NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Bortezomib for the treatment of relapsed or refractory follicular non Hodgkin's lymphoma

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Janssen Cilag (bortezomib)	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	British National Formulary
African Caribbase Levis and Trust	Care Quality Commission
African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust (ACLT)	 Commissioning Support Appraisals Service
Anthony Nolan Bone Marrow Trust	Department of Health, Social Services
Black Health Agency	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
CANCERactive	Medicines and Healthcare products
Cancer Black Care	Regulatory Agency
Cancer Equality	National Association of Primary Care
• Cancer 52	NHS Alliance
Chinese National Healthy Living	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Centre	NHS Confederation
Counsel and Care The different Care it.	NHS Quality Improvement Scotland
Equalities National Council Idalan Ballacan Manual Council	Public Health Wales NHS Trust Occupantions
Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity Levilla arris CARE	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Leukaemia CARE Leukaemia Sasiatu (LIK)	Possible comparator manufacturers
Leukaemia Society (UK) Lymphoma Association	Actavis UK (prednisolone)
Lymphoma Association Magnillan Canaar Support	Alcon Laboratories UK
Macmillan Cancer SupportMaggie's Centres	(dexamethasone)
Maggie's CentresMarie Curie Cancer Care	 Alliance Pharmaceuticals (prednisolone)
Muslim Council of Great Britain	Baxter (mitoxantrone)
Muslim Health Network	Cephalon (doxorubicin)
Rarer Cancers Forum	Genus (vincristine)
South Asian Health Foundation	Genzyme (fludarabine)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Medac GmbH (doxorubicin)
Sue Ryder Care	Organon Laboratories (dexamethasone)
Tenovus	Pfizer (cyclophosphamide)
	Roche (rituximab)
Professional groups	Winthrop Pharmaceuticals UK
Association of Cancer Physicians	(prednisolone)
British Association for Services to the	Wockhardt UK (prednisolone)

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of bortezomib for the treatment of relapsed or refractory follicular non Hodgkin's lymphoma

Issue date: August 2010 Page 1 of 3

Consultees Con app	nmentators (no right to submit or eal)
 British Committee for Standardisation in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Oncological Association (BOA) British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Blood and Bone Marrow Transplantation British Society for Haematology Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK NHS Blood and Transplant Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health 	British National Lymphoma Investigation Elimination of Leukaemia Fund Institute of Cancer Research Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity Research Institute of the Care of Older People Idence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Sociated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Sociated Public Health Groups

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Issue date: August 2010 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.