compared with a wide range from 55-90% for a 55 year old woman". The review reports that this leads the conclusion that the use of chest pain symptoms to diagnose obstructive CAD in a woman is not as accurate as for a man. This conclusion and trend of symptoms being inaccurate at diagnosing obstructive CAD by Diamond and Forrester has been reported in later studies with other female populations, especially in women with a history of diabetes. The review states that this could be due to the descriptors of symptoms used by women, as those who report stable or intermittent chest pain, the description of the chest pain is a doctor's most important diagnostic tool which may lead to less intensive management.

The review highlights 2 questions to be answered which current evidence is unable to do: "can current symptom evaluation tolls be improved for more accurate detection of obstructive CAD in women? Do symptom differences suggest s gender-specific pathophysiology such that gender-specific new tools should be developed for the assessment of IHD in women?"

The review stated that the most women who had a coronary angiography which did not show obstructive CAD continued to have symptoms which lead to a poor quality of life and who continued to require repeated health investigations. The study reported that this required many doctors to use cardiac imaging to differentiate cardiac and noncardiac symptoms. The review concludes that this method does not give a technique to identify and manage myocardial ischemia in women who do not have significant obstructive CAD.

The review continued to assess postmenopausal women to show that they are likely to have a cluster of risk factors including hypertension, obesity and dyslipidemia. The study suggests this could be related to gender-specific differences in metabolic rate which is increased due the hormonal imbalances caused by the menopause. This shows a cluster of risk conditions which include insulin resistance (with or without glucose intolerance), dyslipidemia (elevated triglycerides, small LDL particles, or low HDL cholesterol), hypertension, and obesity. The study refers to the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel-III which has a simplified the definition of clustering risk factors to the presence of 3 or more of "1) waist circumference >35 inches; 2) fasting triglycerides >150 mg/dl; 3) HDL cholesterol <50 mg/dl; 4) hypertension (systolic blood pressure ≥130mmHg, diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mmHg, or use of antihypertensive drug therapy); or 5) a fasting glucose measurement ≥110mg/dl". The authors state the evidence has shown that obesity is not an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease but the metabolic syndrome leads to a link between cardiovascular disease and obesity.

Effect due to factor in Yes study?

Consistency of Consistent results with other studies?

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Internal Validity

Grading: 2++ High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal

Pryor DB;Harrell FE;Lee KL;Califf RM;Rosati RA;

Estimating the likelihood of significant coronary artery disease

| ohort | | Fundi | ng | Not reported |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| ants 3627 in training population, 18 | 11 in test pop | ulation | | |
| increased in the 6 weeks prior | to catherisation | on or p | reinf | arctional chest oan which had |
| History: age, sex, chest pain hi pregressive, preinfarctional), du heart failure, history of vascula Risk factors: smoking, hyperlip Physical examination: ventricul | story (pain ty uration of CA r disease idaemia, hype ar gallop, sys | pe, sev D, pree ertensio stolic bl | eviou on, d ood | s history of MI, congestive liabetes, family history pressure |
| Patients admitted for cardiac ca | atherisation b | betweer | n 196 | 69 and 1982. |
| Secondary care, USA | | | | |
| Diagnosis of chest pain. | | | | |
| Patient characteristics which gi | ive a probabil | lity of d | isea | Se |
| | | | | |
| Probability of disease | | | | |
| and January 1979, from these used to develop a model for pro- population of 1811 patients see population the model develope probability of CAD for each pat The authors then tested the mo- estimate the prevalence of dise (external validation) Results from training population Clinically Important Characteris | patients a ste edicting the p en between J d in the test p ient. odel in other p ease in subgr n: stics and the | pwise probabil anuary populat populat oups of Chi-squ | logis ity o 196 ion v ions f the | tic regression analysis was f significant CAD. A test 9 and January 1982, in this vas used to predict the (from CASS study) to patients in the literature |
| | Patients had progressive cheat increased in the 6 weeks prior a very unstable pain pattern the evaluation of the possible MI Patient characteristics which we History: age, sex, chest pain history of vascula Risk factors: smoking, hyperlip Physical examination: ventricul ECG: ST-T wave changes, electerocardiographic Q waves Chest X-Ray: cardiomegaly Patients admitted for cardiac c Secondary care, USA Diagnosis of chest pain. Patient characteristics which g Probability of disease The study had a training popula and January 1979, from these used to develop a model for pr population of 1811 patients see population the model develope probability of CAD for each pat The authors then tested the mode stimate the prevalence of dise (external validation) Results from training populatio Clinically Important Characteris Pain type (typical, atypical or n Previous MI – 511 Sex – 187 Age – 119 Smoking – 79 Hyperlipidaemia – 26 ST-T wave changes – 28 | ants 3627 in training population, 1811 in test populations Patients had progressive chest pain in the finit increased in the 6 weeks prior to catherisatia a very unstable pain pattern that resulted in evaluation of the possible MI tics Patient characteristics which were collected History: age, sex, chest pain history (pain typregressive, preinfarctional), duration of CA heart failure, history of vascular disease Risk factors: smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hyp Physical examination: ventricular gallop, sys ECG: ST-T wave changes, electrocardiographic Q waves Chest X-Ray: cardiomegaly Patients admitted for cardiac catherisation to Secondary care, USA Diagnosis of chest pain. Patient characteristics which give a probability of disease The study had a training population of 3627 and January 1979, from these patients a ste used to develop a model for predicting the population of 1811 patients seen between J population the model developed in the test probability of CAD for each patient. The authors then tested the model in other pestimate the prevalence of disease in subgr (external validation) Results from training population: Clinically Important Characteristics and the Pain type (typical, atypical or nonanginal) – Previous MI – 511 Sex – 187 Age – 119 Smoking – 79 Hyperlipidaemia – 26 ST-T wave changes – 28 | 3627 in training population, 1811 in test population Patients had progressive chest pain in the frequency increased in the 6 weeks prior to catherisation or p a very unstable pain pattern that resulted in admisse evaluation of the possible MI tics Patient characteristics which were collected were: History: age, sex, chest pain history (pain type, sew pregressive, preinfarctional), duration of CAD, pree heart failure, history of vascular disease Risk factors: smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertensii Physical examination: ventricular gallop, systolic bl ECG: ST-T wave changes, electrocardiographic preElectrocardiographic Q waves Chest X-Ray: cardiomegaly Patients admitted for cardiac catherisation betweer Secondary care, USA Diagnosis of chest pain. Patient characteristics which give a probability of d Probability of disease The study had a training population of 3627 patient and January 1979, from these patients a stepwise used to develop a model for predicting the probability of CAD for each patient. The authors then tested the model in other populate estimate the prevalence of disease in subgroups or (external validation) Results from training population: Clinically Important Characteristics and the Chi-squ Pain type (typical, atypical or nonanginal) – 1091 Previous MI – 511 Sex – 187 Age – 119 Smoking – 79 Hyperlipidaemia – 26 ST-T wave changes – 28 | 3627 in training population, 1811 in test population Patients had progressive chest pain in the frequency, sincreased in the 6 weeks prior to catherisation or preinfa a very unstable pain pattern that resulted in admission tevaluation of the possible MI tics Patient characteristics which were collected were: History: age, sex, chest pain history (pain type, severity pregressive, preinfarctional), duration of CAD, preeviou heart failure, history of vascular disease Risk factors: smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, d Physical examination: ventricular gallop, systolic blood ECG: ST-T wave changes, electrocardiographic premat Electrocardiographic Q waves Chest X-Ray: cardiomegaly Patients admitted for cardiac catherisation between 196 Secondary care, USA Diagnosis of chest pain. Patient characteristics which give a probability of disease Probability of disease The study had a training population of 3627 patients whand January 1979, from these patients a stepwise logis used to develop a model for predicting the probability of population of 1811 patients seen between January 196 population the model developed in the test population v probability of CAD for each patient. The authors then tested the model in other populations estimate the prevalence of disease in subgroups of the (external validation) Results from training population: Clinically Important Characteristics and the Chi-squared Pain type (typical, atypical or nonanginal) – 1091 Previous MI – 511 Sex – 187 Age – 119 Smoking – 79 Hyperlipidaemia – 26 ST-T wave changes – 28 |

| | Interactions age X sex age X smoking age X hyperlipidaemia sex X smoking |
|--|--|
| | Poor Clinical Predictors of Significant CAD and the Chi-squared: Chest pain severity – 0.96 Chest pain frequency – 8.57 Nocturnal chest pain – 2.22 Progressive chest pain – 2.54 Preinfarction angina – 9.70 Vascular disease – 0.40 Duration of CAD – 9.16 Congestive heart failure – 0.59 Hypertension – 5.19 Family history – 6.39 Ventricular gallop – 1.06 Cardiomegaly – 1.41 Electrocardiographic premature ventricular contractions – 0.46 |
| | The results from the training group are shown under "Clinically Important Characteristics and the Chi-squared" in the order of their importance (chi-squared added to the model by the parameter, adjusting for the characteristics that precede it). The type of chest pain (typical, atypical or nonanginal) was the most important characteristic followed by previous MI, sex, age, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, ST-T wave changes on ECG, diabetes. The table shows the 4 significant interactions which were found. The study also showed that in men the effect of an increasing age was more |
| | important than in women, smoking was more important for women than men, and that smoking and hyperlipidaemia were more important at younger ages. The results for the other characteristics which were found to have small or nonsignificat effects on the prevalence of disease are shown under "Poor Clinical Predictors of Significant CAD and the Chi-squared" |
| | The authors then validated the model in the test population which showed that the predicted probability of disease is nearly identical to the observed prevalence. This was with the exception of the group with predicted estimates of 0.475 to 0.525 (this group 8 out of 34 patients, with significant disease). The median prediction for patients with disease was 94% compared with a median prediction of 33% for patients without disease. A predicted probability of significant disease > 0.83 was found in 75% of patients with disease < 0.33 was found in nearly 50% of patients without disease < 0.33 was found in nearly 50% of patients without disease and in less than 5% of patients with disease. |
| | The authors then externally validated using the population from the CASS study. There was disagreement on patients classified as having nonanginal chest pain (where the greatest difference in predicted disease compared to observed disease was seen), but the predicted estimates from the model were nearly equal to the observed prevalence of disease. The predicted estimates from the model of the probability of significant disease were nearly identical to the observed prevalence for subgroups based on "age, sex and history of MI" or "age, sex and pain type". |
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | The results from the training population showed the type of chest pain (typical, atypical or nonanginal) was the most important characteristic followed by previous MI, sex, age, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, ST-T wave changes on ECG, diabetes. The study also showed that in men the effect of an increasing age was more important than in women, smoking was more important for women than men, and that smoking and hyperlipidaemia were more important at younger ages. The study also found some characteristics to have small or nonsignificat effects on the prevalence of disease. |
| | The authors then validated the model in the test population which showed that the predicted probability of disease is nearly identical to the observed prevalence. When comparing the model to an external population the study showed that the predicted estimates from the model were nearly equal to the observed prevalence of disease. |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 31 of 199 |

| | The predicted estimates from the model of the probability of significant disease were nearly identical to the observed prevalence for subgroups based on "age, sex and history of MI" or "age, sex and pain type". However the greatest difference in predicted disease compared to observed disease was seen in patients with nonanginal chest pain. |
|--|---|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had chest pain, directly applicable to guideline. |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

Griffiths DH;Pokorny ME;Bowman JM;

Differences in African American and white women with myocardial infarction: history, presentation, diagnostic methods, and infarction type Ref American journal of critical care : an official to 104 1999 101 1293 pqs: ID publication American Association of Critical Study Type Funding Not reported Cohort Number of participants 46, of which 18 were african-american, 28 were white Inclusion/Exclusion women diagnosed with MI between January and June 1995 Criteria **Patient Characteristics** The average age for african-american women was 66.6±14.3 years and for white women 69.1±14.2 years, the age range for all patients was 39-94 years. Recruitment Patients who presented with chest pain to a tertiary care facility in North Carolina, USA tertiary care facility in North Carolina, USA Setting Interventions/ Test/ differences in african-american and white women with MI Factor being investigated Comparisons differences in african-american and white women with MI Length of Study/ Not reported Follow-up Outcome measures Risk factors and ECG changes studied Results Patients were initally diagnosed with a 12-lead ECG, if the initial ECG was nondiagnositc other methods included subsequent ECG, echocardiography, coronary angiography, measurement of serum levels of cardiac enzymes and other methods. Admitting diagnosis of: MI - 33% African American, 36% White, 35% total Rule out MI - 11% African American, 32% White, 24% total Angina - 17% African American, 11% White, 13% total Other 39% African American, 21% White, 28% total Types of MI and diagnostic methods: Initial 12-lead ECG - Q wave 6 African American, 13 White, non-Q wave 12 African American, 15 White Subsequent ECG - Q wave 1 African American, 1 White, non-Q wave 0 African American, 2 White Echocardiography – Q wave 1 African American, 1 White, non-Q wave 0 African American, 0 White Coronary angiography - Q wave 0 African American, 0 White, non-Q wave 1 African American, 0 White Measurement of cardiac enzyme levels - Q wave 1 African American, 1 White, non-Q wave 10 African American, 11 White Other - Q wave 0 African American, 1 White (sudden ventricular fibrillation), non-Q wave 0 African American, 1 White (history and physical examination) Medical history variables: Previous MI - 28% African American, 29% White, (P=1.000) Angina - 11% African American, 29% White, (P=0.300)

| | Congestive heart failure – 28% African American, 29% White, (P=1.000) Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty – 11% African American, 0% White, (P=0.287) Coronary artery bypass graft – 11% African American, 7% White, (P=1.000) Stroke – 28% African American, 4% White, (P=0.027) Diabetes – 56% African American, 29% White, (P=0.128) Hypertension – 100% African American, 54% White, (P=0.002) Current smoker – 17% African American, 21% White, (P=0.986) Family history of coronary artery disease – 17% African American, 29% White, (P=0.568) Hypercholesterolemia – 28% African American, 18% White, (P=0.667) |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | 24 patients presented with chest pain (52%), 9 of the 18 African American women (50%) and 15 of 28 white women (54%), this difference was not significant. The results for the diagnosis on admission to hospital were MI in 16 patients, rule out MI in 11 patients, angina in 6 patients and other 13 patients. The other diagnosis included 1 patients with congestive heart failure 1 with a hip fracture, 1 with decreased level of consciousness and 10 with unspecified n=10. There were no significant differences were found between African American and white women in the diagnosis on admission. |
| | In the whole sample population those with a history of MI were more likely to have a non-Q wave than Q wave MI (n=13). In white women those with a history of MI or a history of congestive heart failure had a higher occurrence of non-Q wave then Q wave MI (both n=8). In African American women those with a history of angina had a higher occurrence of Q wave than non-Q wave MI (n=2). |
| | At the time of admission 2 of the medical history variables were shown to be significantly different: stroke (P=0.027) and hypertension (P=0.002). |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | 52% presented with chest pain. On admission, 16 patients had AMI, 11 to rule out AMI, 6 angina, 1 congestive heart failure, 1 hip fracture, 1 decreased level of consciousness, 10 other diagnosis |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |
| McSweeney JC;Cody M;Sull | ivan P;Elberson K;Moser DK;Garvin BJ; |
| Women's early warning sym | ptoms of acute myocardial infarction |
| Ref 10299 Circulatic ID | n pgs: 2619 _{to} 2623 2003 |
| Study Type Cohord | Funding National Institute of Nursing Research |
| Number of participants | 515 women |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Women who were diagnosed with AMI and discharged in the previous 4-6 months from 5 sites in Arkansas, North Carolina and Ohio, Patients needed to be cognitively intact, speak english, and have telephone access |
| Patient Characteristics | The study included 515 women with an average age of 66.4±12 years. Of the 515 women 93% were white, 6.2% black, 2% Native American. For 72% of the women had no prior history of MI, the other 28% gave details of their most recent AMI. |
| Recruitment | Patients were those diagnosed with AMI and discharged in the previous 4-6 months from 5 sites in Arkansas, North Carolina and Ohio |
| Setting | Secondary care, USA |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 34 of 199 |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | symptoms and risk factors for those with AMI |
|--|--|
| Comparisons | symptoms and risk factors |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported |
| Outcome measures studied | symptoms and risk factors |
| Results | See table 1 and 2 in McSweeney, 2003 doccument |
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study included 515 women with an average age of 66.4 ± 12 years. Of the 515 women 93% were white, 6.2% black, 2% Native American. For 72% of the women had no prior history of MI, the other 28% gave details of their most recent AMI. |
| | The study considered both initial (prodromal) symptoms and acute symptoms. The average number of initial symptoms experienced was 5.71±4.36, with the most common being unusual fatigue, sleep disturbance, shortness of breath, indigestion, and anxiety. 44% of those reporting sleep disturbances and 42% of those reporting fatigue described them as severe. 29.7% of women reported chest pain/discomfort (aching, tightness, pressure, burning, sharpness fullness or tingling), with the location and descriptors used not being mutually exclusive. 78% of women reported having had at least one of their initial symptoms daily or several times a week for more than 1 month. The average number of acute symptoms experienced was 7.3±4.8, with the most common being shortness of breath, weakness, unusual fatigue, cold sweat, and dizziness. The women reported discomfort in their back and high chest as the most common locations of pain. Again chest pain/discomfort was reported by women (pressure, ache, and tightness), mostly being described as severe pain/discomfort. Over all 43% of women reported no chest pain/discomfort. The study also considered the risk factors; most women had a family history of cardiovascular disease, a history of cardiovascular disease and had diabetes. The average BMI was 28.6±6.5 and less than half of the women did regular exercise before having their AMI. |
| | be predicted from the prodromal score. "The prodromal score accounted for an additional 33.2% of the variance in acute symptom scores after control for risk factors which accounted for only 9.9% of the variance". |
| | The study also carried out a T test to determine the association of symptoms with risk factors. The T test showed that there was significant association between initial symptoms and all risk factors except age >50 years, hypertension and hyperlipidemia. The T test also showed that there was significant association between acute symptoms and all risk factors except hypertension, hyperlipidemia and second hand smoke. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had AMI |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |
| Meischke H;Larsen MP;Eise | enberg MS; |

15 September 2009

Gender differences in reported symptoms for acute myocardial infarction: impact on prehospital delay time interval

| Gender dinerence | sintepon | ed symptoms for acute myocardiar ima | arction | impac | | prenospila | i delay lime ii | itervar | |
|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--|
| Ref ₅₆₁₃ ID | Am J Em | erg Med | pgs: | 363 | to ³ | 366 | 1998 | | |
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fundi | ing | Not repo | orted | | |
| Number of part | icipants | 4,497, 2970 men and 1527 women | | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | ision | Patients with a confirmed MI, admitted between January 1991 and February 1993 to the coronary care units of 16 King County hospitals. Those who had cardiac arrest, coma, and shock were excluded | | | | | | | |
| Patient Charact | teristics | Gender – 66% men, 34% women Median age – 64 years men, 73 years women (P=<0.001) White – 91% men, 93% women Black – 4% men, 4% women Asian/Pacific Islander – 5% men, 3% women | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | Consecutive patients admitted between January 1991 and February 1993 to the coronary care units of 16 King County hospitals with AMI were assessed for inclusion. | | | | | | | |
| Setting | | Secondary Care, USA | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ 1 Factor being investigated | ſest/ | risk factors and medical history of men and women with AMI | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | risk factors and medical history of men and women with AMI | | | | | | | |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | y/ | Not reported | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ures | risk factors (gender, age, race, history of AMI, history of diabetes) medical history (chest pain symptoms, diaphoresis, dyspnea, epigastic pain, nausea/vomiting, syncope) | | | | | | | |
| Results | | Univariate comparison of medical his Gender – 66% men, 34% women Median age – 64 years men, 73 year White – 91% men, 93% women Black – 4% men, 4% women Asian/Pacific Islander – 5% men, 3% History of AMI – 30% men, 26% wom History of diabetes – 19% men, 25% Chest pain symptoms – 92% men, 88 Diaphoresis – 54% men, 44% women Dyspnea – 46% men, 52% women (F Epigastric pain – 11% men, 11% wor Nausea/vomiting – 35% men, 44% w Syncope – 3% men, 3% women, Not | o wome nen (P wome 9% wo n (P=< P=<0.0 men, N ⁄omen | en (P=- en =0.021) n (P=<0 men (P 0.001) 01) lot signi (P=<0.0 cant | <0.00 0.00 ⁷ =<0. ificar 001) | 01) 1) 001) nt | | | |
| | | Age – β 0.096, P=<0.001 Gender – β 0.053, P=0.002 History of AMI – β -0.064, P=<0.001 History of diabetes – β 0.048, P=0.00 Diaphoresis – β -0.147, P=<0.001 Chest pain – β -0.059, P=<0.001 Syncope – β -0.039, P=0.02 Dyspnea – β -0.024, Not significant Epigastric pain – β 0.03, Not significant Nausea/vomiting – β 0.014, Not sign | ant | | | | | | |
| Safety and advection of the set o | erse | None | | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the que | | This study showed that women were likely to have a history of diabetes. W and nausea, this difference persisted diabetes. Women were also more lik | Vomen after | were a adjustm | lso r ient f | nore likely for age an | to report swe d history of | ating | |
| 15 September 200 | 9 | Page 36 of 199 | | | | | | | |

| | younger women and those who had a history of diabetes. Men were more likely to have a history of AMI than women. There was no difference between men and women in presentation of chest pain, this similarity persisted after adjustment for age and history of diabetes. |
|--|---|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had a confirmed AMI |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |
| Milner KA;Funk M;Arnold A;\ | /accarino V; |
| Typical symptoms are predic | tive of acute coronary syndromes in women |
| Ref 10301 Am Heart ID | pgs: ²⁸³ to ²⁸⁸ 2002 |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Part funded by Ethel F. Donoghue Women's Health Investigation Program at Yale |
| Number of participants | 522 in total, 246 women and 276 men |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | aged 45 years or older, reportd at least one prespecified set of typical or a typical symptoms suggestive of ACS |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age for women with ACS was 69 \pm 15 years , the mean age for women without ACS was 64 \pm 15 years, |
| Recruitment | Patietns who were seen in the emergency department with suspected ACS |
| Setting | Secondary Care, USA |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | risk factors and symptoms of women and men presenting with suspected ACS |
| Comparisons | risk factors and symptoms of women and men presenting with suspected ACS |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported |
| Outcome measures studied | Risk factors and clinical history of patients |
| Results | Baseline characteristics: White race – 36% women with ACS, 46% men with ACS History of coronary heart disease – 44% women with ACS, 48% men with ACS Systemic hypertension – 38% women with ACS, 49% men with ACS Obesity – 38% women with ACS, 46% men with ACS History of MI – 49% women with ACS, 51% men with ACS Diabetes – 47% women with ACS, 46% men with ACS Hypercholesterolemia – 41% women with ACS, 50% men with ACS Other cardiac problems – 39% women with ACS, 35% men with ACS History of heart failure – 40% women with ACS, 45% men with ACS Current smoker – 26% women with ACS, 42% men with ACS Relationship between typical symptoms and ACS: Chest pain/discomfort present in – 36% women with ACS, 49% men with ACS Dyspnea present in – 44% women with ACS, 41% with ACS |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 37 of 199 |

| 15 Contomber 2000 | Dage 29 of 100 |
|--|--|
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| | The study went on to compared men and women, which showed that there was no difference in the typical symptoms for men and women. The study showed that the were no sex differences through comparing the adjusted the relative risks for ACS women with typical symptoms and in with men with typical symptoms which was be close to 1. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that older women and men were both significantly more likely to be diagnosed with ACS than younger men and women. Women with a history of coronary heart disease, MI or diabetes were also significantly more likely to be diagnosed with ACS compared to those without the risk factors. Men without a histor other cardiac problems were more likely to be diagnosed with ACS. Women who were diagnosed with ACS had a higher number of symptoms than those without (3.36±1.74 compared to 2.78±1.46 P=0.006), however there was no difference in th number of symptoms for men with ACS compared to men without ACS. Typical symptoms in men were not significantly related to a diagnosis of ACS, however the with dizziness or fainting were less likely to be diagnosed with ACS. Women with typical symptoms (chest pain or discomfort, diaphoresis, dyspnea and arm or shoulder pain) were significantly more likely to be diagnosed with a ACS. A multivariate analysis of independent predictors of ACS showed that diaphoresis was strongest in predicting ACS in women, followed by chest pain or discomfort (81% higher risk for ACS) and arm or should pain had a (60% higher risk for ACS). The model for male patients was a poor fit, the authors suggested that this meant that a patients symptoms were not a useful predictor of ACS. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| | Relative risk of ACS for typical symptoms in women relative to men: Chest pain or discomfort – RR – 0.83, 95% CI 0.66 to 1.06, P=0.129 Neck or jaw pain – RR – 0.69, 95% CI 0.40 to 1.15, P=0.141 Diaphoresis – RR – 1.18, 95% CI 0.87 to 1.59, P=0.384 Arm or shoulder pain – RR – 0.91, 95% CI 0.64 to 1.30, P=0.612 Dyspnea – RR – 1.00, 95% CI 0.74 to 1.35, P=0.993 |
| | Diaphoresis – RR – 2.53, 95% CI 1.17 to 5.48, P=0.019 Men Chest pain or discomfort – RR – 1.56, 95% CI 0.86 to 2.82, P=0.142 Neck or jaw pain – RR – 0.69, 95% CI 0.40 to 1.19, P=0.182 Diaphoresis – RR – 0.49, 95% CI 0.26 to 0.93, P=0.028 |
| | Symptom predictors of ACS in women and men by logistic regression analysis: (relative risk – RR) Women Chest pain or discomfort – RR – 1.81, 95% CI 0.95 to 3.42, P=0.069 Neck or jaw pain – RR – 1.60, 95% CI 0.83 to 3.10, P=0.163 |
| | Nausea or vomiting present in – 39% women with ACS. Nausea or vomiting present in – 39% women with ACS, 48% men with ACS Dizziness present in – 36% women with ACS, 32% men with ACS Indigestion present in – 36% women with ACS, 45% men with ACS Fatigue present in – 36% women with ACS, 41% men with ACS Chest fullness, stabbing, numbness, burning or right chest pain present in – 34% women with ACS, 50% men with ACS Midback pain present in – 50% women with ACS, 17% men with ACS Palpitations present in – 35% women with ACS, 29% men with ACS Upper-extremity numbness present in – 29% women with ACS, 33% men with ACS Unable to take a deep breath present in – 9% women with ACS, 29% men with ACS Cough present in – 25% women with ACS, 40% men with ACS |
| | Arm or shoulder pain present in -38% women with ACS, 47% with ACS Diaphoresis present in -53% women with ACS, 44% with ACS Neck or jaw pain present in -41% women with ACS, 53% with ACS Relationship between atypical symptoms and ACS: |

| Directly applicable to | Patients had symptoms suggestive of ACS |
|------------------------|---|
| guideline population? | |

Internal Validity Well covered

Penque S;Halm M;Smith M;Deutsch J;Van RM;McLaughlin L;Dzubay S;Doll N;Beahrs M;

Women and coronary disease: relationship between descriptors of signs and symptoms and diagnostic and treatment course

| Ref 10292 ID | | n journal of critical care : an official pgs: 175 _{to} 182 1998 on American Association of Critical |
|--|----------|---|
| Study Type | Cohort | Funding Not reported |
| Number of parti | icipants | 98 patients, of which 51 were women and 47 were men |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | sion | Included: primary medical diagnosis of MI, at least 21 years old, english speaking, admission via emergency department, directly from physician's office or by transfer from rural hospital within 6 hours of MI. Exclusion: patients who had sudden cardiac death events. A history of coronary artery disease was not a reason for exclusion and so the population is mixed |
| Patient Charact | eristics | The mean age for all patients was 59 years. For the women the mean age was 61 years (range 41-89 years), for the men the mean age was 56 years (range 37-79 years). 3% of all patients were uninsured (measure of socio economic status) |
| Recruitment | | admitted to the hospital during a period of 12 months, with a primary diagnosis of MI |
| Setting | | secondary care, USA |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | differences between men and women in signs and symptoms of MI |
| Comparisons | | Mena and women |
| Length of Study Follow-up | // | Not reported |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | risk factors, signs and symptoms |
| Results | | Cardiovascular risk factor profile Family history of heart disease – women 56%, men 51% Past or current history of smoking – women 57%, men 81% Hypertension – women 41%, men 46% Hyperlipidaemia – women 49%, men 55% Diabetes – women 20%, men 17% |
| | | Precipitating factors for chest pain Rest – women 53%, men 55% (P=0.89) Exertion – women 63%, men 40% (P=0.09) Sex – women 10%, men 6% (P=0.40) Stress – women 51%, men 34% (P=0.10) |
| | | Time elapsed after cardiac-related signs or symptoms were first experienced before treatment was sort Less than 24 hours – women 15%, men 22% 1-2 days – women 6%, men 9% 3-7 days – women 15%, men 17% 8-30 days – women 15%, men 15% 2-6 months – women 6%, men 13% 6-12 months – women 6%, men 0% More than 1 year – women 38%, men 24% |
| 15 September 2009 | 9 | Descriptors of associated signs and symptoms Fatigue – women 71%, men 70% (P=0.90) Rest pain – women 71%, men 72% (P=0.80) Page 39 of 199 |

| | Weakness – women 68%, men 62% (P=0.60) Shortness of breath – women 66%, men 66% (P=0.70) Dizziness – women 56%, men 43% (P=0.10) Arm pain – women 53%, men 55% (P=0.70) Nausea – women 51%, men 35% (P=0.10) Back pain – women 52%, men 20% (P=0.005) Loss of appetite – women 43%, men 19% (P=0.03) Neck pain – women 41%, men 35% (P=0.10) Sweating – women 41%, men 35% (P=0.10) Sweating – women 48%, men 42% (P=0.60) Heartburn – women 28%, men 33% (P0.50=) Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea – women, 30% men 11% (P=0.05) Palpitations – women 25%, men 26% (P=0.80) Jaw pain – women 10%, men 13% (P=0.90) Throat pain – women 8%, men 22% (P=0.10) Toothache – women 5%, men 2% (P=0.40) |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study considered the descriptors of signs and symptoms. The study showed that chest discomfort was the most common initial symptom reported by both men (51% as an initial symptom, 99% at some point) and women (49% as an initial symptom, 94% at some point). The 4 most reported symptoms for men and women were fatigue, rest pain, weakness, and shortness of breath, however women reported dizziness and men reported arm pain as the next common symptom. Women were more likely to suffer loss of appetite, paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea and back pain than men. These differences were significant: loss of appetite (chi-squared=4.48), paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea (chi-squared=3.80), and back pain (chi-squared=7.60). The study considered the length of time from initial symptoms to seeking medical help. There was no significant difference between men (5.3 hours) and women (4.2 hours), with the majority of men and women first having symptoms in the preceding 24 hours, the previous 3 days to 1 month or more than 1 year before. The study also considered the mean number of words used to describe signs, there was no significant difference between men (55). The study concluded that "chest pain was the first sign or symptom of MI reported by both men and women". Women were more likely to report back pain, loss of appetite, and paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea as symptoms than men and were less likely than men to have diagnostic angiography and to receive IV nitroglycerin, heparin, and thrombolytics as part of their management. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had a primary diagnosis of MI |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

De S;Searles G;Haddad H;

The prevalence of cardiac risk factors in women 45 years of age or younger undergoing angiography for evaluation of undiagnosed chest pain

| 3 | | | | | | |
|--|----------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Ref ₉₂₃ ID | The Cana | adian journal of cardiology | pgs: | 945 | to ⁹⁴⁸ | 2002 |
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fundi | ng No | t reported |
| Number of parti | cipants | 187 in total, 55 in group A (those w significant CAD) | | | - | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Women aged under 45 years, who chest pain and who had no known | | | or coronal | ry angiography due to |
| Patient Characte | eristics | Not reported. Patients were women aged under 4 | 45 who di | id not h | ave a kno | own history of CAD |
| Recruitment | | Patients referred for coronary angie (february 1997-December 2000) at Halifax, Nova Scotia | | | | |
| Setting | | Secondary care, Nova Scotia, Can | ada | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | Risk factors in women with and wo | thout sigr | nficant | CAD | |
| Comparisons | | Risk factors - obesity, dyslipidemia of CAD, current smoker, past smok | | s, hype | rtension, | premature family history |
| Length of Study Follow-up | ıl | Not reported | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | res | Diagnosis of CAD | | | | |
| Results | | Risk factors: Obesity – 45% group A, 46% group Dyslipidemia – 72% group A, 47% Diabetes – 29% group A, 9% group Hypertension – 40% group A, 28% Family history of premature CAD – Current smoker – 55% group A, 35 Past smoker – 13% group A, 15% | group B, b B, P=<0 group B, 65% gro 5% group | P=0.00).001 , P=0.1 up A, 6 B, P=0 | 3 7% group .03 | o B, P=0.79 |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | None | | | | |
| Does the study answer the que | stion? | The women included were aged <4 angiography due to chest pain but CAD, the patients were subsequen presence of CAD or absence. Grou without significant CAD. Group B (t those with noncritial CAD (8%) and A were significantly more likely to h P=0.002), diabetes (29% group A, A, 50% group B, P=0.03). There was in the rates of obesity, hypertension | had not b tly divide up A had hose with I those with ave dysli 9% group as no sigu n, and far | been di d into t signific nout sig ith norr ipidemi b B, P= nificant mily his | agnosed wo group ant CAD, gnificant C nal coron a (72% g 0.001), a differenc tory of pr | and had no history of s; dependant upon the and group B were CAD) was subdivided into ary arteries (92%). Group roup A, 47% group B, nd to smoke (67% group the between group A and B emature CAD. |
| | | The study concluded that women w diabetes and smoking. However for | | | | |
| 15 September 2000 | a | Page 41 of 199 | | | | |

| | risk factor was a family history of CAD (67%), followed by smoking (55%) and dyslipidemia (55%). |
|--|--|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had chest pain |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

Question: Are the symptoms and description of the symptoms different 5 in Black and Ethnic Minorities presenting with acute chest pain of suspected cardiac origin compared with Caucasians Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal

Johnson PA;Lee TH;Cook EF;Rouan GW;Goldman L;

Effect of race on the presentation and management of patients with acute chest pain.[see comment]

| Ref ₂₅₃₉₇ Ai ID | nn Intern Med | pgs: 593 _{to} 601 | 1993 |
|--|---|--|---|
| Study Type | Cohort | Funding Not rep | orted |
| Number of partici | pants Final study population was 3 | 031 after exclusions | |
| Inclusion/Exclusio Criteria | anterior, percordial, or left lat local trauma or abnormalities arrest in the emergency depa period, 4173 potentially eligit was 3031 after exclusions (1 | g to the emergency department with teral chest pain that could not be ex s on a chest X ray. Patients that exp artment were excluded from the stud ole patient visits occurred, and the fi 1 due to incomplete data, 531 cons ce not identified, and 238 as race w | plained by obvious erienced cardiac dy. During the study nal study population ent not obtained, 204 |
| Patient Character | Caucasian with mean age of African American patients we Caucasian patients (68% ver have a past history of; corona 0.0001), cardiac catheterisat coronary artery bypass surge Americans compared with Ca acute MI (6% versus 12%, re | 374 (45%) were African American a 53 years and 58 years, respectively ere significantly more likely to be fer rsus 47%, respectively P < 0.0001), ary artery disease (30% versus 47% ion (6% versus 11%, respectively P ery (3% versus 11%, respectively, P aucasians were less likely to have a espectively, P < 0.0001), and this re is of African American patients vers | y ($P < 0.001$). The nale compared with and less likely to 6, respectively, $P <$ < 0.0001), and < 0.0001). African final diagnosis of esult is consistent |
| Recruitment | | nergency department with a chief co st pain that could not be explained b a chest X ray. | |
| Setting | Emergency department USA | A, Dec 1983 to Oct 1988 | |
| Interventions/ Tes Factor being investigated | st/ History, risk factors and sign | s and symptoms | |
| Comparisons | African Americans versus Ca | aucasians with suspected acute MI | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not applicable | | |
| Outcome measure studied | History, risk factors and signa | s and symptoms | |
| Results | signs and symptoms compar racial groups clinical charact 1.0 for chest pain greater tha of pain to left arm, left should examination for both racial g the groups. While it was four a final diagnosis of acute MI association with race and ac signs and symptoms using lo | th a final diagnosis of acute MI had red with the Caucasian patients. Con eristics of acute M I, the odds ratios an or equal to 30 min, pressure type der, neck or jaw, diaphoresis and ral roups but these were not statistically nd that African American patients we ($P < 0.0001$), there was no longer a ute MI after adjustments for were m ogistical regression analysis. The oc ans compared with Caucasians was | mparing the two were all greater than e chest pain, radiation les on physical y different between ere less likely to have statistical ade for presenting lds ratio for acute MI |

| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Does the study answer the question | Yes, African Americans had a si ? Caucasians | milar clinic | al pres | entati | on of acute MI compared with |
| Effect due to factor i study? | n Yes | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population | | erefore dire | ctly ap | oplical | ble |
| Internal Validity | Adequately addressed | | | | |
| Klingler D;Green WR;Ne | erenz D;Havstad S;Rosman HS;Cetne | r L;Shah S | ;Wimb | ush F | ;Borzak S; |
| Perceptions of chest pai | n differ by race | | | | |
| Ref ₁₀₃₀₀ Am H ID | leart J | pgs: | 51 | to | 59 2002 |
| Study Type Co | hort | | Fund | ding | National Institute of Aging, the National Institute of Nursing Research and the Office of Minority Health of the NIH |
| Number of participar | nts 215 in total, 157 African America | an, 58 whit | е | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients admitted with suspected their primary language and they excluded if they were of a race of < 18 years, had known mental ir admission, had a previous intervidata missing from their medical | could reca other than A npairment, riew prior to | ll pre-h African were p | nospita Amer pregna | al events. Patients were ican or Caucasian, were aged ant, had a MI subsequent to |
| Patient Characteristi | cs Mean age - 59±14 years African Male – 46% African American, 5 | | | | s white (P=0.13) |
| Recruitment | Patients who were admitted with the ED chest pain unit | acute MI | betwee | en Ap | ril 1999 and August 1999 to |
| Setting | Secondary care, USA | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Comparison of Medical history a patients with acute MI | nd risk fac | tors be | etweer | n African American and white |
| Comparisons | Medical history and risk factors | of African A | America | an an | d white patients |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Medical history and risk factors | | | | |
| Results 15 September 2009 | Characteristics: Mean age - 59±14 years African Male – 46% African American, 5 Diabetes – 28% African America Hypertension – 67% African Ame Hypercholesterolemia – 28% Afr Angina – 8% African American, Heart attack – 27% African Amer Congestive heart failure – 12% A Page 45 of 199 | 7% white (an, 16% wh erican, 55% rican Amer 3% white (rican, 16% African Am | P=0.15 hite (P= % white ican, 3 P=0.37 white | 5) =0.05) e (P=0 4% w 7) (P=0. | 0.12) hite (P=0.5) 06) |
| 10 Ocpterinoer 2008 | raye 40 01 19 | <i>.</i> | | | |

| | Coronary angiography – 15% African American, 10% white (P=0.4) Coronary artery bypass graph – 8% African American, 21% white (P=0.01) Smoker – 29% African American, 31% white (P=0.74) Prior stomach complaints – 16% African American, 29% white (P=0.03) |
|--|--|
| | Symptoms: Cardiac Chest pain – 78% African American, 79% white (P=0.88) Chest pressure – 62% African American, 76% white (P=0.06) Chest tightness – 51% African American, 58% white (P=0.37) Chest discomfort – 64% African American, 59% white (P=0.5) Palpitations – 40% African American, 26% white (P=0.07) Any of the above – 97% African American, 93% white (P=0.16) Gastrointestinal Stomach pain – 22% African American, 17% white (P=0.47) Heartburn – 26% African American, 21% white (P=0.47) Heartburn – 26% African American, 22% white (P=0.58) Gas pain – 33% African American, 22% white (P=0.59) Stomach problem – 22% African American, 19% white (P=0.59) Any of the above – 57% African American, 19% white (P=0.86) Associated symptoms Nausea/vomiting – 44% African American, 41% white (P=0.74) Arm/shoulder pain – 41% African American, 33% white (P=0.9) Headache – 37% African American, 22% white (P=0.9) Headache – 37% African American, 28% white (P=0.9) Neck pain – 29% African American, 28% white (P=0.9) Neck pain – 29% African American, 28% white (P=0.9) Neck pain – 29% African American, 28% white (P=0.9) Dizziness of breath – 62% African American, 32% white (P=0.86) Numbness/tingling – 33% African American, 32% white (P=0.90) Dizziness – 54% African American, 26% white (P=0.09) Dizziness – 54% African American, 26% white (P=0.5) Sweating – 50% African American, 53% white (P=0.29) Neck pain – 29% African American, 26% white (P=0.29) |
| | There was no significant difference in the one worst reported symptom (respiratory, cardiac, gastrointestinal, other, unable to identify) between African American and white patients. There was also no significant difference in the location of pain (above diaphragm, below diaphragm, both, other), the timing of the pain (constant, intermittent, wax/wane) and the median discomfort and control of pain between African American and white patients. |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable |
| Does the study answer the question? | Patients were interviewed from April 1999 to August 1999. Patients were identified through a floor census and screened through a brief review of their medical charts. Patients were approached to participate based on their medical record number. 215 met the inclusion criteria out of 588 who were approached. A structured questionnaire was developed to assess the contextual, emotional and behavioural factors in patients seeking medical help. The questionnaire was adapted from existing questionnaires, after external validation by a group of experts it was piloted on 10 patients and altered accordingly. |
| | Demographics and medical history: 27% were white and 73% were African American, there were no significant differences between the two groups' age, sex and insurance status (suggestive of socioeconomic status). African Americans were significantly more likely to have diabetes (P=0.05) and to be taking calcium-channel blockers (P=0.005), however white patients were more likely to have had coronary artery bypass surgery (P=0.01) and to have had a previous stomach complaint (P=0.03). |
| | Symptoms at presentation: Those who were diagnosis as not having an MI were more likely to have had stomach pain (P=0.03) and sweating (P=0.05) at presentation. No significant differences were found between African American and white patients in the objective symptoms. There was no significant difference in the one worst reported symptom (respiratory, cardiac, gastrointestinal, other, unable to identify) between African American and white patients. There was also no significant difference in the location of pain (above diaphragm, below diaphragm, both, other), the timing of the pain |

| | (constant, intermittent, wax/wane) and the median discomfort and control of pain between African American and white patients. |
|--|--|
| | African Americans were as likely as Caucasian patients to report typical objective symptoms but were marginally more likely to attribute their symptoms to a gastrointestinal source rather than a cardiac source ($P = 0.05$). Of 157 Caucasian patients, 11 patients were diagnosed as having had an MI (11%), while 27 out of 58 Caucasian patients (47%) were diagnosed with acute MI ($P < 0.001$). However of those patients with a final diagnosis of MI, 61% of African Americans attributed their symptoms to a gastrointestinal source and 11% to a cardiac source versus 26% and 33%, respectively for Caucasian patients. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Acute chest pain population therefore directly applicable |
| Internal Validity | Not addressed |
| Maynard C;Beshansky JR;G | Griffith JL;Selker HP; |
| Causes of chest pain and sy to the emergency departme | mptoms suggestive of acute cardiac ischemia in African-American patients presenting nt: a multicenter study |
| Ref ₁₄₂₄ Journal o ID | of the National Medical Association pgs: 665 to 671 1997 |
| Study Type Cohor | |
| | Policy and Research |
| Number of participants | Policy and Research 10001, of which 3401 (34%) were African Americans,, 6600 were white |
| Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion | 10001, of which 3401 (34%) were African Americans,, 6600 were white Included: aged greater or equal to 30 years presenting with chest or left arm pain, shortness of breath, or other symptoms suggestive of acute cardiac ischemia from 10 participating hospitals in east and midwest USA. Excluded: patients with chest pain/ discomfort related to trauma, surgical emergencies, those with a clear non-cardiac |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | 10001, of which 3401 (34%) were African Americans,, 6600 were white Included: aged greater or equal to 30 years presenting with chest or left arm pain, shortness of breath, or other symptoms suggestive of acute cardiac ischemia from 10 participating hospitals in east and midwest USA. Excluded: patients with chest pain/discomfort related to trauma, surgical emergencies, those with a clear non-cardiac cause, patients transferred from other hospitals In the male group, the average age for African American patients was 52±14 years and 60±15 year for white patients (P<0.0001). The average time from symptom onset to emergency department arrival was 3 hours for African American patients and 2 hours for white patients (P=0.0006). 33% of African American men and 15% of white men were uninsured, 23% of African American men and 6% of white men had Medicaid, 28% of African Americans men and 44% of white men had Medicare; for all P <0.0001 (measure of socio economic status). In the female group, the average age for African American patients was 55±15 years and 65±16 year for white patients (P<0.0001). The average time from symptom onset to emergency department arrival was 3.3 hours for African American patients and 2.4% of African American patients was 55±15 years and 65±16 year for white patients (P=0.045). 26% of African Americans women and 12% of white women were uninsured, 24% of African Americans and 8% of white women had Medicaid, 33% of African Americans women and 56% of white women had |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | 10001, of which 3401 (34%) were African Americans,, 6600 were white Included: aged greater or equal to 30 years presenting with chest or left arm pain, shortness of breath, or other symptoms suggestive of acute cardiac ischemia from 10 participating hospitals in east and midwest USA. Excluded: patients with chest pain/ discomfort related to trauma, surgical emergencies, those with a clear non-cardiac cause, patients transferred from other hospitals In the male group, the average age for African American patients was 52±14 years and 60±15 year for white patients (P<0.0001). The average time from symptom onset to emergency department arrival was 3 hours for African American patients and 2 hours for white patients (P=0.0006). 33% of African American men and 15% of white men were uninsured, 23% of African American men and 6% of white men had Medicaid, 28% of African Americans men and 44% of white men had Medicare; for all P <0.0001 (measure of socio economic status). In the female group, the average age for African American patients was 55±15 years and 65±16 year for white patients (P=0.045). 26% of African Americans women and 12% of white women were uninsured, 24% of African Americans and 8% of white women had Medicaid, 33% of African Americans women and 56% of white women had Medicaid, 33% of African Americans women and 56% of white women had Medicaid, 33% of African Americans women and 56% of white women had Medicaid, 33% of African Americans women and 56% of white women had Medicaid, 33% of African Americans women and 56% of white women had Medicaire; for all P <0.0001 (measure of socio economic status). |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | 10001, of which 3401 (34%) were African Americans,, 6600 were white Included: aged greater or equal to 30 years presenting with chest or left arm pain, shortness of breath, or other symptoms suggestive of acute cardiac ischemia from 10 participating hospitals in east and midwest USA. Excluded: patients with chest pain/ discomfort related to trauma, surgical emergencies, those with a clear non-cardiac cause, patients transferred from other hospitals In the male group, the average age for African American patients was 52±14 years and 60±15 year for white patients (P<0.0001). The average time from symptom onset to emergency department arrival was 3 hours for African American patients and 2 hours for white patients (P=0.0006). 33% of African American men and 15% of white men were uninsured, 23% of African American men and 6% of white men had Medicaid, 28% of African Americans men and 44% of white men had Medicare; for all P <0.0001 (measure of socio economic status). In the female group, the average age for African American patients was 55±15 years and 65±16 year for white patients (P <0.0001). The average time from symptom onset to emergency department arrival was 3.3 hours for African American patients and 3 hours for white patients (P=0.045). 26% of African Americans women and 12% of white women were uninsured, 24% of African Americans and 8% of white women had Medicaid, 33% of African Americans women and 56% of white women had Medicare; for all P <0.0001 (measure of socio economic status). Patients admitted to 10 hospitals in east and midwest USA |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | 10001, of which 3401 (34%) were African Americans,, 6600 were white Included: aged greater or equal to 30 years presenting with chest or left arm pain, shortness of breath, or other symptoms suggestive of acute cardiac ischemia from 10 participating hospitals in east and midwest USA. Excluded: patients with chest pain/discomfort related to trauma, surgical emergencies, those with a clear non-cardiac cause, patients transferred from other hospitals In the male group, the average age for African American patients was 52±14 years and 60±15 year for white patients (P<0.0001). The average time from symptom onset to emergency department arrival was 3 hours for African American patients and 2 hours for white patients (P=0.0006). 33% of African American men and 15% of white men were uninsured, 23% of African American men and 6% of white men had Medicaid, 28% of African Americans men and 44% of white men had Medicare; for all P <0.0001 (measure of socio economic status). In the female group, the average age for African American patients was 55±15 years and 65±16 year for white patients (P=0.045). 26% of African Americans women and 12% of white women were uninsured, 24% of African Americans and 8% of white women had Medicaid, 33% of African Americans women and 56% of white women had Medicaire; for all P <0.0001 (measure of socio economic status). Patients admitted to 10 hospitals in east and midwest USA Secondary care, USA |

| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported |
|--|--|
| Outcome measures studied | Signs and symptoms and risk factors to diagnose acute MI or angina |
| Results | Medical History and Clinical Characteristics Men Ulcer – 16% African American, 16% white (P=0.74) Hypertension – 57% African American, 44% white (P=<0.0001) Angina – 29% African American, 42% white (P=<0.0001) MI – 20% African American, 32% white (P=<0.0001) Stroke – 9% African American, 35% white (P=0.47) Diabetes – 20% African American, 20% white (P=0.88) Current smoker – 56% African American, 30% white (P=<0.0001) Cardiac medications – 47% African American, 59% white (P=<0.0001) Chest pain – 77% African American, 75% white (P=0.20) Chest pain as primary symptom – 69% African American, 70% white (P=0.49) Shortness of breath – 62% African American, 51% white (P=<0.0001) Abdominal pain – 20% African American, 12% white (P=<0.0001) Nausea – 28% African American, 24% white (P=<0.01) Vomiting – 13% African American, 26% white (P=<0.0001) Dizziness – 35% African American, 26% white (P=<0.0001) Fainting – 6% African American, 3% white (P=0.14) S3 sound – 4% African American, 3% white (P=0.013) Congestive heart failure – 16% African American, 16% white (P=0.29) Diastolic blood pressure >10 – 21% African American, 28% white (P=<0.0001) |
| | Women Ulcer – 14% African American, 14% white (P=0.73) Hypertension – 64% African American, 51% white (P=<0.0001) Angina – 32% African American, 39% white (P=<0.0001) MI – 18% African American, 26% white (P=<0.0001) Stroke – 9% African American, 26% white (P=<0.0001) Current smoker – 34% African American, 23% white (P=<0.0001) Cardiac medications – 60% African American, 64% white (P=0.01) Chest pain – 79% African American, 72% white (P=<0.0001) Chest pain as primary symptom – 69% African American, 64% white (P=0.0002) Shortness of breath – 61% African American, 55% white (P=<0.0001) Abdominal pain – 17% African American, 13% white (P=<0.0001) Nausea – 35% African American, 29% white (P=<0.0001) Vomiting – 14% African American, 26% white (P=<0.0001) Dizziness – 33% African American, 7% white (P=<0.0001) Bales – 19% African American, 3% white (P=<0.0001) S3 sound – 3% African American, 3% white (P=0.019) Systolic blood pressure >160 – 28% African American, 23% white (P=0.019) Systolic blood pressure >90 – 34% African American, 23% white (P=<0.0001) |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study found that there were differences in patients' medical history dependant upon racial background. African Americans were more likely to smoke and have hypertension compared with Caucasians, and African American women were more likely to have diabetes than Caucasian women. Caucasian patients were more likely to have a history of angina or MI and to take cardiac medications. There was no difference in the number of African Americans and Caucasian male patients who had chest pain as a primary symptom. There were a higher number of African American female patients than Caucasian female patients who had chest pain as a primary symptom. African American patients were more likely to report additional symptoms of shortness of breath, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting and dizziness. African Americans were more likely to have a diastolic blood pressure of > 90mmHg when admitted to hospital compared to Caucasian patients, and the authors stated that this is consistent with the finding of more previous systemic hypertension in African Americans. |

| | Acute MI and angina was less likely to be diagnosed in African American men compared with Caucasian men (acute MI; 6% versus 12%, respectively; angina 8% compared to 20%). Non cardiac diagnoses were confirmed in almost half of African American men compared with one third of Caucasian men. Similarly only 4% of African American women had a final diagnosis of acute MI compared with 8% in Caucasian women, and angina was diagnosed in 12% of African American women compared with 17% of Caucasian women. Non cardiac diagnoses were confirmed in almost half of African American women compared with 39% of Caucasian women. Logistic regression in 74% of the patients examined the racial differences in the diagnoses, using the following variables; medical history, sociodemographic factors, signs and symptoms, and the hospital the patient was admitted to. African American patients compared to Caucasian patients were half as less likely to develop acute MI (odds ratio 0.54, 95% CI 0.41 to 0.68). |
|--|---|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients with chest pain, left arm pain, shortness of breath or symptoms suggestive of acute cardiac ischeamia, directly applicable. |
| Internal Validity | Not addressed |
| Teoh M;Lalondrelle S;Rough | ton M;Grocott-Mason R;Dubrey SW; |
| Acute coronary syndromes a | and their presentation in Asian and Caucasian patients in Britain |
| Ref ₂₅₃₉₄ Heart ID | pgs: 183 _{to} 188 2007 |
| | |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Listed as none |
| Study Type Cohort Number of participants | Funding Listed as none 2905 patients, 604 (21%) were Asian and 2301 (79%) were Caucasian |
| | 5 |
| Number of participants | 2905 patients, 604 (21%) were Asian and 2301 (79%) were Caucasian Consecutive patients requiring hospital admission for ACS recruited by a senior |
| Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | 2905 patients, 604 (21%) were Asian and 2301 (79%) were Caucasian Consecutive patients requiring hospital admission for ACS recruited by a senior cardiac nurse. Patients of races other than Asian or Caucasian were excluded Asians mean age 60.6 (SD 12.7) years, Caucasians 68.9 (SD 13.9) years (P < |
| Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | 2905 patients, 604 (21%) were Asian and 2301 (79%) were Caucasian Consecutive patients requiring hospital admission for ACS recruited by a senior cardiac nurse. Patients of races other than Asian or Caucasian were excluded Asians mean age 60.6 (SD 12.7) years, Caucasians 68.9 (SD 13.9) years (P < 0.001), Asians 66% male, Caucasians 62% |
| Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | 2905 patients, 604 (21%) were Asian and 2301 (79%) were Caucasian Consecutive patients requiring hospital admission for ACS recruited by a senior cardiac nurse. Patients of races other than Asian or Caucasian were excluded Asians mean age 60.6 (SD 12.7) years, Caucasians 68.9 (SD 13.9) years (P < 0.001), Asians 66% male, Caucasians 62% Consecutive by nurse in emergency department |
| Number of participantsInclusion/Exclusion CriteriaPatient CharacteristicsRecruitmentSettingInterventions/ Test/ Factor being | 2905 patients, 604 (21%) were Asian and 2301 (79%) were Caucasian Consecutive patients requiring hospital admission for ACS recruited by a senior cardiac nurse. Patients of races other than Asian or Caucasian were excluded Asians mean age 60.6 (SD 12.7) years, Caucasians 68.9 (SD 13.9) years (P < 0.001), Asians 66% male, Caucasians 62% Consecutive by nurse in emergency department Emergency department UK |
| Number of participantsInclusion/Exclusion CriteriaPatient CharacteristicsRecruitmentSettingInterventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 2905 patients, 604 (21%) were Asian and 2301 (79%) were Caucasian Consecutive patients requiring hospital admission for ACS recruited by a senior cardiac nurse. Patients of races other than Asian or Caucasian were excluded Asians mean age 60.6 (SD 12.7) years, Caucasians 68.9 (SD 13.9) years (P < 0.001), Asians 66% male, Caucasians 62% Consecutive by nurse in emergency department Emergency department UK Signs and symptoms, risk factors |
| Number of participantsInclusion/Exclusion CriteriaPatient CharacteristicsRecruitmentSettingInterventions/ Test/ Factor being investigatedComparisons Length of Study/ | 2905 patients, 604 (21%) were Asian and 2301 (79%) were Caucasian Consecutive patients requiring hospital admission for ACS recruited by a senior cardiac nurse. Patients of races other than Asian or Caucasian were excluded Asians mean age 60.6 (SD 12.7) years, Caucasians 68.9 (SD 13.9) years (P < 0.001), Asians 66% male, Caucasians 62% Consecutive by nurse in emergency department Emergency department UK Signs and symptoms, risk factors Asians versus Caucasian |
| Number of participantsInclusion/Exclusion CriteriaPatient CharacteristicsRecruitmentSettingInterventions/ Test/ Factor being investigatedComparisonsLength of Study/ Follow-upOutcome measures | 2905 patients, 604 (21%) were Asian and 2301 (79%) were Caucasian Consecutive patients requiring hospital admission for ACS recruited by a senior cardiac nurse. Patients of races other than Asian or Caucasian were excluded Asians mean age 60.6 (SD 12.7) years, Caucasians 68.9 (SD 13.9) years (P < 0.001), Asians 66% male, Caucasians 62% Consecutive by nurse in emergency department Emergency department UK Signs and symptoms, risk factors Asians versus Caucasian Not applicable |

| | There was a small but statistically significant difference in the intensity of discomfort reported, with Asian patients reporting a median pain rating of 7.5 compared with 7.0 in Caucasian patients ($P < 0.002$). Twenty four percent of Asian patients rated their discomfort at the maximum value of 10 compared with 19% of Caucasian patients. A smaller percentage of Asian patients (6%) reported feeling no discomfort at presentation (silent MI) compared with Caucasian patients (13%) ($P = 0.002$). These patients were identified by a combination of symptoms, including fatigue, shortness of breath, collapse and resuscitation following cardiac arrest. Logistic regression analysis was performed to determine which factors contributed to patients reporting a silent episode, and the most significant factor was a patients diabetic status, they were more than twice as likely to report that they felt no pain during presentation compared with non-diabetics (odds ratio 2.08, 95% CI 1.56 to 2.76). Analysis showed that Caucasian patients (odds ratio 1.61, 95% CI 1.08 to 1.10) were also more likely to feel no discomfort compared with Asian patients. Analysis with age as a continuous variable was also associated with silent episode. |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable |
| Does the study answer the question? | Yes. Asian patients were younger, more likely to be diabetic and they tended to report greater intensity of pain over a greater area of the body, and more frequent discomfort over the rear of their upper thorax than Caucasian patients. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Acute chest pain population therefore directly applicable |
| Internal Validity | Not addressed |

Barakat K;Wells Z;Ramdhany S;Mills PG;Timmis AD;

Bangladeshi patients present with non-classic features of acute myocardial infarction and are treated less aggressively in east London, UK

| Ref 10302 ID | Heart | pgs: | 276 | to ² | 279 | 2003 | | | | |
|--|----------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Study Type | Cohort | | Fund | ling | | wass supported C Clinical Training | | | | |
| Number of parti | cipants | 371 patients, of which 108 were Banglades | hi and | 263 w | vere white | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | sion | Patients who were white or Bangladeshi with acute MI. Inclusion criteria was acute MI as defined by the presence of cardiac chest pain with ST elevation > 1 mm in two consecutive leads, Q wave development, and a creatine kinase rise greater than twice the upper limit of normal (400 IU/mI). | | | | | | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | The mean age was 63 ± 12 years in the Ban white group (P<0.0001). 87% of the Bangla of the white group (P0.002). 1/3 of the Bang | deshi g | group | were male | compared to 70% | | | | |
| Recruitment | | Patients admitted to Royal London Hospita April 2001 | I, UK, a | acute | MI betweer | n May 1998 and | | | | |
| Setting | | Royal London Hospital, UK | | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | Bangladeshi patients compared to white patients with acute MI | | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | Bangladeshi patients compared to white pa | tients | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | // | Not reported | | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | Risk factors, symptoms | | | | | | | | |
| Results | | Baseline characteristics: Age (years) – Bangladeshi 63 ± 12 ; Whites 6 Male sex – 87% Bangladeshi; 70% Whites Smoking – 71.3% Bangladeshi; 70.3% Whi Hypertension – 43.5% Bangladeshi; 38.4% Diabetes – 50% Bangladeshi; 15.2% White Family history of IHD – 13% Bangladeshi; 2 Previous acute MI – 28.7% Bangladeshi; 4 Nature of chest pain and interpretation of st 32, Whites n=31) Central pain – 40.6% Bangladeshi, 87.1% | (P=0.0 tes (P= White ss (P<0 29.3% V 8% WI s8% WI | 02) =0.85) s (P=0 .0001 White nites (ns by | 0.36)) s (P=0.0005 P=0.0014) racial group | | | | | |
| | | Left sided pain – 34.4% Bangladeshi, 3.2% Other pain – 25% Bangladeshi, 97% White Typical character of pain – 25% Banglades Non-classical character of pain – 75% Banglades Interpreted as acute MI– 46.9% Banglades Interpreted as other– 53.1% Bangladeshi, 5 Initial response of sought health care advice (P=0.20) Initial response of sought family advice – 3 Initial response of other – 15.6% Banglades | White (P=0.0 hi, 58.7 gladesl shi, 45. 54.8% e – 46. 7.5% B | (P=0)006) I% W ni, 41. 2% W White 9% B | .0006) hite (P=0.0 .9% White (/hite (P=0.9 (P=0.99) angladeshi, deshi, 61.3 | P=0.0132) 9) 25.8% White White (P=0.20) | | | | |

| | (typical character is: heaviness, tightness, weight, pressure, band-like, gripping; non- classical character is: sharp, stabbing, pinching, burning) |
|--|--|
| | Multivariate analysis of the likelihood of Bangladeshi patients to present with typical central chest pain compared with white patients: Crude – (OR 0.11; 95% CI 0.03 to 0.38; P=0.0006) Adjustment for age and sex – (OR 0.10; 95% CI 0.03 to 0.39; P=0.0007) Adjustment for age, sex and diabetes – (OR 0.12; 95% CI 0.03 to 0.49; P=0.0031) Adjustment for age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, family history of IHD and hypercholesterolemia – (OR 0.11; 95% CI 0.02 to 0.58; P=0.0094) Adjustment for age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, family history of IHD, hypercholesterolemia and proficiency in English – (OR 0.10; 95% CI 0.01 to 0.79; P=0.0285) |
| | Multivariate analysis of the likelihood of Bangladeshi patients to present with typical cardiac chest pain compared with white patients: Crude – (OR 0.25; 95% CI 0.09 to 0.74; P=0.0118) Adjustment for age and sex – (OR 0.25; 95% CI 0.08 to 0.77; P=0.0154) Adjustment for age, sex and diabetes – (OR 0.19; 95% CI 0.05 to 0.70; P=0.0124) Adjustment for age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, family history of IHD and hypercholesterolemia – (OR 0.13; 95% CI 0.03 to 0.63; P=0.0116) Adjustment for age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, family history of IHD, hypercholesterolemia and proficiency in English – (OR 0.05; 95% CI 0.004 to 0.46; P=0.0091) |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable |
| Does the study answer the question? | The baseline characteristics of the study showed that Bangladeshis were younger, more often male and diabetic, and more likely to report a previous acute MI than Whites. However Bangladeshis were less likely to report a family history of ischaemic heart disease than whites. 1/3 of the Bangladeshi patients were assessed to be fluent in English. |
| | Bangladeshis were significantly less likely to report central chest pain (OR 0.11; 95% CI 0.03 to 0.38; P=0.0006) than whites. This significant difference remained after adjustment for difference in age, sex, risk factor profiles and fluency in English. Bangladeshis were also were more likely to offer non-classic descriptions (sharp, stabbing, pinching, burning) and less likely to report classic descriptions of the character of pain (heaviness, tightness, weight, pressure, band-like, gripping) (OR 0.25; 95% CI 0.09 to 0.74; P=0.0118). These differences persisted after adjustment for difference in age, sex, risk factor profiles and fluency in English. |
| | The study concluded that Bangladeshi patients with an acute MI were more likely to present with atypical symptoms compared to white patients. The Authors stated that this may lead to slower triage in the emergency department and delay in treatment, this factor needs recognition by emergency department staff in order to reduce mortality rates in this high risk group. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Not certain- selected patients with chest pain, hence directness to question may be inappropriate as in that patients with atypical symptoms not necessary included |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Selected patients with chest pain, hence directness to question may be inappropriate as in that patients with atypical symptoms not necessary included |
| Internal Validity | Not addressed |

Question: What is the utility (incremental value) and cost effectiveness of the resting ECG in evaluation of individuals with acute chest pain of suspected cardiac origin?

| Grading: 1++ | High-quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a very low risk of bias | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Mant J;McManus RJ;Oakes | RL;Delaney BC;Barton PM;Deeks | JJ;Hamm | ersley L; | Dav | ies RC;Da | avies MK;Hobbs FR; | |
| Systematic review and mode | elling of the investigation of acute a | and chroni | c chest p | bain | presentin | g in primary care | |
| Ref ₇₂₈ Health te ID | chnology assessment | pgs: | 1 | to ¹ | 158 | 2004 | |
| Study Type System | natic Review | | Fundi | ng | | D Health ogy Assessment me | |
| Number of participants | In total fifty three cohorts | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Papers with patients with acute a | nd stable | chest pa | in of | fsuspecte | ed cardiac origin | |
| Patient Characteristics | Patients with acute and stable ch | nest pain o | of suspe | cted | cardiac o | rigin | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | | |
| Setting | Primary and secondary care | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Resting ECG. Diagnosis of acute MI and ACS. | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Diagnosis of acute MI, ACS and a | angina. | | | | | |
| Results | The presence of ST elevation (co limb leads or 2 mm in two contigu- single ECG for ruling in a diagnos positive LR of 13.1 (95% CI 8.28 reasonably useful at ruling out a l patients with acute chest pain. Th waves (LR + 5.01, 95% 3.56 to 7 3.92). Reasonable discrimination combined, for example ST elevat 95%CI 3.66 to 7.70). A completel MI (LR+ 0.14, 95%CI 0.11 to 0.20 difficult to interpret because of sig single ECG was an important for chest pain. A further number of si to some or all of the following eval department: signs, symptoms, an studies. There were fifteen studie information available to physician subgroups; interpretation of admi interpretation of clinical data othe coronary syndrome, and A&E dec syndromes. Clinical interpretation very high LR+ (145 in the best qu sensitivity was low (LR- 0.58). Th signs and symptoms in diagnosis the studies evaluating A&E initial 7.12) and a LR- of 0.29 (95% CI 0 | uous preco sis of acut to 20.60, MI (LR+ 0 ne two nex 7.06) and of MI was ion, depre ly normal 1 0). It was s gnificant h diagnostic tudies wer aluations to di investig es evaluati s. Analysi ssion ECC er than EC cisions to n of admis uality pape e one stud found tha diagnosis | brdial lea e MI in p P < 0.00 .14, 95% at best ch ST deprises solution Q ECG was stated the eteroger c information S for MI at the solution of for MI at the solution ECC or MI at the solution S for MI at the solution S | ads) (ads) (| was the n nts with a complete 0.11 to 0.2 les were to on (LR + 3 len a num es/ and o asonably to e summa in the stu- in the st | nost discriminating cute chest with a ely normal ECG was (0, P = 0.007) in the presence of Q (3.13, 95% 2.50 to ber of features were r T waves (LR + 5.30) useful at ruling out a ry results were dies but that a aluation of acute ined ECG in addition the emergency fined as 'black box' making on the initial was divided into 4 onary syndrome, is for MI and acute e coronary wed that there was a owever the exclusive use of as not helpful. For 4.48 (95% CI 2.82 to | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 54 of 199 | | | | | | |

| | admit for MI the LR+ was 2.55 (95% CI 1.87 to 3.47) with an LR–. Of 0.08 (95% CI 0.05 to 0.18). ECG was not found to be particularly useful in ruling in a diagnosis of angina in patients with stable chest pain. Thirteen studies were identified and the presence of Q wave changes was found to be the most frequently evaluated ECG change. The LR+ was 2.56, however the 95% CI interval was wide (0.86 to 7.30). ST segment plus or minus T wave changes were not found to be useful. The absence of any ECG changes was not helpful. |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population |
| Internal Validity | |

| Grading: 1+ | Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Ioannidis JP;Salem D;Chew | PW;Lau J; | | | | | |
| Accuracy and clinical effect meta-analysis | of out-of-hospital electrocardiography in the | ne diagnosis of a | acute cardiac ischemia: a | | | |
| Ref ₁₉₈ Ann Eme ID | erg Med p | JS: 461 _{to} 4 | 70 2001 | | | |
| Study Type System | natic Review | Funding | Not reported | | | |
| Number of participants | 8 prospective and retrospective cohort s | tudies | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures | | | | | | |
| studied | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The review considered prospective and published between 1966 and December hospital ECG. 8 of the studies considered the studies considered the diagnostic ac | 1998 on the dia | agnostic accuracy of out-of- c accuracy for AMI and 5 of | | | |
| | See table in guideline. The studies identified found that out-of h ratio (OR) of 104 and 95% CI 48 to 224 The review reported that there was signi specificity results between the 8 studies definition of an abnormal ECG. The revie computer interpreted ECG with physicia interpreted ECG had a better specificity (52% versus 66%) when compared to pl that the diagnostic accuracy may be affe but states that even experienced clinicia The review concluded there was substant | and for ACI OR ificant heteroger which was poss ew identified on n interpreted EC (98% versus 95 hysician interpre- ected by the exp ins can miss a d | of 23 and 95% Cl 6.3 to 85. heity in the sensitivity and sibly due to the difference in e study which compared CG and showed the computer %) but a worse sensitivity sted ECG. The review states ertise interpreting the ECG liagnosis. | | | |
| | have similar diagnostic accuracy as star suggest that an out-of-hospital ECG sho pain patients. | ndard ECGs for | AMI and ACI. The authors | | | |

Effect due to factor in study?

Consistency of results with other studies?

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Internal Validity

Morrison LJ;Brooks S;Sawadsky B;McDonald A;Verbeek PR;

Prehospital 12-lead electrocardiography impact on acute myocardial infarction treatment times and mortality: a systematic review

| Ref 555 ID | Acad Em | erg Med | pgs: | 84 | to ⁸ | 39 2006 | |
|--|----------|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Study Type | Systen | natic Review | | Fund | ing | Not stated | |
| Number of part | icipants | Cohort studies best available eviden | се | | - | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | sion | Included studies: advanced notification room ECG as comparison. | on pre- | -hosital | ECG | comparisons with emergency | / |
| Patient Charact | eristics | Suspected acute MI. | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | Systematic review: 5 studies cohort s | studies | identif | ied. | | |
| Setting | | Ambulance and emergency departm | ent. | | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | ECG | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | Pre hospital ECG versus emergency | depar | tment E | ECG. | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | y/ | One study reported mortality but this was not significant for pre hospital ECG versus emergency department ECG. | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | Door to treatment time. | | | | | |
| Results | | The pre-hospital on scene time for a comparing these studies (total patier difference of 1.19 (95% CI –0.84 to 3 compared for 181 patients and decre compared with no PHECG (mean we to -9.327). However considered hete 10.9, $P < 0.01$). Only one study exan difference all cause mortality when P notification for patients with acute MI | nt numb 3.21). T eased v eighted rogene nined a PHECG | ber of 5 The doc with PH differe eity was all caus i was co | 519) (µ or to tr IECG nce o s foun e mor ompa | pooled weighted mean reatment interval was and advanced notification of 36.1 minutes (95% CI -63.0 id in these studies (Q statistic rtality. There was no red with no advanced |) |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the que | | Examines pre-hospital ECG recordin emergency department. Determines diagnosis. Although not completely r diagnosis of coronary artery disease | the aco elevan | curacy t to the | of pre | ehospital ECG in final sensitivity / specificity in the | |
| Effect due to fa study? | ctor in | | | | | | |

Consistency of results with other studies?

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Internal Validity

Grading: 2++ High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal

Aufderheide TP;Xue Q;Dhala AA;Reddy S;Kuhn EM;

The added diagnostic value of automated QT-dispersion measurements and automated ST-segment deviations in the electrocardiographic diagnosis of acute cardiac ischemia

| Ref 1711 J Electron ID | cardiol | pgs: | 329 | to ³ | 339 2000 |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Study Type Cohord | : | | Fundi | ing | Not reported |
| Number of participants | 1568 ECGs | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | The patients were aged over 18, who which was non-traumatic or equivale were classed as stable (a systolic blo second- or third-degree heart block, on initial examination). Patients were hospital ECG would affect treatment, rate, atrial fibrillation or flutter, heat b | nt synd bod pre ventrice excluc and if | Irome c ssure c ular fibr led if th the EC | of pre of 90r illatic e par G sh | sumed cardiac origin and who nmHg or more, absence of on or ventricular tachycardia ramedic thought a pre- owed QRS duration, heart |
| Patient Characteristics | The median age was 62 years and 4 | 5.3% w | /ere wo | men | |
| Recruitment | patients who had a prehospital ECG | by para | amedic | S | |
| Setting | ambulance, USA | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | ECG diagnosis | | | | |
| Comparisons | ST segment, QT-end and QT-peak d | ispersi | on, phy | vsicia | n and computer interpretation |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV | of ECC | 6 | | |
| Results | The study assessed the sensitivity are by both physicians of ST segment de dispersion measurements independe sensitivity was 50.5% and specificity sensitivity and specificity of diagnosin assessment of ST segment deviation lower specificity of 56%. For independ dispersion the computer interpretation to the physicians' interpretation. The specificity of diagnosing AMI when cd dispersions which showed that the pl 88% (90% versus 48%, P=<0.001), k 99% P=<0.001) and PPV by 58% (40 specificity were also assessed when analysis, which showed this lead to t to 48%, P=<0.001) and maintained s The study continued to assess the se physicians' had a lower sensitivity (3) specificity by assessment by both ph | eviation ent of e was 98 ng AMI dent as n did n study v ombinin hysicia out dec 0% vs. ST seg he physicia pecifici ensitivit 8-40%) | , QT-er ach oth 3%. The by a co showed ssessm ot have went or ng the i ns' sigr reased 95%, P gment of sicians' ty 97% y and s . The s | nd dis ner. T \Rightarrow stuc compu- ded a l nent c \Rightarrow a sin \Rightarrow a sin \Rightarrow a sin \Rightarrow a sin \Rightarrow a sin \Rightarrow a sin \Rightarrow a sin \Rightarrow a sin | spersion and QT-peak the study showed the average dy went on to assess the ther through independent higher sensitivity of 90% but of QT-end and QT-peak gnificant difference compared ssess the sensitivity and hation of QT-end and QT peak htly increased in sensitivity by becificity by 44% (55% vs. 001). The sensitivity and tion was included in the test sensitivity 65% (compared inpared to 99%, P=<0.001). |
| 15 Contombor 2000 | deviation, QT-end dispersion and QT each other. For ST segment deviatio | | | | |

| | but a lower specificity (66%). The study s QT-end dispersion and QT-peak dispers compared to the physicians (50-53% corr specificity, PPV and NPV were all compares end and QT peak dispersions which show increased in sensitivity by 70% (65-68% 69% versus 58%, P=<0.001), but decrea P=<0.001) and PPV (79% vs. 85%, P=<0 also assessed when ST segment deviati which showed this lead to the physicians P=<0.001) and NPV to 68% (compared to 90% (compared to 92%, P=<0.001) and | ion th mpare arable CI wh wed th versu ased in 0.001 on wa s' high to 58% | e com ed to 3 e. The hen co hat the us %, I n spec). The as con nest se %, P=- | npute 88-40 stud ombi e phy P=<0 cificit sen siti ensiti | er had a high 9%, P=<0.00 y went on to ning the infol ysicians' sigr 0.001) and N y (80-81% vs sitivity and s ed with QT-e vity 62% (co 01) and main | er sensitivity 1), but the assess the rmation of QT- nificantly PV by 19% (68%- s. 92% pecificity were nd dispersion, mpared to 40%, ttained specificity |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study assessed the sensitivity and specificity of diagnosing AMI by assessment of ST segment deviation, QT-end dispersion and QT-peak dispersion measurements independent of each other. The study showed the computer interpretation had a higher sensitivity but lower specificity compared to physician interpretation. The study showed that when combining QT-end and QT-peak dispersion the physicians sensitivity increased but specificity and PPV decreased, when combining ST segment deviation as well the physicians' reached its maximum sensitivity and maintained specificity. | | | | | |
| | The study assessed the sensitivity and s of ST segment deviation, QT-end dispers independent of each other. The study sh higher sensitivity but lower specificity cor segment deviation, and higher sensitivity for QT-end and QT-peak. The study sho peak dispersion the physicians sensitivity decreased, when combining ST segmen physicians' reached its maximum sensitivity PPV. | sion a owed mpare / but c wed th y and t devi | and Q I the c ed to p compa hat wh NPV ation | T-pea omp ohysi arable nen c incre and (| ak dispersion uter interpret cian interpre e specificity, combining Q cased but sp QT-end dispo | measurements ation had a tation for ST PPV and NPV I-end and QT- ecificity and PPV ersion the |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had chest pain | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | |
| Conti A;Paladini B;Toccafonc | di S;Magazzini S;Olivotto I;Galassi F;Pierc | oni C; | Santo | ro G | Antoniucci E |);Berni G; |
| Effectiveness of a multidiscip in the Florence area | linary chest pain unit for the assessment | of co | ronary | / syn | dromes and | risk stratification |
| Ref ₉₂₆ American ID | heart journal pg | IS: 6 | 630 | to 6 | 35 | 2002 |
| Study Type Cohort | | F | undir | ng | | try for Scientific logical Research |
| Number of participants | 13 762 patients | | | | | - |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: over 18 years old, chest pain of independent of duration, radiation, or relations and lasting minutes to hours | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 65 ± 18 years and 43% were women Those who were categorised as being at high risk (21%) had a mean age of 63 ± 10 years, 33% were female, 35% smoked, 25% had diabetes, 38% had hypertension, | | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 60 of 199 | | | | | |

| | 13.4 % died during the follow up. Those who were categorised as being at intermediate risk (47%) had a mean age of 64±11 years, 38% were female, 33% smoked, 28% had diabetes, 41% had hypertension, 2.2 % died during the follow up. Those who were categorised as being at low risk (32%) had a mean age of 38±15 years, 66% were female, 12% smoked, 8% had diabetes, 22% had hypertension, 0.2 % died during the follow up. |
|--|---|
| Recruitment | Admitted to emergency department with chest pain as described above |
| Setting | ED. Careggi General Hospital, Florence, Italy |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Diagnosing chest pain |
| Comparisons | The chest pain score was based on: location of pain, radiation of pain, character of pain, history of angina |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Effectiveness of chest pain score in diagnosing chest pain |
| Results | The chest pain score was based on the following elements each of which was given a value: location of pain: substernal or precordial = +3, left chest, neck, lower jaw or epigastrium = +1, apex = -1; radiation of pain: arm, shoulder, back, neck or lower jaw = +1; character of pain: crushing, pressing or heaviness = +2 sticking, pleuritic or pinprick = -1; associated symptoms: dyspnea, nausea or diaphoresis = +2; history of angina = +3. The mean age was 65±18 years. Patients were classified into 1 of 4 groups. 1) Patients at low risk with obvious noncardiac causes of chest pain, chest pain score <4, normal ECG, and normal serum markers of cardiac injury obtained at least 6 hours from symptoms, were sent home and followed up. (2672 patients) 2) Patients at low risk with chest pain score ≥ 4, normal ECG, normal serum cardiac markers, independent of age or coexisting coronary risk factors, were not admitted and underwent a second-line evaluation and short-term observation in the CPU area, including chest radiography, serial 12-lead ECG, serial troponins and cardiac enzymes, echocardiography and arterial blood gas analysis. When at least one of these tests or procedure results was found to be suggestive of AMI, unstable angina or CAD or left ventricular failure was detected these patients were considered for angiography with no additional testing. After an observation period up to 6 hours patients without ongoing cardiovascular events underwent exercise tolerance test or SPECT or stress echocardiography. (1755 patients) 3) Patients at intermediate risk with clinical score ≥ 4 and abnormal ECG (ST-segment elevation <1mm or ST-segment depression <1mm at 60ms from J point) were admitted and managed in the CPU area. 4) Patients at high risk with ECG suggestive for AMI (defined as ST elevation ≥1 mm at 60ms from J point, ≥2 contiguous leads) were directly transferred to the coronary care unit and patients with suspected major cardiovascular everty transferred to the coronary care unit and patients with suspected majo |
| | conditions were diagnosed, including aortic arch dissection, pulmonary embolism, pneumothorax, and acute pericarditis. 2256 patients had atypical chest pain |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 61 of 199 |

| | diagnosed as multi-organ disease including chronic and stable ischemic heart disease, defined as known stable angina, previous myocardial infarction, or angiographically documented CAD. | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Of the patients with a chest pain score > 4 and normal electrocardiogram results, 20% (885 patients) had documented coronary artery disease. There were 9335 intermediate and high risk patients, of which 2420 patients (26%) had an MI, 3764 patients (40%) had unstable angina, 129 (1.4%) had aortic dissection and 408 (4%) had pulmonary embolism. Other multi-organ disease was found in 2256 patients. | | | | | | |
| | The authors concluded that the chest pain score screening programme was effective and could significantly reduce admissions and optimise the care of those with an intermediate or high risk score. The authors also concluded that the screening programme could aid the diagnosis of alternative causes of chest pain in patients who do not have evidence of coronary artery disease | | | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | | |
| Fesmire FM; | | | | | | | |
| Which chest pain patients pestion serial ECG? | otentially benefit from continuous 12-lead ST-segment monitoring with automated | | | | | | |
| Ref 6025 Am J Em ID | erg Med pgs: 773 to 778 2000 | | | | | | |
| Study Type Cohord | Funding Not reported | | | | | | |
| Number of participants | 706 patients | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | included: chest pain with suspected ACS | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The average age for category II was 57.3±11.3 years, 67.2% were men, 89.8% were Caucasian, 10.2% were African American, 62% had previous MI, 52.3% had previous PTCA/CABG. The average age for category III was 54.6±12.9 years, 61% were men, 76.6% were Caucasian, 22.8% were African American, 31.5% had previous MI, 25.2% had previous PTCA/CABG. The average age for category IV was 52.6±14.4 years, 49% were men, 67.9% were Caucasian, 29.8% were African American, 21.6% had previous MI, 15.4% had previous PTCA/CABG | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | Patients presented with chest pain of suspected ACS to the emergency department between August 1995 and August 1998 | | | | | | |
| Setting | Emergency department, USA | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Continuous ST segment monitoring | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | Sensitivity and specificity of serial ECG | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Outcome measures studied | Sensitivity and specificity of serial EC | G | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Results | Patients had an initial history, physical examination and ECG, and were subsequently classed in four different categories. Category I were patients with ACS with clinical and ECG criteria for emergency reperfusion therapy, category II were patients with probable ACS but without clinical and ECG criteria for emergency reperfusion therapy, category III were patients with possible ACS, category IV were patients with probable non-ACS chest pain but presence of pre-existing disease or significant risk factors for CAD. Category I were excluded from the study. The serial ECG was obtained at least every 10 minutes until the patient was taken for PTCA or for 2 hours | | | | | |
| | See tables in guideline. 28 patients were placed in category I, 137 patients were placed in category II, 333 patients were placed in category III and 208 patients were placed in category IV Serial ECG for new injury or new/evolving ischemia had a sensitivity and specificity of 41.7% (95% CI 27.6 to 58.6) and 98.1% (95% CI 96.7 to 99) respectively for AMI and 15.5% (95% CI 10.6 to 21.5) and 94.4% (95% CI 98.2 to 99.9) for ACS. For AMI the serial ECG had a positive likelihood ratio (LR+) of 21.9 and negative likelihood (LR-) of 0.59 and for ACS a LR+ of 25.4 and LR- of 0.85. As a result of the serial ECG 26 patients had their treatment changed. | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Serial ECG for new injury or new/evolving ischemia had a sensitivity and specificity of 41.7% (95% CI 27.6 to 58.6) and 98.1% (95% CI 96.7 to 99) respectively for AMI and 15.5% (95% CI 10.6 to 21.5) and 94.4% (95% CI 98.2 to 99.9) for ACS. For AMI the serial ECG had a positive likelihood ratio (LR+) of 21.9 and negative likelihood (LR-) of 0.59 and for ACS a LR+ of 25.4 and LR- of 0.85. As a result of the serial ECG 26 patients had their treatment changed. | | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had chest pain with suspected ACS | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | |
| Ohlsson M;Ohlin H;Wallerst | edt SM;Edenbrandt L; | | | | | |
| Usefulness of serial electroc | cardiograms for diagnosis of acute myo | ocardial infarction | | | | |
| Ref ₁₅₈₂ The Ame ID | rican journal of cardiology | pgs: ⁴⁷⁸ to | 481 2001 | | | |
| Study Type Cohor | t | Funding | Swedish Medical Research Council, Swedish Heart Lung Foundation, Medical Faculty at Lund University, Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research | | | |
| Number of participants | 902 ECGs were reviewed, each ECG was also reviewed with a previous ECG for the same patient | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | ECG had to show an AMI, previous ECG had to be available from the clinical electrocardiographic database | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The average age of the patients was 74 ± 11 years, with 605% being men | | | | | |
| Recruitment | Patients with AMI who presented to emergency department between January 1990 and June 1997 | | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 63 of 199 | | | | | |

| Setting | Emergency department, Sweden | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Usefulness of serial ECG | | | | |
| Comparisons | serial ECG versus single ECG, by a cardiologist, intern and computer | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | accuracy of reading ECG | | | | |
| Results | The study recorded a 12 lead ECG by the use of computerized ECGs. During which the QRS duration, QRS area, Q, R and S amplitudes and 6 ST-T measurements (ST-J amplitude, ST slope, ST amplitude 2/8, ST amplitude 3/8, positive T amplitude and negative T amplitude) were recorded. For each measurement of the new ECG the same measurement was recorded from the previous ECG. The ECGs were interpreted for diagnosis AMI by artificial neutral network which used standard feed forward, multilayer, perceptron architecture, which consisted 1 input layer, 1 hidden layer and 1 output layer with 16 or 32 nodes, the ECGs were then interpreted independently by two physicians (one cardiologist and one intern), on two occasions, the first occasion only the new ECG was shown and the second occasion both ECGs were shown. | | | | |
| | The study used ROC curves to evaluate the difference in interpretation and diagnosis of AMI when both ECGs were present compared to only the current ECG. The ROC curve showed that the neutral network performance was improved when both ECGs were present (area under ROC with current ECG = 0.85, area under ROC with both ECGs = 0.88; $P = 0.02$). The intern performed better when both ECGs were present (area under ROC with current ECG = 0.71, area under ROC with both ECGs = 0.78; $P < 0.001$) and diagnosed more AMI with both ECGs. The cardiologist performance did not have a statistically significant improve with both ECGs = 0.81; $P = 0.36$). | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study used ROC curves to evaluate the difference in interpretation and diagnosis of AMI when both ECGs were present compared to only the current ECG. The ROC curve showed that the neutral network performance was improved when both ECGs were present, the intern performed better when both ECGs were present and diagnosed more AMI with both ECGs. The cardiologist performance did not have a statistically significant improve with both ECGs. | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had AMI | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | |
| Sanchis J;BodÝ V;Llßcer A; | N⋅±ez J;Consuegra L;Bosch MJ;Bertomeu V;Ruiz V;Chorro FJ; | | | | |
| Risk stratification of patients | s with acute chest pain and normal troponin concentrations | | | | |
| Ref ₄₅₉ Heart (Bi ID | ritish Cardiac Society) pgs: 1013 _{to} 1018 2005 | | | | |
| Study Type Cohor Number of participants | | | | | |

15 September 2009

| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: Patients with chest pain of suspected cardiac origin as determined by a cardiologist on call with a negative troponin I concentration (measured at baseline, at 6, 8 and 12 hours). Exclusion: ST elevation, Left Bundle Branch Block, and heart failure, killip > 1 |
|--|---|
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 64 ± 12 years, 33% were women, 20% were current smokers, 59% had hypertension, 53% had hypercholesterolemia, 25% had diabetes, 44% had a history of IHD, 13% had a family history of IHD, 7% had had coronary surgery, 12% had ST depression, 9% had T wave inversion |
| Recruitment | Patients admitted to the emergency department in a teaching hospital in Spain |
| Setting | ED, teaching hospital in Spain |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Diagnosing chest pain |
| Comparisons | The chest pain score was based on: location, radiation, character, severity, what influenced the pain, associated symptoms, history of exertional angina. A clinical history, ECG and for those in the low risk group an early (<24 hours) exercise test |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Effectiveness of chest pain score in diagnosing chest pain |
| Results | An ECG was recorded in the emergency room and evaluated for ST segment depression (>1mm) and T wave inversion (peak inversion >1mm) |
| | Troponin I concentrations were taken at arrival, 6 hours (is patient arrived within 2 hours of onset of pain), 8 hours and 12 hours after pain onset. All patients had normal troponin concentrations at each measurement. |
| | Patients underwent a chest pain score assessment, an ECG, and for those in the low risk group an early (<24 hours) exercise test. The chest pain score was based on: location, radiation, character, severity, influenced by glyceryl trinitrate, stature, breathing, associated symptoms and history of exertional angina = +3. A clinical history was also taken. |
| | During a 6 month follow up, 25 patients (4.1%) had an acute MI, 9 (1.5%) died of cardiac causes and 29 (4.8%) had a major event (AMI or cardiac death). |
| | Those who could had a negative exercise test had a very good prognosis compared to those who did not have a negative exercise test or those who could not exercise and do and exercise test. |
| | For predictors of AMI the univariate and multivariate analysis showed: ST segment depression (univariate P = 0.004, multivariate P = 0.02, odds ratio (OR) 2.9, 95%CI 1.2 to 6.8), T-wave inversion (univariate P = 0.5, multivariate analysis could not be applied to T-wave inversion). For predictors of a major event (AMI or cardiac death) the univariate and multivariate analysis showed: ST segment depression (univariate P = 0.003, multivariate P = 0.01, OR 2.8, 95%CI 1.3 to 6.3), T-wave inversion (univariate P = 0.7, multivariate analysis could not be applied to T-wave inversion). |
| | The patients were stratifies according to the four independent risk factors associated with a major event (AMI or cardiac death), these were chest pain score, diabetes, previous coronary surgery and ST-segment depression. The event rate increased with the number of risk factors: no risk factors 2.5% event rate, 1 risk factor 2.9% event rate, 2 risk factors 10.2% event rate, 3 or 4 risk factors 29.2% event rate. Three risk categories were defined: low risk: no or 1 risk factor 2.7% event rate, intermediate risk: 2 risk factors 10.2% event rate, high risk: 3 or 4 risk factors 29.2% event rate. The differences between the 3 categories were all significant: high and intermediate (P = 0.001), high and low (P = 0.0001), intermediate and low (P = 0.008). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |

| Does the study answer the question? | During a 6 month follow up, 25 patients (4.1%) had an acute MI, 9 (1.5%) died of cardiac causes and 29 (4.8%) had a major event (AMI or cardiac death). Multivariate analysis found that ST segment depression was an independent factors in predicting an acute MI (univariate P = 0.004, multivariate P = 0.02, OR 2.9, 95%CI 1.2 to 6.8), and major events (AMI or cardiac death) (univariate P = 0.003, multivariate P = 0.01, OR 2.8, 95%CI 1.3 to 6.3). | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Further analysis found that the event rate increased progressively with the progression of the number of independent risk factors, with the event rate increasing with the number of risk factors: no risk factors 2.5% event rate, 1 risk factor 2.9% event rate, 2 risk factors 10.2% event rate, 3 or 4 risk factors 29.2% event rate. From this 3 risk categories, low intermediate and high, were formed with the difference between each being significant. | | | | |
| | NB there is overlap of patients included in this study and the study Sanchis et al 2005, JACC (New Risk Score for Patients with Acute Chest Pain, Non-ST-Segment Deviation, and Normal Troponin Concentrations). | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | |
| Sanchis J;BodÝ V;N∙±ez J;B | Bertomeu G;G¾mez C;Bosch MJ;Consuegra L;Bosch X;Chorro FJ;LlÓcer A; | | | | |
| New risk score for patients v a comparison with the TIMI | vith acute chest pain, non-ST-segment deviation, and normal troponin concentrations: risk score | | | | |
| Ref 447 Journal of the American College of Cardiology pgs: 443 to 449 2005 | | | | | |
| Study Type Cohord | Funding RECAVA-FIS | | | | |
| Number of participants | 646 patients | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion criteria: acute chest pain of possible cardiac origin Exclusion: if the initial ECG showed ST-segment deviation (≥1mm elevation or depression) or if they had troponin I elevation | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 64±12 years and 32% were women. 20% were smokers, 59% had hypertension, 53% had hypercholesterolemia, 26% had diabetes mellitus, 7% insulin dependant diabetes mellitus, 12% had a family history of IHD, 13% had at least 3 risk factors, 24% had prior coronary stenosis ≥ 50%, 43% had used aspirin in the previous 7 days, 25% had a prior MI, 9% had prior PTCA, 8% had prior CABG, 2% had a history of heart failure. On ECG 100% had T-wave inversion,9% had confounding ECG | | | | |
| | 2% had a history of heart failure. On ECG 100% had T-wave inversion,9% had | | | | |
| Recruitment | 2% had a history of heart failure. On ECG 100% had T-wave inversion,9% had | | | | |
| Recruitment Setting | 2% had a history of heart failure. On ECG 100% had T-wave inversion,9% had confounding ECGPatients admitted with acute chest pain to the emergency department in a teaching hospital in Spain during a 34 month period between 15th January 2001 and 30th | | | | |
| | 2% had a history of heart failure. On ECG 100% had T-wave inversion,9% had confounding ECGPatients admitted with acute chest pain to the emergency department in a teaching hospital in Spain during a 34 month period between 15th January 2001 and 30th November 2003 | | | | |
| Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | 2% had a history of heart failure. On ECG 100% had T-wave inversion,9% had confounding ECG Patients admitted with acute chest pain to the emergency department in a teaching hospital in Spain during a 34 month period between 15th January 2001 and 30th November 2003 ED in a teaching hospital in Spain | | | | |
| Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 2% had a history of heart failure. On ECG 100% had T-wave inversion,9% had confounding ECG Patients admitted with acute chest pain to the emergency department in a teaching hospital in Spain during a 34 month period between 15th January 2001 and 30th November 2003 ED in a teaching hospital in Spain Diagnosing chest pain | | | | |

| Outcome measures studied | The primary end point was all cause mortality or nonfatal myocardial infarction, the secondary end point was all cause mortality, nonfatal myocardial infarction or urgent |
|--|---|
| Results | revascularisation at 14 day follow up. Patients were excluded if they had ST-segment deviation (≥1mm elevation or depression) on the initial ECG or if they had troponin I elevation. All patients had T- wave inversion and 9% had confounding ECG (left branch bundle block of paced rhythm). An ECG was recorded in the emergency room. |
| | Troponin I concentrations were taken at arrival, 6 hours (is patient arrived within 2 hours of onset of pain), 8 hours and 12 hours after pain onset. All patients had normal troponin concentrations at each measurement. |
| | Patients underwent a chest pain score assessment based on: location, radiation, character, severity, influenced by glyceryl trinitrate, stature, breathing, associated symptoms and history of exertional angina. A clinical history and risk factor analysis was also taken. |
| | At 1 year follow up, the primary end point (all-cause mortality or non-fatal MI) occurred in forty three patients (6.3%). At a 14 day follow up, the secondary end point (all-cause mortality or nonfatal myocardial infarction or urgent revascularisation) occurred in 35 patients (5.4%). |
| | The univariate analysis showed that for: T-wave inversion (P = 0.4), confounding ECG (P = 0.09). |
| | The multivariate analysis showed that for: confounding ECG ($P = 0.3$). The multivariate analysis did not give results for T-wave inversion or full results for confounding ECG. |
| | The study showed from multivariate analysis ECG changes (T-wave inversion and confounding ECG) were not independent predictors of the primary end point. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | Univariate analysis found that the following were independent factors in predicting all cause mortality or nonfatal myocardial infarction; t-wave inversion ($P = 0.4$), and confounding ECG ($P= 0.09$). Multivariate analysis found that ECG changes were not independent factors in predicting all cause mortality or nonfatal myocardial infarction. Confounding ECG on multivariate analysis ($P=0.3$). |
| | NB there is overlap of patients included in this study and the study Sanchis et al 2005, Heart J (Risk Stratification of Patients with Acute Chest Pain and Normal Troponin Concentrations). |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

Diercks DB;Kontos MC;Chen AY;Pollack CV;Wiviott SD;Rumsfeld JS;Magid DJ;Gibler WB;Cannon CP;Peterson ED;Roe MT;

Utilization and impact of pre-hospital electrocardiograms for patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction:data from the NCDR (National Cardiovascular Data Registry) ACTION (Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network) Reg

| Ref 25413 ID | J Am Coll | Cardiol | pgs: | 161 | to | 166 | 2009 |
|---|-----------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fund | ing | Not s | tated |
| Number of partic | pants | Final population of 7098 | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Acute chest pain suspected to be ac hospital | ute MI | and att | endi | ng an A | CTION participating |
| Patient Characte | ristics | he final study population was 12 097 transported to ACTION-participating were older, less commonly male, and heart failure (CHF) or signs of CHF. to hospital presentation compared w participating hospitals. A pre-hospital | hospita d more They a rith pati | als by th commo lso had | he E only I sho | MS. EN had prio rter time | IS transported patients or MI, prior congestive es from symptom onset |
| Recruitment | | consecutive | | | | | |
| Setting | | Ambulance and hospital | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Use of out of hospital ECG to in-hos | pital E0 | CG | | | |
| Comparisons | | Use of out of hospital ECG to in-hos | pital E0 | CG | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | , | At 1 month | | | | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Mortality, door to needle time, door t | o treatr | nent tin | ne. | | |
| Results | | The study found that patients with a PCI, less likely to receive no reperfuction clopidogrel, and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa patients with an in-hospital ECG. | sion the | erapy, a | and r | nore lik | ely to receive aspirin, |
| | | The door to needle time (DNT) and t patients with a pre-hospital ECG con which persisted after adjustment for versus in-hospital ECG 29 min (P = 38.1% to -9.0%, and DTB pre-hospit < 0.001), adjusted decrease time of | npared confou 0.003), al ECG | with pa nders (adjuste 61 mir | atien DNT ed de n ver | ts with a ; pre-ho ecrease sus in-h | an in-hospital ECG, ospital ECG 19 min time of 24.9%, 95%Cl - nospital ECG 75 min (P |
| 15 September 2009 | | With respect to clinical outcomes in decrease in mortality for pre-hospital versus 9.5%, respectively, adjusted However, in patients who received a in the adjusted risk of mortality of preversus 5.2%, respectively, $P = 0.82$) clinical outcomes of CHF and cardio patients versus in-hospital ECG paties shock in the reperfusion population. Page 68 of 199 | l ECG p odds ra ny repe e-hospi . There genic s ents in | batients atio 0.80 erfusion tal ECO was no hock co the tota | s ver 0 959 1 the G ver 0 sig 0 mp al po | sus in-h %CI 0.6 rapy, th rsus in-h nificant aring pr pulatior | hospital ECG, 6.7% i3 to 1.01 (P = 0.06). ere was no difference hospital ECG (4.6% difference for the re-hospital ECG n, nor for cardiogenic |

| | incidence of CHF in pre-hospital ECG patients who received any reperfusion therapy versus those with an in-hospital ECG who received any reperfusion therapy (5.3% versus 6.4%, respectively, adjusted odds ratio 0.75, 95%Cl 0.56 to 1.01, $P = 0.06$). |
|--|---|
| Safety and adverse effects | No |
| Does the study answer the question? | Yes it details the usefulnes of obtaining an ECG prior to arrival at hospital |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Study not directly applicable as it is examinge setting of ECG recording, ambulance versus hospital |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Directly applicable, acute chest pain population. |
| Internal Validity | Not applicable |

- Question: Are the symptoms and description of the symptoms different 7 in women presenting with acute chest pain of suspected
 - cardiac origin compared with men

| Grading: 1+ | Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Canto JG;Goldberg RJ;Hanc | d MM;Bonow RO;Sopko G;Pepine CJ;Long T; | | | | | | |
| Symptom presentation of wo | omen with acute coronary syndromes: myth vs reality | | | | | | |
| Ref 25372 Arch Inte ID | | | | | | | |
| Study Type System | natic Review Funding Not reported | | | | | | |
| Number of participants | Cohort, Surveys, Registries. | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Cohort, Surveys, Registries identified between 1970 to 2005 | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Patients with ACS | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | Systematic review identified nine large cohort studies, and twenty smaller cohort or personal interview studies that provided information on ACS presentation with and without chest pain or discomfort according to sex | | | | | | |
| Setting | Emergency departments | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Not applicable | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | Signs and symptoms, men versus women | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not applicable | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | | | |
| Results | Compared with men, 8 identified studies found that women are more likely to experience middle or upper back pain, 4 studies found that women are more likely to have neck pain, and 2 studies found that women are more likely to have jaw pain. Five studies found that women are more likely to have shortness of breath and five studies showed women are more likely to have nausea or vomiting. Loss of appetite, weakness and fatigue, and cough were identified as more common in women versus men in two studies each. Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea, indigestion and dizziness were reported as more common in women versus men in one study each. One study found that women appear to have a greater number of associated symptoms as part of their ACS presentation compared with men. | | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Yes. Women are significantly less likely to report chest pain or discomfort at presentation for ACS compared with men from accumulated data from 29 identified studies. The authors identified the following limitations of the review and other related studies; there is a lack of standardisation on data collection and reporting on women's principal or associated ACS symptoms thus formal meta-analyses was not possible due to heterogeneity, a number of studies exclude patients that have ACS and no chest pain or discomfort, chest pain or discomfort is often lumped together with pain localised to other areas of the upper body in the absence of chest pain symptoms, hospital records are often very imprecise in characterising the presence of chest pain, as well as other associated symptoms, survey bias when patients recollect symptoms retrospectively, the sensitivity of a particular symptom may be ascertained but the specificity of a symptom may not be considered, and the impact of potential association of co-morbid conditions (such as diabetes), with symptom | | | | | | |

| | presentation has not been examined in available data although this is likely to b | | | | to the l | ack of currently |
|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Directly applicable to the guideline | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | |
| Patel H;Rosengren A;Ekma | n l; | | | | | |
| Symptoms in acute coronar | y syndromes: Does sex make a difference | ∋? | | | | |
| Ref 2613 Am Hea ID | rt J | gs: | 27 | to | 33 | 2004 |
| Study Type System | matic Review | | Fund | ding | | rt: Vardal institute arch platform |
| Number of participants | Systematic review- 15 cohort studies id | enti | fied | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Studies from a search between 1980 to | 200 | 02 | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Fifteen studies were identified, four cohorts were in patients with all types of ACS and eleven cohorts were in patients with MI. The systematic review did not however provide a definition of ACS that was detailed in the selected studies. | | | | | |
| Recruitment | Not applicable | | | | | |
| Setting | Emergency departments | Emergency departments | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Signs and symptoms | | | | | |
| Comparisons | Signs and symptoms; men versus worr | en | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not applicable | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Signs and symptoms in ACS patients | | | | | |
| Results | Yes. Analysis of the 4 studies identified in patients presenting with ACS found that women are more likely to experience back and jaw pain, nausea and / or vomiting, dyspnea, indigestion and palpitations compared with men. In the 4 ACS cohort studies no gender difference was found for the following symptoms; presence of chest pain (2 studies), arm and shoulder pain (2 studies), neck pain (2 studies), dizziness (3 studies). Analysis of the eleven cohort studies identified in patients with MI found that women are more likely to have back, jaw, and neck pain, and nausea and / or vomiting, dyspnea, palpitations, indigestion, dizziness, fatigue, loss of appetites and syncope. The following symptoms were not associated with gender differences in the presentation of acute MI; arm and shoulder pain (4 studies), epigastric discomfort, heartburn or abdominal pain (7 studies), throat pain (2 studies) | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Cohort studies suggest that women ex however, here was inconsistency in the no individual symptom was identified by likely that the baseline characteristics of | gei / all | nder-sj studie | pecific s that | sympt examii | oms reported, in that ned the symptom. It is |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 72 of 199 | | | | | |

| | stated that sex differences may disappear after controlling for variables such as age or co-morbid conditions. Some studies evaluated only a small number of symptoms, and may have missed other statistically significant symptoms. |
|--|--|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Directly relevant to guideline population |
| Internal Validity | Adequately addressed |

Grading: 2+

Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal

Chrysohoou C;Panagiotakos DB;Pitsavos C;Kokkinos P;Marinakis N;Stefanadis C;Toutouzas PK;

Gender differences on the risk evaluation of acute coronary syndromes: The CARDIO2000 study

| Ref 3520 Pre ID | ventive Cardiology | pgs: | 71 _{to} 77 | 2003 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Study Type C | cohort | | Funding | Not reported | | | | | |
| Number of particip | ants 848 patients (70 women) | 48 patients (701 men, 147 women) and 1078 in the control group (862 men, 216 | | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | compatible clini | Inclusion: first event of acute MI as diagnosed by 2 or more of following; ECG, compatible clinical symptoms, enzyme elevations, or first diagnosis of unstable angina as described by class III of the Braunwald classification | | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteris | SD 10 years, ar SD 8 years. For | Seven hundred and one (82%) of the cardiac patients were men with a mean age 5 SD 10 years, and 147 (18%) of cardiac patients were women with a mean age of 6 SD 8 years. For controls 80% were men and 20% were women with mean ages of 58.8 SD 10 years and 64.8 SD 10 years, respectively | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | met the inclusion | andom selection of patients admitted between January 2000 and August 2001 who net the inclusion criteria. The control group were selected from patients who ttended the hospital for routine outpatient appointments who were cardiovascular isease free. | | | | | | | |
| Setting | Secondary Care | e, Greece | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test Factor being investigated | Risk factors for | diagnosis ACS | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | Smoking, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, family history of premature CAD, BMI, physical activity, diet, alcohol consumption | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not applicable | Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Risk factors for | diagnosis ACS | | | | | | | |
| Results | 0.01). Univariar hypertension, h more likely to si | encing their first cardiac ever at analysis found that womer ypercholesterolemia and dia moke, do physical activity an found in both the cardiac pat | n were signific betes, where nd have highe | antly more likely to have as men were significantly r alcohol consumption. This | | | | | |
| | associated with ratio 4.86 versu Family history c with a higher ris 5.11 versus 3.1 | a higher risk of coronary art s 1.66 P < 0.01, respectively f coronary artery disease an | ery disease c /). ad hyperchole in men than , respectively | sterolemia were associated in women with odds ratios of , and odds ratios of 3.77 | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable | | | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the questic | n? more likely to ha | nd that impact of CAD is difference a family history of CAD a provide the provided and the provided with n | and hypertens | | | | | | |
| Effect due to factor study? | in Yes | | | | | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | | Page 74 of 199 | | | | | | | |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Not unselected chest pain population, h therefore cohort is applicable as subset | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | |
| Isaksson RM;Holmgren L;Lu | ndblad D;Brulin C;Eliasson M; | | | | | |
| Time trends in symptoms an period. The Northern Swede | d prehospital delay time in women vs. me n MONICA Study | en w | ith my | ocard | dial infarctior | n over a 15-year |
| Ref 25380 EUR J C/ ID | ARDIOVASC NURS | JS: | 152 | to | 158 | 2008 |
| Study Type Cohort | | | Fund | ing | | County Council unding for the I registry |
| Number of participants | 6342 patients (5072 men and 1470 worr | nen) | • | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients with a diagnosis of MI according criteria were patients in the registry with | | | | | on. Exclusion |
| Patient Characteristics | Patients with MI according to standard V | VHC |) defin | ition | | |
| Recruitment | Not applicable | | | | | |
| Setting | Northern Swedish registry survey | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Symptom presentation and prehospital or and gender | dela | y and | risk s | stratification | according to age |
| Comparisons | Age and gender, with respect to sympto | oms | of MI | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Records over 15 years | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Signs and symptoms, hospital delay | | | | | |
| Results | The study found that men were more like MONICA criteria compared with women Symptoms were also analysed with strat proportion of younger men (age group 2 older male age groups, and with increas experienced typical symptoms. For won symptoms compared with men in all age years the difference in proportion of mer less marked (79.8% versus 78.0%), hen atypical pain is similar in men and wome | (86 tifica 5 to ing nen e rar n ve ice i | .3% ve ation fo 34 ye age a , a low nges, h rsus w | ersus or age ars) l grea er pr nowe ome | 80.8%, resp e and gende had typical p ter proportion oportion exp ver in the ag n with typica | ectively). r. A greater ain compared with n of men erienced typical e range 65 to 74 I symptoms was |
| | The study analysed prehospital delay in and gender (from < 2 h to > 24 h). For the female population, there was no different delay; < 2 h, 41.2% men versus 41.2% we women, < 4 to 24 h, 27.7% men versus 2 versus 9.8% women. Analysis of prehose and gender found that there was no con- the oldest age group of 65 to 74 years the with men, 25% of older men delayed for women. | he to nce i wom 29.8 spita siste ne d | otal m n the p nen, < 3% wo al dela ent diff elay w | ale p propo 4 h, 2 men, y by s feren vas gi | opulation co ortions in time 20.2% men v and < 24 h, stratifying ac ce with genc reater for wo | mpared with the e to hospital versus 19.8% 10.9% men cording to age ler, although for men compared |

| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable |
|--|--|
| Does the study answer the question? | Yes. Study found that typical pain was more common in men than in women with MI, hence women were more likely to experience atypical symptoms. Up to age 65 years there was no gender difference in time between onset of symptoms of MI and medical presence, thereafter women sought medical attention later than men. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Not unselected chest pain population, however MI population is subset of this, therefore cohort is applicable as subset of the chest pain guideline population |
| Internal Validity | Not addressed |

Kosuge M;Kimura K;Ishikawa T;Ebina T;Hibi K;Tsukahara K;Kanna M;Iwahashi N;Okuda J;Nozawa N;Ozaki H;Yano H;Nakati T;Kusama I;Umemura S;

Differences between men and women in terms of clinical features of ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction

| Ref 25382 ID | Circulatio | n Journal | pgs: | 222 | to 226 | 2006 | | | |
|--|------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Study Type | Cohor | | | Fund | i ng N | ot reported | | | |
| Number of part | icipants | 457 patients (106 women and 351 m | nen) | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | ision | coronary care unit and detailed med greater than 2 mmm at least 2 contig | Inclusion patients with STEMI with symptom onset within 24 h of admission to the coronary care unit and detailed medical history. Acute MI defined as elevation of greater than 2 mmm at least 2 contiguous precordal leads or ST elevation of great than 1 mm in at least 2 inferior leads (II, III, or a VF), and a typical increase in se creatine kinase. | | | | | | |
| Patient Charact | teristics | Patients with STEMI within 24 h after symptom onset, 457 patients (106 womer 351 men) | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | Consecutive recruitment from a cord | onary ca | are unit | | | | | |
| Setting | | Coronary care unit in Japan | Coronary care unit in Japan | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ 1 Factor being investigated | ſest/ | Signs and symptoms, and risk factors | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | Men versus women, signs and symp | otoms a | nd risk | factors | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | y/ | Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ures | Location of pain, nausea, shortness of breath, risk factors | | | | | | | |
| Results | | The study found that women were of $P < 0.001$), had higher rates of hype 0.017), diabetes (36% versus 26%, 1 (51% versus 38%, respectively, $P =$ atypical symptoms compared with m common in the jaw (9% versus 3%, versus 5%, respectively $P = 0.007$), (12% versus 5%, respectively $P = 0.007$), (12% versus 5%, respectively $P = 0.047$). Women were also more lik (20% versus 7%, respectively $P > 0$. $P = 0.047$), vomiting (25% versus 15 | rtension respect 0.019). nen. For respect left sho 024) ar kely to e 001), a | n (51% ively, P Wome ively P oulder, I od back experier nd nau | versus = 0.047 n were a n versus = 0.047 eft arm, (24% v nce mild sea (499 | 38%, respectively, P = 7) and hyperlipidaemia also likely to experience 5 men, pain was more 1) throat and neck (13% forearm and / or hand ersus 12%, respectively P er pain compared with men % versus 36%, respectively | | | |
| 16 Sontombor 200 | 0 | Dago 76 of 100 | | | | | | | |

| | breath (62% versus 52%, respectively $P = 0.07$). Coronary angiography showed that there was no difference in the severity of coronary artery lesions between men and women, although in hospital mortality was significantly higher in women than in men (6.6% versus 1.4%, respectively $P = 0.003$). |
|--|---|
| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable |
| Does the study answer the question? | Yes. Study found that women have atypical presentation of STEMI compared with men, and higher rates of hypertension, diabetes and hyperlipidaemia compared with men. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Not unselected chest pain population, however STEMI population is subset of this, therefore cohort is applicable as subset of the chest pain guideline population |
| Internal Validity | Adequately addressed |

Chua TP;Saia F;Bhardwaj V;Wright C;Clarke D;Hennessy M;Fox KM; Are there gender differences in patients presenting with unstable angina? International journal of cardiology to 286 2000 Ref 281 1204 pqs: ID Study Type Cohort Fundina Not reported Number of participants 313, 210 (67%) men, 103 (33%) women Patients transferred to St Georges Hospital London UK, with a view to coronary Inclusion/Exclusion angiography and further management, during a 42 month period (January 1994-Criteria January 1997) The mean age for men was 61.6±11 years, for women 63.5±10.5 years (P=0.14). 184 Patient Characteristics men were Caucasian, 23 were Asian (Indian subcontinent) and 3 had other ethnic origin. 83 women were Caucasian, 15 were Asian (Indian subcontinent) and 5 had other ethnic origin (P=0.4) Recruitment Patients transferred to tertiary care unit St Georges Hospital, London, UK Setting Interventions/ Test/ Gender differences in patients presenting with unstable angina Factor being investigated Retrospective review of case notes of risk factors for men and women referred for Comparisons coronary angiography and further care Length of Study/ Review of case notes Follow-up Differences in risk factors for men and women with unstable angina Outcome measures studied The mean age was 61.6±11 years for men and 63.5±10.5 for women (P=0.14) Results 184 men were Caucasian, 23 were Asian (Indian subcontinent) and 3 had other ethnic origin. 83 women were Caucasian, 15 were Asian (Indian subcontinent) and 5 had other ethnic origin (P=0.4) 51% of men and 39% of women had a history of previous MI (P=0.06) 76% of men and 79% of women had angina pectoris (P=0.73) Time to seeking help: < 1 day - 23% men, 28% women; 1-7 days - 38% men, 33% women; > 1 week: 39% men, 39% women 17% of men and 6% of women had had a previous coronary artery bypass graft operation (P=0.013) 56% of men and 64% of women had hypercholesterolemia (P=0.23) The mean total serum cholesterol concentration was 6.4±1.6 mmol/l in men and 6.7±1.5 mmol/l in women, (P=0.4) 42% of men and 49% of women had a family history of ischaemic heart disease (P=0.28) 11% of men and 23% of women had diabetes mellitus (P=0.007) 32% of men and 52% of women had a history of hypertension (P=0.001) 73% of men and 46% of women were current or previous smokers (P=0.00001) 25% of men and 40% of women were current smokers (P=0.06) The study also considered the management of patients, a similar number of men and women underwent coronary artery bypass graft operation and coronary angioplasty. Not applicable Safety and adverse effects

| Does the study answer the question? | The results found that more men than women with unstable angina were referred for coronary angiography reflecting the higher prevalence of ischaemic heart disease in men. |
|--|--|
| | There was no significant difference between men and women in age, the ratio of Caucasian to non-Caucasian patients, past history of angina pectoris, the duration of time before seeking medical help, mean total serum cholesterol level, family history of ischaemic heart disease. The prevalence of hypercholesterolemia was higher in women but it was not significant. Women were more likely to have diabetes mellitus, a history of hypertension and to currently smoke. Men were more likely to have a history of previous MI, history of previous coronary artery bypass graft operation and a history of smoking. The study also considered the subsequent management of patients, and showed that the subsequent management of patients was not influenced by their gender. A similar proportion of male and female patients underwent coronary artery bypass graft |
| | operation and coronary angioplasty. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Highly selected population from a tertiary care centre and recruitment not detailed, and also retrospective therefore risk of bias. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Not unselected chest pain population, however unstable angina population is subset of this, therefore cohort is applicable as subset of the chest pain guideline population |
| Internal Validity | Not addressed |
| | |

- Question: In adults presenting with acute chest pain/discomfort of
 - suspected cardiac origin, what is the clinical and cost effectiveness of giving oxygen compared with a placebo?

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

Meme Wijesinghe;Kyle Perrin;Anil Ranchord;Mark Simmonds;Mark Weatherall;Richard Beasley; The routine use of oxygen in the treatment of myocardial infarction: systematic review

| Ref 24290 ID | Heart | | pgs: | 1 | to | 15 2008 |
|--|----------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Study Type | System | natic Review | | Fun | ding | No specific funding was sought for this study. |
| Number of parti | cipants | Two RCTs | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | sion | | | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | // | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the que | stion? | This review set out to assess the effective myocardial infarction (MI) in humans of routine oxygen in MI come from all was in-hospital mortality. Only two stincluded mortality as an outcome. The suspected MI (43 patients in whom M excluded from the analysis). There wand $3/77(3.9\%)$ in the air group, relative P=0.08). | s (most nimal s tudies i he latte MI was vere 9/3 | of the studies met th er stud not su 80 (11 | e availa s). The e inclu y inclu ubsequ .3%) c | able evidence on the benefits primary outcome variable usion criteria and only one ded 200 patients with uently confirmed were deaths in the oxygen group |
| | | The review concludes that there is line and safety of high flow oxygen thera that routine oxygen may result in a g of mortality. | py in N | ll. The | evide | nce that does exist suggests |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with oth studies? | er | | | | | |

Directly applicable to

guideline population? **Internal Validity** Nicholson C; A systematic review of the effectiveness of oxygen in reducing acute myocardial ischaemia Journal of Clinical Nursing Ref to 1007 2004 996 71 pqs: ID Study Type Systematic Review Funding not reported Number of participants 9 Controlled clinical trials (2 randomised and 7 non randomised) Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria **Patient Characteristics** Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied Results Safety and adverse effects Does the study A systematic review (SR) on the effectiveness of oxygen in reducing acute myocardial ischaemia identified 9 studies; 2 randomised controlled trials (RCT(s)) answer the question? and 7 case control studies (Nicholson 2004). The intervention was oxygen of any flow rate or delivery method (excluding hyperbaric oxygen). The studies identified had a combined total of 463 patients, of which 93 were women and 37 which had no gender stated. Of the 7 studies that reported age, the ranges and the means were comparable. Seven out of 9 studies reported haemodynamic data. The data synthesis of the SR found that oxygen administration resulted in; an unchanged heart rate but a fall in stroke volume and cardiac volume, a rise in systemic vascular resistance, and either a slight rise or no change in arterial blood pressure ... Five of the 9 studies reported metabolic data. Lactate levels were measured in 2 studies; one found oxygen reduced lactate levels in the patients tested, while the second study found no change with oxygen. Two studies examined lactate extraction ratios, one showing oxygen had no effect and the other indicating that ratios were worse with oxygen administration. Another study found oxygen administration resulted in an increase in the cardiac enzyme aspartate aminotransferase. Electrocardiogram data were reported in 3 of the 9 studies. Two examined STdepression, one study found that oxygen did not prevent the onset of ischaemic changes, and the other found oxygen administration was not associated with any changes to the ST-segment. The third study used a 49-lead precordial electrocardiogram mapping technique and noted occurrences of ST-elevation and the sum of all ST-segment elevation. ST-elevation is usually ascribed to injuryinfarction and this study may not have measured the same effect as the other studies using electrocardiogram data. This third study found oxygen administration reduced both the number of elevated ST-segments and the sum of all the elevation ...

| | None of the studies reported any respiratory side effects, and only one study reported any side effect which was nausea as a reason for withdrawal from oxygen administration (Nicholson 2004). The author of the SR concluded that there was a lack of strong evidence for using oxygen as a treatment of acute myocardial infarction (MI), although it was recognised that all patients with systemic hypoxaemia should have this corrected by oxygen administration. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|----|
| Effect due to factor in study? | | | | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | | | | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | | | | |
| Rawles JM;Kenmure AC; | | | | | | | | | |
| Controlled trial of oxygen in u | incomplicated myocar | dial infarction | | | | | | | |
| Ref 2303 Br Med J | | | pgs: | 1121 | to 1 | 123 | | 1976 | |
| Study Type Randor | nised Controlled Tria | al | | Fundiı | ng | Not r | eporte | b | |
| Number of participants | 200 patients were inc | luded; 105 were | rando | mised t | o rec | ceive o | oxygen | , 95 to receive a | ir |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients were under 6 admitting medical offi hours. Patients were chronic bronchitis or 6 has been transferred a cardiac arrest befor | cer suspected th excluded if they emphysema or b from other wards | e patie had cli reathle s for tre | ent to ha nical ev essness eatmen | ave I /iden s fror t of a | nad a ice of n any arrhyth | MI in th right or other c imias c | te previous 24 left heart failure ause or if the pr had undergone | |
| Patient Characteristics | Those without confirm Air group – Number of patients Number of men Mean age | nation of an MI: 18 17 50.8 ± 2.4 | | | | | | | |
| | Oxygen group – Number of patients Number of men Mean age | 25 19 51.3 ± 1.7 | | | | | | | |
| | Those with a confirme Air group – Number of patients Number of men Mean age | ed MI: 77 61 56.4 ± 0.8 | | | | | | | |
| | Oxygen group – Number of patients Number of men Mean age | 80 63 55.1 ± 0.9 | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | Patients admitted to t the inclusion criteria. | he coronary care | e unit a | at Aberc | leen | Roya | l Infirm | ary which met | |
| Setting | Hospital - Coronary C | Care Unit | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Oxygen or compresse 24 hours. | ed air as given th | nrough | an MC | mas | sk at a | flow ra | ate of 6 L/min for | |

15 September 2009

| Comparisons | The comparison is between re | eceivina oxvaer | and air | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| • | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Patients were followed up for 24 hours. | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | In all patients: ECG, serum as number of patients given diam confirmed MI: arrhythmias, he | norphine and th | e number of doses. | | | |
| Results | Those without confirmation of | an MI: Air group | Oxygen group | | | |
| | Number of patients | 18 | 25 | | | |
| | Mean Pao2 (kPa) | 11.2 ± 0.17 | 23.7 ± 1.32 | (1kPa = 7.5Hg) | | |
| | Mean stay in hospital (d) | 9.9 ± 1.6 | 11.1 ± 1.3 | | | |
| | No. Pts given diamorphine | 3 | 11 | | | |
| | Mean no. doses of diamorphir | | 1.4 ± 0.2 | | | |
| | Mean serum aspartate aminot Level (IU/mI) | 18.3 ± 3.0 | 15.8 ± 1.1 | | | |
| | Those with a confirmed MI: | | | | | |
| | | Air group | Oxygen group | | | |
| | Number of patients | 77 | 80 | | | |
| | Mean Pao2 (kPa) | 8.7 ± 0.29 | 18.2 ± 1.56 | (1kPa = 7.5Hg) | | |
| | Mean stay in hospital (d) | 14.9 ± 0.6 | 16.2 ± 0.6 | | | |
| | No. Pts given diamorphine | 52 | 57 | | | |
| | Mean no. doses of diamorphir | | 2.1 ± 0.2 | | | |
| | Mean serum aspartate aminot | 80.7 ± 6.6 | 99.9 ± 7.1 | | | |
| | Level (IU/ml) Mean heart rate/min | 72.7 ± 1.7 | 99.9 ± 7.1 77.0 ± 1.7 | | | |
| | Mean PEP/LVET day 1 | 0.43 ± 0.0 | | | | |
| | day 2 | 0.44 ± 0.06 | | | | |
| | Number of patients with arrhy | thmias after MI Air group | Oxygen group | | | |
| | Atrial ectopics | 35 | 34 | | | |
| | Mean frequency/min (when present) | 0.44 ± 0.22 | - | | | |
| | Atrial tachycardia | 2 | 6 | | | |
| | Atrial flutter | 2 | 0 | | | |
| | Atrial fibrillation | 4 | 4 | | | |
| | Sinus tachycardia | 11 | 23 | | | |
| | Sinus bradycardia | 36 F | 26 | | | |
| | Junctional rhythm Accelerated idioventricular | 5 9 | 2 7 | | | |
| | rhythm | 9 | ľ | | | |
| | Ventricular ectopics | 62 | 72 | | | |
| | Mean frequency/min (when present) | 0.57 ± 0.1 | 12 0.42 ± 0.08 | 3 | | |
| | Ventricular tachycardia | 5 | 11 | | | |
| | Ventricular fibrillation | 1 | 1 | | | |
| | Heart block 1o 2o | 6 4 | 2 1 | | | |
| | 30 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | Those who received oxygen h aspartate aminotransferase. T 3 in the air group. 3 of the dea and 2 were receiving air. | There were 12 d | leaths in total, 9 in t | the oxygen group and | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The paper does start to addre giving oxygen has to patients. sinus tachycardia for those wh air. The paper also showed th significantly higher in the oxyg giving oxygen does not reduct of mortalities or give rise to ar The paper suggests that givin | The paper sho no received oxy at the serum as gen group than e to number arr n improvement i | ws there is a signifi gen compared to the spartate aminotrans the air group. The p hythmias, nor does n left ventricular fur | cant increase in the nose who received oferase level is paper shows that it affect the number nction. | | |
| | a beneficial effect. It suggests should be given to those with | that oxygen sh | ould not be given r | | | |
| | _ | | | | | |

| Effect due to factor in study? | Patients were also able to receive diamorphine, which could have affect results, however it is likely that the intervention of oxygen was most likely to have caused the results of the study. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other comparable studies | No other comparable studies | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct intervention and population | Correct intervention and population | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Patients changed to oxygen were in | cludeo | d in resu | ult | | | | |
| Wilson AT;Channer KS; | | | | | | | | |
| Hypoxaemia and supplemen oximetry | tal oxygen therapy in the first 24 hours | s after | myoca | rdial | infarction: the | e role of pulse | | |
| Ref ₁₇₉₆ J R Coll F ID | Physicians Lond | pgs: | 657 | to | 661 | 1997 | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | | Fund | ing | Unknown | | | |
| Number of participants | 22 in group 1 receiving continuous o mask; 20 in group 2 receiving no su respiratory distress. | | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | 50 consecutive patients with acute M Hallamshire Hospital participate with Patients with central cyanosis, pulmo the cardiac status or those in whom I and patients with left ventricular failu | in six ł onary o olood g | nours of disease gas esti | f the requ imati | onset of thro uiring oxygen on showed a | mbolytic therapy. independent of pCO-2 > 5.5 kPa | | |
| Patient Characteristics | There were 25 men and 17 women in the study. The two groups were comparable for the number of smokers (5 and 7 respectively), diabetics (2 and 2) and mean ages (64 and 65 years). | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | The subjects were consecutive patie unit at the Royal Hallamshire Hospita | | h acute | e MI a | admitted to th | e coronary care | | |
| Setting | Royal Hallamshire Hospital, England | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | The incidence and degree of hypoxa assess the use of pulse oximetry and hours after MI | emia i d supp | n patier lement | nts w al ox | ith acute MI v ygen therapy | was studied to in the first 24 | | |
| Comparisons | A comparison is made between the or and no oxygen therapy. All subjects first 24 hours post MI. | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 24 hours | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Oxygen saturation (SpO-2) and arrhy measured. | /thmia | s and S | ST se | gment chang | es were | | |
| Results | Twenty of the 42 (48%) patients had <90%) and 8 (19%) patients had sev severely hypoxaemic patients were in supplemental oxygen and were clinic There were no significant differences There were no significant differences arrhythmias (11 in each group) or ST respectively). | ere hy n grou ally ur in the betwo | poxaen p 2 (p< ndetecto prescr een gro | nia(S 0.05) ed in iptior ups i | pO-2 <80%) which receiv all but one c of opiates b in the inciden |). Seven of the 8 ved no ase (pO-2 71%). between groups. | | |
| 15 September 2009 | The (51%) did not use routine oxygen yet 3% said they measured oxygen satu measured if blood gases were poor. given and pulse oximetry was availal saturation was routinely measured in Page 85 of 199 | 81 (7 ration In 93 ple in 7 | 7%) of t in all pa units (4 76 (80% | these atient 15%) 6) of | e had a pulse ts although 14 oxygen thera these. Howe | 4% said they apy was routinely over, oxygen | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | poor arterial blood gases. |
|--|---|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | This study demonstrates that hypoxaemia in the first 24 hours after an acute MI is a frequent and predictable occurrence and that this remains undetected by the medical and nursing staff unless a pulse oximeter is used. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | This study demonstrated no statistical correlation between hypoxaemic events and adverse cardiac events but the study was too small to assess this outcome effectively. Otherwise, the results of pulse oximetry appear to be accurate. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | With regard to adverse cardiac events there is a lack of consistency. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Yes |
| Internal Validity | No control arm and no allocation concealment |

- Question: In adults presenting with chest pain, what is the clinical and
 - cost effectiveness of pain management (e.g. sublingual and buccal nitrates, diamorphine, morphine with anti-emetic) compared with active comparators?

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

Hayes MJ;Fraser AR;Hampton JR;

| Randomised trial co | mparing | buprenorphine and diamorphine for che | est pa | in in su | ispec | ted myoc | cardial infarction | วท |
|---|----------|---|---|---|--|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Ref 3472 E ID | Br Med J | F | pgs: | 300 | to ³ | 302 | 1979 | |
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | | Fundi | ng | Not repo | orted | |
| Number of partic | ipants | study 1: 10 patients, study 2: 43 patients, study 3: 118 patients | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusi Criteria | on | inclusion: patients with chest pain due to suspected MI who required analgesia | | | | | | |
| Patient Character | istics | study 3: Buprenorphine group - male:female ratio = 5.6:1, mean age 55 \pm 10 years, mean duration of chest pain 5.5 \pm 7.3 hours, previous analgesia (morphine, diamorphine or pethidine) 54%, admission heart rate 78 \pm 19 beats per min, systolic blood pressure 129 \pm 28 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure 82 \pm 22 mm Hg, mean AST 136 \pm 154 IU/I, mean SHBD 567 \pm 352 IU/I, ECG changes - anterior infarction 44%, other sites of infarction 36%, no changes of infarction 20% | | | | | | |
| | | Diamorphine group - male:female ratio duration of chest pain 7.9 \pm 11.6 hours or pethidine) 54%, admission heart rate pressure 127 \pm 31 mm Hg, diastolic blo 68 IU/I, mean SHBD 544 \pm 375 IU/I, EC sites of infarction 34%, no changes of i | , prev e 80 : bod p CG ch | vious ai ± 23 be ressure nanges | nalge eats p e 79 : - ant | esia (mor per min, s ± 24 mm | phine, diamor ystolic blood Hg, mean AS | phine T 97 ± |
| Recruitment | | patients admitted to the CCU with ches | st pai | n due to | o sus | spected N | 11 | |
| Setting | | Secondary care, England | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | st/ | intravenous buprenorphine, sublingual | bupr | enorph | ine, d | diamorph | ine | |
| Comparisons | | intravenous buprenorphine, sublingual | bupr | enorph | ine, c | diamorph | ine | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | 48 hours | | | | | | |
| Outcome measure studied | es | pain relief, need for further analgesia, s | systo | lic bloo | d pre | ssure, he | eart rate | |
| Results | | The paper carried out 3 studies | | | | | | |
| | | Study 1 Haemodynamic studies were performe ECG. All had received diamorphine pre- recurrent pain. The pulmonary artery pr after an intravenous injection of 0.3 mg polyethylene catheter inserted percutar measurements of the systemic blood p ECG was monitored continuously and n ECG. | eviou: ressu g bup neou: pressu | sly but f are was renorph sly via a are wer | then reco nine, an ar e ma | required orded con by means ntecubital ide at def | further analge tinuously befo s of a 3 F gaug vein. Cuff ined intervals. | sia for are and ge The |
| | | This study showed that intravenous but rate or systemic diastolic blood pressur arterial systolic pressure of about 10 m | re. Tł | nere wa | as a s | sustained | fall in systemi | ic |
| | | Study 2 | | | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | | Page 88 of 199 | | | | | | |

| | 12 potients who required applaceic in the corporations with water sites sites |
|--|---|
| | 43 patients who required analgesia in the coronary care unit were given either injections of intravenous buprenorphine or sublingual tablets. 18 received a total of 20 tablets of sublingual buprenorphine 0.4 mg, and 25 received a total of 40 injections of intravenous buprenorphine 0.3 mg as and when they needed analgesia for chest pain. In this group only systemic blood pressure and heart rate were measured and the ECGs were continuously monitored. The degree of pain relief and more particularly the time of onset of pain relief were assessed subjectively by the medical and nursing staff. |
| | In the intravenous buprenorphine group 9 patients had complete relief after 5 minutes, a further 21 patients had complete relief after 15 minutes, a further 3 patients had complete relief after 30 minutes and 6 further patients had complete relief after 45 minutes. 1 patient reported inadequate pain relief. In the sublingual buprenorphine group 2 patients had complete relief after 5 minutes, a further 2 patients had complete relief after 30 minutes, a further 12 patients had complete relief after 30 minutes and 3 further patients had complete relief after 45 minutes. 1 patient reported inadequate pain relief. |
| | The study showed that sublingual buprenorphine had no significant effect on systolic blood pressure and heart rate and provided good pain relief to most patients. Intravenous buprenorphine gave faster pain relief. |
| | Study 3 120 patients who were admitted to the CCU with chest pain due to suspected myocardial infarction and who required analgesia were randomly allocated in a double-blind fashion to receive either buprenorphine 0 3 mg intravenously or diamorphine 5 mg intravenously. There were no medical contraindications for inclusion in this trial. Patients were randomised in blocks of six, the trial ampoules being prepared and issued by the General Hospital pharmacy daily because of the instability of diamorphine when in solution. After entry into the trial records were kept of the time, dose, and frequency of subsequent analgesic administration. The time, degree, and duration of pain relief were monitored using an unmarked visual analogue scale, 3 which was scored by the patient. The scale was subsequently measured and pain relief expressed as a percentage of the original score. If the patients were asleep they were left undisturbed and considered to have complete pain relief. The incidence of nausea, vomiting, and other adverse reactions was also recorded. |
| | In the buprenorphine group 27 (49%) patients did not require further analgesia after initial dose, 12 (22%) required analgesia within 6 hours after initial dose and 16 (29%) required analgesia in 6-48 hours after initial dose. In the diamorphine group 23 (42%) patients did not require further analgesia after initial dose, 16 (29%) required analgesia within 6 hours after initial dose and 16 (29%) required analgesia in 6-48 hours after initial dose. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | This study showed that sublingual buprenorphine had no significant effect on systolic blood pressure and heart rate and provided good pain relief to most patients. However the concluded that intravenous buprenorphine gave faster pain relief. The difference in the visual pain relief during the 6 hour trial was not statistically significant between the buprenorphine and diamorphine groups. The analgesic requirements for the two groups were not significantly different either. At five minutes the percentage pain relief in the buprenorphine group was significantly less than in the diamorphine group (p <0.01), but this difference progressively diminished so that both groups were similar at 15 minutes, there was no difference in the two groups at 6 hours. |
| | Overall the study showed that there was no statistically significant difference in the requirement of subsequent analgesia or in the percentage pain relief. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| | |

| Directly applicable guideline populatio | Patients had chest pain due to suspected MI and required analgesia | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Internal Validity | No report of concealment methods | | | | | |
| Hew E;Haq A;Strauss I | 4; | | | | | |
| A randomized controlle | ed trial of nalbuphine vs morphine in the treatment of ischemic chest pain | | | | | |
| Ref 3362 Current Therapeutic Research - Clinical and pgs: 394 to 402 1987 ID Experimental | | | | | | |
| Study Type R | andomised Controlled Trial Funding not reported | | | | | |
| Number of participa | ants 24 patients received nalbuphine, 29 received morphine | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusior Criteria | inclusion: moderately severe to severe pain unresponsive to sublingual nitroglycerin and a suspected diagnosis of MI or unstable angina. Exclusion: heart rate less than 50 beats per minute, systolic blood pressure less than 90 mmHg, cardiac shock, acute or chronic renal failure, valvular heart disease, signs of right or left ventricular failure, pulmonary oedema, patient is a or suspected of being a drug user | | | | | |
| Patient Characteris | In the nalbuphine group 3 were female, mean age was 60 years old. The mean pain was 5.5 ± 0.5 , the mean systolic blood pressure was 134.5 ± 4.4 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure was 82.2 ± 2.8 , the mean respiratory rate was 19.7 ± 0.6 breaths/min, the mean heart rate was 71.3 ± 3.9 beats/min. the concomitant of treatments were 7 patients had nitroglycerin infusion, 1 patient had antiarrhythmic, 1 patient had beta- blocker, 2 patients had calcium-channel blocker. In the morphine group 9 were women, mean age 62.2 years old. The mean pain was 6.3 ± 0.4 , the mean systolic blood pressure was 142.6 ± 5.3 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure was 80.1 ± 2.6 , the mean respiratory rate was 20.7 ± 0.7 breaths/min, the mean heart rate was 74.1 ± 3.2 beats/min. the concomitant of treatments were 7 patients had nitroglycerin infusion, 2 patients had antiarrhythmic, 0 patients had beta-blocker, 0 patients had calcium-channel blocker. | | | | | |
| Recruitment | patients with ischemic chest pain admitted to 2 hospitals in Canada | | | | | |
| Setting | Secondary care (2 hospitals), Canada | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 10 mg morphine or 20mg nalbuphine | | | | | |
| Comparisons | 10 mg morphine or 20mg nalbuphine | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 hours | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | pain relief | | | | | |
| Results | Complete pain relief: At 5 minutes – 21% on morphine, 42% on nalbuphine At 15 minutes – 31% on morphine, 54% on nalbuphine At 30 minutes – 34% on morphine, 54% on nalbuphine At 60 minutes – 48% on morphine, 58% on nalbuphine At 120 minutes – 55% on morphine, 67% on nalbuphine The mean pain scores for nalbuphine group were consistently lower than for the morphine group. The difference in scores was greatest after 5 minutes (nalbuphine = 1.88, morphine = 3.48), however the difference was not significant (F = 3.07, P = 0.08). The mean pain relief scores and the sum of the pain relief scores consistently favoured nalbuphine with the greatest difference at 5 minutes but were not significantly different (F = 2.83, P = 0.10). Neither group had a significant change in either systolic or diastolic blood pressure (F = 1.45, P >0.21). The mean heart rate did not change significantly for either group (F = 1.82, P = 0.11). | | | | | |

| Safety and adverse effects | There were 81 unpleasant or unusual side effects reported. In the morphine group 62% reported at least 1 side effect, compared to 75% in the nalbuphine group. The mean number of complaints in the morphine group was 1.5 and in the nalbuphine group was 1.6. there was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of any complaint, including drowsiness and dry mouth which was observed. Adverse events: (number of patients) Drowsiness – 4 on morphine, 9 on nalbuphine Dizziness – 8 on morphine, 4 on nalbuphine Nausea – 5 on morphine, 1 on nalbuphine Headache – 6 on morphine, 1 on nalbuphine Diaphoresis – 2 on morphine, 1 on nalbuphine Nervousness – 2 on morphine, 2 on nalbuphine Hypotension – 1 on morphine, 2 on nalbuphine Burning at injection site – 2 on morphine, 1 on nalbuphine Depressed – 1 on morphine, 1 on nalbuphine Euphoria – 0 on morphine, 1 on nalbuphine Depressed – 1 on morphine, 2 on nalbuphine Burning at injection site – 2 on morphine, 1 on nalbuphine Euphoria – 0 on morphine, 2 on nalbuphine Burning – 1 on morphine, 2 on nalbuphine Burning – 1 on morphine, 2 on nalbuphine Burning – 1 on morphine, 2 on nalbuphine Euphoria – 0 on morphine, 2 on nalbuphine Burning – 1 on morphine, 2 on nalbuphine | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Does the study answer the question? None of the differences were statistically significant, the trend favoured nalbuph The greatest difference was seen at 5 minutes. The author states the ideal anal should provide prompt relief from pain and anxiety without adversely affecting hemodynamic or respiratory function, this study suggests that nalbuphine fulfils and should be considered as an alternative to morphine. | | | | | | |
| Effect due to factor study? | n Yes | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population?Patients had moderately severe to severe pain due to suspected MI or unsta angina and unresponsive to sublingual nitroglycerin | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | |
| Jamidar HA CSAA; | | | | | | |
| Nalbuphine versus diar | orphine early in the course of suspected myocardial infarction | | | | | |
| Ref ₄₂₂₂ Eur ID | leart J pgs: 597 to 602 1987 | | | | | |
| Study Type R | ndomised Controlled Trial Funding Dr J Beets and Dupont supplied the Nalbuphine | | | | | |
| Number of participa | 176 in total; 87 received Nalbuphine, 89 received Diamorphine | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: patients with moderate or severe pain of suspected AMI who have not received previous analgesia | | | | | |
| Patient Characteris | In the Nalbuphine group: The mean age was 60.5 years, 41 % were women. 43% smoked, 30% were ex- smokers. 2% had diabetes, 21% had previous hypertension. 13% had previous severe angina, 29% had previous moderate angina, 20% had previous mild angina. 8% had more than 2 previous MIs,14% had 2 previous MIs, 29% had 1 previous MI, 49% had had no previous MI. In the Diamorphine group: The mean age was 62.2 years, 34 % were women. 35% smoked, 25% were ex- smokers. 9% had diabetes, 25% had previous hypertension. 18% had previous severe angina, 10% had previous moderate angina, 29% had previous mild angina. 8% had more than 2 previous MIs, 6% had 2 previous MIs, 26% had 1 previous MI, 60% had had no previous MI. NOTE one person died before a full history could be taken (smoking and previous MI data missing) | | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 91 of 199 | | | | | |

| Recruitment | Patients admitted with moderate or severe chest pain of a suspected acute MI |
|--|---|
| Setting | Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, Northern Ireland |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | \leq 20 mg nalbuphine or \leq 5 mg diamorphine intravenously with 10 mg metoclopramide |
| Comparisons | between \leq 20 mg nalbuphine or \leq 5 mg diamorphine intravenously with 10 mg metoclopramide |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 hours |
| Outcome measures studied | pain relief at set times |
| Results | The differences in baseline characteristics were not statistically significant (P=>0.05). Pain was recorded at 10 minutes, 30 minutes, 60 minutes and 120 minutes. At 10 minutes 77% of the nalbuphine group and 68% of the diamorphine group had satisfactory pain relief; 44% of the nalbuphine group and 39% of the diamorphine group had complete pain relief. |
| | Satisfactory pain relief (grade 0 or 1 pain) was similar for both groups during each time assessment. So there was no significant difference between the two groups for total pain relief. The average pain score at each time interval was similar for both groups. The number of doses of each drug given over the 120 minutes were comparable (n 114 + SD 0-4, d 1-28 \pm SD 0-5). Of those withdrawn from the trial (two doses of the test drug without satisfactory pain relief) 6 patients had received diamorphine and 11 nalbuphine. This difference was not statistically significant. Pain recurred after satisfactory pain relief in 2 patients who had received diamorphine and in 5 who had received nalbuphine. |
| | There were no significant differences for heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressures between the two groups throughout the 120 minute observation period. Only one patient in the nalbuphine group and 3 in the diamorphine group required atropine and only 2 in the nalbuphine group and 2 in the diamorphine group received beta-blockers intravenously during the trial period. The numbers with cardiac failure initially and at 120 minutes showed no significant differences for the two groups. There were no significant differences between the two groups for mean peak CK, AST and LDH. Seven patients received streptokinase and their enzyme levels were excluded from analysis. |
| Safety and adverse effects | Dizziness, nausea and vomiting was infrequent but occurred in both groups. In the Nalbuphine group: 16% had dizziness, 14% had nausea and vomiting, 10% had other side effects, 1% died (1 patient). In the Diamorphine group: 17% had dizziness, 16% had nausea and vomiting, 7% had other side effects, 8% died (7 patients). |
| Does the study answer the question? | The results for pain relief for the nalbuphine group and the diamorphine group were similar with no statistically significant difference. The study showed that Nalbuphine is safe and is as effective as diamorphine, with the speed of pain relief and reoccurrence of pain being similar for both groups. Nalbuphine had no adverse events on infarct size nor deleterious heamodynamic side effects. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The population was patients with moderate or severe chest pain of suspected MI |
| Internal Validity | patients were withdrawn for further pain relief |
| | |

Grading: 2++ High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal

Everts B;Karlson BW;Herlitz J;Hedner T;

Morphine use and pharmacokinetics in patients with chest pain due to suspected or definite acute myocardial infarction

| Ref 2966 ID | European | Journal of Pain | pgs: | 115 | to 1 | 25 1998 |
|--|------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fundi | ng | Swedish Medical Research Council and Medical Faculty, University of Goteborg and Bohuslandstinget |
| Number of par | ticipants | 2988 | | | | |
| Inclusion/Excl Criteria | usion | Patients had chest pain or symptoms confirmed or suspected AMI or myoca stayed for more than 1 day. | | | | |
| Patient Charac | cteristics | The mean age was 69.3 ± 0.23 years (range 18-101 years), 40.2% were women. 921 patients developed an MI, 357 had a possible MI, 419 had myocardial ischaemia 1291 had possible myocardial ischaemia. | | | | |
| Recruitment | | patients with chest pain or symptoms | sugge | stive of | AMI | admitted to CCU in Sweden |
| Setting | | Secondary care, Sweden | | | | |
| Interventions/ Factor being investigated | Test/ | 10mg morphine hydrochloride intrave | nously | over o | ne m | inute |
| Comparisons | | pain relief after being given 10mg mo minute | rphine | hydroc | hlori | de intravenously over one |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | dy/ | 3 days | | | | |
| Outcome meas studied | sures | pain, morphine requirement | | | | |
| Results | | The average pain intensity was 6.6 ± 0 the morphine injection. There was rap the morphine injection. After 20 minut between 0 and 3 units. 7 out of 10 par measurement point during the first 3 h patients needed supplementary analog was given metoprolol. 5 patients require thrombolysis or nitrates. | oid pair es, a r tients r nours f jesic tr | n relief nadir wa reportec ollowin eatmer | (6.9± as ob d beii g mo nt wit | 11% after 20 minutes) after tained where NRS ranged ng pain free at one or more rphine injection. However 3 n meperidine and 1 patient |
| | | The patient characteristics which were were: gender (female) $P = <0.0455$, h CHF $P = <0.0001$, initial degree of su elevation on entry ECG $P = <0.0001$, <0.0004, Q wave on entry ECG $P = <$ | istory o spicior preser | of angin of AM nce of S | na pe I P = | ctoris P = <0.0001, previous <0.0001, presence of ST |
| | | The mean systolic/diastolic blood pres 143±9.9/91±4.6mm Hg. After intraven significant reduction in the diastolic bl trend in systolic blood pressure. Hear and tended to be reduced during the Respiratory frequency remained unch | ous m ood pr t rate v observ | orphine essure vas 86: ation p | e adn but a ⊧5.1 I eriod | ninistration there was a a similar but non-significant peats/minute on admission after intravenous morphine. |

| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
|--|---|
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that there was rapid pain relief 20 minutes after the morphine injection with 7 out of 10 patients reporting complete pain relief at 1 or more measurement points during the 3 hours observation. There were certain patient characteristics associated with higher morphine requirement: gender (female), history of angina pectoris, previous CHF, initial degree of suspicion of AMI, presence of ST elevation on entry ECG, presence of ST depression on entry ECG, Q wave on entry ECG. |
| | The authors concluded that when intravenous morphine is given it has full effect after 20 minutes. The authors also concluded that the need for morphine administration in patients with confirmed or suspected AMI differed among subgroups, in particular those with a strongly suspected AMI required higher doses of morphine. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Pains had chest pain or symptoms suggestive of AMI |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

Bruns BM; Dieckmann R; Shagoury C; Dingerson A; Swartzell C; Safety of pre-hospital therapy with morphine sulfate The American journal of emergency medicine, 1992 Ref pgs: 844 to ID Study Type Cohort Fundina Not reported Number of participants 84 patients Inclusion/Exclusion patients who received morphine sulphate in a prehospital setting Criteria **Patient Characteristics** the mean age was 68 years, 40 patients were male 39 were female and 5 patients did not have their sex documented Recruitment patients who the paramedics assessed as having ischaemic chest pain or pulmonary edema, which was agreed by a doctor at the base hospital were given intravenous morphine sulphate in 2mg increments along with other therapies according to treatment protocol Setting Paramedics, San Francisco, USA safety of prehospital morphine sulphate use in an urban emergency medical system Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated The diagnosis by a paramedic and an emergency department doctor Comparisons Length of Study/ 6 months Follow-up 1: Accuracy of paramedics diagnosis Outcome measures 2: Appropriate use of morphine sulphate studied 3: Side effects of appropriate and inappropriate use of morphine sulphate Results All patients who received morphine sulphate were included in the study. Patients who the paramedics assessed as having ischaemic chest pain or pulmonary oedema, paramedics phone through to the base hospital, where a mobile intensive care nurse and/or a doctor concurred the diagnosis. The paramedic then gave the patient intravenous morphine sulphate in 2mg increments along with other therapies according to treatment protocols. 3 private and 1 public paramedic provider agencies were included which took patients to 10 emergency departments. A total of 84 patients were given morphine sulphate. The paramedics' diagnosis was considered accurate in 77% of cases (65 out of 84) Paramedics diagnosed 40 patients with ischaemic chest pain, when patients were diagnosed in the emergency department - 30 had ischaemic chest pain, 4 had ischaemic chest pain and pulmonary oedema, 1 had a pulmonary oedema and 5 had another diagnosis. Paramedics diagnosed 31 patients with pulmonary oedema, when patients were diagnosed in the emergency department - 23 had pulmonary oedema, 4 had ischaemic chest pain and pulmonary oedema and 4 had another diagnosis. Paramedics diagnosed 13 patients with ischaemic chest pain and pulmonary oedema, when patients were diagnosed in the emergency department - 3 had ischaemic chest pain and pulmonary oedema, 9 had a pulmonary oedema and 1 had another diagnosis. (Other diagnosis included atypical chest pain, atypical chest pain and chronic heart failure, acute bronchospasm and pneumonia) In the 9 cases where the paramedics miss diagnosed ischaemic chest pain or pulmonary oedema 5 patients were diagnosed as ischaemic chest pain but missed a

| | diagnosis of pulmonary oedema and 4 patients were diagnosed as pulmonary oedema but missed a diagnosis of ischaemic chest pain | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | The appropriateness of morphine sulphate administration was assessed the 9 diagnosis which missed either ischaemic chest pain or pulmonary oedema were still treated correctly with morphine sulphate. The appropriateness use of morphine sulphate was 88%. | | | | | |
| | The overall side effects rate was 6%, 3 patients had respiratory depression and 2 had hypotension. 2 of the patients who had respiratory depression were correctly diagnosed with pulmonary oedema, which can lead to respiratory depression; therefore it is unclear if the morphine sulphate caused the side effect. The other patient who had respiratory depression was diagnosed wrongly by the paramedic and had an emergency department diagnosis of pneumonia, therefore it is likely the morphine sulphate caused the respiratory depression. The 2 patients who had hypotension were both correctly diagnosed by the paramedic and it is uncertain if the morphine sulphate caused the hypotension. This shows that only 1 patient suffered an adverse event due to inappropriate use of morphine sulphate, the complication rate for this was 10%. | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | 3 cases of respiratory depression, 2 cases of hypotension | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that the paramedics' diagnosis was considered accurate in 77% of cases (65 out of 84). The appropriateness use of morphine sulphate was 88, and the overall side effects rate was 6%, the complication rate for inappropriate use of morphine sulphate was 10%. The authors concluded that paramedics functioning with a system of base hospital direction can safely given morphine sulphate, with the inappropriate administration of morphine sulphate and complication rate being low. | | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | This was a mixed population including some patients with pulmonary oedema | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | |
| Herlitz J;Richterova A;Bonde | estam E;Hjalmarson A;Holmberg S;Hovgren C; | | | | | |
| Chest pain in acute myocard requirement | ial infarction: a descriptive study according to subjective assessment and morphine | | | | | |
| Ref 1168 Clin Card ID | iol pgs: 423 _{to} 428 1986 | | | | | |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Swedish Medical Research Council, the Swedish National Association against Heart and Chest Disease, the Goteborg Medical Society, AB Hassle subsidiary of Astra Pharmaceuticals | | | | | |
| Number of participants | 653 patients | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients admitted to the CCU with suspected acute MI admitted between 1st May 1983 and 31st May 1984. | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 33-92 years with the median being 70 years. 38.3% were women, 47.1% were aged over 70 years, 39.2% had had a previous infarction, 59.4% had angina pectoris, 36.2% had hypertension, 21.2% had congestive heart failure. 24.5% had furosemide before admission, 38.6% had beta blockers before admission, Page 96 of 199 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| 15 Sontombor 2000 | Page 07 of 100 |
|--|---|
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies compare at home to hospital pain management |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| | The authors of the study concluded that patients generally had worse pain at home than in the CCU. The mean pain score values show a trend of rapid decline in pain after arrival in the CCU, although there was variability in the intensity and duration of chest pain. The authors commented that there was a low difference in the pain scores between those having an MI and those who were not. |
| | The authors commented that narcotic analgesics were given to 10% of patients after the end of recording pain scores and during the 3 day study 27.4% of patients were given nitroglycerine sublingually. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that for pain at home there were small differences in the mean pain scores between the groups of patients. For those with an MI the maximum pain score was 7.5 ± 0.2 where as for those without an MI the maximum pain score was 6.6 ± 0.2 (P<0.001). The study showed that for pain in the CCU the maximum mean score had reduced to 1.8 for all patients compared to 7.0 maximum mean score for all patients at home. The study also showed that 98% of patients had chest pain at home, but only 51% had pain on arrival at the CCU. Figure 1 (see narrative for question 17; figure 1: Herlitz et al, 1986) shows the course of pain after arrival at the CCU. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| | Mean pain score during the first 6 hours (h) after arrival at CCU Patients with defined MI: on arrival 2.3, after 2h 1.4, after 4h 1.1, after 6h 0.9 Patients with possible MI: on arrival 1.2, after 2h 0.7, after 4h 0.6, after 6h 0.4 Patients with ischemia: on arrival 1.4, after 2h 0.8, after 4h 0.6, after 6h 0.7 Patients with no ischemia: on arrival 1.6, after 2h 0.9, after 4h 0.6, after 6h 0.7 |
| | Mean maximum score at home Patients with defined MI: 7.5 Patients with possible MI: 6.6 Patients with ischemia: 6.9 Patients with no ischemia: 5.9 |
| Results | The study recorded patient's pain by a visual scale of 0-10 as reported by the patients (0 being no pain and 10 being worst pain imaginable). The pain scores recorded were the maximum pain at home (recorded once admitted to CCU) and every two hours for 6 hours after admission to CCU. If patients were asleep at the time of recording a score of 0 was reported. Patients were given morphine intravenously for severe pain and nitroglycerine sublingually for less severe pain interpreted as angina pectoris; where patients were given analgesics the pain score was increase by 2. MI was confirmed in 45% of patients and possible MI in 11.9%. |
| Outcome measures studied | visual pain score, narcotic analgesic requirement |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 days |
| Comparisons | Pain at home and in hospital |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Patients pain and analgesic requirement |
| Setting | Patients home and hospital |
| Recruitment | Patients who were admitted to the CCU with suspected AMI were evaluated for inclusion. |
| | 10.2% had Ca antagonists before admission. |
| | |

| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had suspected MI | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | |
| Scott ME;Orr R; | | | | | | |
| Effects of diamorphine, meth infarction | nadone, morphine, and pentazocine in patients with suspected acute myocardial | | | | | |
| Ref ₁₀₂₇₂ Lancet ID | pgs: 1065 _{to} 1067 1969 | | | | | |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Not reported | | | | | |
| Number of participants | 118 patients; 30 in diamorphine group, 31 in methadone group, 29 in morphine group and 25 in pentazocine group | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Included: patients initially assessed to have moderate or severe pain due to suspected acute MI. Excluded: patients who had cardiac shock, cardiac failure, severe nausea, pronounced bradycardia, who have received a potent analgesic or an anti-emetic in previous 4 hours | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | 25% were women, the age range was 30-79 years old, with 79% of patients aged between 50-69 years old. 36% of the patients had acute myocardial ischaemia rather than definite infarction. There was no significant difference in the sex-distribution, age, previous history of MI among the 4 treatment groups. | | | | | |
| Recruitment | Patients who were admitted to the cardiac department, Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, Northern Ireland, who were initially assessed to have moderate or severe pain due to suspected acute MI | | | | | |
| Setting | Secondary care, Northern Ireland | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | pain relief from analgesics | | | | | |
| Comparisons | 5 mg diamorphine or 10 mg methadone, 10 mg morphine, 30 mg pentazocine | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 hours | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Pain relief at 10, 30, 60 and 120 minutes | | | | | |
| Results | For some degree of pain relief: At 10 minutes - 90% of patients on diamorphine, 90% on methadone, 93% on morphine, 85% on pentazocine. At 30 minutes - 87% of patients on diamorphine, 94% on methadone, 93% on morphine, 96% on pentazocine. At 60 minutes - 87% of patients on diamorphine, 89% on methadone, 90% on morphine, 82% on pentazocine. At 120 minutes - 90% of patients on diamorphine, 86% on methadone, 86% on morphine, 81% on pentazocine. | | | | | |
| | At 10 minutes - 47% of patients on diamorphine,32% on methadone, 17% on morphine, 19% on pentazocine. At 30 minutes - 43% of patients on diamorphine, 39% on methadone, 38% on morphine, 36% on pentazocine. At 60 minutes - 43% of patients on diamorphine, 50% on methadone, 45% on morphine, 27% on pentazocine. At 120 minutes - 34% of patients on diamorphine, 50% on methadone, 52% on morphine, 33% on pentazocine. | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | Nausea and vomiting was similar across all groups (not statistically different). Morphine had an unexpected low number of patients with emetic sequelae | | | | | |

| Does the study answer the question? | The results show equal pain relief by all 4 drugs. Diamorphine gave complete pain relief in 10 minutes to a higher number of patients, it was significantly higher compare to morphine and petazocine but not significantly higher compared to methadone. At 30 minutes the pain relief is similar across all 4 drugs, however at 60 minutes patients on pentazocine had lower pain relief than the other 3 groups |
|--|--|
| | The authors suggest that diamorphine is the drug of choice. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had moderate or severe pain due to suspected acute MI |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

Question: In adults presenting with chest pain/discomfort of acute suspected cardiac origin, what is the clinical and cost effectiveness of anti-platelet therapy (aspirin, clopidogrel alone or in combination) compared with a placebo?

Grading: 2+

Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal

Barbash IM;Freimark D;Gottlieb S;Hod H;Hasin Y;Battler A;Crystal E;Matetzky S;Boyko V;Mandelzweig L;Behar S;Leor J;

Outcome of myocardial infarction in patients treated with aspirin is enhanced by pre-hospital administration

| Ref 10246 Cardiolog ID | Эу | pgs: 141 | to ¹⁴⁷ | 2002 |
|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Study Type Cohor Number of participants | | | 0 | eported e admission to hospital, |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Included: Patients who were admitte who received aspirin treatment eithe Excluded: Those who had cardioger | ed to hospital ver before or aft | ter admission | |
| Patient Characteristics | Aspirin before admission to hospital Mean age 60.9 ± 13 Patients <59 years 174 (51%) 60-69 years 75 (22%) >70 years 92 (27%) Women 57 (17%) Diabetes 92 (27%) Hypertension 136 (40%) Hyperlipidaemia 159 (47%) Current smokers 158 (47%) Prior MI 82 (24%) Prior heart failure 13 (4%) Prior heart failure 13 (4%) Prior PTCA 49 (15%) Prior CABG 14 (4%) PVD 24 (7%) History of stroke 21 (6%) Gastrointestinal disorder 31 (9%) Typical chest pain 318 (94%) MICU transport 230 (68%) Anterior MI 159 (47%) Spontaneous reperfusion 20 (5.9%) Aspirin after admission to hospital Mean age 64.5 ± 14 Patients <59 years 224 (41%) 60-69 years 114 (20%) >70 years 222 (39%) Women 157 (27%) Diabetes 184 (32%) Hypertension 248 (43%) Hyperlipidaemia 241 (42%) Current smokers 222 (39%) Prior MI 114 (20%) Prior ABG 11 (2%) Prior CABG 11 (2%) Prior CABG 11 (2%) PVD 48 (8%) History of stroke 51 (9%) | | | |

| | Typical chest pain469MICU transport90 (Anterior MI260Spontaneous reperfusion | (15%) (45%) | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Recruitment | Patients who were admitted to 26 coronary care units and 82 medicine wards in 26 hospitals | | | | | | | |
| Setting | Hospital, ambulance & community in Israel | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Aspirin administration - dose of >200mg chewable aspirin before or after admission to hospital | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | Aspirin being given before or after admission to hospital | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Follow up at 7 and 30 days | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mortality, in-hospital complications, in-hospital treatments | | | | | | | |
| Results | Aspirin given: before All cause Mortality | e hospital | after hospital | P value | | | | |
| | 7 days 8 (2 | 2.4%) (4.9%) | 42 (7.3%) 64 (11.1%) | 0.002 0.001 | | | | |
| | - | (4.978) | 04 (11.176) | 0.001 | | | | |
| | | 3%) (19%) | 23 (22%) 134 (27%) | 0.22 0.02 | | | | |
| | In-hospital complicatio Asystole 6 (2 Resuscitation 12 (Ventilation 17 (5 | %) 4%) | 39 (7%) 55 (9%) 66 (11%) | < 0.001 < 0.001 0.001 | | | | |
| | There was no significant difference in the following in-hospital complications recurrent MI, pulmonary oedema, sustained VT, primary VF, free wall rupture, ventricular septal defect, significant MR and cardiogenic shock | | | | | | | |
| | IIb/IIIa antagonists 97 | (25%) (29%))1 (90%) | 75 (13%) 120 (21%) 466 (80%) 299 (51%) | < 0.001 0.005 < 0.001 < 0.001 | | | | |
| | There was no significant difference in in-hospital management in the following drug therapies: aspirin, vasopressors, β -blockers, calcium blockers, nitrates, diuretics, ACE inhibitors, angiotensin-II antagonist, lipid lowering drugs and digitalis | | | | | | | |
| | In-hospital procedures Coronary angiography PTCA | | 252 (44%) 155 (27%) | < 0.001 < 0.001 | | | | |
| | There was no significant difference in in-hospital management in the following procedures: CABG, intra-aortic balloon pump, pulmonary artery catheter | | | | | | | |
| | Patients, n(%) Primary reperfusion (n=518) no primary reperfusion | | | | | | | |
| | (n=404) | Early | Late p value | e Early | Late p value | | | |
| | Age, years 0.007 | 59±12 | 60±12 0.1 | 65±13 | 69±14 | | | |
| | Women Prior MI | 30(14%) 54(25%) | 64(21%) 0.02 53(18%) 0.05 | | 93(33%) 0.05 61(22%) 0.69 | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page | 102 of 199 | | | | | | |

| | Prior angina $59(27\%)$ $73(24\%)$ 0.53 $39(33\%)$ $81(29\%)$ 0.41 Prior heart failure $5(2\%)$ $8(3\%)$ 0.77 $8(7\%)$ $25(9\%)$ 0.47 Prior PTCA $36(16\%)$ $35(12\%)$ 0.13 $13(11\%)$ $16(6\%)$ 0.07 Prior CABG $7(3\%)$ $6(2\%)$ 0.39 $7(6\%)$ $5(2\%)$ 0.03 Hypertension $86(39\%)$ $108(36\%)$ 0.50 $50(42\%)$ $140(50\%)$ 0.16 Diabetes $60(27\%)$ $89(30\%)$ 0.54 $32(27\%)$ $95(34\%)$ 0.17 Hypertension $109(50\%)$ $143(48\%)$ 0.64 $50(42\%)$ $98(35\%)$ 0.16 Current smokers $111(51\%)$ $129(44\%)$ 0.13 $47(40\%)$ $93(33\%)$ 0.19 Anterior MI $106(48\%)$ $138(46\%)$ 0.43 $0(0\%)$ $0(0\%)$ Primary PTCA $43(20\%)$ $50(17\%)$ 0.39 $0(0\%)$ $0(0\%)$ 30 -day cardiovascular $143(15\%)$ $129(15\%)$ $129(15\%)$ $120(15\%)$ | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | re-hospitalisation 39(19%) 71(26%) 0.07 20(20%) 63(27%) 0.15 Mortality – 7 D 3(1.4%) 17(5.8%) 0.01 5(4.4%) 25(8.9%) 0.13 Mortality 30 D 7(3.3%) 20(6.8%) 0.08 9(8.0%) 44(15.7%) 0.04 | | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | The paper does not state any adverse events caused by the aspirin administration in patients with a MI | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | This study addresses the key clinical question of the effect of aspirin administration, however this is on patients who have an acute MI not those with undifferentiated chest pain. The study suggests that giving aspirin early results in lower mortality rates at 7 and 30 days and a lower rate of re-hospitalisation. This benefit was also seen in a sub-group analysis of patients who underwent reperfusion. The study showed that those who received aspirin before admission to hospital were more likely to be treated with heparin, ticlopidine / clopidogrel, Ilb/IIIa antagonists. The paper states that the theoretical basis of early aspirin administration is due to the anti-platelet properties and its ability to aid reperfusion. | | | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Limited studies in this area, results appear consistent | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Population have a confirmed diagnosis of MI, intervention correct | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | | |

- Question: What is the utility and cost effectiveness of cardiac
 - biomarkers in evaluation of individuals with acute chest pain of suspected cardiac origin?

| Grading: 1++ | High-quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a very low risk of bias | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| Balk EM;Ioannidis JP;Salem | D;Chew PW;Lau J; | | | |
| Accuracy of biomarkers to di | agnose acute cardiac ischemia in the emergency department: a meta-analysis | | | |
| Ref 215 Ann Eme ID | rg Med pgs: 478 to 494 2001 | | | |
| Study Type System | natic Review Funding Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality | | | |
| Number of participants | 73 diagnostic studies searched from 1966 to December 1998 | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | |
| Setting | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | |
| Results | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The meta-analysis evaluated the accuracy of biomarkers to diagnose acute cardiac ischemia in the emergency department. The analysis searched for papers examining the diagnostic performance of troponin I, troponin T, creatine kinase, CK-MB, myoglobin and CK-MB with myoglobin from 1966 to December 1998. The analysis considered 73 papers which considered the diagnosis of AMI. Where possible the authors only analyse papers which considered patients in emergency departments and the review took study quality into account when analysing the results. The study did not report the timing of the tests. | | | |
| | The analysis identified 7 studies which evaluated the diagnostic performance of single troponin I, the review reported the timing of the tests for two studies, one was at 2 hours from symptom onset and one was at 7 hours from onset of symptoms, but not for the other 5 studies. The prevalence of AMI ranged from 6%-39% in the studies with a total of 1149 patients included in the studies. The sensitivity ranged from 4% to 100% and the specificity ranged from 89% to 98% but 3 papers did not provide data for the specificity. The over all sensitivity was 39% and the specificity was 93%. For serial troponin I testing 2 studies were identified which had 6% and 9% prevalence of AMI and included 1393 patients. The review did not report the timing of the serial troponin I tests. The studies showed a sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 90% (sensitivity range 90%-100% and specificity range 83%-96%). | | | |
| | single troponin T. The tests were conducted on admission to the emergency | | | |

department. The prevalence of AMI ranged from 6%-78% in the studies with a total of 1348 patients included in the studies. The sensitivity ranged from 15% to 53% and the specificity ranged from 89% to 98%. The over all sensitivity was 39% and the specificity was 93%. For serial troponin T testing 4 studies were identified which had 5% to 78% prevalence of AMI and included 904 patients. The review did not report the timing of the serial troponin T tests. The studies showed a sensitivity of 93% and specificity of 85% (sensitivity range 65%-100% and specificity range 86%-93%).

The analysis identified 12 studies which evaluated the diagnostic performance of single CK. The tests were conducted on admission to the emergency department. The prevalence of AMI ranged from 7%-41% in the studies with a total of 3195 patients included in the studies. The sensitivity ranged from 7% to 55% and the specificity ranged from 65% to 96%. The over all sensitivity was 37% and the specificity was 87%. For serial CK testing 2 studies were identified which had 26% and 43% prevalence of AMI and included 786 patients. The review did not report the timing of the serial CK tests. The studies showed a sensitivity of 83% and specificity of 76% (sensitivity range 69%-99% and specificity range 68%-84%).

The analysis identified 19 studies which evaluated the diagnostic performance of single CK-MB. The tests were conducted on admission to the emergency department. The prevalence of AMI ranged from 6%-42% in the studies with a total of 6425 patients included in the studies. The sensitivity ranged from 14% to 100% and the specificity ranged from 86% to 100%. The over all sensitivity was 42% and the specificity was 97%. For serial CK-MB testing 14 studies were identified which had 1% to 43% prevalence of AMI and included 11625 patients. The review did not report the timing of the serial CK-MB tests. The studies showed a sensitivity of 79% and specificity of 96% (sensitivity range 41%-100% and specificity range 92%-100%).

The analysis identified 18 studies which evaluated the diagnostic performance of single myoglobin. The tests were conducted on admission to the emergency department. The prevalence of AMI ranged from 6%-62% in the studies with a total of 4172 patients included in the studies. The sensitivity ranged from 21% to 100% and the specificity ranged from 61% to 100%. The over all sensitivity was 49% and the specificity was 91%. For serial myoglobin testing 14 studies were identified which had 11% to 37% prevalence of AMI and included 1277 patients. The review did not report the timing of the serial myoglobin tests. The studies showed a sensitivity of 89% and specificity of 87% (sensitivity range 57%-100% and specificity range 72%-100%).

The analysis identified 3 studies which evaluated the diagnostic performance of single CK-MB and myoglobin. Two of the studies included conducted the tests at presentation and one was 2 hours from presentation. The prevalence of AMI ranged from 9%-28% in the studies with a total of 2283 patients included in the studies. The sensitivity ranged from 62% to 100% and the specificity ranged from 72% to 80%. The over all sensitivity was 83% and the specificity was 82%. For serial CK-MB and myoglobin testing 2 studies were identified which had 11% and 20% prevalence of AMI and included 291 patients. The review did not report the timing of the serial CK-MB and myoglobin tests. The studies showed a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 83% (specificity range 75%-91%).

Effect due to factor in study?

Consistency of results with other studies?

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Internal Validity

Ebell MH;Flewelling D;Flynn CA;

A systematic review of troponin T and I for diagnosing acute myocardial infarction

| Ref 234 ID | J Fam Pract | pgs: | 550 | to 556 | 2000 |
|---------------|-------------|------|-----|--------|------|
|---------------|-------------|------|-----|--------|------|

Study Type Systematic Review

Funding

American Academy of Family Physicians and its members

Number of participants 19 diagnostic studies search until December 1999

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Patient Characteristics

Recruitment

Setting

Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated

Comparisons

Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied

Results

Safety and adverse effects

Does the study answer the question?

The systematic review evaluated troponin T and I for diagnosing AMI. The review searched for papers examining the diagnostic performance of troponin T and troponin I until December 1999. The review considered 19 papers which considered the diagnosis of AMI in patients with acute chest pain, presenting to an emergency department, that included the sensitivity or specificity for at least one biomarker at a set time.

The study identified 6 studies which evaluated the diagnostic value of troponin I in diagnosing AMI. The review did not report the prevalence of AMI in the test population but it did report a meta-analysis of the sensitivity and specificity of troponin I at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 hours from onset of pain. The highest sensitivity occurred at 6 hours from onset of pain and was 90% and had a specificity of 95%.

The review identified 14 studies which evaluated the diagnostic value of troponin T in diagnosing AMI. Again the review did not report the prevalence of AMI in the test population but did report sensitivity and specificity for troponin T > 0.1 and for troponin T > 0.2 at 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 10 hours after onset of pain. The highest sensitivity for troponin T > 0.1 occurred at 10 hours from onset of pain and was 93% and had a specificity of 80%, but had the highest specificity at 1 and 2 hours from onset which had a specificity of 87% but sensitivity of 47% and 53% respectively. The highest sensitivity for troponin T > 0.2 occurred at 8 and 10 hours from onset of pain and was 96% and had a specificity of 81% and 80% respectively, but had the highest specificity at 1 and 2 hours from onset which had a specificity at 1 and 2 hours from onset which had a specificity of 81% and 80% respectively, but had the highest specificity of 14% and 33% respectively.

Effect due to factor in study?

Consistency of results with other studies?

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Internal Validity

15 September 2009

| studied Results See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? Image: Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Image: Constant of Constant | Grading: 2++ | High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---|------------|------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|--|
| Ref 1321 Experimental and Clinical Cardiology pgs. 298 to 301 2006 Study Type Diagnostic Funding Science Research Fund Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital Number of participants 502 patients. Patients were included if they had chest pain of suspected AMI, patients were admitted to the cardiac department or CCU. 89.1% had AMI (86.9% had TnT+ and 2.2% had TnT-) Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Diagnosing AMI Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Troponin T at admission and 6 and 12 hours after admission Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated See results in guideline. Set or comparison Set or comparison Outcome measures studied See results in guideline. Set or comparison Set or comparison Set or comparison Does the study answer the question? See results in guideline. Set or comparison Set or comparison Set or comparison Set or comparison Directly applicable to guideline population? Set or comparison Set or comparison Set or comparison Set or comparison Bifter due to factor in study? Set or comparison Set or comparison Set or comparison | Guo X;Feng J;Guo H; | | | | | | | |
| Total Paie and anticipants Science Research Fund Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital Number of participants 502 patients. Patients were included if they had chest pain of suspected AMI, patients were admitted to the cardiac department or CCU. 89.1% had AMI (86.9% had TnT+ and 2.2% had TnT-) Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Diagnosing AMI Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Troponin T at admission and 6 and 12 hours after admission Factor being investigated Comparisons No comparison Length of Study/ Follow-up See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects See results in guideline. Discibly with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity | The predictive value of the b | edside troponin T test for patients v | vith acute | chest p | bain | | | |
| Construction Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital Number of participants 502 patients. Patients were included if they had chest pain of suspected AMI, patients were admitted to the cardiac department or CCU. 89.1% had AMI (86.9% had TnT+ and 2.2% had TnT-) Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Diagnosing AMI Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons No comparison Length of Study/ Follow-up No comparison Setting See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects? See results in guideline. District? Consistency of results with other studie? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; Set GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | | ental and Clinical Cardiology | pgs: | 298 | to ³ | 301 | 2006 | |
| Patients were included if they had chest pain of suspected AMI, patients were admitted to the cardiac department or CCU. 89.1% had AMI (86.9% had TnT+ and 2.2% had TnT-) Inclusion/Exclusion Diagnosing AMI Patient Characteristics Diagnosing AMI Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Troponin T at admission and 6 and 12 hours after admission Comparisons No comparison Length of Study/ Follow-up Set results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects See results in guideline. Effect due to factor in study? See results in guideline. Directly applicable to guideline population? See results in guideline. Directly applicable to guideline population? See results in guideline. | Study Type Diagno | ostic | | Fundi | ng | Guang | zhou Red Cross | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion CriteriaDiagnosing AMIPatient CharacteristicsDiagnosing AMIRecruitmentSettingInterventions/ Test/ Factor being investigatedTroponin T at admission and 6 and 12 hours after admissionComparisonsNo comparisonLength of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studiedSee results in guideline.Safety and adverse effectsSee results in guideline.Safety and adverse effectsSee results in guideline.Effect due to factor in study?See results in guideline.Directly applicable to guideline population?See results in suideline.Directly applicable to guideline population?See results in suideline.Kest GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K;See results in suideline. | Number of participants | Patients were included if they had | | | spec | ted AMI | , patients were | |
| Criteria Diagnosing AMI Recruitment Ferruitment Setting Troponin T at admission and 6 and 12 hours after admission Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Troponin T at admission and 6 and 12 hours after admission Comparisons No comparison Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied No comparison Results See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects See results in guideline. Does the study answer the question? See results in guideline. Effect due to factor in study? See results in guideline. Dross the study answer the question? See results in guideline. Directly applicable to guideline population? See results addition in the set of actor in study? Internal Validity Set Guideline to factor in studies? Set Guideline to factor in studies? Internal Validity Kost Guidklink JD;Omand K; Set Guidklink JD;Omand K; | | 89.1% had AMI (86.9% had TnT+ | and 2.2% | 6 had Ti | η Τ -) | | | |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Troponin T at admission and 6 and 12 hours after admission Comparisons No comparison Length of Study/ Follow-up No comparison Outcome measures studied See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects See results in guideline. Does the study answer the question? See results in guideline. Effect due to factor in study? See results in guideline. Does the study answer the question? See results in guideline. Effect due to factor in studies? See results in guideline. Directly applicable to guideline population? See results with other Internal Validity Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | | | | | | | | |
| SettingInterventions/Test/ Factor being investigatedTroponin T at admission and 6 and 12 hours after admissionComparisonsNo comparisonLength of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studiedNo comparisonResultsSee results in guideline.Safety and adverse effectsSee results in guideline.Safety and adverse effectsFersults view of factor in study?Effect due to factor in study?Safety applicable to guideline population?Directly applicable to guideline population;Safety applicable to studies?No comparisonKest GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | Patient Characteristics | Diagnosing AMI | | | | | | |
| Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigatedTroponin T at admission and 6 and 12 hours after admissionComparisonsNo comparisonLength of Study/ Follow-upNo comparisonOutcome measures studiedSee results in guideline.Safety and adverse effectsSee results in guideline.Safety and adverse effectsFee results in guideline.Does the study answer the question?Fee results in guideline.Effect due to factor in study?Fee results with other studies?Directly applicable to guideline population?Fee results in guideline.Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K;Fee results in guideline results in guideline results with other studies? | Recruitment | | | | | | | |
| Factor being investigatedNo comparisonComparisonsNo comparisonLength of Study/ Follow-upStudiedOutcome measures studiedSee results in guideline.ResultsSee results in guideline.Safety and adverse effectsSee results in guideline.Does the study answer the question?See results in guideline.Effect due to factor in study?See results in guideline.Does the study answer the question?See results in guideline.Directly applicable to guideline population?See results in guideline.Directly applicable to guideline population?See results in guideline.Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K;See results in guideline. | Setting | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-upSee results in guideline.ResultsSee results in guideline.Safety and adverse effectsSee results in guideline.Does the study answer the question?See results in guideline.Effect due to factor in study?See results in guideline.Consistency of results with other studies?See results in guideline.Directly applicable to guideline population?See results in guideline.Internal ValidityKost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | Factor being | Troponin T at admission and 6 an | d 12 hou | rs after a | admi | ssion | | |
| Follow-up Outcome measures studied Results See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | Comparisons | No comparison | | | | | | |
| studied Results See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? Image: Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Image: Constant of Constant | | | | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | Outcome measures studied | | | | | | | |
| effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | Results | See results in guideline. | | | | | | |
| answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | • | | | | | | | |
| study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | | | | | | | | |
| results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | | | | | | | | |
| guideline population? Internal Validity Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | results with other | | | | | | | |
| Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | | | | | | | | |
| | Internal Validity | | | | | | | |
| | Kost GJ;Kirk JD;Omand K; | | | | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 Page 109 of 199 | 15 September 2009 | Page 109 of 199 | 1 | | | | | |

| | rdiac injury markers (troponin I and T, s of acute myocardial infarction | creatin | e kinas | e-MB | mass and isoforms | s, and |
|--|--|---------|----------|-----------------|--|----------|
| Ref ₂₉₃ Arch Pa ID | thol Lab Med | pgs: | 245 | to ² | 251 1998 | |
| Study Type Diagn | ostic | | Fund | ing | Equipment and re were provided by (names not repor | vendors |
| Number of participants | 97 patients Patients were included if they had a presenting to the emergency depar | | est pai | n whie | ch was possible AN | ЛI, |
| | 28% had AMI | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Diagnosing AMI | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Troponin T, troponin I, CK-MB and after admission | myoglol | bin at p | resen | tation and 3, 6 and | 12 hours |
| Comparisons | Biomarkers were compared to each | n other | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | | |
| Results | See results in guideline. | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | | | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | |

| Grading: 2+ | Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal |
|--|--|
| Alp NJ;Bell JA;Shahi | M; |
| A rapid troponin-I-bas | sed protocol for assessing acute chest pain |
| | JM - Monthly Journal of the Association of pgs: 687 to 694 2001 hysicians |
| Study Type | Diagnostic Funding Not reported |
| Number of particip | Patients were included if they were aged over 18 years old, had acute chest pain of possible cardiac origin admitted to the CCU Patients were excluded if evidence of ST elevation on admission ECG, evidence of MI in previous 2 weeks, inability to provide informed consent |
| Inclusion/Evolusia | 28% had AMI |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | |
| Patient Characteri | stics Diagnosing chest pain |
| Recruitment | |
| Setting | |
| Interventions/ Tes Factor being investigated | Troponin I at 6 hours from onset of worst symptoms or from presentation if timing of symptoms was unclear |
| Comparisons | Standard management (CK, AST and ECG) |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | |
| Outcome measures studied | S |
| Results | See results in guideline. |
| Safety and advers effects | e |
| Does the study answer the question | on? |
| Effect due to facto study? | or in |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable guideline populati | |
| Internal Validity | |
| Chiu A;Chan WK;Che | eng SH;Leung CK;Choi CH; |
| | |

| Troponin-I, myogl | obin, and r | nass concentration of creatine kinase | -MB in a | acute my | ocarc | dial infarction |
|--|-----------------------|--|-----------|-----------|------------|---------------------------|
| Ref 10340 ID | QJM - Mo Physiciar | onthly Journal of the Association of Is | pgs: | 711 t | o 718 | 8 1999 |
| Study Type | Diagno | ostic | | Fundin | g ۱ | Not reported |
| Number of part | icipants | 87 patients Patients were included if they had an the emergency department or cardia | | diagnosis | s of A | MI, patients presented to |
| | | 86.2% had transmural infarction, 13 | .8% hac | l non-Q v | vave | myocardial infarction |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | ision | | | | | |
| Patient Charac | teristics | Confirming a diagnosis of AMI | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Factor being investigated | Γest/ | CK-MB, troponin I, myoglobin, triple mean of 4.89 hours over 72 hours fr | | | | lobin and CK-MB) at a |
| Comparisons | | Each biomarker is compared to each based on the WHO definition | h other : | and a coi | nfirme | ed diagnosis of AMI is |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | у/ | | | | | |
| Outcome measure studied | ures | | | | | |
| Results | | See table in guideline. | | | | |
| Safety and adv effects | erse | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the que | | | | | | |
| Effect due to fa study? | ictor in | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with oth studies? | | | | | | |
| Directly applica guideline popu | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | y | | | | | |
| Eggers KM;Oldgr | en J;Norde | nskj÷ld A;Lindahl B; | | | | |
| | | easurement of cardiac markers in pati xclusion of myocardial infarction | ents wit | h chest p | ain: I | limited value of adding |

Ref
ID608Am Heart Jpgs:to812004Study TypeDiagnosticFundingDade Behring Inc. and
Cardiological Decision
Support Uppsala AB,

Uppsala, Sweden

| Number of participan | Patients were included if they had had chest pain for longer than 15 minutes within the last 24 hours which was suspected to be unstable angina or AMI and admitted to the CCU Patients were excluded if they had pathological ST-segment elevation on the admission ECG leading to immediate reperfusion |
|--|---|
| | 22% had AMI |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | |
| Patient Characteristic | s Excluding an AMI diagnosis |
| Recruitment | |
| Setting | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Myoglobin with troponin I, CK-MB at presentation at 6 and 12 hours after presentation |
| Comparisons | Troponin I |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | |
| Outcome measures studied | |
| Results | See results in guideline. |
| Safety and adverse effects | |
| Does the study answer the question | ? |
| Effect due to factor in study? | 1 |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population | |
| Internal Validity | |
| Falahati A;Sharkey SW;0 | Christensen D;McCoy M;Miller EA;Murakami MA; |
| Implementation of serum | cardiac troponin I as marker for detection of acute myocardial infarction |
| Ref 1983 Am H | eart J pgs: 332 to 337 1999 |
| ID | |
| Study Type Dia | gnostic Funding Dade International Inc. |
| Number of participan | ts 327 consecutive patients over a 3 month period were evaluated for AMI. Patients were excluded if less than 2 blood samples were taken. The study was conducted at the Hennepin county Medical centre, Minneapolis, USA |
| | 19% had a final diagnosis of AMI (of which 79% had a diagnostic ECG and 21% had a non diagnostic ECG) |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 113 of 199 |

| Inclusion/Exclusion | | | | | |
|--|---|--------|-----------|-----|--|
| Criteria | The diagnosis of AMI | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The diagnosis of AMI | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | All patients had CK, CK-MB and CTnl tes 48 hours | sted e | every 6-8 | 8 ł | nours from admission for 24- |
| Comparisons | The tests were compared to each other a WHO diminution | and th | he AMI d | lia | gnosis was based on the |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | |
| Results | See results in guideline. | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | |
| Fesmire FM;Christenson RH | I;Fody EP;Feintuch TA; | | | | |
| | tperforms myoglobin at two hours during the non-ST-segment elevation acute coronar | | | | department identification and |
| Ref ₆₂₉ Ann Eme ID | prg Med pgs | S: | 12 to | , 1 | 9 2004 |
| Study Type Diagno | ostic | F | unding | I | Phillips Medical Systems, Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Medical Imaging and EmCare Inc. |
| Number of participants | 975 patients Patients were included if they had a base an initial non-diagnostic ECG , presenting | | | | |
| | 4.5% had AMI | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Diagnosing AMI | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 114 of 199 | | | | |

Recruitment

| Setting | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | CK-MB, myoglobin at 2 hours from p | presenta | ation | | | | |
| Comparisons | no comparison | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures | | | | | | | |
| studied | | | | | | | |
| Results | See results in guideline. | | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | | | | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | | |
| Gust R;Gust A;B÷ttiger BW | B÷hrer H;Martin E; | | | | | | |
| Bedside troponin T testing i | s not useful for early out-of-hospital dia | agnosis | of my | ocard | ial infarcti | on | |
| Ref 2014 Acta Ana ID | aesthesiol Scand | pgs: | 414 | to ⁴ | 417 | 1998 | |
| Study Type Diagn | ostic | | Fund | ling | Not repo | orted | |
| Number of participants | 68 patients Patients were included if they had ch radiated to neck or one or both shou glyceryl trinitrate), presenting to the | Iders w | hich w | as no | t relieved | of AMI, (pain by rest or sub | lingual |
| | 24% had AMI | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Diagnosing AMI | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | | |
| | Troponin T | | | | | | |
| Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | Troponin T no comparison | | | | | | |

| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Outcome measures | | | |
| studied | | | |
| Results | See results in guideline. | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | |
| Does the study answer the question | 1? | | |
| Effect due to factor i study? | in | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | |
| Planer D;Leibowitz D;Pa | altiel O;Boukhobza R;Lotan C;Weis | ss TA; | |
| The diagnostic value of | troponin T testing in the communit | ty setting | |
| Ref 513 Int J ID | Cardiol | pgs: 369 _{to} 375 | 2006 |
| Study Type Dia | agnostic | | ere provided by DYN ostics, Israel |
| Number of participa | Patients were included if the minutes of chest pain beginr within the last 6 days Patients were excluded if the of ACS or had undergone re | y were aged over 30 years, with at hing at least 8 hours before presen e had renal failure, ST elevation on vascularization 44 community clinics in Jerusalem | tation and occurring ECG, had a diagnosis |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | |
| Patient Characterist | ics Diagnosing AMI | | |
| Recruitment | | | |
| Setting | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Troponin T | | |
| Comparisons | No comparison | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | |
| Dutcome measures studied | | | |
| Results | See results in guideline. | | |
| 5 September 2009 | Page 116 c | of 199 | |

Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? **Consistency of** results with other studies? **Directly applicable to** guideline population? **Internal Validity** Zarich SW; Qamar AU; Werdmann MJ; Lizak LS; McPherson CA; Bernstein LH; Value of a single troponin T at the time of presentation as compared to serial CK-MB determinations in patients with suspected myocardial ischemia Ref ID 2002 Clin Chim Acta 185 to 192 731 pqs: Not reported Study Type Diagnostic Funding Number of participants 267 patients Patients were included if they had a complete evaluation including biomarkers, presenting to the emergency department Patients were excluded if they had a history of chest trauma or renal failure 32% had AMI or unstable angina Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics **Diagnosing AMI** Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Single troponin T, CK-MB at presentation and serial CK-MB at presentation, 4, 8 and 16 hours after presentation Factor being investigated Compared to each other Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied Results See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? 15 September 2009 Page 117 of 199

Consistency of results with other studies?

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Internal Validity

Grading: 2- Case–control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias, or chance and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal*

al Harbi K;Suresh CG;Zubaid M;Akanji AO;

Establishing a gradient of risk in patients with acute coronary syndromes using troponin I measurements Medical Principles and Practice to 22 2002 Ref 18 748 pqs: ID Study Type Diagnostic Funding Not reported 124 patients (group 1 = 86 patients, group 2 = 38 patients) Number of participants Patients were included in group 1if they had a diagnosis of ACS, group 2 were 38 healthy age-matched patients with no history of cardiovascular disease or any other chronic disease Group 1 patients were admitted to the CCU 59% had AMI, 41% had unstable angina Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Diagnosing AMI and unstable angina Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Troponin I at presentation and 8 and 16 hours from presentation Factor being investigated Comparisons no comparison Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied Results See results in guideline. Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? **Consistency of** results with other studies? **Directly applicable to** guideline population? **Internal Validity**

Vatansever S;Akkaya V;Erk O;Ozt³rk S;Karan MA;Salmayenli N;Tasþioglu C;G³ler K;

The diagnostic value of troponin T and myoglobin levels in acute myocardial infarction: a study in Turkish patients 15 September 2009 Page 119 of 199

| Ref 699 ID | J Int Med | Res | pgs: | 76 _{to} | 83 | 2003 |
|--|--------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Study Type | Diagno | stic | | Funding | Not repor | ted |
| Number of par | rticipants | 60 patients Patients were included for the control group if they were me group for age and gender but the study group presented to 55% had AMI | mbers of the h did not have / | ealth profe AMI | ssion who m | |
| Inclusion/Excl Criteria | usion | | | | | |
| Patient Charac | cteristics | Diagnosing AMI | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Factor being investigated | Test/ | TroponinT and myoglobin at 2 | 2 hours from p | resentatior | 1 | |
| Comparisons | | СК | | | | |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | dy/ | | | | | |
| Outcome meas studied | sures | | | | | |
| Results | | See results in guideline. | | | | |
| Safety and advected | verse | | | | | |
| Does the stud answer the qu | - | | | | | |
| Effect due to f study? | actor in | | | | | |
| Consistency or results with of studies? | | | | | | |
| Directly applic guideline pop | | | | | | |
| Internal Validit | ty | | | | | |
| Zimmerman J;Fr | omm R;Mey | er D;Boudreaux A;Wun CC;Sn | nalling R;Davis | s B;Habib (| G;Roberts R; | |
| Diagnostic marke | er cooperati | ve study for the diagnosis of m | yocardial infar | ction | | |
| Ref 897 ID | Circulatio | n | pgs: | 1671 _{to} | 1677 | 1999 |
| Study Type | Diagnc | stic | | Funding | Corporation Internation Laborator | er Mannheim on, Dade nal, Helena ies, Spectral cs, Inc, and NHLBI |
| 15 O | 00 | Daga 420 a | | | | |

| Number of participants | 955 patients Patients were included if aged over 21 years old with chest pain lasting for 15 minutes or longer suspected to be myocardial in origin and occurring within 24 hours of presentation Patients presented to hospitals in Texas, USA 100% had AMI |
|--|---|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | |
| Patient Characteristics | Diagnosing AMI |
| Recruitment | |
| Setting | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | CK-MB, troponin I, troponin T, myoglobin at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 18 and 22 hours after presentation |
| Comparisons | Biomarkers were compared with each other |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | |
| Outcome measures studied | |
| Results | See results in guideline. |
| Safety and adverse effects | |
| Does the study answer the question? | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | |
| Internal Validity | |

Question: What is the incremental benefit and cost effectiveness of a clinical history, risk factors and physical examination in evaluation of individuals with stable chest pain of suspected cardiac origin?

| Grading: 1++ | High-quality meta-and or RCTs with a very le | alyses, systematic revie ow risk of bias | ews of RCTs, |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | | |
| Chun AA;McGee SR; | | | |
| Bedside diagnosis of coror | nary artery disease: a systematic re | view | |
| Ref 10275 The Am ID | nerican journal of medicine | pgs: ³³⁴ to ³⁴³ | 2004 |
| Study Type Syste | ematic Review | Funding Not re | ported |
| Number of participants | s 64 studies | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | \$ | | |
| Recruitment | | | |
| Setting | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | |
| Comparisons | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | |
| Results | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Most of the papers reviewed wer pain who were then referred for of excluded patients with valvular h studies used either >50% stenos the diagnostic standard. The study showed that for diagn- little additional diagnostic informs small increase to the probability an ankle-brachial index <0.9 had chest wall tenderness was also of The review calculated the LR by used 2 diagnostic criteria for CA study also analysed the data sep which showed the pooled LRs re- stenosis the pooled LRs were 5. for nonanginal chest pain. The re- combined patients with a history only those studies excluding price history of MI the pooled likelihoo angina and 0.1 for nonanginal che- The study showed that for the dia- diagnosing MI, however systolic | coronary angiography. Most of eart disease or nonischemic ca sis or 70-75% stenosis off any e osing CAD over all the physical ation. The presence of an ear of CAD (likelihood ratio (LR)=2 I no statistical significance, and diagnostically unhelpful. pooling the date from the inclu D (>50% stenosis and >70% to parately (>50% stenosis and >7 emained the same. In studies w 6 for typical angina, 1.1 for atype eview calculated LRs including of MI with those without; the LI or MI were analysed. In studies d ratios were 5.8 for typical ang- nest pain. agnosing MI, the ECG was mo | the studies had ardiomyopathy. The epicardial vessel as I examination gave lobe crease gave a .3). Arcus senilis and I the presence of ded studies which 0.75% stenosis). The 70-75% stenosis) thich used > 50% bical angina, and 0.1 data from studies that Rs were the same if of patients without a gina, 1.3 for atypical |

| | on examination (LR=2.9), diastolic blood pressure <60 mmHg (LR=2.5), and presence of jugular venous distention (LR=2.4) were also helpful in diagnosing MI. a normal ECG was most useful in ruling out a diagnosis of MI but the patient having chest wall tenderness was also helpful for ruling out the diagnosis. | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | |
| Chun AA;McGee SR; | | | | | | |
| Bedside diagnosis of corona | ry artery disease: a systematic review | | | | | |
| Ref 10275 The Amer ID | rican journal of medicine | pgs: | 334 | to ³ | 43 | 2004 |
| Study Type System | natic Review | | Fundi | ng | Not reported | I |
| Number of participants | 64 studies | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Most of the papers reviewed were of p pain who were then referred for corona excluded patients with valvular heart d studies used either >50% stenosis or 7 the diagnostic standard. The study showed that for diagnosing little additional diagnostic information (crease gave a small increase to the pr Arcus senilis and an ankle-brachial inc presence of chest wall tenderness was The review calculated the LR by poolir used 2 diagnostic criteria for CAD (>50 study also analysed the data separate | ary an liseas 70-75 CAD (guide obabi dex <0 s also ng the 0% sto | giogra e or no % sten over all line tab lity of ().9 had diagno date fr enosis | ohy. Nonischosis contractions of the pole. The pole. The pole of t | Aost of the st emic cardiom off any epicar ohysical exan The presence likelihood rati atistical signi lly unhelpful. ne included si -70% to 75% | udies had hyopathy. The dial vessel as hination gave of an ear lobe o (LR)=2.3). ficance, and the tudies which stenosis). The |

| | which showed the pooled LRs remained the same. In studies which used > 50% stenosis the pooled LRs were 5.6 for typical angina, 1.1 for atypical angina, and 0.1 for nonanginal chest pain. The review calculated LRs including data from studies that combined patients with a history of MI with those without; the LRs were the same if only those studies excluding prior MI were analysed. In studies of patients without a history of MI the pooled likelihood ratios were 5.8 for typical angina, 1.3 for atypical angina and 0.1 for nonanginal chest pain. The study showed that for the diagnosing MI, the ECG was more useful in diagnosing MI, however systolic blood pressure <100 mmHg (LR=3.6), diaphoresis on examination (LR=2.9), diastolic blood pressure <60 mmHg (LR=2.5), and presence of jugular venous distention (LR=2.4) were also helpful in diagnosing MI. a normal ECG was most useful in ruling out a diagnosis of MI but the patient having chest wall tenderness was also helpful for ruling out the diagnosis. | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | |
| Chun AA;McGee SR; | | | | | |
| Bedside diagnosis of corona | ary artery disease: a systematic review | | | | |
| Ref 10275 The Ame ID | prican journal of medicine pgs | z 334 _{to} 343 2004 | | | |
| Study Type System | natic Review | Funding Not reported | | | |
| Number of participants | 64 studies | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | |

| Does the study answer the question? | Most of the papers reviewed were of patients presenting with stable intermittent chest pain who were then referred for coronary angiography. Most of the studies had excluded patients with valvular heart disease or nonischemic cardiomyopathy. The studies used either >50% stenosis or 70-75% stenosis off any epicardial vessel as the diagnostic standard. The study showed that for diagnosing CAD over all the physical examination gave little additional diagnostic information (See table in guideline). The presence of an ear lobe crease gave a small increase to the probability of CAD (likelihood ratio (LR)=2.3). Arcus senilis and an ankle-brachial index <0.9 had no statistical significance, and the presence of chest wall tenderness was also diagnostically unhelpful. The review calculated the LR by pooling the date from the included studies which used 2 diagnostic criteria for CAD (>50% stenosis and >70% to 75% stenosis). The study also analysed the data separately (>50% stenosis and >70-75% stenosis) which showed the pooled LRs remained the same. In studies which used > 50% stenosis the pooled LRs were 5.6 for typical angina, 1.1 for atypical angina, and 0.1 for nonanginal chest pain. The review calculated LRs including data from studies that combined patients with a history of MI with those without; the LRs were the same if only those studies excluding prior MI were analysed. In studies of patients without a history of MI the pooled likelihood ratios were 5.8 for typical angina, 1.3 for atypical angina and 0.1 for nonanginal chest pain. | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | The study showed that for the diagnosing MI, the ECG was more useful in diagnosing MI, however systolic blood pressure <100 mmHg (LR=3.6), diaphoresis on examination (LR=2.9), diastolic blood pressure <60 mmHg (LR=2.5), and presence of jugular venous distention (LR=2.4) were also helpful in diagnosing MI. a normal ECG was most useful in ruling out a diagnosis of MI but the patient having chest wall tenderness was also helpful for ruling out the diagnosis. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | |
| Internal Validity | | | |

| Grading: 2++ | High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Diamond GA;Forrester JS; | | | | | | |
| Analysis of probability as an | aid in the clinical diagnosis of coronary-artery disease | | | | | |
| Ref 2196 The New ID | England journal of medicine pgs: 1350 to 1358 1979 | | | | | |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Not reported | | | | | |
| Number of participants | 4952 had coronary angiography, 23 996 autopsy (autopsy patients had died from other causes e.g. trauma and non-cardiac related diseases) | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Not applicable | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Not applicable Patients were considered to have typical angina if they had substernal discomfort brought on by physical exertion and was relieved within 10 minutes through rest or nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have atypical angina if they had discomfort which was either not substernal or was not bought on by exertion or not relieved after 10 minutes by rest or nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have non-anginal discomfort if they did not have 1 or more of the above characteristics. | | | | | |
| Recruitment | Not applicable | | | | | |
| Setting | Secondary care, USA | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Prevalence of CAD based on age, sex and symptoms | | | | | |
| Comparisons | Coronary angiography in symptomatic patients and autopsy | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not applicable | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Prevalence of CAD based on age, sex and symptoms | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

In 4953 patients with stable chest pain referred for angiogram; the prevalence of disease in patients with typical angina symptoms was about 90%, whereas for atypical angina patients was a 50% prevalence (P < 0.001) and non-cardiac chest pain patients was 16% (P < 0.001). The prevalence of CAD observed at autopsy is similar to that in asymptomatic patients confirmed by coronary angiography.

Significant differences in disease prevalence occurred when patients were classified according to age and sex. For women the differences range from 0.3% for women aged 30 years to 39 years of age, to 7% for women aged 60 years to 69 years. Women in all age ranges had a lower prevalence compared with the respective age ranges in men

The pre-test likelihood of disease for any patients (according to any combination of age, sex and symptoms) was determined by conditional-probability analysis. There are a wide range of pre-test likelihoods according to sex, gender and symptoms. For example a women with atypical symptoms and aged 35% has a pre-test likelihoods of 4% compared with 92% for a man aged 55 years with typical symptoms.

The authors noted that the approach used in the study was a mathematical formalisation of the intuition of the physicians reviewing the literature, or the use of past experience to assess a patients' pre-test likelihoods. Both of these approaches

Results

| | relied upon the use of data from specific populations, but that they do provide reliable estimates of the probability of coronary artery disease based on the patients age, symptoms and gender. |
|---|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study reviewed the literature to estimate the pre-test likelihood of disease (defined by age, sex and symptoms), the results were analysed through Bayes' theorem of conditional probability. The studied described how the probability of CAD can be determined in a patient before testing from information readily obtained from clinical evaluation. The study showed that combining data of the estimate of disease likelihood when the patient's age and sex are known and a second estimate when the presence or absence of symptoms are known. The pre-test likelihood of disease for any patients based on any combination of age, sex and symptoms can be determined by conditional-probability analysis. The results of this analysis can be seen in the tables in the guideline which show the results of all combinations of age, sex and symptoms, which shows a wide range of pre-test likelihoods. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had chest pain |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |
| Diamond,G.A.; Staniloff,H.M | .; Forrester,J.S.; Pollock,B.H.; Swan,H.J. |
| Commuter conjeted discusses | |
| Computer-assisted diagnosi | s in the noninvasive evaluation of patients with suspected coronary |
| | s in the noninvasive evaluation of patients with suspected coronary f the American College of Cardiology pgS: 444 to 455 1983 |
| Ref 10281 Journal o | f the American College of Cardiology pgs: 444 to 455 1983 |
| Ref ID10281Journal ofStudy TypeCohort | f the American College of Cardiology pgs: 444 to 455 1983 |
| Ref ID10281Journal ofStudy TypeCohort | f the American College of Cardiology pgs: 444 to 455 1983 Funding Not reported |
| Ref 10281 Journal of ID Study Type Cohord Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion | f the American College of Cardiology pgs: 444 to 455 1983 Funding Not reported 1097, 70% men, 30% women Inclusion: referred for non invasive testing for suspected CAD without previous MI or |
| Ref 10281 Journal of ID Study Type Cohort Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | f the American College of Cardiology pgs: 444 to 455 1983 Funding Not reported 1097, 70% men, 30% women Inclusion: referred for non invasive testing for suspected CAD without previous MI or coronary bypass surgery Mean age 56±11 years Patients were considered to have typical angina if they had substernal discomfort brought on by physical exertion and was relieved within 10 minutes through rest or nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have atypical angina if they had discomfort which was either not substernal or was not bought on by exertion or not relieved after 10 minutes by rest or nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have non-anginal discomfort if they did not have 1 or |
| Ref 10281 Journal of ID Study Type Cohort Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | f the American College of Cardiology pgs: 444 to 455 1983 Funding Not reported 1097, 70% men, 30% women Inclusion: referred for non invasive testing for suspected CAD without previous MI or coronary bypass surgery Mean age 56±11 years Patients were considered to have typical angina if they had substernal discomfort brought on by physical exertion and was relieved within 10 minutes through rest or nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have atypical angina if they had discomfort which was either not substernal or was not bought on by exertion or not relieved after 10 minutes by rest or nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have non-anginal discomfort if they did not have 1 or more of the above characteristics. Patients who were referred for noninvasive testing for suspected CAD at the Cedars- Sinai Medical Center Cardiac Stress Laboratories, USA, between 1st January1979 |
| Ref 10281 Journal of Study Type Cohord Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | f the American College of Cardiology pgs: 444 to 455 1983 Funding Not reported 1097, 70% men, 30% women Inclusion: referred for non invasive testing for suspected CAD without previous MI or coronary bypass surgery Mean age 56±11 years Patients were considered to have typical angina if they had substernal discomfort brought on by physical exertion and was relieved within 10 minutes through rest or nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have atypical angina if they had discomfort which was either not substernal or was not bought on by exertion or not relieved after 10 minutes by rest or nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have non-anginal discomfort if they did not have 1 or more of the above characteristics. Patients who were referred for noninvasive testing for suspected CAD at the Cedars- Sinai Medical Center Cardiac Stress Laboratories, USA, between 1st January1979 and 15th November 1980 |
| Ref 10281 Journal of Study Type Cohord Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being | f the American College of Cardiology pgs: 444 to 455 1983 Funding Not reported 1097, 70% men, 30% women Inclusion: referred for non invasive testing for suspected CAD without previous MI or coronary bypass surgery Mean age 56±11 years Patients were considered to have typical angina if they had substernal discomfort brought on by physical exertion and was relieved within 10 minutes through rest or nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have atypical angina if they had discomfort which was either not substernal or was not bought on by exertion or not relieved after 10 minutes by rest or nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have non-anginal discomfort if they did not have 1 or more of the above characteristics. Patients who were referred for noninvasive testing for suspected CAD at the Cedars- Sinai Medical Center Cardiac Stress Laboratories, USA, between 1st January1979 and 15th November 1980 Secondary care, USA |

| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Outcome measures studied | Diagnosis of CAD |
| Results | 46 patients had 0 diseased vessels, 21 patients had 1 diseased vessel, 46 patients had 2 diseased vessels, 57 patients had 3 diseased vessels, and 124 patients had 1 + 2 + 3 diseased vessels. |
| | CAD probability and angiography (diseased vessels = d.v.) Estimates before testing. Mean probability: 0.291 d.v.=0, 0.595 d.v=1, 0.623 d.v=2, 0.660 d.v=3, 0.635 d.v.=1+2+3 Standard deviation: 0.259 d.v.=0, 0.342 d.v=1, 0.334 d.v=2, 0.327 d.v=3, 0.332 d.v.=1+2+3. |
| | Estimates before angiography Mean probability: 0.253 d.v.=0, 0.745 d.v=1, 0.772 d.v=2, 0.843 d.v=3, 0.800 d.v.=1+2+3 Standard deviation: 0.322 d.v.=0, 0.387 d.v=1, 0.321 d.v=2, 0.284 d.v=3, 0.315 d.v.=1+2+3. |
| | All estimates Test combinations: 500 d.v.=0, 316 d.v=1, 640 d.v=2, 724 d.v=3, 1680 d.v.=1+2+3 Mean probability: 0.304 d.v.=0, 0.557 d.v=1, 0.730 d.v=2, 0.746 d.v=3, 0.704 d.v.=1+2+3 Standard deviation: 0.321 d.v.=0, 0.377 d.v=1, 0.323 d.v=2, 0.331 d.v=3, 0.322 d.v.=1+2+3. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study considered the probability of CAD and the disease prevalence. This showed that there was no significant difference between the predicted probability and the probability shown on angiography if probability was based on the age and sex of the patient, within the difference symptom classes. This, the authors states, shows the importance of clinical history as a diagnostic test. |
| | The study stated that the probability of CAD in each symptom class was consistently slightly higher in the patients with CAD compared to those without CAD, which the authors suggest shows that the Framingham risk factors were "modest discriminators for CAD independent of symptom classification". The data the study gained was assessed based on the age, sex, symptoms and risk factors before diagnostic testing, and based on all the data gained before catheterization and again with all the data after every test had been carried out. For each assessment the probability of disease increased in proportion to the number of diseased vessels, however there were large standard deviations. |
| | The study showed that the mean probability for CAD increased from 30% for the patients in the normal group to 56% for the patients with 1 vessel disease, and increased to 75% for patients with 3 vessel disease. There was overlap between data sets especially for those with 2 and 3 vessel disease, which showed no significant difference. This, the study stated, led to 8% of the probability estimates for the normal patients being in excess of 90%, and for 9.7% of the probability estimates for the patients with disease shown on angiography to be 10% under. There was a 3.4% difference between predicted probability and actually probability of CAD from the estimate based on sex, age ,symptoms and risk factors. The study used graphs to determine relationships between the variables and disease prevalence, and showed that the calculated probability of CAD accurately reflected the actual angiographic disease prevalence. |
| | The study also assessed the probability of CAD and extent of disease. This showed that when the patient had a probability of below "25% when disease was present single vessel disease was slightly more prevalent than multi-vessel disease, while above a probability of 75% multi-vessel disease predominated. At a probability of 100% multi-vessel disease accounted for 89% of all angiographic disease". The significance of these differences varied, however it shows that it does indicate that disease probability also acted as a quantitative measure of anatomic severity. |

| Effect due to factor in | Yes | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| study? Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had suspected CAD | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | | |
| Pryor DB;Harrell FE;Lee KL | ;Califf RM;Rosati RA; | | | | | | |
| Estimating the likelihood of | significant coronary artery disease | | | | | | |
| Ref 10283 The Ame ID | rican journal of medicine pgs: 771 to 780 1983 | | | | | | |
| Study Type Cohor | Funding Not reported | | | | | | |
| Number of participants | 3627 in training population, 1811 in test population | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients with chest pain who were referred for cardiac catheterization at the Duke University Medical Center between November 1969 and January 1982 | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Patient characteristics which were collected were: History: age, sex, chest pain history (pain type, severity, frequency, nocturnal, progressive, preinfarctional), duration of CAD, previous history of MI, congestive heart failure, history of vascular disease (Progressive chest pain - the frequency, severity or duration had increased in the 6 weeks prior to catherisation; Preinfarctional chest pain - a very unstable pain pattern that resulted in admission to the coronary care unit for evaluation of the possible MI) | | | | | | |
| | Risk factors: smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, diabetes, family history | | | | | | |
| | Physical examination: ventricular gallop, systolic blood pressure | | | | | | |
| | ECG: ST-T wave changes, electrocardiographic premature ventricular contractions, Electrocardiographic Q waves Chest X-Ray: cardiomegaly | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | Patients admitted for cardiac catheterisation between November 1969 and January 1982 | | | | | | |
| Setting | Secondary care, USA | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Chest pain diagnosis | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | Patient characteristics which give a probability of disease | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Probability of disease | | | | | | |
| Results | The study had a training population of 3627 patients who were seen between 1969 and January 1979, from these patients a stepwise logistic regression analysis was used to develop a model for predicting the probability of significant CAD. A test population of 1811 patients seen between January 1969 and January 1982, in this population the model developed in the test population was used to predict the probability of CAD for each patient. The authors then tested the model in other populations (from CASS study) to estimate the prevalence of disease in subgroups of the patients in the literature (external validation) | | | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 130 of 199 | | | | | | |

Results from training population: Clinically Important Characteristics and the Chi-squared: Pain type (typical, atypical or nonanginal): 1091 Previous MI: 511 Sex: 187 Age: 119 Smoking: 79 Hyperlipidaemia: 26 ST-T wave changes: 28 Diabetes: 12

Interactions age X sex age X smoking age X hyperlipidaemia sex X smoking

Poor Clinical Predictors of Significant CAD and the Chi-squared: Chest pain severity: 0.96 Chest pain frequency: 8.57 Nocturnal chest pain: 2.22 Progressive chest pain: 2.54 Preinfarction angina: 9.70 Vascular disease: 0.40 Duration of CAD: 9.16 Congestive heart failure: 0.59 Hypertension: 5.19 Family history: 6.39 Ventricular gallop: 1.06 Cardiomegaly: 1.41 Electrocardiographic premature ventricular contractions: 0.46

The results from the training group are shown under "Clinically Important Characteristics and the Chi-squared" in the order of their importance (chi-squared added to the model by the parameter, adjusting for the characteristics that precede it). The type of chest pain (typical, atypical or nonanginal) was the most important characteristic followed by previous MI, sex, age, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, ST-T wave changes on ECG, diabetes. The results above show the 4 significant interactions which were found. The study also showed that in men the effect of an increasing age was more important than in women, smoking was more important for women than men, and that smoking and hyperlipidaemia were more important at younger ages. The results for the other characteristics which were found to have small or nonsignificant effects on the prevalence of disease are shown under "Poor Clinical Predictors of Significant CAD and the Chi-squared" The authors then validated the model in the test population which showed that the predicted probability of disease is nearly identical to the observed prevalence. This was with the exception of the group with predicted estimates of 0.475 to 0.525 (this group 8 out of 34 patients, with significant disease). The median prediction for patients with disease was 94% compared with a median prediction of 33% for patients without disease. A predicted probability of significant disease > 0.83 was found in 75% of patients with disease and in less than 10% of patients with disease. A probability of significant disease < 0.33 was found in nearly 50% of patients without disease and in less than 5% of patients with disease. The authors then externally validated using the population from the CASS study. There was disagreement on patients classified as having nonanginal chest pain (where the greatest difference in predicted disease compared to observed disease was seen), but the predicted estimates from the model were nearly equal to the observed prevalence of disease. The predicted estimates from the model of the

probability of significant disease were nearly identical to the observed prevalence for

subgroups based on "age, sex and history of MI" or "age, sex and pain type".
Safety and adverse
None
effects

| Does the study answer the question? | Progressive chest pain was described as being chest pain when the frequency, severity or duration had increased in the 6 weeks prior to catherisation. Preinfarctional chest pain was described as chest pain with a very unstable pain pattern that resulted in admission to the coronary care unit for evaluation of the possible MI. |
|--|---|
| | The results from the training population showed the type of chest pain (typical, atypical or nonanginal) was the most important characteristic followed by previous MI, sex, age, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, ST-T wave changes on ECG, diabetes. The study also showed that in men the effect of increasing age was more important than in women, smoking was more important for women than men, and that smoking and hyperlipidaemia were more important at younger ages. The study also found some characteristics to have small or nonsignificat effects on the prevalence of disease. |
| | The authors then validated the model in the test population which showed that the predicted probability of disease is nearly identical to the observed prevalence. When comparing the model to an external population the study showed that the predicted estimates from the model were nearly equal to the observed prevalence of disease. The predicted estimates from the model of the probability of significant disease were nearly identical to the observed prevalence for subgroups based on "age, sex and history of MI" or "age, sex and pain type". However the greatest difference in predicted disease compared to observed disease was seen in patients with nonanginal chest pain. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had chest pain |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |
| Pryor DB;Harrell FE;Lee KL | ;Califf RM;Rosati RA; |
| Estimating the likelihood of | significant coronary artery disease |
| Ref 10283 The Ame ID | erican journal of medicine pgs: 771 to 780 1983 |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported |
| Number of participants | 3627 in training population, 1811 in test population |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients with chest pain who were referred for cardiac catheterization at the Duke University Medical Center between November 1969 and January 1982 |
| Patient Characteristics | Patient characteristics which were collected were: History: age, sex, chest pain history (pain type, severity, frequency, nocturnal, progressive, preinfarctional), duration of CAD, previous history of MI, congestive heart failure, history of vascular disease (Progressive chest pain - the frequency, severity or duration had increased in the 6 weeks prior to catherisation; Preinfarctional chest pain - a very unstable pain pattern that resulted in admission to the coronary care unit for evaluation of the possible MI). |
| | Risk factors: smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, diabetes, family history |
| | Physical examination: ventricular gallop, systolic blood pressure |
| | ECG: ST-T wave changes, electrocardiographic premature ventricular contractions, Electrocardiographic Q waves Chest X-Ray: cardiomegaly |
| Recruitment | Patients admitted for cardiac catheterisation between November 1969 and January 1982 |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 132 of 199 |

| Setting | Secondary care, USA | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Chest pain diagnosis | | | |
| Comparisons | Patient characteristics which give a probability of disease | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Probability of disease | | | |
| Results | The study had a training population of 3627 patients who were seen between 1969 and January 1979, from these patients a stepwise logistic regression analysis was used to develop a model for predicting the probability of significant CAD. A test population of 1811 patients seen between January 1969 and January 1982, in this population the model developed in the test population was used to predict the probability of CAD for each patient. The authors then tested the model in other populations (from CASS study) to estimate the prevalence of disease in subgroups of the patients in the literature (external validation. | | | |
| | Clinically Important Characteristics and the Chi-squared: Pain type (typical, atypical or nonanginal): 1091 Previous MI: 511 Sex: 187 Age: 119 Smoking: 79 Hyperlipidaemia: 26 ST-T wave changes: 28 Diabetes: 12 | | | |
| | Interactions age X sex age X smoking age X hyperlipidaemia sex X smoking | | | |
| | Poor Clinical Predictors of Significant CAD and the Chi-squared: Chest pain severity: 0.96 Chest pain frequency: 8.57 Nocturnal chest pain: 2.22 Progressive chest pain: 2.54 Preinfarction angina: 9.70 Vascular disease: 0.40 Duration of CAD: 9.16 Congestive heart failure: 0.59 Hypertension: 5.19 Family history: 6.39 Ventricular gallop: 1.06 Cardiomegaly: 1.41 Electrocardiographic premature ventricular contractions: 0.46 | | | |
| | The results from the training group are shown under "Clinically Important Characteristics and the Chi-squared" in the order of their importance (chi-squared added to the model by the parameter, adjusting for the characteristics that precede it). The type of chest pain (typical, atypical or nonanginal) was the most important characteristic followed by previous MI, sex, age, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, ST-T wave changes on ECG, diabetes. The results above show the 4 significant interactions which were found. The study also showed that in men the effect of an increasing age was more important than in women, smoking was more important for women than men, and that smoking and hyperlipidaemia were more important at younger ages. The results for the other characteristics which were found to have small or nonsignificat effects on the prevalence of disease are shown under "Poor Clinical Predictors of Significant CAD and the Chi-squared". | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 133 of 199 | | | |

| | The authors then validated the model in the test population which showed that the predicted probability of disease is nearly identical to the observed prevalence. This was with the exception of the group with predicted estimates of 0.475 to 0.525 (this group 8 out of 34 patients, with significant disease). The median prediction for patients with disease was 94% compared with a median prediction of 33% for patients without disease. A predicted probability of significant disease > 0.83 was found in 75% of patients with disease and in less than 10% of patients with disease. A probability of significant disease < 0.33 was found in nearly 50% of patients without disease and in less than 5% of patients with disease. | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | The authors then externally validated using the population from the CASS study. There was disagreement on patients classified as having nonanginal chest pain (where the greatest difference in predicted disease compared to observed disease was seen), but the predicted estimates from the model were nearly equal to the observed prevalence of disease. The predicted estimates from the model of the probability of significant disease were nearly identical to the observed prevalence for subgroups based on "age, sex and history of MI" or "age, sex and pain type". | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Progressive chest pain was described as being chest pain when the frequency, severity or duration had increased in the 6 weeks prior to catherisation. Preinfarctional chest pain was described as chest pain with a very unstable pain pattern that resulted in admission to the coronary care unit for evaluation of the possible MI | | | | |
| | The results from the training population showed the type of chest pain (typical, atypical or nonanginal) was the most important characteristic followed by previous MI, sex, age, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, ST-T wave changes on ECG, diabetes. The study also showed that in men the effect of an increasing age was more important than in women, smoking was more important for women than men, and that smoking and hyperlipidaemia were more important at younger ages. The study also found some characteristics to have small or nonsignificat effects on the prevalence of disease. | | | | |
| | The authors then validated the model in the test population which showed that the predicted probability of disease is nearly identical to the observed prevalence. When comparing the model to an external population the study showed that the predicted estimates from the model were nearly equal to the observed prevalence of disease. The predicted estimates from the model of the probability of significant disease were nearly identical to the observed prevalence for subgroups based on "age, sex and history of MI" or "age, sex and pain type". However the greatest difference in predicted disease compared to observed disease was seen in patients with nonanginal chest pain. | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had chest pain | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | |
| Pryor DB;Harrell FE;Lee KL; | ;Califf RM;Rosati RA; | | | | |
| Estimating the likelihood of s | significant coronary artery disease | | | | |
| Ref 10283 The Ame ID | rican journal of medicine pgs: 771 to 780 1983 | | | | |
| Study Type Cohord | Funding Not reported | | | | |
| 45 Questa esta es 0000 | Dage 124 -(100 | | | | |

Page 134 of 199

15 September 2009

| Number of participants | 3627 in training population, 1811 in test population | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients with chest pain who were referred for cardiac catheterization at the Duke University Medical Center between November 1969 and January 1982 | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Patient characteristics which were collected were: History: age, sex, chest pain history (pain type, severity, frequency, nocturnal, progressive, preinfarctional), duration of CAD, previous history of MI, congestive heart failure, history of vascular disease (Progressive chest pain - the frequency, severity or duration had increased in the 6 weeks prior to catherisation; Preinfarctional chest pain - a very unstable pain pattern that resulted in admission to the coronary care unit for evaluation of the possible MI). | | | |
| | Risk factors: smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, diabetes, family history | | | |
| | Physical examination: ventricular gallop, systolic blood pressure | | | |
| | ECG: ST-T wave changes, electrocardiographic premature ventricular contractions, Electrocardiographic Q waves Chest X-Ray: cardiomegaly | | | |
| Recruitment | Patients admitted for cardiac catheterisation between 1969 and 1982 | | | |
| Setting | Secondary care, USA | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Chest pain diagnosis | | | |
| Comparisons | Patient characteristics which give a probability of disease | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Probability of disease | | | |
| Results | The study had a training population of 3627 patients who were seen between 1969 and January 1979, from these patients a stepwise logistic regression analysis was used to develop a model for predicting the probability of significant CAD. A test population of 1811 patients seen between January 1969 and January 1982, in this population the model developed in the test population was used to predict the probability of CAD for each patient. The authors then tested the model in other populations (from CASS study) to estimate the prevalence of disease in subgroups of the patients in the literature (external validation). | | | |
| | Results from training population: Clinically Important Characteristics and the Chi-squared: Pain type (typical, atypical or nonanginal): 1091 Previous MI: 511 Sex: 187 | | | |
| | Age: 119 Smoking: 79 Hyperlipidaemia: 26 ST-T wave changes: 28 Diabetes: 12 | | | |
| | Interactions age X sex age X smoking age X hyperlipidaemia sex X smoking | | | |
| | Poor Clinical Predictors of Significant CAD and the Chi-squared: Chest pain severity: 0.96 Chest pain frequency: 8.57 Nocturnal chest pain: 2.22 Progressive chest pain: 2.54 | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 135 of 199 | | | |

| | Preinfarction angina: 9.70 Vascular disease: 0.40 |
|--|---|
| | Duration of CAD: 9.16 |
| | Congestive heart failure: 0.59 |
| | Hypertension: 5.19 Family history: 6.39 |
| | Ventricular gallop: 1.06 |
| | Cardiomegaly: 1.41 Electrocardiographic premature ventricular contractions: 0.46 |
| | The results from the training group are shown under "Clinically Important Characteristics and the Chi-squared" in the order of their importance (chi-squared added to the model by the parameter, adjusting for the characteristics that precede it). The type of chest pain (typical, atypical or nonanginal) was the most important characteristic followed by previous MI, sex, age, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, ST-T wave changes on ECG, diabetes. The results above show the 4 significant interactions which were found. |
| | The study also showed that in men the effect of an increasing age was more important than in women, smoking was more important for women than men, and that smoking and hyperlipidaemia were more important at younger ages. The results for the other characteristics which were found to have small or nonsignificat effects on the prevalence of disease are shown under "Poor Clinical Predictors of Significant CAD and the Chi-squared" |
| | The authors then validated the model in the test population which showed that the predicted probability of disease is nearly identical to the observed prevalence. This was with the exception of the group with predicted estimates of 0.475 to 0.525 (this group 8 out of 34 patients, with significant disease). The median prediction for patients with disease was 94% compared with a median prediction of 33% for patients without disease. A predicted probability of significant disease > 0.83 was found in 75% of patients with disease and in less than 10% of patients with disease. A probability of significant disease < 0.33 was found in nearly 50% of patients without disease and in less than 5% of patients with disease. |
| | The authors then externally validated using the population from the CASS study. There was disagreement on patients classified as having nonanginal chest pain (where the greatest difference in predicted disease compared to observed disease was seen), but the predicted estimates from the model were nearly equal to the observed prevalence of disease. The predicted estimates from the model of the probability of significant disease were nearly identical to the observed prevalence for subgroups based on "age, sex and history of MI" or "age, sex and pain type". |
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | The results from the training population showed the type of chest pain (typical, atypical or nonanginal) was the most important characteristic followed by previous MI, sex, age, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, ST-T wave changes on ECG, diabetes. The study also showed that in men the effect of an increasing age was more important than in women, smoking was more important for women than men, and that smoking and hyperlipidaemia were more important at younger ages. The study also found some characteristics to have small or nonsignificat effects on the prevalence of disease. |
| | The authors then validated the model in the test population which showed that the predicted probability of disease is nearly identical to the observed prevalence. When comparing the model to an external population the study showed that the predicted estimates from the model were nearly equal to the observed prevalence of disease. The predicted estimates from the model of the probability of significant disease were nearly identical to the observed prevalence for subgroups based on "age, sex and history of MI" or "age, sex and pain type". However the greatest difference in predicted disease compared to observed disease was seen in patients with nonanginal chest pain. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 136 of 199 |
| | |

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Patients had chest pain

Internal Validity

Well covered

Grading: 2+

Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal

Pryor DB;Shaw L;McCants CB;Lee KL;Mark DB;Harrell FE;Muhlbaier LH;Califf RM;

Value of the history and physical in identifying patients at increased risk for coronary artery disease

| Ref 1751 ID | Annals of | internal medicine | pgs: | 81 | to | 90 | 1993 |
|---|-----------|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fund | ling | Policy Nation Blood | cy for Health Care and Research, nal Heart, Lung and institute, National y of Medicine |
| Number of parti | cipants | 1030 patients, 168 had cardiac cath | eterizat | ion | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | sion | Inclusion: Symptomatic patients, ref coronary artery disease Exclusion: previous cardiac catheter | | or non-i | nvas | ive testi | ng for suspected |
| Patient Charact | eristics | The mean age was 55, 37% were feweek, the mean durations of CAD sysymptoms, 52% atypical angina symptoms, 52% atypical angina, 44% had diabetes, 11% had hyperlipiden had a history of MI, 8% had Q wave failure, 0% had class IV congestive peripheral vascular disease, 3% had Of the patients who went on to have 31% were female, the mean pain fredurations of CAD symptoms was 7 matypical angina, 53% smoked, 42% diabetes, 13% had hyperlipidemia, 4% history of MI, 11% had Q waves on failure, 0% had class IV congestive peripheral vascular disease, 2% had the can therefore be seen that those for be male, smoke, have a history of suffering typical or progressive anging | ymptom nptoms, smoke nia, 35% s on EC heart fa d cerebr a cardi equency months, anginal µ 6 had a 42% hac ECG, 1 heart fa d cerebr naving a f MI, ha | is was 20% r d, 41% 6 had CG, 14° cal vas ac cati 7 was 2 49% r pain, 2 history d ST-T 1% had illure, 1 ral vas a cardia | 12 m honar had ST-T % had sT-T % had cular heteris ad ty 4% p y of h wave d a hi % had cular | onths, 2 nginal pa a histor wave ch d a histor disease ization t odes a vpical ar rogress yperten e chang story of ad ventr disease theteriza | 28% had typical angina ain, 18% progressive y of hypertension, 10% hanges on ECG, 18% bry of congestive heart icular gallop, 3% had a. he mean age was 56, week, the mean hgina symptoms, 47% ive angina, 24% sion, 10% had es on ECG, 33% had a congestive heart icular gallop, 4% had a. ation were more likely |
| Recruitment | | Patients were referred for non-invas | | ing for | susp | ected c | oronary artery disease |
| Setting Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | Duke University Medical Centre US/ Physicians initial evaluation of patie anatomy | | suspe | ected | CAD pr | edicts coronary |
| Comparisons | | The presence of significant coronary disease, left main disease | y diseas | se defii | ned a | s any d | sease, severe |
| Length of Study Follow-up | // | 90 days | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | Effectiveness of chest pain score to | predict | corona | ary ai | rtery dis | ease |
| Results | Э | The three diagnostic outcomes were disease defined as 'any disease' (≥ major coronary artery), presence of 'severe disease' (significant obstruc coronary artery) and the presence o 'left main disease' (168 patients refe Page 138 of 199 | 75% lur severe tion of a f signifi | minal c corona all 3 ma cant le | liame ary ar ain co ft ma | ter narr tery disc pronary in artery | owing of at least one ease defined as arteries or the left main obstruction defined as |

| | outcome was survival at 3 years. In the multivariable regression model used, the following variables were significant predictors for any disease; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, previous history of myocardial infarction, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For severe disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type, frequency, course, nocturnal, length of time present), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, previous history of myocardial infarction, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For left main disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, peripheral or cerebral artery disease and carotid bruit. For survival at 3 years the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, ventricular gallop, previous history of myocardial infarction, significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes, conduction abnormalities, premature ventricular contractions and cardionegaly. The likelihood of any disease, severe coronary disease, left main disease and survival was predicted from the initial history, physical examination, electrocardiogram and chest X ray (these tests were defined as the 'initial evaluation'). Predicted coronary artery endpoints and survival based on the initial evaluation closely corresponded to actual findings. Predictions using the initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test. The initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test had similar discriminatory performances for patients with and without severe disease and risk of death at 3 years, while for left main disease, the treadmill exercise test was slightly better for identify patients with left main disease. |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | In the multivariable regression model used, the following variables were significant predictors for any disease; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, previous history of MI, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For severe disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type, frequency, course, nocturnal, length of time present), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, previous history of MI, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For left main disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, peripheral or cerebral artery disease and carotid bruit. For survival at 3 years the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (frequency, course, nocturnal, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit. For survival at 3 years the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (frequency, course, nocturnal, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, reactive ventricular gallop, previous history of MI, significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes, conduction abnormalities, premature ventricular contractions and cardiomegaly. The likelihood of any disease, severe coronary disease, left main disease and survival was predicted from the initial history, physical examination, electrocardiogram and chest X ray (these tests were defined as the 'initial evaluation'). Predicted coronary artery endpoints and survival based on the initial evaluation were then compared with predictions based on the treadmill exercise test. The initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test thad similar discriminatory performances for patients with and without severe disease and risk of death at 3 years, while for left main disease, while the treadmill exercise test was slightly better for identify patients with left main disease. The models which were used were based on ma |
| Effect due to factor in study? | predicting coronary disease, however they could be used to predict survival. Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 139 of 199 |

| Directly applicable to | Correct population |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| guideline population? | |

Internal Validity Well covered

Pryor DB;Shaw L;McCants CB;Lee KL;Mark DB;Harrell FE;Muhlbaier LH;Califf RM;

Value of the history and physical in identifying patients at increased risk for coronary artery disease

| | sical in identifying patients at increase | | | inary. | allery disease | | | |
|--|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Ref 1751 Annals of ID | f internal medicine | pgs: | 81 | to ^Q | 90 1993 | | | |
| Study Type Cohort | t | | Fund | ing | Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, National Heart, Lung and Blood institute, National Library of Medicine | | | |
| Number of participants | 1030 patients, 168 had cardiac cathe | eterizati | on | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | coronary artery disease | Inclusion: Symptomatic patients, referred for non-invasive testing for suspected coronary artery disease Exclusion: previous cardiac catheterization | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | week, the mean durations of CAD sy symptoms, 52% atypical angina sym angina, 22% nocturnal angina, 44% had diabetes, 11% had hyperlipidem had a history of MI, 8% had Q waves failure, 0% had class IV congestive h peripheral vascular disease, 3% had Of the patients who went on to have 31% were female, the mean pain fre durations of CAD symptoms was 7 n atypical angina symptoms, 4% nona nocturnal angina, 53% smoked, 42% diabetes, 13% had hyperlipidemia, 4 history of MI, 11% had Q waves on E failure, 0% had class IV congestive h peripheral vascular disease, 2% had It can therefore be seen that those h | an age was 55, 37% were female, the mean pain frequency was 2 episodes a e mean durations of CAD symptoms was 12 months, 28% had typical angina hs, 52% atypical angina symptoms, 20% nonanginal pain, 18% progressive 22% nocturnal angina, 44% smoked, 41% had a history of hypertension, 10% betes, 11% had hyperlipidemia, 35% had ST-T wave changes on ECG, 18% story of MI, 8% had Q waves on ECG, 14% had a history of congestive heart 1% had class IV congestive heart failure, 1% had ventricular gallop, 3% had al vascular disease, 3% had cerebral vascular disease atients who went on to have a cardiac catheterization the mean age was 56, re female, the mean pain frequency was 2 episodes a week, the mean s of CAD symptoms was 7 months, 49% had typical angina symptoms, 47% angina symptoms, 4% nonanginal pain, 24% progressive angina, 24% al angina, 53% smoked, 42% had a history of hypertension, 10% had a f MI, 11% had Q waves on ECG, 11% had a history of congestive heart 1% had class IV congestive heart failure, 1% had ventricular gallop, 4% had a f MI, 11% had Q waves on ECG, 11% had a history of congestive heart 1% had class IV congestive heart failure, 1% had ventricular gallop, 4% had a f MI, 11% had Q waves on ECG, 11% had a history of congestive heart 1% had class IV congestive heart failure, 1% had ventricular gallop, 4% had al vascular disease, 2% had cerebral vascular disease. | | | | | | |
| Recruitment Setting | Patients were referred for non-invasive testing for suspected coronary artery disease Duke University Medical Centre USA | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Physicians initial evaluation of patier anatomy | nts with | suspe | cted | CAD predicts coronary | | | |
| Comparisons | The presence of significant coronary disease defined as any disease, severe disease, left main disease | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 90 days | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Effectiveness of chest pain score to predict coronary artery disease | | | | | | | |
| Results | The three diagnostic outcomes were disease defined as 'any disease' (≥ 7 major coronary artery), presence of s 'severe disease' (significant obstruct coronary artery) and the presence of 'left main disease' (168 patients refe outcome was survival at 3 years. | 75% lun severe o ion of a signific | ninal d corona Il 3 ma ant lei | iame iry art ain co ft mai | ter narrowing of at least one tery disease defined as pronary arteries or the left main n artery obstruction defined as | | | |

| | In the multivariable regression model used, the following variables were significant predictors for any disease; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, previous history of myocardial infarction, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For severe disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type, frequency, course, nocturnal, length of time present), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, previous history of myocardial infarction, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For left main disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, peripheral or cerebral artery disease and carotid bruit. For survival at 3 years the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (frequency, course, nocturnal), peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, ventricular gallop, previous history of myocardial infarction, significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes, conduction abnormalities, premature ventricular contractions and cardiomegaly. The likelihood of any disease, severe coronary disease, left main disease and survival was predicted from the initial history, physical examination, electrocardiogram and chest X ray (these tests were defined as the 'initial evaluation'). The models which were used were based on mathematical models in a previous study (Pryor, 1983 – see extraction). Predicted coronary artery endpoints and survival based on the initial evaluation were then compared with predictions based on the treadmill exercise test. The initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test. The initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test had similar discriminatory performances for patients with and without severe disease and risk of death at 3 years, while for left main disease, the treadmill exercise test was slightly better for identify patients with left main disease, the treadmill exerc |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | In the multivariable regression model used, the following variables were significant predictors for any disease; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, previous history of MI, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For severe disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type, frequency, course, nocturnal, length of time present), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, previous history of MI, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For left main disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, peripheral or cerebral artery disease and carotid bruit. For survival at 3 years the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (frequency, course, nocturnal, peripheral or cerebral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit. For survival at 3 years the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (frequency, course, nocturnal, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, ventricular gallop, previous history of MI, significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes, conduction abnormalities, premature ventricular contractions and cardiomegaly. The likelihood of any disease, severe coronary disease, left main disease and survival was predicted from the initial history, physical examination, electrocardiogram and chest X ray (these tests were defined as the 'initial evaluation'). |
| | Predicted coronary artery endpoints and survival based on the initial evaluation closely corresponded to actual findings. Predictions using the initial evaluation were then compared with predictions based on the treadmill exercise test. The initial evaluation was slightly better at distinguishing patients with and without coronary artery disease compared with the treadmill exercise test. The initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test had similar discriminatory performances for patients with and without severe disease and risk of death at 3 years, while for left main disease, while the treadmill exercise test was slightly better for identify patients with left main disease. The models which were used were based on mathematical models in a previous study (Pryor, 1983 – see extraction). |
| Effect due to factor in study? | predicting coronary disease, however they could be used to predict survival. Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 141 of 199 |

| Directly applicable to | Correct population |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| guideline population? | |

Internal Validity Well covered

Sox HC;Hickam DH;Marton K;Moses L;Skeff KM;Sox CH;Neal EA;

Using the patient's history to estimate the probability of coronary artery disease: a comparison of primary care and referral practices

| Ref 1895 ID | The Ame | rican journal of medicine | pgs: | 7 | to ¹ | 4 1990 |
|---|----------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fund | ding | Veterans Administration Health Services Research and Development Service, Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation and Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation General Internal Medicine Fellowship Program |
| Number of partie | cipants | 1074 patients | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Inclusion: had at least 2 episodes of Exclusion: patients whose index visit | | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | Patients admitted to Stanford Univer Medical Center and Kaiser-Permane | | | | |
| Setting | | Primary and Secondary care USA | | | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Diagnosing coronary artery disease | | | | |
| Comparisons | | Age, men, pain brought on by exerti- history of MI, pain relieved within 3 r years of smoking. | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 1 | Median follow up 11 months | | | | |
| Outcome measur | res | Effectiveness of chest pain score to | predict | coron | ary ar | ery disease |
| Results | | Seven clinical characteristics were in coronary stenosis; age > 60 years, p all activities when pain occurs, histo minutes of taking nitroglycerin, at lea The following were not independent radiation of pain, character of pain, h hypercholesterolaemia, history of an breathing, movement of torso, or mo to test the probability of coronary art care practices (997 patients) and on | bain bro ry of m ast 20 p predict nistory ngina p ovemer ery dis | bught o yocarc back yo ors of of hypo ectoris nt of ar ease (| on by e lial infa ears of diseas ertens , pain m. The CAD) i | exertion, patient having to stop arction, pain relieved within 3 f smoking, and male gender. se status; location and ion, history of worsened by cough, deep e chest pain score was used in patients from two primary |
| | | 1980 Arteriography Training Set: Score 0-4: 1 had significant CAD, 9 was 0.10 Score 5-9: 13 had significant CAD, 2 CAD was 0.39 Score 10-14: 33 had significant CAD CAD was 0.67 Score 15-19: 77 had significant CAD | 20 had), 16 ha | insigni ad insi | ficant gnifica | CAD and the prevalence of nt CAD and the prevalence of |
| 15 September 2009 | 1 | Page 142 of 199 | , <u>-</u> | | | |

| | CAD was 0.91 Score 20-25: 34 had significant CAD, 0 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 1.00 The total number of patients was: 158 with significant CAD, 53 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.76 |
|--|--|
| | and the prevalence of CAD was 0.76 1982 Arteriography Test Set: Score 0-4: 1 had significant CAD, 6 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.14 Score 5-9: 4 had significant CAD, 13 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.24 Score 10-14: 31 had significant CAD, 13 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.70 Score 15-19: 49 had significant CAD, 10 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.83 Score 20-25: 37 had significant CAD, 6 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.86 The total number of patients was: 122 with significant CAD, 48 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.72 VA Test Set: Score 0-4: 0 had significant CAD, 4 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.00 Score 5-9: 9 had significant CAD, 139 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.06 Score 10-14: 27 had significant CAD, 99 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.21 |
| | CAD was 0.21 Score 15-19: 64 had significant CAD, 26 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.71 Score 20-25: 33 had significant CAD, 3 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.92 The total number of patients was: 133 with significant CAD, 271 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.33 |
| | Kaiser Test Set: Score 0-4: 0 had significant CAD, 98 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.00 Score 5-9: 7 had significant CAD, 118 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.06 Score 10-14: 4 had significant CAD, 35 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.10 Score 15-19: 6 had significant CAD, 14 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.30 Score 20-25: 6 had significant CAD, 1 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.86 The total number of patients was: 23 with significant CAD, 266 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.08 |
| | The prevalence of a coronary artery disease diagnosis in primary care patients is lower than in arteriography patients with similar chest pain histories. With the exception of the highest chest pain score subgroup, analysis on the two primary care population's show there is not perfect agreement. |
| | Although the patients in the primary and secondary settings had similar chest pain scores derived from the clinical history, the prevalence of coronary artery disease in the primary care patients was lower than the angiography patients across the first four scores bands compared with the angiography patients, while the prevalence at the highest score band was similar in both the primary and secondary settings. |
| | The authors concluded that health care professionals should take in to account the clinical setting when using the patient's history to estimate the probability of disease. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The chest pain score was used to test the probability of coronary artery disease in patients from two primary care practices (997 patients) and one angiography referral practice (166 patients). Although the patients in the primary and secondary settings had similar chest pain scores derived from the clinical history, the prevalence of coronary artery disease in the primary care patients was lower than the angiography |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 143 of 199 |

| | patients across the first four scores bands compared with the angiography patients, while the prevalence at the highest score band was similar in both the primary and secondary settings. The authors concluded that health care professionals should take in to account the clinical setting when using the patient's history to estimate the probability of disease | | | | | |
|--|---|---------|---------|--------|---|---|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | |
| Sox HC;Hickam DH;Marton | K;Moses L;Skeff KM;Sox CH;Neal EA; | | | | | |
| Using the patient's history to referral practices | estimate the probability of coronary a | rtery d | isease: | a co | mparison o | f primary care and |
| Ref ₁₈₉₅ The Ame ID | rican journal of medicine | pgs: | 7 | to | 14 | 1990 |
| Study Type Cohort | t | | Fund | ling | Health Se and Deve Henry J. I Foundatic Kaiser Fa General II | Administration rvices Research lopment Service, Kaiser Family on and Henry J. mily Foundation nternal Medicine o Program |
| Number of participants | 1074 patients | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: had at least 2 episodes of chest pain that led to the index visit. Exclusion: patients whose index visit led to a diagnosis of acute MI were excluded | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | Patients admitted to Stanford Universe Medical Center and Kaiser-Permane | | | | | |
| Setting | Primary and Secondary care USA | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Diagnosing coronary artery disease | | | | | |
| Comparisons | Age, men, pain brought on by exertion, having to stop all activities when pain occurs, history of MI, pain relieved within 3 minutes of taking nitroglycerin, and \geq 20 pack years of smoking | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Median follow up 11 months | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Effectiveness of chest pain score to | predict | corona | ary ar | tery diseas | e |
| Results | Seven clinical characteristics were identified as independent predictors of significant coronary stenosis; age > 60 years, pain brought on by exertion, patient having to stop all activities when pain occurs, history of myocardial infarction, pain relieved within 3 minutes of taking nitroglycerin, at least 20 pack years of smoking, and male gender. The following were not independent predictors of disease status; location and radiation of pain, character of pain, history of hypertension, history of hypercholesterolaemia, history of angina pectoris, pain worsened by cough, deep | | | | | |

breathing, movement of torso, or movement of arm. The chest pain score was used to test the probability of coronary artery disease (CAD) in patients from two primary care practices (997 patients) and one angiography referral practice (166 patients).

For distribution of patients among Chest Pain Score Subgroups see results in guideline.

1980 Arteriography Training Set:

Score 0-4: 1 had significant CAD, 9 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.10

Score 5-9: 13 had significant CAD, 20 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was $0.39\,$

Score 10-14: 33 had significant CAD, 16 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.67 $\,$

Score 15-19: 77 had significant CAD, 8 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.91

Score 20-25: 34 had significant CAD, 0 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 1.00 $\,$

The total number of patients was: 158 with significant CAD, 53 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.76

1982 Arteriography Test Set:

Score 0-4: 1 had significant CAD, 6 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.14

Score 5-9: 4 had significant CAD, 13 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.24

Score 10-14: 31 had significant CAD, 13 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.70

Score 15-19: 49 had significant CAD, 10 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.83

Score 20-25: 37 had significant CAD, 6 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was $0.86\,$

The total number of patients was: 122 with significant CAD, 48 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was $0.72\,$

VA Test Set:

Score 0-4: 0 had significant CAD, 4 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was $0.00\,$

Score 5-9: 9 had significant CAD, 139 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was $0.06\,$

Score 10-14: 27 had significant CAD, 99 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.21

Score 15-19: 64 had significant CAD, 26 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.71

Score 20-25: 33 had significant CAD, 3 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.92 $\,$

The total number of patients was: 133 with significant CAD, 271 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was $0.33\,$

Kaiser Test Set:

Score 0-4: 0 had significant CAD, 98 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.00 $\,$

Score 5-9: 7 had significant CAD, 118 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was $0.06\,$

Score 10-14: 4 had significant CAD, 35 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.10 $\,$

Score 15-19: 6 had significant CAD, 14 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.30

Score 20-25: 6 had significant CAD, 1 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.86

The total number of patients was: 23 with significant CAD, 266 had insignificant CAD and the prevalence of CAD was 0.08

The prevalence of a coronary artery disease diagnosis in primary care patients is lower than in arteriography patients with similar chest pain histories. With the exception of the highest chest pain score subgroup, analysis on the two primary care population's show there is not perfect agreement.

Although the patients in the primary and secondary settings had similar chest pain scores derived from the clinical history, the prevalence of coronary artery disease in

| | the primary care patients was lower than the angiography patients across the first four scores bands compared with the angiography patients, while the prevalence at the highest score band was similar in both the primary and secondary settings. | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | The authors concluded that health care professionals should take in to account the clinical setting when using the patient's history to estimate the probability of disease | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The chest pain score was used to test the probability of coronary artery disease in patients from two primary care practices (997 patients) and one angiography referral practice (166 patients). Although the patients in the primary and secondary settings had similar chest pain scores derived from the clinical history, the prevalence of coronary artery disease in the primary care patients was lower than the angiography patients across the first four scores bands compared with the angiography patients, while the prevalence at the highest score band was similar in both the primary and secondary settings. The authors concluded that health care professionals should take in to account the clinical setting when using the patient's history to estimate the probability of disease. | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | |
| Wu EB;Hodson F;Chambers | s JB; | | | | |
| A simple score for predicting | coronary artery disease in patients with chest pain | | | | |
| Ref 394 QJM : mo ID Physician | onthly journal of the Association of pgs: 803 to 811 2005 ns | | | | |
| Study Type Cohord | Funding Grant from the special Trustee's of Guy's and St Thomas' NHS trust | | | | |
| Number of participants | 404 patients recruited from 363 consecutive patients seen as out-patients, and 829 consecutive patients undergoing day-case coronary angiography. 155 of the 404 had an exercise test | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: chest pain for > 1 month without a previous history of MI, coronary angiography, angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting Exclusion: ECG showed pathological Q waves or regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiogram | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 60.6 ± 9.5 years. 66% (268) were males, the mean age for males 60.5 ± 9.1 years; 34% (137) were females, the mean ages for females was 60.8 ± 10.2 years. Of all the patients 60% (244) had significant coronary artery disease; 40% (161) had normal coronary anatomy | | | | |
| Recruitment | Patients who met criteria recruited from out patients at Cardiothoracic Centre, Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK | | | | |
| Setting | Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Diagnosing chest pain | | | | |
| Comparisons | The chest pain score was based on: description of pain, clinical history, medication, clinical examination, stigmata of risk, resting ECG | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 146 of 199 | | | | |

| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported |
|--|---|
| Outcome measures studied | Diagnosis of coronary artery disease, or exclusion of diagnosis of coronary artery disease |
| Results | The chest pain score was based on the following: localisation of pain, radiation, quality of pain, duration, length of pain episode, frequency, associated features (breathlessness, digital paraesthesiae, palpation, light-headedness), precipitation (exercise, rest, any time, neck or back movement, carrying, swallowing, lying flat/stooping, emotional stress, particular situations), exacerbating / relieving factors (inspiration, GNT, genuine relief < 5 minutes) relief with (milk/antacids, belching, local massage rest). A medical history was also taken of: hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, smoking and number of cigarettes per day, previous MI, alcohol intake per week, medication being used (aspirin, statins, beta blockers, calcium antagonists, nitrates, other), the patients weight, height, heart rhythm, systolic, diastolic, heart rate, apex position and character, intercostal space, heart murmur, heart sounds stigmata of risk (arcus, xanthelasmata, xanthomata, ear lobe crease) and a resting ECG. This chest pain score was based on a modification of the Master Questionnaire with 3 additional questions to define the exercise score, the rest and duration score. |
| | Multivariant Poisson Regression Analysis showed that gender (P < 0.001), age (P < 001), relief with rest (P=0.046), dizziness (P=0.030), smoking (P=0.006), hypertension (P=0.016), hypercholesterolemia (P=0.214), diabetes (P=0.016) and chest pain score (P = 0.009) were independently differentiated those patients with and without CAD. A secondary analysis was conducted to relate the chest pain score to the Framingham and Duke Scores. The Duke Score is a weighted index based on ST-segment deviation, treadmill time and exercised-induced angina (Duke Treadmill Score = Exercise time – [5xSTdevistion] – [4xtreadmill angina]). The chest pain score was found to have a sensitivity of 91.4% and a specificity of 28%, compared to the Duke score's sensitivity of 82.4% and specificity of 31%. The study found that the combination of the chest pain score with Framingham and the Duke score had additive predictive value for risk of coronary artery disease. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | Multivariant Poisson regression analysis showed that gender (P < 0.001), age (P < 0.01), relief with rest (P=0.046), dizziness (P=0.030), smoking (P=0.006), hypertension (P=0.016), hypercholesterolemia (P=0.214), diabetes (P=0.016) and chest pain score were (P = 0.009) independently differentiated those patients with and without coronary artery disease. A secondary analysis was conducted to relate the chest pain score to the Framingham and Duke scores. The chest pain score was found to have a sensitivity of 91.4% and a specificity of 28%, compared to the Duke score's sensitivity of 82.4% and specificity of 31%. The study found that the combination of the chest pain score with Framingham and the Duke score had additive predictive value for risk of coronary artery disease |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |
| Wu EB;Hodson F;Chambers | s JB; |

A simple score for predicting coronary artery disease in patients with chest pain

| Ref 394 ID | QJM : mo Physician | nthly journal of the Association of s | pgs: | 803 | to ⁸ | 311 2005 | |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fund | ing | Grant from the special Trustee's of Guy's and St Thomas' NHS trust | |
| Number of part | ticipants | 404 patients recruited from 363 cor consecutive patients undergoing da an exercise test | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | usion | Inclusion: chest pain for > 1 month without a previous history of MI, coronary angiography, angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting Exclusion: ECG showed pathological Q waves or regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiogram | | | | | |
| Patient Charac | teristics | The mean age was 60.6±9.5 years 60.5±9.1 years; 34% (137) were fee years. Of all the patients 60% (244) (161) had normal coronary anatom | males, tł) had sig | he mea | n age | s for females was 60.8±10.2 | |
| Recruitment | | Patients who met criteria recruited and St Thomas' Hospital, London, | | t patient | ts at (| Cardiothoracic Centre, Guy's | |
| Setting | | Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, Lo | ndon, Ul | K | | | |
| Interventions/ ⁻ Factor being investigated | Test/ | Diagnosing chest pain | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | The chest pain score was based or clinical examination, stigmata of ris | | | pain | , clinical history, medication, | |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | ly/ | Not reported | | | | | |
| Outcome meas studied | ures | Diagnosis of coronary artery diseas disease | se, or ex | clusion | of dia | agnosis of coronary artery | |
| Results | | The chest pain score was based or quality of pain, duration, length of p (breathlessness, digital paraesthess (exercise, rest, any time, neck or ba flat/stooping, emotional stress, part (inspiration, GNT, genuine relief < 5 massage rest). A medical history w hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, sm MI, alcohol intake per week, medica calcium antagonists, nitrates, other systolic, diastolic, heart rate, apex p murmur, heart sounds stigmata of a crease) and a resting ECG. This ch Master Questionnaire with 3 addition rest and duration score. 1) if you go up a hill on 10 separate pain; 2) if you have chest pain 10 tt sitting or resting; 3) how long does described as "typical" and 1-9/10 w was "typical and 2 or more was "aty minutes was "typical" and pain last Multivariant Poisson Regression Ar 001), relief with rest (P=0.046), dizz hypertension (P=0.016), hypercholo chest pain score (P = 0.009) were and without CAD. A secondary ana to the Framingham and Duke Score ST-segment deviation, treadmill tim | bain epis siae, palp ack mov ticular si 5 minute vas also noking a ation bei r), the pa position risk (arcu nest pain vas (atyp ypical"; fu more th nalysis, s ziness (F esterole indepen alysis wa es. The | ode, fre pation, I rement, tuations s) relief taken o nd num ing use atients v and cha us, xant score v stions to pas how a row ho last for ical"; fo or ques an 5 mi showed P=0.030 mia (P= idently o s condu Duke So | equen ight-h carry s), ex: f with f: hyp ber o d (asj veigh aracte helas was b o defi v mar c. For r que tion 3 nutes that that 0, sm 0.214 | acy, associated features headedness), precipitation ing, swallowing, lying acerbating / relieving factors (milk/antacids, belching, local bertension, f cigarettes per day, previous birin, statins, beta blockers, t, height, heart rhythm, er, intercostal space, heart smata, xanthomata, ear lobe based on a modification of the ne the exercise score, the by do you experience chest any happen when you are question 1 10/10 was stion 2 a rest index or 0 or 1 b pain lasting less than 5 b was "atypical" gender (P < 0.001), age (P < toking (P=0.006), 4), diabetes (P=0.016) and entiated those patients with to relate the chest pain score is a weighted index based on | |
| 15 September 200 |)9 | Score = Exercise time – [5xSTdevis Page 148 of 199 | stion] – [| 4xtread | imill a | ingina]). The chest pain score | |

| | was found to have a sensitivity of 91.4% and a specificity of 28%, compared to the Duke score's sensitivity of 82.4% and specificity of 31%. The study found that the | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | combination of the chest pain score with Framingham and the Duke score had additive predictive value for risk of coronary artery disease. | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Multivariant Poisson regression analysis showed that gender ($P < 0.001$), age ($P < 001$), relief with rest ($P=0.046$), dizziness ($P=0.030$), smoking ($P=0.006$), hypertension ($P=0.016$), hypercholesterolemia ($P=0.214$), diabetes ($P=0.016$) and chest pain score were ($P = 0.009$) independently differentiated those patients with and without coronary artery disease. A secondary analysis was conducted to relate the chest pain score to the Framingham and Duke scores. The chest pain score wa found to have a sensitivity of 91.4% and a specificity of 28%, compared to the Duke score's sensitivity of 82.4% and specificity of 31%. The study found that the combination of the chest pain score with Framingham and the Duke score had additive predictive value for risk of coronary artery disease | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | |
| Wu EB;Hodson F;Chambers | s JB; | | | | |
| A simple score for predicting | coronary artery disease in patients with chest pain | | | | |
| Ref 394 QJM : mo | anthly journal of the Appendiction of 802 911 2005 | | | | |
| ID Physician | onthly journal of the Association of pgs: 803 to 811 2005 ns | | | | |
| | ns pyst to | | | | |
| ID Physician Study Type Cohorn | Funding Grant from the special Trustee's of Guy's and St | | | | |
| ID Physician Study Type Cohorn | Funding Grant from the special Trustee's of Guy's and St Thomas' NHS trust 404 patients recruited from 363 consecutive patients seen as out-patients, and 829 consecutive patients undergoing day-case coronary angiography. 155 of the 404 had | | | | |
| ID Physician Study Type Cohort Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion | Funding Grant from the special Trustee's of Guy's and St Thomas' NHS trust 404 patients recruited from 363 consecutive patients seen as out-patients, and 829 consecutive patients undergoing day-case coronary angiography. 155 of the 404 had an exercise test. Inclusion: chest pain for > 1 month without a previous history of MI, coronary angiography, angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting. Exclusion: ECG showed pathological Q waves or regional wall motion abnormalities | | | | |
| ID Other Physician Study Type Cohort Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | FundingGrant from the special Trustee's of Guy's and St Thomas' NHS trust404 patients recruited from 363 consecutive patients seen as out-patients, and 829 consecutive patients undergoing day-case coronary angiography. 155 of the 404 had an exercise test.Inclusion: chest pain for > 1 month without a previous history of MI, coronary angiography, angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting. Exclusion: ECG showed pathological Q waves or regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiogram.The mean age was 60.6±9.5 years. 66% (268) were males, the mean age for males 60.5±9.1 years; 34% (137) were females, the mean ages for females was 60.8±10.2 years. Of all the patients 60% (244) had significant coronary artery disease; 40% | | | | |
| IDOtherPhysicianStudy TypeCohortNumber of participantsInclusion/ExclusionCriteriaPatient Characteristics | Funding Grant from the special Trustee's of Guy's and St Thomas' NHS trust 404 patients recruited from 363 consecutive patients seen as out-patients, and 829 consecutive patients undergoing day-case coronary angiography. 155 of the 404 had an exercise test. Inclusion: chest pain for > 1 month without a previous history of MI, coronary angiography, angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting. Exclusion: ECG showed pathological Q waves or regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiogram. The mean age was 60.6±9.5 years. 66% (268) were males, the mean age for males 60.5±9.1 years; 34% (137) were females, the mean ages for females was 60.8±10.2 years. Of all the patients 60% (244) had significant coronary artery disease; 40% (161) had normal coronary anatomy. Patients who met criteria recruited from out patients at Cardiothoracic Centre, Guy's | | | | |
| ID Other Physician Study Type Cohort Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | Funding Grant from the special Trustee's of Guy's and St Thomas' NHS trust 404 patients recruited from 363 consecutive patients seen as out-patients, and 829 consecutive patients undergoing day-case coronary angiography. 155 of the 404 had an exercise test. Inclusion: chest pain for > 1 month without a previous history of MI, coronary angiography, angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting. Exclusion: ECG showed pathological Q waves or regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiogram. The mean age was 60.6±9.5 years. 66% (268) were males, the mean age for males 60.5±9.1 years; 34% (137) were females, the mean ages for females was 60.8±10.2 years. Of all the patients 60% (244) had significant coronary artery disease; 40% (161) had normal coronary anatomy. Patients who met criteria recruited from out patients at Cardiothoracic Centre, Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK | | | | |
| ID Or Physician Study Type Cohord Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being | Funding Grant from the special Trustee's of Guy's and St Thomas' NHS trust 404 patients recruited from 363 consecutive patients seen as out-patients, and 829 consecutive patients undergoing day-case coronary angiography. 155 of the 404 had an exercise test. Inclusion: chest pain for > 1 month without a previous history of MI, coronary angiography, angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting. Exclusion: ECG showed pathological Q waves or regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiogram. The mean age was 60.6±9.5 years. 66% (268) were males, the mean age for males 60.5±9.1 years; 34% (137) were females, the mean ages for females was 60.8±10.2 years. Of all the patients 60% (244) had significant coronary artery disease; 40% (161) had normal coronary anatomy. Patients who met criteria recruited from out patients at Cardiothoracic Centre, Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK | | | | |
| ID Or Physician Study Type Cohord Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Funding Grant from the special Trustee's of Guy's and St Thomas' NHS trust 404 patients recruited from 363 consecutive patients seen as out-patients, and 829 consecutive patients undergoing day-case coronary angiography. 155 of the 404 had an exercise test. Inclusion: chest pain for > 1 month without a previous history of MI, coronary angiography, angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting. Exclusion: ECG showed pathological Q waves or regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiogram. The mean age was 60.6±9.5 years. 66% (268) were males, the mean age for males 60.5±9.1 years; 34% (137) were females, the mean ages for females was 60.8±10.2 years. Of all the patients 60% (244) had significant coronary artery disease; 40% (161) had normal coronary anatomy. Patients who met criteria recruited from out patients at Cardiothoracic Centre, Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK Diagnosing chest pain The chest pain score was based on: description of pain, clinical history, medication, | | | | |

| Results The chest pain score was based on the following: localisation of pain, radiation, quality of pain, duration, length of pain episode, frequency, associated features (breathlessness, digital paraesthesiae, palpation, light-headedness), precipitation (exercise, rest, any time, neck or back movement, carrying, swallowing, lying flat/stooping, emotional stress, particular situations), exacerbating / relieving factors (inspiration, GNT, genuine relief < 5 minutes) relief with (milk/antacids, belching, local massage rest). A medical history was also taken of: hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, smoking and number of cigarettes per day, previous MI, alcohol intake per week, medication being used (aspirin, statins, beta blockers, calcium antagonists, nitrates, other), the patients weight, height, heart rhythm, systolic, diastolic, heart rate, apex position and character, intercostal space, heart |
|--|
| Bystolic, diastolic, hear rate, apex position and character, interostal space, heart murmur, heart sounds stigmata of risk (arcus, xanthelasmata, xanthomata, ear lobe crease) and a resting ECG. This chest pain score was based on a modification of the Master Questionnaire with 3 additional questions to define the exercise score, the rest and duration score. 1) if you go up a hill on 10 separate occasions how many do you experience chest pain; 2) if you have chest pain 10 times in a row how many happen when you are sitting or resting; 3) how long does the pain last for. For question 1 10/10 was described as "typical" and 1-9/10 was "atypical"; for question 2 a rest index or 0 or 1 was "typical and 2 or more was "atypical"; for question 3 pain lasting less than 5 minutes was "typical" and pain last more than 5 minutes was "atypical" Multivariant Poisson Regression Analysis showed that gender (P < 0.001), age (P < 001), relief with rest (P=0.046), dizziness (P=0.030), smoking (P=0.006), hypertension (P=0.016), hypercholesterolemia (P=0.214), diabetes (P=0.016) and chest pain score (P = 0.009) were independently differentiated those patients with and without CAD. A secondary analysis was conducted to relate the chest pain score to the Framingham and Duke Scores. The Duke Score is a weighted index based on ST-segment deviation, treadmill time and exercised-induced angina (Duke Treadmill Score = Exercise time – [5xSTdevistion] – [4xtreadmill angina]). The chest pain score was found to have a sensitivity of 91.4% and a specificity of 28%, compared to the Duke score had additive predictive value for risk of coronary artery disease. |
| Safety and adverse None reported effects |
| Does the study answer the question? Multivariant Poisson regression analysis showed that gender (P < 0.001), age (P < 001), relief with rest (P=0.046), dizziness (P=0.030), smoking (P=0.006), hypertension (P=0.016), hypercholesterolemia (P=0.214), diabetes (P=0.016) and chest pain score were (P = 0.009) independently differentiated those patients with and without coronary artery disease. A secondary analysis was conducted to relate the chest pain score to the Framingham and Duke scores. The chest pain score was found to have a sensitivity of 91.4% and a specificity of 28%, compared to the Duke score's sensitivity of 82.4% and specificity of 31%. The study found that the combination of the chest pain score with Framingham and the Duke score had additive predictive value for risk of coronary artery disease |
| Effect due to factor in Yes study? |
| Consistency of results with other studies?Consistent |
| Directly applicable to Correct population guideline population? |
| Internal Validity Well covered |

| Grading: 2 | - | Case–control or coho confounding bias, or o relationship is not cau | chance | | | • | |
|-----------------|-------------|---|-------------|---------|-----------------|---|---|
| Cook DG;Shape | er AG; | | | | | | |
| Breathlessness, | angina pect | oris and coronary artery disease | | | | | |
| Ref 10282 ID | The Ame | rican journal of cardiology | pgs: | 921 | to ⁹ | 924 | 1989 |
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fundi | ing | Londo Found Group Cound Health Heart Assoc and H | Free Hospital, in; British Heart lation Research i; Medical Research cil and Department of n, London; The Chest and Stroke iation; Scottish Home ealth Department; er Glasgow Health |
| Number of pa | rticipants | 7735 men | | | | Doard | |
| Inclusion/Exc | lusion | Random selection of men from d | ifferent GF | practic | es, r | oatients | were excluded if they |

had sever mental or physical disability

had sever mental or physical disability

Breathlessness affecting Angina

Breathlessness and other risk factors

prevalence of Angina after 5 years

Random selection of men from different GP practices, patients were excluded if they

Age-standardised prevalence rates of CAD by breathlessness grade: None: 6394 men, 3.5% recall, 6.5% ECG, 7% possible MI, 4.4% angina Mild: 697 men, 8.7% recall, 9.1% ECG, 12.6% possible MI, 15.5% angina Moderate: 358 men, 17.7% recall, 14.6% ECG, 21.6% possible MI, 28.8% angina Severe: 273 men, 27.6% recall, 18.5% ECG, 33.3% possible MI, 40.9% angina All: 7722 men, 5.5% recall, 7.6% ECG, 9.1% possible MI, 7.9% angina.

Nonexertional pain: 79% none, 11% mild, 5% moderate, 4% severe

Grade 1: 51% none, 18% mild, 16% moderate, 15% severe Grade 2: 31% none, 9% mild, 17% moderate, 43% severe

Grade 1: 45% none, 22% mild, 19% moderate, 14% severe Grade 2: 30% none, 2% mild, 20% moderate, 48% severe.

Mean levels of risk factors for CAD by breathlessness grade:

Not reported

Primary care, UK

5 years

Possible angina

Definite angina

Criteria

Setting

Recruitment

Factor being investigated

Comparisons Length of Study/

Follow-up

studied Results

Patient Characteristics

Interventions/ Test/

Outcome measures

Prevalence of angina by breathlessness grade: None: 89% none, 7% mild, 3% moderate, 1% severe

| | None: 49.9 years old, 39% smokers, 25.4 kg/m2 BMI, 144.9 mmHg systolic blood pressure, 6.30 mmol/l serum total cholesterol Mild: 51.1 years old, 53% smokers, 26.1 kg/m2 BMI, 146.4 mmHg systolic blood pressure, 6.27 mmol/l serum total cholesterol Moderate: 52.6 years old, 53% smokers, 26.2 kg/m2 BMI, 145.4 mmHg systolic blood pressure, 6.31 mmol/l serum total cholesterol Severe: 53.5 years old, 52% smokers, 25.7 kg/m2 BMI, 143.4 mmHg systolic blood pressure, 6.24 mmol/l serum total cholesterol. |
|--|---|
| | Age-standardised prevalence rate of angina in % by breathlessness grade and smoking: None: 4.5% never smoked, 4.5% ex-smoker, 4.3% current smoker Mild: 18.5% never smoked, 18.2% ex-smoker, 12.6% current smoker Moderate: 25.7% never smoked, 26.7% ex-smoker, 30% current smoker Severe: 25.5% never smoked, 36.5% ex-smoker, 45.9% current smoker All: 6.2% never smoked, 7.9% ex-smoker, 8.6% current smoker. |
| | Age-standardised prevalence rate of angina in % 5 years after initial screening: None: 5.8% no angina, 47.1% angina Mild: 13% no angina, 44.9% angina Moderate: 24.6% no angina, 58.6% angina Severe: 28.2% no angina, 74.4% angina. |
| | Relation of breathlessness grade at screening to outcome at 5 years in men with no evidence of CAD: None: 5228 men, 91.9% alive with no CAD, 4% alive with angina, 1.6% nonfatal MI, 0.9% dead from MI, 1.6% dead from non CAD cause Mild: 471 men, 82.6% alive with no CAD, 10% alive with angina, 2.3% nonfatal MI, 0.8% dead from MI, 4.3% dead from non CAD cause Moderate: 177 men, 72.7% alive with no CAD, 20.9% alive with angina, 2.1% nonfatal MI, 0.9% dead from MI, 3.4% dead from non CAD cause Severe: 100 men, 62.8% alive with no CAD, 25.4% alive with angina, 2.7% nonfatal MI, 2.4% dead from MI, 6.7% dead from non CAD cause. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | This study is a publication from the British Regional Heart Study. The men in the study were classified into 3 groups based on the smoking status (never smoked, ex-smoker, current smoker), their BMI was also recorded. A modified version of the Medical Research Council Questionnaire on Respiratory Symptoms (1966 version) was also carried out. The patient's lung function was also recorded based on the forced expiratory volume in 1 second measured using a Vitalograph J49-B2 spirometer, based on 2 consecutive readings 15 seconds apart (after an initial "practice"). The men were also split into two groups based on the presence or absence of CAD was also evaluated based on the World Health Organisation questionnaire on chest pain (which cover both CAD and MI), a 3-lead ECG recording and the patient reporting being given a diagnosis of angina or MI by a doctor. The patients were followed up for 5 years with 99% of the population being followed up. At the follow up there had been 166 nonfatal heart attacks, 119 fatal heart attacks or sudden cardiac deaths and 155 deaths from non-ischemic causes. |
| | The study applied logistic models to find the age standardised prevalence and incidence rates of angina with age being the continuous variable. The study considered the relationship between breathlessness and chest pain, with the result of men with breathlessness being more likely to have angina than those with chest pain or with non-exertional chest pain. Breathlessness was also more common in those with grade 2 angina than those with grade 1 angina (however the study states that grade1 angina only had 95 men and was too small to be used in evaluation). The study also considered the effect of smoking, which showed that smoking was not strongly related to breathlessness grade but not with smokers. This can be seen as men who had smoked had only a 39% higher rate of angina compared to those who had never smoked. The authors concluded that smoking was not an important risk factor for angina. However breathlessness was strongly related to angina (men with grade 2 or 3 breathlessness were 5 times as likely to develop angina after 5 years as those with grade 0 or 1). There was also a strong relationship between breathlessness and the presence of signs and symptoms of CAD. |

| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
|--|--|
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Yes |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Mixed population, selected from GP practices |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

Question: Are the symptoms and description of the symptoms different in women presenting with stable chest pain of suspected cardiac origin compared with men

| Grading: 2++ | High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal |
|--------------|---|
| | |

Diamond GA;Forrester JS;

Analysis of probability as an aid in the clinical diagnosis of coronary-artery disease

| Ref 2196 ID | The New | England journal of medicine | pgs: | 1350 | to | 1358 | 1979 |
|--|----------|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fundi | ng | Not r | eported. |
| Number of parti | cipants | Two separate cohorts assessed: 49 996 autopsies | 952 patie | ents refe | errec | d for co | ronary angiography, 23 |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Not applicable | | | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | Suspected stable angina in 1 cohor Patients were considered to have to brought on by physical exertion and nitroglycerin. Patients were considered to have a either not substernal or was not boo by rest or nitroglycerin. Patients we they did not have 1 or more of the a Autopsy: general population | ypical an d was reli atypical a ught on b re consid | gina if t ieved w ngina if by exert dered to | hey ithir the ion o ha | had su 10 min y had c or not r ve non- | Ibsternal discomfort nutes through rest or discomfort which was relieved after 10 minutes |
| Recruitment | | Patients referred for angiography | | | | | |
| Setting | | Secondary care, USA | | | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | Prevalence of coronary artery disea | ase base | ed on ag | ge, s | sex and | d symptoms. |
| Comparisons | | Coronary angiography in 1 cohort, | evidence | e of ster | nosis | s in 2 c | ohort at autopsy. |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 1 | Not applicable | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | res | Prevalence of coronary artery disea | ase base | ed on a | ge, s | sex and | J symptoms. |
| Results | | In 4953 patients with stable chest p disease in patients with typical ang atypical angina patients was a 50% pain patients was 16% (P < 0.001). similar to that in asymptomatic patients | ina symp prevale The pre | otoms w nce (P < valence | as a < 0.0 | about 9 001) an CAD ot | 0%, whereas for nd non-cardiac chest oserved at autopsy is |
| | | Significant differences in disease p according to age and sex. For wom aged 30 years to 39 years of age, t Women in all age ranges had a low ranges in men | ien the di o 7% for | ifferenc women | es r age | ange fr ed 60 y | om 0.3% for women vears to 69 years. |
| | | The pre-test likelihood of disease for age, sex and symptoms) was deter are a wide range of pre-test likeliho example a women with atypical sym 4% compared with 92% for a man a | mined by ods acco nptoms a | y condit ording to and age | iona o se d 35 | al-proba x, genc 5% has | ability analysis. There der and symptoms. For a pre-test likelihoods of |
| | | The authors noted that the approact formalisation of the intuition of the p | | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | 9 | Page 155 of 199 | | | | | |

| Safety and advorce | past experience to assess a patients' pre-test likelihoods. Both of these approaches relied upon the use of data from specific populations, but that they do provide reliable estimates of the probability of coronary artery disease based on the patients age, symptoms and gender. Not reported | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | Not reported | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Yes. The study reviewed the literature to estimate the pre-test likelihood of disease (defined by age, sex and symptoms), and the results were analysed through Bayes' theorem of conditional probability. The studied described how the probability of CAD can be determined in a patient before testing from information readily obtained from clinical evaluation. The study showed that combining data of the estimate of disease likelihood when the patient's age and sex are known and a second estimate when the presence or absence of symptoms are known provides an estimate of the pre-test likelihood of disease for any patients based on any combination of age, sex and symptoms can be determined by conditional-probability analysis. For example, the likelihood of a woman having CAD at age ranges less than 59 years and with typical angina symptoms will be lower than a man with in the comparable age ranges. | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients in cohort used to develop theoretical pre-test likelihoods had stable chest pain, directly applicable to the guideline. | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | |
| Zaman MJ;Junghans C;Sek | hri N;Chen R;Feder GS;Timmis AD;Hemingway H; | | | | |
| Presentation of stable angin | a pectoris among women and South Asian people.[see comment] | | | | |
| Ref 25388 CMAJ Ca ID 179(7):65 | anadian Medical Association Journal pgs: 659 _{to} 667 2008 59-67, | | | | |
| Study Type Cohord | t Funding In part, British Heart Foundation for primary author | | | | |
| Number of participants | Of 11 082 patients seen at the rapid chest pain access clinic the following patients where excluded; 579 previous CAD, 246 patients diagnosed with ACS on day of visit, 448 prior visit to the unit during study period, 291 no chest pain, 501 due to missing data, 83 pain not diagnosed with angina, 40 not tracked by the Office for National Statistics, 968 excluded as other ethnic background (not Caucasian or Asian). Thus of the final number of people identified (7794), 2676 were Caucasian women, 2929 were Caucasian men, 980 were South Asian women, and 1209 were South Asian men | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: suspected angina, recent onset chest pain | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Women South Asian median age 57.6 years (49 to 67 years), Women Caucasian median age 50.6 years (42 to 58 years) (P < 0.001), Men South Asian median age 49.8 years (41 to 69 years), Men Caucasian median age 54.7 years (45 to 65 years) (P < 0.001). South Asian versus Caucasian women more likely to have diabetes and hypertension, less likely to smoke. South Asian versus Caucasian men more likely to have hypertension, less likely to smoke. | | | | |
| Recruitment | Consecutive recent onset chest pain from 6 rapid access chest pain clinics | | | | |
| Setting | UK rapid access chest pain clinics | | | | |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Gender and race presentation atypical versus typical pain |
|--|---|
| Comparisons | Gender and race presentation atypical versus typical pain, outcomes of death from ACS and hospital admission due to ACS (coded according to ICD-10 classification) determined up to 3 years of clinic visit. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 years from clinic visit |
| Outcome measures studied | Outcomes of death from ACS and hospital admission due to ACS (coded according to ICD-10 classification) |
| Results | More women than men reported atypical chest pain symptoms (56.5% versus 54.5%, respectively P = 0.054). Cardiologists were more likely to describe the symptoms of women as atypical compared with men (73.3% agreement between cardiologist summary and the symptom score, kappa statistic 0.43). With respect to symptoms and diagnosis, sex did not modify the association between exercise echocardiology results and receiving a diagnosis of angina, and after excluding patients with a positive exercise test ut, cardiologist and typical symptom scores both remained predictive of a diagnosis of angina. With respect to symptoms and prognosis, using cardiologist summaries typical symptoms in women were more strongly associated with coronary death or ACS (hazard ratio 3.74, 95% CI 2.80 to 5.01) than among men (hazard ratio 1.51, 95% CI 1.16 to 1.97, P < 0.001). This finding was also true for symptom scores (women; hazard ratio 2.30, 95% CI 1.70 to 3.11, men; hazard ratio 1.23, 95% CI 0.96 to 1.57, P < 0.002). According to cardiologist summaries and symptom scores, women with typical symptoms were more likely than men to have coronary outcomes (cardiologist summaries for women hazard ratio 1.49, 95% CI 1.09 to 2.04, and symptom score for women hazard ratio 1.39, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.84). Women with atypical symptoms were less likely than men with atypical symptoms to experience a coronary outcome (unadjusted log rank test P = 0.001), although adjusted Cox regression ratios showed that atypical pain had similar prognostic value for coronary outcomes for women with typical symptoms had worse clinical outcomes. South Asians compared with Caucasians reported atypical chest pain symptom sote south Asians were also more likely to report pain that was not associated with exercise. With respect to symptoms and adagnosis, ethnicity did not modify the association between exercise echocardiology results and receiving a diagnosis of angina, and after excluding patients with a positive exercise test result, cardiologist autypical symptom score |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not applicable |
| Does the study answer the question? | The authors stated that compared to those with atypical chest pain, women with typical symptoms had worse clinical outcomes, with atypical chest pain, South Asians with typical symptoms had worse clinical outcomes. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Chest pain patients with suspected angina, directly relevant to guideline |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 157 of 199 |

Internal Validity

Well covered

Question: Are the symptoms and description of the symptoms different ¹⁵ in Black and Ethnic Minorities presenting with stable chest pain of suspected cardiac origin compared with Caucasians

| Grading: 2++ | High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a |
|--------------|--|
| | very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal |

Zaman MJ;Junghans C;Sekhri N;Chen R;Feder GS;Timmis AD;Hemingway H;

Presentation of stable angina pectoris among women and South Asian people.[see comment]

| Ref ID | 25388 | CMAJ Canadian Medical Association Journal 179(7):659-67, | pgs: | 659 | to 667 | 2008 |
|-----------|-------|--|------|-----|--------|------|
|-----------|-------|--|------|-----|--------|------|

| Study Type | Cohort | Funding |
|---|----------|---------|
| Number of partic | cipants | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | |
| Recruitment | | |
| Setting | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | |
| Comparisons | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 1 | |
| Outcome measur studied | res | |
| Results | | |
| Safety and adve effects | rse | |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? | |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | |
| Directly applicat guideline popula | | |
| Internal Validity | | |

Question: What is the utility (incremental value) and cost effectiveness of the resting ECG in evaluation of individuals with stable chest pain of suspected cardiac origin?

| Grading: 1++ | High-quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a very low risk of bias | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Chun AA;McGee SR; | | | | | |
| Bedside diagnosis of corona | ary artery disease: a systematic review | | | | |
| C C | pgs: 334 to 343 2004 | | | | |
| Study Type Syster | natic Review Funding Not reported | | | | |
| Number of participants | 64 studies | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The paper reviewed both studies of acute patients and stable patients. Acute patients The review considered patients with acute chest pain of suspected cardiac origin, ECG changes were found to the most discriminating criteria for the diagnosis of acute MI compared with signs and symptoms and risk factors. For a normal ECG the sensitivity was 1 to 13%, specificity was 48 to 77%, LR+ 0.20 (95%CI 0.1 to 0.3) and LR- 1.4 (95% CI 1.4 to 1.6). For ST-T wave abnormalities the sensitivity was 5 to 7%, specificity was 47 to 77%, LR+ 0.20 (95%CI 0.1 to 0.6) and LR- 1.5 (95% CI 0.9 to 2.6). For ST elevation the sensitivity was 31 to 49%, specificity was 97 to 100%, LR+ 22 (95%CI 16 to 30) and LR- 0.6 (95% CI 0.6 to 0.6). For ST depression the sensitivity was 20 to 62%, specificity was 88 to 96%, LR+ 4.5 (95%CI 3.6 to 5.6) and LR- 0.8 (95% CI 0.7 to 0.9). Q wave had a sensitivity of 10 to 34% and a specificity of 96 to 100%, LR+ 22 (95% CI 7.6 to 62) and LR- 0.8 (95% CI 0.8 to 0.9). T wave inversion had a sensitivity of 9 to 39%, and a specificity of 84 to 94%, LR+ 2.2 (95%CI 1.8 to 2.6) and LR- 0.9 (95% CI 0.8 to 1.0). The review found that for diagnosing coronary artery disease in patients with stable cheest pain the ECG gave little additional diagnostic information to the history and risk factor findings. Stable patients: Most studies, in patients presenting with stable intermittent chest pain were then referred for coronary angiography. The majority of these studies excluded patients | | | | |

| | with valvular heart disease or non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy. The studies used either > 50% stenosis or 70-75% stenosis off any epicardial vessel as the diagnostic standard. Patients presenting with acute MI were hospitalised for further monitoring and testing. |
|--|---|
| | The review found that for diagnosing coronary artery disease the ECG gave little additional diagnostic information. A normal ECG gave a sensitivity of 23 to 33%, a specificity of 50-69%, LR+ 0.7 (95%Cl 0.3 to 1.6) and a LR- 1.2 (95%Cl 0.8 to 1.9). For ST-T wave abnormalities the sensitivity was 14 to 44%, specificity was 73 to 93%, LR+ 1.4 (95%Cl 1.0 to 1.9) and LR- 0.9 (95% Cl 0.9 to 1.0). |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population |
| Internal Validity | |

Grading: 2++ High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal

Pryor DB;Shaw L;McCants CB;Lee KL;Mark DB;Harrell FE;Muhlbaier LH;Califf RM;

Value of the history and physical in identifying patients at increased risk for coronary artery disease

| Ref 1751 ID | Annals of | internal medicine | pgs: | 81 | to ⁹ | 90 1993 | |
|--|-----------|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fund | ling | Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, National Heart, Lung an Blood institute, National Library of Medicine | d |
| Number of part | icipants | 1030 patients, 168 had cardiac cath | neterizat | ion | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | sion | Inclusion: Symptomatic patients, re- coronary artery disease Exclusion: previous cardiac cathete | | or non-i | nvasi | ive testing for suspected | |
| Patient Charact | eristics | The mean age was 55, 37% were feweek, the mean durations of CAD is symptoms, 52% atypical angina symptoms, 52% atypical angina, 44% had diabetes, 11% had hyperlipider had a history of MI, 8% had Q wave failure, 0% had class IV congestive peripheral vascular disease, 3% had Of the patients who went on to have 31% were female, the mean pain from durations of CAD symptoms, 4% nonanocturnal angina, 53% smoked, 42% diabetes, 13% had hyperlipidemia, history of MI, 11% had Q waves on failure, 0% had class IV congestive peripheral vascular disease, 2% had It can therefore be seen that those to be male, smoke, have a history of suffering typical or progressive anging typical or progressive anging the second state of the secon | ymptom nptoms, smoke nia, 35% s on EC heart fa d cerebi e a cardi equency months, anginal % had a 42% ha ECG, 1 heart fa d cerebi having a f MI, ha | is was 20% r d, 41% 6 had CG, 14° cal vas ac cati 7 was 2 49% r pain, 2 history d ST-T 1% had illure, 1 ral vas a cardia | 12 m honar had ST-T % hac l% hac l% hac cular heteri epis had ty 4% p y of h wave d a his l% hac cular | onths, 28% had typical an aginal pain, 18% progressi a history of hypertension, wave changes on ECG, 18 d a history of congestive h ad ventricular gallop, 3% h disease ization the mean age was odes a week, the mean vpical angina symptoms, 4 rogressive angina, 24% ypertension, 10% had e changes on ECG, 33% h story of congestive heart ad ventricular gallop, 4% h disease. theterization were more lik | ngina ive 10% 8% heart had 56, 17% had a had kely |
| Recruitment Setting | | Patients were referred for non-invas Duke University Medical Centre US | | ing for | susp | ected coronary artery dise | ase |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | Physicians initial evaluation of patie anatomy | | suspe | ected | CAD predicts coronary | |
| Comparisons | | The presence of significant coronar disease, left main disease | y diseas | se defii | ned a | s any disease, severe | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | yl | 90 days | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | Effectiveness of chest pain score to | predict | corona | ary ar | tery disease | |
| Results | 9 | The three diagnostic outcomes wer disease defined as 'any disease' (≥ major coronary artery), presence of 'severe disease' (significant obstruct coronary artery) and the presence of 'left main disease' (168 patients refer Page 163 of 199 | 75% lui severe tion of a of signifi | minal c corona all 3 ma cant le | liame ary arl ain co ft mai | ter narrowing of at least o tery disease defined as pronary arteries or the left in artery obstruction define | main ed as |

| Safety and adverse | outcome was survival at 3 years. In the multivariable regression model used, the following variables were significant predictors for any disease; significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes (as well as age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia and previous history of myocardial infarction). For severe disease, the following variables were significant predictors; significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes (as well as age, gender, chest pain (type, frequency, course, nocturnal, length of time present), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit and previous history of myocardial infarction). For left main disease ECG changes were not significant predictors. For survival at 3 years the following variables were significant predictors; significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes, conduction abnormalities, (as well as age, gender, chest pain (frequency, course, nocturnal), peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, ventricular gallop, previous history of myocardial infarction, premature ventricular contractions and cardiomegaly). The likelihood of any disease, severe coronary disease, left main disease and survival was predicted from the initial history, physical examination, electrocardiogram and chest X ray (these tests were defined as the 'initial evaluation'). The models which were used were based on mathematical models in a previous study (Pryor, 1983 – see extraction). Predicted coronary artery endpoints and survival based on the initial evaluation closely corresponded to actual findings. Predictions using the initial evaluation evaluation was slightly better at distinguishing patients with and without coronary artery disease compared with the treadmill exercise test. The initial evaluation was slightly better at distinguishing patients with and without coronary artery disease compared with the treadmill exercise test. The initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test was slightly better for identify patients with le |
|--|--|
| effects | |
| Does the study answer the question? | In the multivariable regression model used, the following variables were significant predictors for any disease; significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For severe disease, the following variables were significant predictors; significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For left main disease ECG results were not significant predictors; significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For survival at 3 years the following variables were significant predictors; significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. The likelihood of any disease, severe coronary disease, left main disease and survival was predicted from the initial history, physical examination, electrocardiogram and chest X ray (these tests were defined as the 'initial evaluation'). Predicted coronary artery endpoints and survival based on the initial evaluation were then compared with predictions based on the treadmill exercise test. The initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test had similar discriminatory performances for patients with and without severe disease and risk of death at 3 years, while for left main disease, while the treadmill exercise test was slightly better for identify patients with left main disease, while the treadmill exercise test was slightly better for identify patients with left main disease, such a grevious study (Pryor, 1983 – see extraction). |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

Grading: 2+

Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal

Pryor DB;Shaw L;McCants CB;Lee KL;Mark DB;Harrell FE;Muhlbaier LH;Califf RM;

Value of the history and physical in identifying patients at increased risk for coronary artery disease

| Ref 1751 ID | Annals of | internal medicine | pgs: | 81 | to ^g | 90 1993 | |
|--|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Study Type | Cohort | | | Fund | ing | Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, National Heart, Lung and Blood institute, National Library of Medicine | |
| Number of part | ticipants | 1030 patients, 168 had cardiac ca | utheterizat | ion | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | Ision/ExclusionInclusion: Symptomatic patients, referred for non-invasive testing for suspecte coronary artery disease Exclusion: previous cardiac catheterization | | | | | | |
| Patient Charac | teristics | The mean age was 55, 37% were female, the mean pain frequency was 2 episodes a week, the mean durations of CAD symptoms was 12 months, 28% had typical angina symptoms, 52% atypical angina symptoms, 20% nonanginal pain, 18% progressive angina, 22% nocturnal angina, 44% smoked, 41% had a history of hypertension, 10% had diabetes, 11% had hyperlipidemia, 35% had ST-T wave changes on ECG, 18% had a history of MI, 8% had Q waves on ECG, 14% had a history of congestive heart failure, 0% had class IV congestive heart failure, 1% had ventricular gallop, 3% had peripheral vascular disease, 3% had cerebral vascular disease Of the patients who went on to have a cardiac catheterization the mean age was 56, 31% were female, the mean pain frequency was 2 episodes a week, the mean durations of CAD symptoms was 7 months, 49% had typical angina symptoms, 47% atypical angina symptoms, 4% nonanginal pain, 24% progressive angina, 24% nocturnal angina, 53% smoked, 42% had a history of hypertension, 10% had diabetes, 13% had hyperlipidemia, 42% had ST-T wave changes on ECG, 33% had a history of MI, 11% had Q waves on ECG, 11% had a history of congestive heart failure, 0% had class IV congestive heart failure, 1% had ventricular gallop, 4% had peripheral vascular disease, 2% had cerebral vascular disease. It can therefore be seen that those having a cardiac catheterization were more likely to be male, smoke, have a history of MI, have ST-T wave changes on ECG and to be | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | Patients were referred for non-inv | | ing for | suspe | ected coronary artery disease | |
| Setting | | Duke University Medical Centre U | SA | | | | |
| Interventions/ Factor being investigated | Test/ | Physicians initial evaluation of paranatomy | ients with | suspe | cted | CAD predicts coronary | |
| Comparisons | | The presence of significant corona disease, left main disease | ary diseas | se defir | ned as | s any disease, severe | |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | ly/ | 90 days | | | | | |
| Outcome meas studied | ures | Effectiveness of chest pain score | to predict | corona | ary ar | tery disease | |
| Results | 09 | The three diagnostic outcomes we disease defined as 'any disease' major coronary artery), presence 'severe disease' (significant obstri- coronary artery) and the presence 'left main disease' (168 patients re Page 165 of 199 | (≥ 75% lur of severe uction of a of signific eferred for | ninal d corona all 3 ma cant lei | iame iry art ain co ft mai | ter narrowing of at least one ery disease defined as ronary arteries or the left main n artery obstruction defined as | |

| | outcome was survival at 3 years. In the multivariable regression model used, the following variables were significant predictors for any disease; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, previous history of myocardial infarction, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For severe disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type, frequency, course, nocturnal, length of time present), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, previous history of myocardial infarction, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For left main disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, peripheral or cerebral artery disease and carotid bruit. For survival at 3 years the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (frequency, course, nocturnal), peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, ventricular gallop, previous history of myocardial infarction, significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes, conduction abnormalities, premature ventricular contractions and cardiomegaly. The likelihood of any disease, severe coronary disease, left main disease and survival was predicted from the initial history, physical examination, electrocardiogram and chest X ray (these tests were defined as the 'initial evaluation'). Predicted coronary artery endpoints and survival based on the initial evaluation closely corresponded to actual findings. Predictions using the initial evaluation closely corresponded to actual findings. Predictions using the initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test had similar discriminatory performances for patients with and without severe disease and risk of death at 3 years, while for left main disease, the treadmill exercise test was slightly better for identify patients with left main disease. |
|--|---|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | In the multivariable regression model used, the following variables were significant predictors for any disease; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, previous history of MI, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For severe disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type, frequency, course, nocturnal, length of time present), diabetes, smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, previous history of MI, and significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes. For left main disease, the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (type), diabetes, peripheral or cerebral artery disease and carotid bruit. For survival at 3 years the following variables were significant predictors; age, gender, chest pain (frequency, course, nocturnal, peripheral or cerebral artery disease, carotid bruit, ventricular gallop, previous history of MI, significant Q waves and ST-T wave changes, conduction abnormalities, premature ventricular contractions and cardiomegaly. The likelihood of any disease, severe coronary disease, left main disease and survival was predicted from the initial history, physical examination, electrocardiogram and chest X ray (these tests were defined as the 'initial evaluation'). Predicted coronary artery endpoints and survival based on the initial evaluation closely corresponded to actual findings. Predictions using the initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test. The initial evaluation and the treadmill exercise test had similar discriminatory performances for patients with and without severe disease and risk of death at 3 years, while for left main disease, while the treadmill exercise test was slightly better for identify patients with left main disease, the models which were used were based on mathematical models in a previous study. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | predicting coronary disease, however they could be used to predict survival. Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 166 of 199 |

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Correct population

Internal Validity

Well covered

Question: What is the diagnostic utility of calcium scoring for the

evaulation of patients with stable chest pain of cardiac origin.

Becker CR;Knez A;Jakobs TF;Aydemir S;Becker A;Schoepf UJ;Bruening R;Haberl R;Reiser MF;

Detection and quantification of coronary artery calcification with electron-beam and conventional CT

| Ref 11854 ID | Eur Radiol | pgs: 620 | to 624 | 1999 |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Study Type | Diagnostic | Fund | l ing Not | t reported. |
| Number of parti | cipants | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | |
| Setting | | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 1 | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | res | | | |
| Results | | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | rse | | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? Volume score were 401 calcium scores were hig angiographic status (P = predict stenosis was 99 as a cut-off. Sensitivity a | s, 81% had positive calciu \pm 382 (range 0 to 6941) an ther for men compared wit = 0.001). Overall sensitivity % and 37%, respectively, v and specificity dependant of lation in diagnostic accura r = 0.99). | d 348±299 h women r / and spec when calci upon calci | e) (range 0 to 5827). Total regardless of ifficity for both scores to fication of > 1 was used um scores threshold. |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | | | |
| Consistency of results with oth studies? | er | | | |
| Directly application guideline popul | | applicable. | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | |
| Budoff M.I.Diamon | d GA:Raggi P:Arad Y:Guerci AD:C: | allister TO Berman D | | |

Budoff MJ;Diamond GA;Raggi P;Arad Y;Guerci AD;Callister TQ;Berman D;

Continuous probabilistic prediction of angiographically significant coronary artery disease using electron beam tomography

| Ref 9143 ID | Circulation | pgs: 1791 _{to} 1796 | 2002 | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Study Type Number of parti | Diagnostic icipants | Funding Not re | ported. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | 'est/ | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 11 | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | | | | |
| Results | | | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | | | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? 6649). Overall sensitivity pre- 40% for calcium scoring. For decreased from 90% to 79% Of 1851 patients, 938 (53%) and their mean total calcium lower for patients without obs with range 0 to 3761, P > 0.0 Calcium scoring considerably patients. Patients that exhibit | 79%) had a total calcium score of a diction of obstructive CAD was 96° calcium scores >20, >80 and >10° to 76%, specificity increased from had luminal stenosis greater 50% score was 608 (range 0 to 6646). structive disease (838 patients, me 01) compared with patients with o y alters the post test probability ac ted the greatest change from pre- test probabilities ranging from 20% | % and specificity was 0, sensitivity 158% to 72% to 75%. in 1 or more vessels, Calcium scores were ean calcium score 123 bstructive disease. ross a wide range of to post-test probability | | |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | | | | |
| Consistency of results with oth studies? | er | | | | |
| Directly application guideline popul | | icable. | | | |
| Internal Validity | , | | | | |
| Haberl,R.; Becker,A.; Leber,A.; Knez,A.; Becker,C.; Lang,C.; Bruning,R.; Reiser,M.; Steinbeck,G. | | | | | |
| | onary calcification and angiographically c sease: results of 1,764 patients | locumented stenoses in patients w | vith suspected | | |
| Ref 10437 ID | Journal of the American College of Car | diology pgs: 451 _{to} 457 | 2001 | | |

15 September 2009

| | gnostic | Funding | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Number of participan | its | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | |
| Patient Characteristic | cs | | |
| Recruitment | | | |
| Setting | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | |
| Comparisons | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | |
| Results | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | |
| Does the study answer the question | higher scores, and calcium higher than those patients w in 128 (23.7%) of 540 men coronary artery disease, as with coronary stenoses great calcification was associated than or equal to 50% in me for calcium scores were hig especially marked for a score | mpared with women, increasing age was scores in patients with coronary artery vithout coronary artery disease. No cal- and in 116 (40.8%) of 284 women with compared with 5 (0.7%) of 685 men ar- ater than or equal to 50%. Thus, exclu- d with an extremely low probability of ste- en and women. At various score ranges her than their respective specificities a re > 0 (any calcium detected) (sensitivi ficities; 23% in men and 40% in women | disease were cium was detected out significant nd 0 of 255 women sion of coronary enoses greater s. The sensitivities nd this was ties; 99% in men |
| Effect due to factor in study? | n | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population | | ble. | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | |
| Knez A;Becker A;Leber A | A;White C;Becker CR;Reiser MF | ;Steinbeck G;Boekstegers P; | |
| Relation of coronary calc patients | ium scores by electron beam tor | nography to obstructive disease in 2,11 | 15 symptomatic |
| Ref ₆₁₈₄ Am J ID | Cardiol | pgs: 1150 _{to} 1152 | 2004 |
| Study Type Dia | gnostic | Funding Not repor | ted |
| Number of participan | nts | | |

| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | |
|--|---|
| Patient Characteristics | |
| Recruitment | |
| Setting | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | |
| Comparisons | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | |
| Outcome measures studied | |
| Results | |
| Safety and adverse effects | |
| Does the study answer the question? | 2115 patients referred by primary care physicians with suspected myocardial ischaemia (with no prior CAD), 1789 patients (84%) had positive Ca score (> 0). Patients with CAD versus patients without CAD Agatston score 492±1124 versus 323±842 / Volumetric 486±842 versus 53± 175. No CAD found in 326 symptomatic patients without coronary calcium (7 men and 1 woman had no calcium but had significant luminal stenosis on coronary angiography). Sensitivity and specificity for presence of any coronary calcium being predicative of obstructive angiographic disease were 99% and 28% respectively. For prediction of coronary stenosis a Volume score in the 75th percentile best compromise of a sensitivity 85% and specificity 80%, an Agatston score sensitivity 86% and specificity 75%. ROC curve analysis showed best results for patients age < 40 years. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The results are directly applicable. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Konieczynska M;Tracz W;F | asowicz M;Przewlocki T; |
| Use of coronary calcium sc | ore in the assessment of atherosclerotic lesions in coronary arteries |
| Ref 2708 Kardiol I ID | Pol pgs: 1073 to 1079 2006 |
| Study Type Diagn | ostic Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participants | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | |
| Patient Characteristics | |
| | |

| Recruitment |
|-------------|
|-------------|

| Setting | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | 340 patients had mean calcium score of 0 / 248 patients > 0. 162 patients calcium scores increased w calcium score mean differences w stenosis, and patients with vessel 70% stenosis and three-vessel dis 4716, 3 patients). For calcium score specificity 85%. PPV 86% and NP 44 women and 48 men. In 44 women (6.5%) with calcium scores of 0, cd disease in 3 men, 2 vessel disease | patients (ith coron: ere signi disease, sease had re greate V 84%. 9 nen coror pronary a | 48%) no s ary artery of ficant com respective d median s or or equal 2 patients nary angiograph | significant a disease sev paring patie ely (P < 0.0 score of 374 to 56 sensi (27%) had graphy no s ny found ste | ngiographic legions. verity, and the ents without coronary 01). Patients with > 40 (range 2635 to itivity 86% and calcium scores of 0: stenosis. In 6 men enoses; single vessel | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | ı | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population | |) . | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | | |
| Pundziute G;Schuijf JD;J | ukema JW;Lamb HJ;de RA;van der Wa | all EE;Ba | x JJ; | | | | |
| Impact of coronary calciu for detection of coronary | m score on diagnostic accuracy of mul artery disease | tislice co | mputed to | mography | coronary angiography | | |
| Ref ₂₃₃₄ J Nuc ID | Cardiol | pgs: | 36 to | ₀ 43 | 2007 | | |
| Study Type Dia | gnostic | | Funding | Cardiol | an Society of ogy and Netherlands Foundation. | | |
| Number of participan | ts | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristic | Patient Characteristics | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Setting | |
|--|--|
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | |
| Comparisons | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | |
| Outcome measures studied | |
| Results | |
| Safety and adverse effects | |
| Does the study answer the question? | 41 patients 16 slice-CT and 60 patients 64-slcie CT. 16-slice MSCT: coronary angiography detected obstructive coronary lesions in 18 (44%) patients, and overall calcium score sensitivity and specificity values 89% and 87%. 64-slice MSCT: coronary angiography detected obstructive coronary lesions in 32 (53%) patients, and the overall sensitivity and specificity values 91% and 96%. There was little difference in the diagnostic accuracy of 16- and 64-slice MSCT between the four Agatston groups (0 to 100, 101 to 400, > 400 and > 100) Patients with > 70% stenosis and only single vessel involvement had a median score of 482 (range 23 to 2450, 12 patients). |
| Effect due to factor in study? | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The results are directly applicable. |
| Internal Validity | |

Grading: 2+

Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal

Herzog C;Britten M;Balzer JO;Mack MG;Zangos S;Ackermann H;Schaechinger V;Schaller S;Flohr T;Vogl TJ;

Multidetector-row cardiac CT: diagnostic value of calcium scoring and CT coronary angiography in patients with symptomatic, but atypical, chest pain

| Ref 6464 ID | Eur Radio | I | | pgs: | 169 | to 17 | 7 2004 |
|--|-----------|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| Study Type | Diagno | stic | | | Fundin | ng | Not reported. |
| Number of part | icipants | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | ision | | | | | | |
| Patient Charact | eristics | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | ſest/ | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | y/ | | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ures | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the que | | 52%, NPV 80%. NPV 72%. Highl Patients with no mean total score single vessel inv | For calcium so y significant co signs of athero of 104 (rang- volvement had 70% stenosis a | core > 400, s prelation betwo osclerosis fro e 0 to 1459). a median sco nd three-vess | ensitivity ween cal m coron Patients ore of 48 | / 67% cium ary a s with 2 (ra | y 94%, specificity 25%, PPV 6, specificity 25%, PPV 75%, score and degree of CAD. ngiography (20 patients) > 70% stenosis and only nge 23 to 2450, 12 patients). ad median score of 3740 |
| Effect due to fa study? | ctor in | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with oth studies? | | | | | | | |
| Directly applica guideline popul | | The results are | directly applica | able. | | | |
| Internal Validity | / | | | | | | |

Kitamura A;Kobayashi T;Ueda K;Okada T;Awata N;Sato S;Shimamoto T; 15 September 2009 Page 175 of 199 Evaluation of coronary artery calcification by multi-detector row computed tomography for the detection of coronary artery stenosis in Japanese patients

| anery stenosis in J | - | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Ref 4238 ID | J Epidem | iol | pgs: | 187 _{to} ' | 193 | 2005 | | |
| Study Type | Diagno | ostic | | Funding | Not reporte | ed. | | |
| Number of parti | cipants | | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | | | | | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | res | | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? | 38 consecutive patients. Fo 52%, NPV 80%. For calcium NPV 72%. Highly significant Patients with no signs of ath mean total scores of 104 (ra single vessel involvement has Patients with > 70% stenosi (range 2635 to 4716, 3 patients) | n score > 400, s t correlation bet herosclerosis fro ange 0 to 1459) ad a median sc s and three-ves | sensitivity 67 ween calcium om coronary . Patients with ore of 482 (r | %, specificit m score and angiography th > 70% ste ange 23 to 2 | y 25%, PPV 75%, degree of CAD. (20 patients) nosis and only 2450, 12 patients). | | |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with oth studies? | er | | | | | | | |
| Directly application guideline population | | The results are directly app | licable. | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | | | |
| Lau GT;Ridley LJ;Schieb MC;Brieger DB;Freedman SB;Wong LA;Lo SK;Kritharides L; | | | | | | | | |
| Coronary artery ste | Coronary artery stenoses: detection with calcium scoring, CT angiography, and both methods combined | | | | | | | |
| Ref ₄₈₉₈ ID | Radiology | ý | pgs: | 415 to 4 | 422 | 2005 | | |
| Study Type | Diagno | ostic | | Funding | | nts of Cardiology ogy, Concord | | |
| 15 September 2000 | 2 | Page 176 | of 100 | | | - 37, - 5110014 | | |

15 September 2009

| Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? 50 consecutive patients. Coronary stenosis greater 50% present in 30 (60%) of 50 patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients had multivessel issease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients whom the presence or absence of stenosis greater for patients had no individual vessels and segments as demonstrated by ROUTONIC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in studie? The results are directly applicable. curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in studie? The results are directly applicable. curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in studie? The results are directly applicable. curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Ref CL_Gallagher MJ;ONeill UWV;Goldstein JA; Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. rd _ 4496 J Am Coll Cardiol Ministrelli Cardiovascular Research Tud. Sto _ 52 to _ 57 2 005 < | | Research Grant. |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Pollow-up Outcome measures studied Results Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? 50 consecutive patients. Coronary stenosis greater 50% present in 30 (60%) of 50 patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sinteen patients duritivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared utilivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared utilivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared utilivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared utilivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium score stenosis greater for patients than for individual vessels and spements as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (are under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? The results are directly applicable. Directly applicable to guideline population? The results are directly applicable. Directly applicable to guideline population? The results are dir | Number of participants | |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied Results Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? Spatients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients had multivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with sterosis compared with patients without sterosis: 700±47 tersus 99±140 (V < 0.001). Calcium score to usessels and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? The results are directly applicable. guideline population? Directly applicable to guideline population? The results are directly applicable. guideline population? Internal Validity J Am Coll Cardiol gs: 552 to 557 205 Study Type Diagnostic Funding Mistrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. | | |
| Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied Results Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? 50 consecutive patients. Coronary stenosis greater 50% present in 30 (60%) of 50 patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients and multivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium score swere higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700±541 versus 99±140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium score swere higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700±541 versus 99±140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score to discriminate between the presence or absence of stenosis greater for patients than for individual versests and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? The results are directly applicable. guideline population? Internal Validity The results are directly applicable. Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ring J Am Coll Cardiol pg: 52 to 57 2005 Study Type Diagnostic Funding Ministrelii Cardiovascular | Patient Characteristics | |
| Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied Results Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? 50 consecutive patients. Coronary stenosis greater 50% present in 30 (60%) of 50 patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients had multivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700_eff.41 versus 99=140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700_eff.41 versus 99=140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700_eff.41 versus 99=140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score to discriminate between the presence or absence of stenosis greater for patients than for individual vessels and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Raff GL:Gallagher MJ;O'Neill WW;Goldstein JA; Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ref 4496 Jam Coll Cardiol pg: 552 to 557 2005 Study Type Diagnostic Lardiol pg: 552 to 557 2005 | Recruitment | |
| Factor being investigated Safety and adverse effects Safety and adverse effects 50 consecutive patients. Coronary stenosis greater 50% present in 30 (60%) of 50 patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients had multivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700±541 versus 99±140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700±541 versus 99±140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score to discriminate between the presence or absence of stenosis greater for patients than for individual vessels and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? The results are directly applicable. Directly applicable to guideline population? The results are directly applicable. Internal Validity Jam Coll Cardiol pgs: 552 to 557 205 Study Type Diagnostic Funding Ministrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. | Setting | |
| Leight of Study/ Follow-up Sudy/ Policome measures Outcome measures Safety and adverse effects Safety and adverse effects So consecutive patients. Coronary stenosis greater 50% present in 30 (60%) of 50 patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients had multivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 7004541 versus 99±140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score to discriminate between the presence or absence of stenosis greater for patients than for individual vessels and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? The results are directly applicable. Opicetly applicable to guideline population? The results are directly applicable. Internal Validity The results are directly applicable. Ref GL;Gallagher MJ;O'Neill WW;Goldstein JA; 10g Adde accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ref 10 JAm Coll Cardiol pg: 552 to 557 2005 Study Type Diagnostic Funding Ministrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. | Factor being | |
| Follow-up Outcome measures studied Safety and adverse effects Safety and adverse effects 50 consecutive patients. Coronary stenosis greater 50% present in 30 (60%) of 50 patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients had multivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700±541 versus 99±140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score to discriminate between the presence or absence of stenosis greater for patients than for individual vessels and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? The results are directly applicable. Directly applicable to guideline population? The results are directly applicable. Internal Validity The results are directly applicable. Raff GL:Gallagher MJ;O'Neill WW;Goldstein JA; Internal Validity JAm Coll Cardiol pgs: 552 to 557 2005 Study Type Diagnostic Funding Ministrelii Cardiovascular Research Fund. | Comparisons | |
| Outcome measures Safety and adverse Results Safety and adverse effects Does the study answer the question? 50 consecutive patients. Coronary stenosis greater 50% present in 30 (60%) of 50 patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients had multivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700±541 versus 99±140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium score or disence of stenosis greater for patients than for individual vessels and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? The results are directly applicable. Onisitency of results with other studies? The results are directly applicable. Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ref 4496 J Am Coll Cardiol pg: 552 to 557 2005 Study Type Diagnostic Funding Ministrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. Funding Ministrelli Cardiovascular | | |
| Safety and adverse effects 50 consecutive patients. Coronary stenosis greater 50% present in 30 (60%) of 50 disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients with outrist stenosis. ToDe541 versus 99:1400 (P < 0.001). Calcium score to discriminate between the presence or absence of stenosis greater for patients than for individual vessels and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? The results are directly applicable. Internal Validity The results are directly applicable. Ref GL:Gallagher MJ:O'Neill WW;Goldstein JA; Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ref _ 4496 J Am Coll Cardiol pgs: 552 to 557 2005 Study Type Diagnostic Funding Ministrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. | | |
| effects Does the study answer the question? 50 consecutive patients. Coronary stenosis greater 50% present in 30 (60%) of 50 patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients had multivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700-541 versus 99±140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score to discriminate between the presence or absence of stenosis greater for patients than for individual vessels and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Raff GL;Gallagher MJ;O'Neill WW;Goldstein JA; Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ref14496 J Am Coll Cardiol pgS; 552 to 557 2005 Study Type Diagnostic Fund. | Results | |
| answer the question? patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients had multivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium score were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700±541 versus 99±140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score to discriminate between the presence or absence of stenosis greater for patients than for individual vessels and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC curve 0.88, 0.84 and 0.74, respectively). Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? The results are directly applicable. Internal Validity Raff GL;Gallagher MJ;O'Neill WW;Goldstein JA; Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ref 10 JAm Coll Cardiol Pgs: 552 to 557 2005 Study Type Diagnostic Funding Ministrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. | - | |
| study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? The results are directly applicable. nternal Validity Raff GL;Gallagher MJ;O'Neill WW;Goldstein JA; Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ref 4496 J Am Coll Cardiol Pgs: 552 to 557 Study Type Diagnostic | | patients. 14 patients had single vessel disease 16 sixteen patients had multivessel disease. Sensitivity and specificity varied according to calcium score thresholds. Mean calcium scores were higher in patients with stenosis compared with patients without stenosis: 700 ± 541 versus 99 ± 140 (P < 0.001). Calcium score to discriminate between the presence or absence of stenosis greater for patients than for individual vessels and segments as demonstrated by ROC curve analysis (area under ROC |
| results with other studies? The results are directly applicable. Directly applicable to guideline population? The results are directly applicable. Internal Validity Internal Validity Raff GL;Gallagher MJ;O'Neill WW;Goldstein JA; Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ref 4496 J Am Coll Cardiol pgs: 552 to 557 2005 Study Type Diagnostic Funding Ministrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. | | |
| guideline population? Internal Validity Raff GL;Gallagher MJ;O'Neill WW;Goldstein JA; Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ref 4496 ID 4496 J Am Coll Cardiol pgs: 552 to Study Type Diagnostic | results with other | |
| Raff GL;Gallagher MJ;O'Neill WW;Goldstein JA; Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. Ref 4496 J Am Coll Cardiol pgs: 552 to 557 2005 Study Type Diagnostic Eunding Ministrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. | | The results are directly applicable. |
| Diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography.Ref ID4496J Am Coll CardiolpgS:552to5572005Study TypeDiagnosticFundingMinistrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. | Internal Validity | |
| Ref IDJ Am Coll Cardiolpgs:552to5572005Study TypeDiagnosticFunding Research Fund.Ministrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. | Raff GL;Gallagher MJ;O'Neil | I WW;Goldstein JA; |
| Study Type Diagnostic Funding Ministrelli Cardiovascular Research Fund. | Diagnostic accuracy of nonir | wasive coronary angiography using 64-slice spiral computed tomography. |
| Research Fund. | | l Cardiol pgs: 552 to 557 2005 |
| | Study Type Diagno | |
| | Number of participants | Research Fund. |
| | | |

| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | 70 consecutive patients. The mean capatients: scores from 0 to $100 / 17$ pa had scores of 401 to 1804. When a capecificity, and positive and negative stenosis (stenosis > 50%) were 94%, also good for score 101 to 400, howe negative predictive values were reduced. | atients alcium predic , 95%, ever, w | scores of 1 n score was ctive values 94% and 9 vith extreme | 01 to 400, ar low (0 to 100 for the prese 5%. Diagnos | nd 18 out of 70 D), sensitivity, ence of significant tic accuracy was | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The results are directly applicable. | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | | |
| Rubinshtein R;Gaspar T;Hal | on DA;Goldstein J;Peled N;Lewis BS; | | | | | | |
| | structive coronary artery disease in pat r computed tomography for evaluation | | | | score undergoing | | |
| Ref ₂₃₁₇ Am J Car ID | diol | pgs: | 472 to | 475 | 2007 | | |
| Study Type Diagno | ostic | | Funding | Not reporte | ed. | | |
| Number of participants | | | - | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | Patient Characteristics | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | Recruitment | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up **Outcome measures** studied Results Safety and adverse effects 231 low to intermediate risk CAD based on calcium score calcium score patients. Does the study Obstructive CAD (greater than 50%) in 9 patients (7%) with calcium score = 0. In answer the question? patients with a low calcium score (1 to 100) obstructive CAD in 18 patients. Highly significant correlation between calcium score and degree of CAD. Patients with no signs of atherosclerosis from coronary angiography (20 patients) mean total scores of 104 (range 0 to 1459). Effect due to factor in study? **Consistency of** results with other studies? The results are directly applicable. Directly applicable to guideline population?

Internal Validity

Question: What is the diagnostic utility of non-invasive and invasive tests for the evaluation of patients with stable chest pain of suspected cardiac origin.

| Grading: 1++ | High-quality meta-a or RCTs with a ver | | • | ic review: | s of RCTs, |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Sharples L;Hughes V;Crear | A;Dyer M;Buxton M;Goldsmith | n K;Stone D; | | | |
| | onal cardiac testing in the diagr The CECaT trial. [Review] [207 | | agement of | coronary ar | tery disease: a |
| Ref ₅₂₇ Health T ID | echnol Assess | pgs: | 1 to | 115 | 2007 |
| Study Type Diagno | ostic | | Funding | HTA NHS | R&D programme. |
| Number of participants | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The aim of the study was to c randomised to functional test angiography. The clinical out protocol) at 18 months. After angiography, 94% of SPECT echocardiography patients (F of MRI patients and 25% of s an angiogram. Positive functi 83% of SPECT patients, 89% Negative functional tests wer patients, 52% of MRI patients artery bypass graft surgery w the MRI group and 13% in bo coronary artery intervention v the SPECT group and 23% ir At 18 months, there was no c SPECT and stress echo with mean total exercise time corr less (P < 0.05) with an upper group). It was concluded that using functional testing as a | ts (SPECT, MR come measure initial testing, t (P = 0.05), 78° P < 0.001). Twe stress echo pati ional tests were 6 of MRI patien re followed by p s and 48% of s vas performed i both the SPECT was performed n both the MRI clinical difference angiography. pared with the limit of the CI | Al, stress ecc was exerce there were % of MRI (I enty two pe ients were e confirmed ts and 84% positive ang tress echo in 10% of th and stress in 25% of t and stress ce in total e The MRI gr angiograp 1.14 minute 25% patie | cho) compar sise time (Mo unequivocal P < 0.001) a rcent of SPE not subseque by positive of stress e giograms in patients tes ne angiograf echo group he angiograf echo group he angiograf echo group he angiograf echo group mes less than ents can avo | ed with odified Bruce results for 98% of nd 90% of stress CT patients, 20% ently referred for angiography in cho patients. 31% of SPECT ted. Coronary ohy group, 11% in . Percutaneous phy group, 18% in e comparing hificantly shorter ean 35 seconds in the angiography id invasive testing |

outcome. MRI had the largest number of test failures and in this study had the least practical use in screening patients with suspected CAD, although it had similar outcomes to stress echo.

Effect due to factor in study?

Consistency of results with other studies?

Directly applicable to

guideline population?

The results are directly applicable to the guideline.

Internal Validity

Grading: 1+ Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias Gianrossi R;Detrano R;Mulvihill D;Lehmann K;Dubach P;Colombo A;McArthur D;Froelicher V; Exercise-induced ST depression in the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. A meta-analysis. [Review] [171 refs] Circulation to ⁹⁸ 1989 Ref 87 17910 pgs: ID Not reported. Study Type Systematic Review Funding Number of participants Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria **Patient Characteristics** Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied Results Safety and adverse effects The SR reports that there are wide variabilities in the sensitivities and the specificities Does the study in the identified 147 diagnostic studies (mean sensitivity, 68%; range, 23-100%; SD, answer the question? 16%; and mean specificity, 77%; range, 17-100%; SD, 17%). These differences cannot be explained by publication year, but lower sensitivities are reported in studies with consider additional tests in conjunction with exercise ECG.

Effect due to factor in study? **Consistency of**

results with other studies?

The results of the study are applicable to the guideline.

guideline population?

Directly applicable to

Internal Validity

Grading: 2++ High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies High-quality case–control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal

Danias PG;Roussakis A;Ioannidis JP;

Diagnostic performance of coronary magnetic resonance angiography as compared against conventional X-ray angiography: a meta-analysis. [Review] [60 refs]

| Ref 5534 ID | J Am Coll Cardiol | pgs: 1867 _{to} 1876 | 2004 |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Study Type Number of parti | Diagnostic icipants | Funding Not stat | ed. |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | | |
| Recruitment | | | |
| Setting | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | | |
| Comparisons | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | I | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | | |
| Results | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | | |
| Does the study answer the que | stion? segment, vessel and patier segments of native coronal | c resonance angiography diagnostic p nt level, and meta-analysis found that ry arteries, coronary magnetic resonar for detecting significant proximal sten | in evaluable nce angiography has |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | | |
| Consistency of results with oth studies? | er | | |
| Directly applica guideline popul | | directly applicable to the guideline. | |
| Internal Validity | , | | |
| Heijenbrok-Kal MH | l;Fleischmann KE;Hunink MG; | | |

Stress echocardiography, stress single-photon-emission computed tomography and electron beam computed tomography for the assessment of coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of diagnostic performance

| Ref 1215 ID | Am Heart | J | pgs: | 415 | to ' | 423 2007 |
|---|-----------|---|---------|----------|------|--|
| Study Type | Diagnos | stic | | Fundi | ng | Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (program grant 904-66-09) and grant from American Society of Echocardiology |
| Number of partie | cipants | | | | | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | | | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ To Factor being investigated | est/ | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 1 | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | res | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? | Study identifies the sensitivities and s assessment of diagnostic performanc for the guideline. | | | | |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | | | | | |
| Directly applical guideline popula | | The results are directly applicable to | the gu | iideline | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | |
| Mowatt G;Cummin | s E;Waugł | n N;Walker S;Cook J;Jia X;Hillis GS;Fr | raser (| С; | | |
| | | cal effectiveness and cost-effectivenes e to invasive coronary angiography in t | | | | |
| Ref 20845 ID | Health Te | chnol Assess | pgs: | 1 | to | 143 2008 |
| Study Type | Diagno | stic | | Fundi | ng | HTA NHS R&D programme. |
| Number of partie | - | | | | J | |
| • | • | | | | | |

| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | This SR and meta-analysis aimed to CAD when compared to conventiona Twenty-one diagnostic studies (n=12 included patient (n=18), segment (n= descending (LAD) overall (n=7), LAD right coronary artery overall (n=7), st prevalence of CAD across the 21 st derived for each level of analysis e.g level. Sensitivity, specificity, PPV ar 89%, 93%, and 100%, respectively. 97%, 76% and 99%, respectively. Th participants. In some studies the par were all known CAD or a mixture of | al CA. N 286 pat =17), le D proxir tents (r udies w g. one f nd NPV For se he stud rticipan | Method tients) v ft main mal (n= n=6) an vas 58% or patie ' for patie ' for patie ' gment- ties were ts were | ology were in arter 5), le d CA 6. A s ent-le tient-l base re het all s | was clo included ry (n=5) ft circur BGs (n= separate vel and based e d analy terogen uspecte | early described. d. Levels of analysis o, left anterior mflex overall (n=7), =4). The median e SROC curve was another for segment evaluation were 99%, visis results were 90%, eous in terms of their ed CAD, in others they |
| Effect due to factor in study? | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The results of the study are broadly of included studies were not on sta | | | | | e, although up to 75% |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | |
| Mowatt G;Vale L;Brazzelli M | ;Hernandez R;Murray A;Scott N;Frase | er- C;M | cKenzi | e L;G | emmell | I H;Hillis G;Metcalfe M; |
| | ectiveness and cost-effectiveness, and s and management of angina and my | | | | ion, of ı | myocardial perfusion |
| Ref ₇₈₆ Health Te ID | echnol Assess | pgs: | iii | to ⁸ | 89 | 2004 |
| Study Type Diagno | ostic | | Fund | ling | HTA I | NHS R&D programme. |
| Number of participants | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | | |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 186 of 199 | | | | | |

Patient Characteristics

Recruitment

Setting

Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated

Comparisons

Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures

studied

Results

Safety and adverse effects

Does the study answer the question?

For diagnostic studies the interventions included were SPECT vs. stress ECG, with CA as the reference standard test. In situations where CA would be inappropriate reference standard, clinical follow-up was accepted as the reference standard. For prognostic studies, strategies involving SPECT were compared with strategies that did not. These included: -Stress ECG-SPECT-CA vs Stress ECG-CA -Stress ECG-SPECT vs stress ECG alone -SPECT-CA vs CA alone Stress ECG vs SPECT vs CA -SPECT vs CA -Stress ECG vs SPECT

Sensitivity: For studies excluding patients with previous MI: SPECT (n=4) median range 0.92 (0.76-0.93); Stress ECG (n=4) median range 0.66 (0.42-0.85). For studies including patients with previous MI: SPECT (n=10) median range 0.76 (0.63-0.93); Stress ECG (n=10) median range 0.63 (0.44-0.92). Due to heterogeneity among studies no weighted averages were conducted for either SPECT or stress ECG.

Specificity:For studies excluding patients with previous MI: SPECT (n=4) median range 0.74 (0.54-0.90); Stress ECG (n=4) median range 0.77 (0.58-0.88). For studies including patients with previous MI: SPECT (n=10) median range 0.65 (0.10-0.80); Stress ECG (n=10) median range 0.77 (0.41-0.80). Due to heterogeneity among studies no weighted averages were conducted for either SPECT or stress ECG

Positive LRs: the range of positive LRs was 0.95-8.99 (median 2.33) for SPECT and 1.14-5.60 (median 2.06) for stress ECG. All positive LRs were <10 in both tests. LRs for both tests were calculated for 12 of the 16 studies. For both tests there was significant heterogeneity among positive LRs (p<0.001).

Negative LRs: Negative LRs ranged from 0.09 to 1.12 (median 0.29) for SPECT and from 0.18 to 0.91 (median 0.57) for stress ECG. Values varied considerably among studies. Two studies showed negative LR for SPECT <0.1 (0.09) and LRs for SPECT were smaller than those for stress ECG.

Effect due to factor in study?

Consistency of results with other studies?

Directly applicable to guideline population?

High quality SR. Heterogeneity of studies was taken into consideration in analysis. Prospective and retrospective primary studies of SPECT MPS.

Internal Validity

Nandalur KR;Dwamena BA;Choudhri AF;Nandalur MR;Carlos RC;

Diagnostic performance of stress cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in the detection of coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. [Review] [44 refs]

| Ref 1118 ID | J Am Coll Cardiol | pgs: | 1343 _{to} | , 1353 | 2007 |
|---|---|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Study Type | Diagnostic | | Funding | Not stated. | |
| Number of partie | cipants | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 1 | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | res | | | | |
| Results | | | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | rse | | | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? The SR determines the diagnostic the detection of CAD. The SR four specificities, however, the disease the performance of the test may no populations. | nd that th e prevale | e tests hav | ve good sensiti identified is stu | vity and idies high, and |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | | | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | | | | |
| Directly applicat guideline popula | | | | | to determine |
| Internal Validity | | | | | |
| Pryor DB;Harrell FE | E;Lee KL;Califf RM;Rosati RA; | | | | |
| Estimating the likel | ihood of significant coronary artery disease | | | | |
| Ref 10283 ID | The American journal of medicine | pgs: | 771 to | , 780 | 1983 |

| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported |
|--|---|
| Number of participants | 3627 in training population, 1811 in test population |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients with chest pain who were referred for cardiac catheterization at the Duke University Medical Center between November 1969 and January 1982 |
| Patient Characteristics | Patient characteristics which were collected were: History: age, sex, chest pain history (pain type, severity, frequency, nocturnal, progressive, preinfarctional), duration of CAD, previous history of MI, congestive heart failure, history of vascular disease (Progressive chest pain - the frequency, severity or duration had increased in the 6 weeks prior to catherisation; Preinfarctional chest pain - a very unstable pain pattern that resulted in admission to the coronary care unit for evaluation of the possible MI) |
| | Risk factors: smoking, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, diabetes, family history |
| | Physical examination: ventricular gallop, systolic blood pressure |
| | ECG: ST-T wave changes, electrocardiographic premature ventricular contractions, Electrocardiographic Q waves Chest X-Ray: cardiomegaly |
| Recruitment | Patients admitted for cardiac catheterisation between November 1969 and January 1982 |
| Setting | Secondary care, USA |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Chest pain diagnosis |
| Comparisons | Patient characteristics which give a probability of disease |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | |
| Outcome measures studied | Probability of disease |
| Results | The study had a training population of 3627 patients who were seen between 1969 and January 1979, from these patients a stepwise logistic regression analysis was used to develop a model for predicting the probability of significant CAD. A test population of 1811 patients seen between January 1969 and January 1982, in this population the model developed in the test population was used to predict the probability of CAD for each patient. The authors then tested the model in other populations (from CASS study) to estimate the prevalence of disease in subgroups of the patients in the literature (external validation) |
| | Results from training population: Poor Clinical Predictors of Significant CAD and the Chi-squared: See narrative for question 4; Table 1:Pryor et al, 1983 Cardiomegaly: 1.41 |
| | The results from the training group show that cardiomegaly shown on chest x-ray was a poor predictor of significant coronary artery disease |
| | The authors then validated the model in the test population which showed that the predicted probability of disease is nearly identical to the observed prevalence. The authors then externally validated using the population from the CASS study. There was disagreement on patients classified as having nonanginal chest pain (where the greatest difference in predicted disease compared to observed disease was seen), but the predicted estimates from the model were nearly equal to the observed prevalence of disease. The predicted estimates from the model of the probability of significant disease were nearly identical to the observed prevalence for subgroups based on "age, sex and history of MI" or "age, sex and pain type". |
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| 15 September 2009 | Page 189 of 199 |

| Does the study answer the question? | Progressive chest pain was described as being chest pain when the frequency, severity or duration had increased in the 6 weeks prior to catherisation. Preinfarctional chest pain was described as chest pain with a very unstable pain pattern that resulted in admission to the coronary care unit for evaluation of the possible MI The results from the training group show that cardiomegaly shown on chest x-ray was a poor predictor of significant coronary artery disease (chi-square = 1.41). The authors then validated the model in the test population which showed that the | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | predicted probability of disease is nearly identical to the observed prevalence. When comparing the model to an external population the study showed that the predicted estimates from the model were nearly equal to the observed prevalence of disease. The predicted estimates from the model of the probability of significant disease were nearly identical to the observed prevalence for subgroups based on "age, sex and history of MI" or "age, sex and pain type". However the greatest difference in predicted disease compared to observed disease was seen in patients with nonanginal chest pain. | | | | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No similar studies | | | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients had chest pain | | | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | | | |
| Vanhoenacker PK;Heijenbrol | k-Kal MH;Van HR;Decramer I;Van-Hoe LR;Wijns W;Hunink MM; | | | | | | |
| Diagnostic performance of m | ultidetector CT angiography for assessment of coronary artery disease: meta-analysis | | | | | | |
| Ref 10274 Radiology ID | pgs: 419 to 428 2007 | | | | | | |
| Study Type Diagno | stic Funding Not reported | | | | | | |
| Number of participants | Study types not specified. | | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | | | |
| Outcome measures | | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | | | |

| Does the study answer the question? | This review assessed the diagnostic performance of CT angiography using 4,16, and 64-slice detectors. Six studies of 64-slice CT were included. The study concluded that the newer generation scanners significantly reduced the proportion of non-assessable coronary artery segments. Combined with reduction of the heart rate through the use of beta-blockers, practically all coronary artery segments are assessable. |
|--|--|
| | Also, as one increases the size of the unit analysed from coronary arterial segments, to vessels, and to patients, the sensitivity increase, the specificity decreases, , and the overall diagnostic performance decreases. |
| | Prevalence of CAD was relatively high in the source populations. The results of this study may therefore not be generalizable to low-prevalence populations. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The results are directly applicable to the guideline. |
| Internal Validity | |

Grading: 2+ Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal

Abdulla J;Abildstrom SZ;Gotzsche O;Christensen E;Kober L;Torp-Pedersen C;

64-Multislice detector computed tomography coronary angiography as potential alternative to conventional coronary angiography: A systematic review and meta-analysis

| coronary angiograp | ohy: A sys | tematic review and meta-analysis | S | | | |
|---|------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Ref 21285 ID | Eur Heart | J | pgs: | 3042 _{to} 3 | 3050 | 2007 |
| Study Type | Diagno | stic | | Funding | Not reporte | əd. |
| Number of partie | cipants | Type of study not specified. | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | | | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ To Factor being investigated | est/ | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 1 | | | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | res | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | rse | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? | This meta-analyses found that t values in per-segment vs. per-p CAD in per-patient data. Sensiti segment data, in analysis of nat 96%, in per-patient and per-seg | atient analy vity in per-p tive coronar | sis due to ca atient data v y arteries. A | alculated hig vas 97.5% v | her prevalence of s. 86 in per- |
| | | In general CT demonstrated hig values. The accuracy was higher segments (92%). | h accuracy est in assess | particularly sing CABG (| by its high ne 96.5) and lo | egative predictive west in stented |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | | | | | |
| Directly applical guideline popula | | The results are directly applica | ble. | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | | | |

Geleijnse ML;Krenning BJ;Soliman OI;Nemes A;Galema TW;Ten Cate FJ;

Dobutamine stress echocardiography for the detection of coronary artery disease in women

| Dobutamine stre | ss echocar | diography for the detection | of coronary artery | disease in | women | |
|--|-------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| Ref ₁₉₆₁ ID | Am J Ca | rdiol | pgs: | 714 to | 717 | 2007 |
| Study Type | Diagno | ostic | | Funding | Not repor | ted |
| Number of par | rticipants | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Excl Criteria | lusion | | | | | |
| Patient Charac | cteristics | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Factor being investigated | Test/ | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | | |
| Length of Stue Follow-up | dy/ | | | | | |
| Outcome meas studied | sures | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | |
| Safety and advected effects | verse | | | | | |
| Does the stud answer the qu | - | The aim of the SR was to echocardiography in wom dobutamine stress echoc Similar sensitivities and s performance in men verse as sensitive as SPECT for | nen. For the detect ardiography has re pecificities were four us women. Dobuta | tion of corc easonable ound in stu amine stres | onary artery on sensitivity ar dies compar se echocharo | lisease in women, nd good specificity. ing diagnostic liology is at least |
| Effect due to f study? | actor in | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with of studies? | | | | | | |
| Directly applic guideline pop | | The study is directly app | licable to the guide | eline. | | |
| Internal Validi | ty | | | | | |
| Kwok Y;Kim C;G | irady D;Seg | al M;Redberg R; | | | | |
| Meta-analysis of | exercise te | sting to detect coronary art | ery disease in wor | men.[see c | omment] | |
| Ref 12044 ID | Am J Ca | rdiol | pgs: | 660 _{to} | 666 | 1999 |
| Study Type | Diagno | ostic | | Funding | Bethesda | nstitute of Health, , Maryland USA. 1-HL 50772. |

Number of participants

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Recruitment

Patient Characteristics

Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied Results Safety and adverse effects The study found that the exercise ECG for women had lower accuracy compared with Does the study men, sensitivity 61% versus 70% and specificity 70% versus 77%. There was wide answer the question? variability in the sensitivities for exercise ECG in women (27% to 91%) and also specificity (46% to 86%). The variability was not associated with the exclusion of patients with baseline ECG changes. Sensitivity and specificity were highly correlated suggesting that investigators may have different threshold for the identification for interpreting a test as positive, despite using the same threshold for interpreting a test as positive. Exercise thallium scanning in women had a higher sensitivity but a lower specificity compared with exercise ECG in women, but the differences were not clinically relevant. Although data was limited in this study exercise echocardiography has higher sensitivities and specificities compared with the other 2 tests. No information was given on heterogeneity. Effect due to factor in study? **Consistency of** results with other studies? The results are directly applicable to the guideline. **Directly applicable to** guideline population? **Internal Validity** Pryor DB;Shaw L;McCants CB;Lee KL;Mark DB;Harrell FE;Muhlbaier LH;Califf RM; Value of the history and physical in identifying patients at increased risk for coronary artery disease to 90 Ref Annals of internal medicine 81 1993 1751 pqs: ID Agency for Health Care Study Type Cohort Funding Policy and Research, National Heart, Lung and Blood institute, National

Library of Medicine

| Number of participants | 1030 patients, 168 had cardiac catheterization. At 3 years data for 973 patients (94%) was obtained. |
|--|---|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: Symptomatic patients, referred for non-invasive testing for suspected coronary artery disease Exclusion: previous cardiac catheterization |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 55, 37% were female, the mean pain frequency was 2 episodes a week, the mean durations of CAD symptoms was 12 months, 28% had typical angina symptoms, 52% atypical angina symptoms, 20% nonanginal pain, 18% progressive angina, 22% nocturnal angina, 44% smoked, 41% had a history of hypertension, 10% had diabetes, 11% had hyperlipidemia, 35% had ST-T wave changes on ECG, 18% had a history of MI, 8% had Q waves on ECG, 14% had a history of congestive heart failure, 0% had class IV congestive heart failure, 1% had ventricular gallop, 3% had peripheral vascular disease, 3% had cerebral vascular disease Of the patients who went on to have a cardiac catheterization the mean age was 56, 31% were female, the mean pain frequency was 2 episodes a week, the mean durations of CAD symptoms was 7 months, 49% had typical angina symptoms, 47% atypical angina symptoms, 4% nonanginal pain, 24% progressive angina, 24% nocturnal angina, 53% smoked, 42% had a history of hypertension, 10% had diabetes, 13% had hyperlipidemia, 42% had ST-T wave changes on ECG, 33% had a history of MI, 11% had Q waves on ECG, 11% had nettricular gallop, 4% had peripheral vascular disease, 2% had cerebral vascular disease. It can therefore be seen that those having a cardiac catheterization were more likely to be male, smoke, have a history of MI, have ST-T wave changes on ECG and to be suffering typical or progressive angina |
| | patients were alive. 30 had died of cardiovascular causes, 19 had died of noncardiac causes, 18 had undergone angioplasty and 62 had had coronary artery bypass graft surgery. |
| Recruitment | Patients were referred for non-invasive testing for suspected coronary artery disease |
| Setting | Duke University Medical Centre USA |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Physicians initial evaluation of patients with suspected CAD |
| Comparisons | The presence of significant coronary disease defined as any disease, severe disease, left main disease, predicting survival |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 years |
| Outcome measures studied | Effectiveness of chest pain score to predict coronary artery disease and survival |
| Results | The three diagnostic outcomes were; the presence of significant coronary artery disease defined as 'any disease' (≥ 75% luminal diameter narrowing of at least one major coronary artery), presence of severe coronary artery disease defined as 'severe disease' (significant obstruction of all 3 main coronary arteries or the left main coronary artery) and the presence of significant left main artery obstruction defined as 'left main disease' (168 patients referred for cardiac catheterization). The prognostic outcome was survival at 3 years. |
| | In the multivariable regression model used, chest x-ray to show cardiomegaly was not a significant predictor for any disease, severe disease or left main disease. However for cardiomegaly (shown on chest x-ray) was a significant predictor for survival at 3 years. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | In the multivariable regression model used, chest x-ray which showed cardiomegaly was shown to be a significant predictor of survival. However it could not be used to predict coronary disease. |

| Effect due to factor in | Yes | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| study? | | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population | | | | |
| Internal Validity | Well covered | | | | |
| Schuijf JD;Bax JJ;Shaw LJ;c | de RA;Lamb HJ;van der Wall EE;Wijns | s W; | | | |
| | e diagnostic performance of magnetic coronary angiography.[see comment] | | | | lice computed |
| Ref ₃₇₈₈ Am Hear ID | t J | pgs: | 404 to | 411 | 2006 |
| Study Type Diagno | ostic | | Funding | Netherland Foundatio 2002B105 | n (grant |
| Number of participants | | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | | |
| Setting | | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The SR the summary odds ratio for a (95% CI 11.0 to 26.1) indicating that odds of significant CAD at cardiac ca was increased 6.4 fold (95% CI 5.0 t diagnostic specificity and CAD prevaremained consistent when controlling enrolled in each study. No relations that MSCT has a significantly better compared with MRI. | an ab atheter o 8.3) alence g for a hip wa | normal segn ization. In co for MRI. An for multislice verage age s found for N | nent had a 1 ontrast the s inverse relat e CT was ob and the frequ MRI. The aut | 6.9 fold increased ummary odds ratio ionship between served, which uency of men hors concluded |
| Effect due to factor in study? | | | | | |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Directly applicable to The results of the SR are directly applicable to the guideline. guideline population? | | | | |
| Internal Validity | | | | |
| Sun Z;Lin C;Davidson R;Dong C;Liao Y; | | | | |
| Diagnostic value of 64-slice CT angiography in coronary artery disease: A systematic review | | | | |
| Ref ₂₀₈₂₀ Eur J Rad ID | diol pgs: 78 _{to} 84 2008 | | | |
| Study Type Diagno | stic Funding Not reported | | | |
| Number of participants | Type of study not specified. All studies on human subjects were included except case reports and abstracts. | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | |
| Recruitment | | | | |
| Setting | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | | | |
| Comparisons | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | | | | |
| Results | | | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | This review answers the question it set out to answer. That is, it provides an estimate of the diagnostic value of 64-slice CT when compared to coronary angiography (CA). It included patients with known CAD and those with suspected CAD (those presenting with chest pain) and as such is useful for our question. However, it would have been even more useful if separate results had been presented for those groups separately. | | | |
| | Very little information on the type of studies included was reported. E.g. number of RCTs, cohort studies etc. And no details of the number of patients included in the sensitivity/specificity calculations were reported. However, sensitivity/specificity was reported at patient, vessel and segment level. | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | | |

The results of this SR are directly applicable to the guideline.

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Internal Validity

Grading: 2-

Case-control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias, or chance and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal*

d'Othee Janne B;Siebert U;Cury R;Jadvar H;Dunn EJ;Hoffmann U;

A systematic review on diagnostic accuracy of CT-based detection of significant coronary artery disease. [Review]

| [60 refs] | <u> </u> | · · | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Ref ₁₇₇ ID | Eur J Radiol | pgs: 4 | 49 _{to} 461 2008 Mar |
| Study Type Number of parti | Diagnostic cipants | Fu | Inding Not stated. |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | sion | | |
| Patient Charact | eristics | | |
| Recruitment | | | |
| Setting | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | 'est/ | | |
| Comparisons | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up Outcome measu studied | | | |
| Results | | | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | | |
| Does the study answer the que | stion? although only 5 stu The main conclusi per segment basis multivessel diseas CAD. Apart from s used two independ | udies were 64 slice and study on is that with 64 slice scann a. Per patient however, this a e, which may limit the utility election bias, this study high dent investigators to read the | f multislice CT (4- 8- 16- and 64-slice), y sizes ranged from 35 to 84 patients. hers, diagnostic accuracy is high on a ccuracy may be lower in patients with of CT in populations at high risk for lights the fact that most of the studies e scans which might differ from routine I limit the applicability of the findings. |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | | |
| Consistency of results with oth studies? | er | | |
| Directly applica guideline popul | ation? conducted. Very I | ittle information is given on the | e to the guideline as it was poorly he type of studies included (RCTs, included in the meta-analysis are |
| Internal Validity | | | |

15 September 2009