NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Ridaforolimus for the first-line maintenance treatment of metastatic soft tissue or bone sarcoma

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators (pre-referral)

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Merck Sharp & Dohme, Ariad	Board of Community Health Councils in
(ridaforolimus)	Wales
	British National Formulary
Patient/carer groups	Care Quality Commission
Action for Children	 Commissioning Support Appraisals
Action for Sick Children	Service
Adam Dealey Foundation	 Department of Health, Social Services
Afiya Trust	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Association for Children with Life	Medicines and Healthcare products
Threatening & Terminal Conditions	Regulatory Agency
Black Health Agency	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
CANCERactive	National Association of Primary Care
Cancer Black Care	NHS Alliance
Cancer Equality	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Cancer 52	NHS Confederation
Children's Society.	Public Health Service NHS Trust
Chinese National Healthy Living	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Centre	
CLIC Sargent	Possible comparator manufacturer(s)
Counsel and Care	None
Equalities National Council	Delevent research groups
Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	Relevant research groups
Macmillan Cancer Support	Bone Cancer Research Trust Bone Research Society
Maggie's Centres	Bone Research SocietyInstitute of Cancer Research
Marie Curie Cancer Care	
Muslim Council of Britain	MRC Clinical Trials Unit Notional Concer Research Institute
Muslim Health Network	National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Nativers
National Alliance of Childhood Cancer Dational Oppositions	National Cancer Research NetworkNational Institute for Health Research
Patient Organisations	
National Children's Bureau National Barrett Bartage his Naturals	Research Institute for the Care of Older Papelo Papelo
National Parent Partnership Network	People
Rarer Cancers Foundation	Evidence Review Group
Sarcoma UK	Evidence Review Group tbc
South Asian Health Foundation	Evidence Neview Group toc

Issue date: May 2011 Page 1 of 4

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance Sue Ryder Care Teenage Cancer Trust Tenovus WellChild Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Association for Services to the Elderly British Association of Surgical Oncology (BASO) British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine British Institute of Radiology British Oncological Association (BOA) British Orthopaedic Association British Orthopaedic Oncology Society British Paediatric Neurology Association (BPNA) British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Sarcoma Group British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine Children's Cancer & Leukaemia Group Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK National Pharmacy Association Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health Royal College of Paediatrics Society Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers 	National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups Tbc

Page 2 of 4

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	
Others Department of Health NHS Doncaster NHS Dudley Welsh Assembly Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of ridaforolimus for the first-line maintenance treatment of metastatic soft tissue or bone sarcoma

Issue date: May 2011 Page 3 of 4

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

Issue date: May 2011 Page 4 of 4