

NICE PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAMME GUIDANCE

PSHE

**6th Meeting of the Programme Development Group
Wednesday 22nd October 2008**

Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, London

Attendees:	<p><i>Programme Development Group (PDG) Members:</i> Anne Weyman, Anne Ludbrook, Simon Beard, Jonathan Cooper, Jasmin Mitchell, Tracey Phillips, Kate Birch, Richard Ives, Kathryn Cross, Laura Cottey, Mark Bellis, Anna Martinez, Tariq Ahmed.</p> <p><i>NICE:</i> Tricia Younger (TY), Hilary Chatterton (HJC), Louise Millward (LM), Clare Wohlgemuth (CW), Bhash Naidoo (BN), Sarah Dunsdon (SD) Peter Shearn (PS).</p> <p><i>Contractors:</i> Nina Balachander, Leo Nherera, Julie Lang, Sharangini Rajesh, Irene Kwan, Moira Mugglestone.</p> <p>Observers: Ben Gardner.</p>
Apologies:	<p>Sarah Smart, Simon Blake, Ruth Joyce, Terri Ryland, Aylssa Cowell, Joseph Quigley, Colleen McLaughlin, Clare Smith, Chris Gibbons.</p> <p>Expert Advisor: Harry Sumnall</p> <p>NICE: Mike Kelly.</p> <p><i>Contractors:</i> Paul Jacklin, Jay Banerjee.</p>

Agenda Item		Action
1. Welcome and introductions	<p><u><i>Introductions and apologies</i></u></p> <p>The Chair welcomed the National Collaborating Centre for Women’s and Children’s Health (NCC-WCH) team, and Ben Gardner from the ‘Evidence into Recommendations’ research group who was observing the meeting.</p> <p><u><i>Declaration of interest</i></u></p> <p><i>Personal Pecuniary</i> Richard Ives</p> <p><i>Personal Family</i> None declared.</p> <p><i>Non Personal</i> Anna Martinez Anne Ludbrook</p>	

	<p>Simon Beard Mark Bellis Kathryn Cross</p> <p><i>Personal non-pecuniary</i> Anne Weyman Kate Birch Jasmine Mitchell Laura Cottey Anna Martinez Simon Beard</p> <p><u>Minutes of last meeting</u> The following corrections to be made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Roz Ullman to be removed from the attendee list and Jane Tuckerman and Julie Lang to be added. <p><u>Matters arising</u></p> <p><i>Update on actions from last meeting:</i></p> <p>The glossary has been updated since the last meeting. Action point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PDG members to consider the glossary and send any further comments to TY or HJC. <p>Tracey Philips informed the group that the National Community forum report has not yet been released. Action point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tracey Philips to send the report to NICE when available. <p>Anna Martinez was invited to brief the PDG on the content of the SRE review at the 10 December meeting. Action point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anna Martinez to report on the SRE review at the next meeting. <p>The Chair updated the group on the progress of the logic model.</p> <p>The Chair advised the Committee that the NCC-WCH public health contract will end at the end of March 2009 and that meeting dates will remain as scheduled until further notice.</p>	<p>PDG</p> <p>Tracey Philips</p> <p>Anna Martinez</p>
<p>3. Economics review</p>	<p>The NCC-WCH team presented the main findings from the Further Education review.</p> <p>The group discussed the review and made the following key points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brief interventions should not be ignored. • It is important to consider whether interventions are universal or targeted. • The Collaborating Centre confirmed that they searched websites for grey literature. • It may be possible to obtain data from European studies 	

	<p>for comparison.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case studies / published guidance could be considered by the group – and audits of published guidance. <p>Action point: Guidelines for sexual health services in FE settings have been published by DCSF and DH in 2007. NICE to circulate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The DCSF will be consulting on guidelines on children’s drinking and advice to parents and carers. In addition the Chief Medical Officer will be consulting on what young people should/should not drink. These will be released in about four weeks and should help inform the work on alcohol. <p>Action point: Mark Bellis to give further information at a future meeting on the alcohol work.</p> <p><i>Gaps</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited information from review. • No good long term studies to draw on. • No references to socio-economic groups and relationship between sexual behaviour and alcohol use. • Review demonstrates that high quality research is required • No economic studies found <p>The Collaborating Centre highlighted some of the problems with the inclusion / exclusion criteria around age groups and confirmed that they had ordered two further papers for the review. It was also noted that university education is beyond the scope of the guidance.</p>	<p>NICE</p> <p>Mark Bellis</p>
<p>4. Logic map</p>	<p>The NICE team presented the revised logic maps.</p> <p>Action: The full version to be circulated to the PDG.</p>	<p>NICE</p>
<p>4. Expert testimony</p>	<p>Douglas Kirby (Senior Research Scientist, ETR Associates) gave a presentation to the PDG on the impact on behaviour of sex and STD/ HIV education programmes.</p> <p>The presentation consisted of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The impact of abstinence and comprehensive sex and STD / HIV education on adolescent sexual behaviour. • Are programmes effective when they are replicated by others? • Characteristics describing the process of development. • Characteristics describing the curriculum content • Characteristics describing the implementation of the curriculum <p>Emerging Answers (2007) is available from the US national campaign to prevent teen and unplanned pregnancy www.thenationalcampaign.org/resources/reports</p> <p><i>Discussion</i></p>	

	<p>Issues included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Targeting population groups • Duration/ timing of interventions • Level of community / school involvement • Resources to support interventions in UK • Simple key marketing messages • Morality and the danger of value judgements • Cultural relevance of programmes 	
5. Economic analysis: proposals for economic modelling	<p>The NICE team and the National Collaborating Centre gave a presentation on economic modelling.</p> <p>The PDG made the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The review team should consider looking at existing good studies; for example, US studies on chlamydia. • HIV cost effectiveness models produce different outcomes depending on incidence/ prevalence rates in particular parts of the country. The cost effectiveness ratio is very dependent on prevalence – this could be captured within the sensitivity analyses. • There are good costings for HIV within the funding models in the UK. • It is very difficult to link outcomes back to a particular programme or an aspect of a programme. • Opportunity costs need to be considered; a new topic in the curriculum may cause exclusion of an existing one 	
7. Next steps	<p>Next meeting:</p> <p><i>December meeting items:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Second stage of the secondary review. • Presentation on SRE review • Presentation on report from advisory group on drug and alcohol education • Definitions of ‘counselling’ and ‘age-appropriate’ to be included. • Next steps and fieldwork 	<p>Anna Martinez Mark Bellis</p> <p>PDG</p>
8. AOB	No items.	

Next meeting: Wednesday 10th December 2008 Novotel, St Pancras, 100 - 110 Euston Road, London NW1 2AJ