NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Dutasteride for reducing the risk of prostate cancer

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors GlaxoSmithKline (dutasteride) Patient/carer groups Afiya Trust Black Health Agency Bob Champion Cancer Trust CANCERactive Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Chinese National Healthy Living Centre	 General Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care
 Counsel and Care Equalities National Council Everyman Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network Orchid 	 NHS Alliance NHS Confederation NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Quality Improvement Scotland Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium Comparator manufacturers None
 Orchid PCaSO – Prostate Cancer Network Prostate Cancer Charity Prostate Help Association South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Sue Ryder Care Tenovus Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Association for Services to the Elderly 	 Relevant research groups Cochrane Prostatic Diseases and Urologic Cancers Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute of Health Research Ovarian & Prostate Cancer Research Trust Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of dutasteride for reducing the risk of prostate cancer Issue date: September 2010 Page 1 of 6

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Association of Urological Nurses British Association of Urological Surgeons British Geriatrics Society British Oncological Association British Prostate Group British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Uro-Oncology Group Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS Bath and North East Somerset Pembrokeshire LHB Welsh Assembly Government 	 Pro-Cancer Research Foundation Prostate Cancer Research Fund Prostate UK Research Institute of the Care of Older People Evidence Review Group Aberdeen HTA Group National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups None

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination.

Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and all non-manufacturers/sponsors consultee organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.