

**LOWER URINARY TRACT SYMPTOMS GUIDELINE  
Ninth Guideline Development Group Meeting**

**Meeting Held on Thursday 27<sup>th</sup> November 2008 10.30am – 4.00pm  
in Committee Room 3 at The Royal College of Surgeons of England**

**27<sup>th</sup> November 2008 – Minutes**

**Present: GDG Members:**  
**Christopher Chapple (CC) Chair, William Turner (WT), Mark Speakman (MS), Jonathan Rees (JR), Julian Spinks (JS), Roy Latham (RL), Paul Joachim (PJ), Adrian Wagg (AW), Malcolm Lucas (ML), Angela Billington (AB), James N'Dow (JN)**

**NCC-AC**

Clare Jones (CJ), Kate Homer (KH), Lee-Yee Chong (LYC), Kamsha Maharaj (KM), Elisabetta Fenu (EF), Hanna Lewin (HL)

**NICE**

Sarah Willet (SW)

Apologies: Thomas Ladds (TL), Jenny Hill (JH) and David Wonderling (DW)

**Agenda Item**

**Discussion / Outcome**

1. Introductions and apologies for absence (Chris Chapple – Chair)

CC welcomed everyone to the 9<sup>th</sup> LUTS GDG Meeting.  
Apologies were received from TL, JH and DW.

2. Declaration of interests (Chair)

CC asked for each GDG member to declare their interests verbally and asked them to complete their forms. Each attending GDG member declared his/her interests in front of all those present.

CC, RL, WT, JR, JS, ML, AW, MS, AB, JN and PJ declared they knew of no personal pecuniary interest, personal family interest, non-personal pecuniary interest or personal non-pecuniary interest, above those declared at previous meetings.

JS received sponsorship, travel and accommodation expenses to attend the International Continence Society from Pfizer.

**NCC-AC Staff:**

CJ, EF, KH, LYC and KM all declared that they knew of no personal pecuniary interest, personal family interest, non-personal pecuniary interest and personal non-pecuniary interest. The chair noted that no action was required on these personal pecuniary interests as none of the products involved were

<b><u>Agenda Item</u></b>	<b><u>Discussion / Outcome</u></b>
	discussed at this meeting.
3. Minutes of the last meetings	The minutes of the last meeting were reviewed and accepted as an accurate record.
4. Plan for the day	CC outlined the plan for the meeting.
5. Update of the economic model	EF presented an update of the economic model for surgical interventions.
6. Review of the clinical and economic evidence for the surgical interventions	<p>The NCC presented clinical and economic evidence for the following surgical interventions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Transurethral vaporisation of the prostate (TUVP) v Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP)</li><li>• Transurethral vaporesection) TUVRP v TURP</li><li>• Transurethral incision of the prostate (TUIP) v TURP</li><li>• Transurethral needle ablation (TUNA) v TURP</li><li>• Transurethral ethanol ablation (TEAP) v TURP</li><li>• Transurethral microwave thermotherapy (TUMT) v TURP</li><li>• TUMT V SHAM</li><li>• Bipolar-TURP v TURP</li><li>• Bipolar-TUVP v TURP</li><li>• Bipolar-TUVRP v TURP</li><li>• TURP v watchful waiting</li><li>• Botulinium toxin fro the prostate v TURP</li></ul>
7. Summary of evidence	The NCC team presented a table summarising the results of all the surgical comparisons. There was a general discussion on the surgical evidence presented.
8. Work Plan	CJ presented the work plan for the next meeting.
9. Any other business	There was no other business discussed.
10. Close and date of next meeting	CC thanked everyone for attending and closed the meeting. The next meeting will take place on <b>Friday 16<sup>th</sup> January 2009 in the Ronald Raven Room at the Royal College of Surgeons of England.</b>