NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE Delaying onset of disability

2nd Meeting of the Public Health Advisory Committee D

Wednesday 18th December 2013

Bollin room, NICE Office, Manchester

Final Minutes

Attendees:	PHAC Members John Britton (Chair), Paul Aveyard, Gary Bickerstaffe, David Croisdale – Appleby, Charlie Foster, Janet Henson, Janet Henson (WEA), Robin Ireland, Jane Landon, Jane Leaman, Louise Lafortune, Susie Morrow, Gillian Orrow, Mark Strong, Dagmar Zeuner. NICE Team Catherine Swann, Hilary Chatterton, Emily Aidoo, Hugo Crombie, Claire McLeod.
	Review Team Louise Lafortune (Public Health Cambridge)
	Contractors Sarah Kelly (University of Cambridge)
	Co-optees
	Experts Pieter van Baal
	Apologies
	PHAC members Susan Biddle
	NICE team Alastair Fischer

Author	Emily Aidoo
File Ref	Final minutes of PHAC D meeting 18 th December 2013
Version	Final 1
Audience	PHAC members, NICE team

Item		Action
1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting	The Chair welcomed the Public Health Advisory Committee (PHAC D) to the second meeting on Delaying disability.	
	The Chair welcomed the members of the review team and the experts. The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting which included:	
	 The objectives for the meeting are: To receive and discuss the first evidence review from the University of Cambridge 	
	To receive a short update on health economics that will inform this guidance	
	Begin to draft recommendations based on evidence heard so far	
	Confirm expert witnesses for future meetings.	
	The Chair informed the PHAC that two apologies had been received. These are noted above.	
2. Declarations of Interests	The Chair explained that verbal declarations of interest are a standing item on every agenda and are recorded in the minutes as a matter of public record. The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare the interests they had made in writing at the time of their application to join the PHAC and also to declare any additional interests that may have arisen since then.	
	The Chair asked the PHAC to declare any changes to their conflicts of interest. Previous declarations of interest can be viewed on the NICE website here http://guidance.nice.org.uk/PHG/76	
	There were no further additional conflicts of interest.	
	The Chair and Catherine Swann Associate Director noted that the interests declared did not prevent the attendees at committee from fully participating in the meeting.	
3. Minutes of last meeting	The minutes were agreed as an accurate record, with the addition of Mark Strong to the attendee list as he had been omitted.	
3. Developing NICE public health	HC and CS gave a presentation entitled Developing NICE public health guidance: Update to remind the	

guidance: Update Hilary Chatterton and Catherine Swann	committee about NICE processes and methods, the referral and scope for the guidance.	
4. Presentation by Pieter van Baal Erasmus University	PvB gave a presentation entitled: Update on health economics The PHAC were invited to ask PvB questions in regard to the presentation. A number of issues were discussed, including: issues around modelling dementia and links to physical activity; evidence on the link between physical activity and mortality; the feasibility – given time and resources - of broadening the search to include additional risk factors; LL noted that the third review should provide evidence of the benefits of physical activity and dementia.	
5. Presentation by Hilary Chatterton NICE	HC gave a presentation entitled: NICE Introduction to Review 1, reminding the PHAC on how to use evidence from reviews to develop recommendations.	
6. Presentation by Sarah Kelly University of Cambridge	SK gave a presentation entitled: Delaying disability, dementia and frailty: review 1 The chair invited the PHAC to address questions to SK about the review, and begin a discussion about key issues. Issues raised included the studies and reviews covered in the review; topic areas covered by the review; contextual factors that impact on behaviours.	
4. Drafting considerations, recommendations and research recommendations	PHAC discussed recommendations based on the evidence considered, with particular reference to: - Actions (what action should be taken?) Gaps in the evidence, preventative measures for mid life groups. - Who should take action - Equity issues: Are there any equity issues raised by the evidence - Implementation issues: Are any implementation issues raised? - Considerations: What caveats or considerations should be read alongside each recommendation? However, the PHAC did not feel that there was sufficient evidence from the reviews to draft recommendations yet. The chair proposed waiting until the next meeting when a further evidence review would be considered.	

steps 11. Close	The Chair informed the group of the next steps. There was no other business. The meeting closed at 2.45pm.	
10. Summary of the day and any Other Business/Next	The Chair summarised the items that had been discussed throughout the day.	
Hilary Chatterton	Action point: CS, HC and JB to agree final list of experts.	NICE team
5. Discussion on Possible gaps in the evidence – ideas expert testimony	HC led a discussion with the PHAC about areas / suggestions for expert testimony, to supplement gaps in the evidence base. A final list will be agreed with the chair.	
	The barriers and costs for interventions were discussed. The committee will consider how to link existing relevant NICE guidance. The chair asked PHAC members to look at areas of existing NICE recommendations for potential links to the guidance, for discussion at the next meeting. The NICE team undertook to circulate lists and tables of relevant guidance. Action point: NICE will send out instructions before Christmas 2013	NICE team / ALL

DATE OF NEXT MEETING: Wednesday 5th February 2014

VENUE FOR NEXT MEETING: Red Rooms, City Tower, Piccadilly Plaza, Manchester M1 4BT

Contact on the day: Denise Jarrett <u>Denise.Jarrett@nice.org.uk</u>
Tel: 0161 870 3047