NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment

IPG626 Leadless cardiac pacemaker implantation for bradyarrhythmias

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

Briefing

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the briefing process (development of the brief or discussion at the committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

The incidence of cardiac bradyarrhythmias (sick sinus syndrome and atrioventricular conduction abnormalities) increases with age as predisposing causes become more frequent. However, it can affect people of any age and affects men and women equally. The average age of the recipients was 76 years.

People with arrhythmia that has lasted longer than 12 months that interferes with normal activities are likely to be covered under disability in the Equality Act 2010.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the brief (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

The potential equality issues were not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3.	Has any change to the brief (such as additional issues raised during the committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?
No	
4.	Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?'
No	
1.	ultation
••	Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?
No	Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing
	Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing
	Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing
No	Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary,
No 2.	Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary,

committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No	
4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
No.	
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
Not a	pplicable
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?
Not a	pplicable
7.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?
No	

Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

Date: 04/07/2018

Final interventional procedures document

1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?
No	
2.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there
Σ.	any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
Not a	pplicable
3.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
Not a	pplicable
4.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
Not a	pplicable
5.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

Approved by Acting Programme Director

Date: 20/07/2018