NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

Interventional procedure overview of selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma is a rare type of liver cancer. It is usually not diagnosed until it is too late to use surgery to remove it (unresectable). Selective internal radiation therapy (known as SIRT) involves injecting tiny radioactive 'beads' into blood vessels that supply blood to the liver, where they become trapped. The beads then release radiation directly into the cancer cells. The aim is to kill the cancer cells while causing as little damage to surrounding healthy tissue as possible.

Contents

IntroductionDescription of the procedureEfficacy summarySafety summaryThe evidence assessedValidity and generalisability of the studiesExisting assessments of this procedureRelated NICE guidanceAdditional information considered by IPAC

<u>References</u>

Literature search strategy

<u>Appendix</u>

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Introduction

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) prepared this interventional procedure overview to help members of the interventional procedures advisory committee (IPAC) make recommendations about the safety and efficacy of an interventional procedure. It is based on a rapid review of the medical literature and specialist opinion. It should not be regarded as a definitive assessment of the procedure.

Date prepared

This overview was prepared in January 2018.

Procedure name

Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Specialist societies

- British Society of Interventional Radiology
- British Nuclear Medicine Society
- British Association of Surgical Oncology
- Association of Upper Gastrointestinal Surgeons of Great Britain & Ireland
- Faculty of Clinical Oncology
- Royal College of Radiology.

Description of the procedure

Indications and current treatment

Cholangiocarcinoma is a rare type of primary liver cancer originating in the bile ducts.

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma is not usually diagnosed before the symptoms of biliary obstruction occur, by which time the cancer may be too advanced for curative surgical resection. However, surgical removal with curative intent may occasionally be possible by downstaging the tumour using other types of treatment first. The standard options for palliative treatment include

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

chemotherapy, surgical bypass of the bile duct or inserting a stent using surgical, endoscopic or percutaneous techniques.

Selective internal radiation therapy (SIRT; also known as radioembolisation) can be used as palliative treatment for unresectable primary liver cancer. It may also be used as a neoadjuvant treatment before surgery in patients being considered for curative treatments such as resection or liver transplantation. It aims to deliver radiation directly into the tumour, minimising the risk of radiation damage to surrounding healthy tissue.

What the procedure involves

SIRT involves delivering microspheres containing radionuclides such as yttrium-90 (Y-90) or holmium-166 (Ho-166) that emit beta or gamma radiation directly into the tumour via the hepatic artery. Under local anaesthesia with fluoroscopic guidance, the radioactive microspheres, which are made of glass, resin or poly(Llactic) acid, are injected into branches of the hepatic artery supplying the tumour. Usually, the percutaneous femoral or radial approach is used. The beads are designed to lodge in the small arteries surrounding the tumour and release high doses of localised radiation directly into the liver. The procedure may be repeated depending on the response.

Outcome measures

The World Health Organization (WHO) criteria for tumour response

assessment are:

- complete response (CR): disappearance of target tumour
- partial response (PR): more than 50% reduction in tumour size
- no response (NR) or stable disease (SD): less than 50% reduction in tumour size and less than 25% increase in tumour size
- progressive disease (PD): more than 25% increase in tumour size.

Objective response (OR) is the aggregation of CR and PR results.

The Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours (RECIST) criteria for

tumour response assessment are:

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

- CR: disappearance of all target lesions
- PR: at least a 30% decrease in the sum of the longest diameter (LD) of target lesions, taking as reference the baseline sum LD
- SD: insufficient shrinkage to qualify for PR or insufficient increase to qualify for PD, taking as reference the smallest sum LD since the treatment started
- PD: at least a 20% increase in the sum of the LD of target lesions, taking as reference the smallest sum LD recorded since the treatment started or the appearance of 1 or more new lesions.

National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI CTCAE)

- Grade 1: mild adverse event
- Grade 2: moderate adverse event
- Grade 3: severe adverse event
- Grade 4: life-threatening or disabling adverse event
- Grade 5: death related to adverse event.

Efficacy summary

Overall survival

In a systematic review and meta-analysis of 224 patients treated for cholangiocarcinoma in 9 observational studies, the median survival was 14.9 months. The survival rate estimates were 56% at 1 year (95% confidence interval [CI] 48% to 63%, I²=17%; n=224 patients from 9 studies), 33% at 2 years (95% CI 24% to 42%, I²=49%; n=207 patients from 8 studies) and 20% at 3 years (95% CI 14% to 26%, I²=0%; n=148 patients from 5 studies). The meta-regression analysis showed that the determinants of best survival were the presence of IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

mass-forming intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma type, SIRT as first-line therapy and the adoption of concomitant chemotherapy.¹

In a systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients from 12 noncomparative studies, the weighted median survival was 15.5 months (range 7.0 months to 22.2 months; based on 11 studies).²

In a systematic review and meta-analysis of 657 patients comparing Y-90 SIRT (n=127) with hepatic arterial infusion (HAI, n=62), transcatheter arterial chemoembolisation (TACE, n=431) or drug-eluting bead TACE (DEB-TACE, n=37), the cumulative median overall survival was 13.9 months (95% CI 9.5 months to 18.3 months) for Y-90 SIRT, 22.8 months (95% CI 9.8 months to 35.8 months) for HAI, 12.4 months (95% CI 10.9 months to 13.9 months) for TACE and 12.3 months (95% CI 11.0 months to 13.5 months) for DEB-TACE.³

In a case series of 45 patients, the median overall survival was 19 months (95% CI 9 months to 29 months). The survival rate estimates were 54% at 1 year and 41% at 2 years.⁴

In a case series of 389 patients including 35 patients with cholangiocarcinoma, the median survival time after radioembolisation was 429 days (95% CI 272 days to 586 days).⁵

In a case series of 29 patients, the median overall survival was 9.1 months (95% CI 1.7 months to 16.4 months). The median overall survival was longer in patients with intrahepatic-only disease at baseline (13.9 months) compared with patients with extrahepatic disease (6.8 months, p=0.04).⁶

In a case series of 24 patients, the median overall survival was 9 months (95% CI 6 months to 12 months). The survival rate estimates were 70% at 6 months, 33% at 1 year and 20% at 2 years and at 30 months. Univariate analysis identified 4 statistically significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status (p<0.001), lymph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p<0.001). Multivariate analysis found that ECOG performance status (p=0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically significant influence on survival.⁷

In a UK registry of 61 patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, the median overall survival from first SIRT procedure to death was 9 months (95% CI 5 months to 12 months; 33 events). The survival rate estimates were 89% at 3 months, 85% at 6 months, 37% at 1 year and 7% at 2 years. No patients survived to 36 months (although some were censored).⁸

Time to progression

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

In the case series of 29 patients, the median time to progression was 5.6 months (95% CI 0 to 12.0 months).⁶

In the UK registry of 61 patients, the median progression-free survival from first SIRT procedure to earliest date of hepatic or extrahepatic disease progression (or death) was 2.8 months (95% CI 2.6 months to 3.1 months). In all, 66% (40/61) of patients progressed and 11% (7/61) died. In the same study, the median liver-specific progression-free survival from first SIRT procedure to earliest date of hepatic disease progression (or death) was 3.1 months (95% CI 1.3 months to 4.8 months). In all, 54% (33/61) of patients progressed and 21% (13/61) died.⁸

Tumour response

In the systematic review and meta-analysis of 224 patients, the tumour overall response rate (CR+PR according to the RECIST criteria) was 24% (95% CI 16% to 34%, $I^2=28\%$).¹

In the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients, the weighted mean tumour response rates (RECIST criteria or mRECIST/PERCIST) at 3 months were 28% for partial response and 54% for stable disease (based on 6 studies).²

In the systematic review and meta-analysis of 657 patients, the tumour response rates (RECIST criteria) were 27% for Y-90 SIRT, 57% for HAI and 17% for TACE for complete or partial response. For stable disease, the response rates were 55% for Y-90 SIRT, 42% for HAI, 47% for TACE and 62% for DEB-TACE.³

In the case series of 45 patients, the best tumour response (RECIST criteria) was 13% (6/45) for partial response, 71% (32/45) for stable disease and 16% (7/45) for progressed disease (time interval not specified).⁴

In the case series of 29 patients, the tumour response rates at 3 months (RECIST 1.1 criteria; n=26 patients) were 12% (3/26) for partial response, 62% (16/26) for stable disease and 27% (7/26) for progressed disease.⁶

In the case series of 24 patients, the tumour response rates at 3 months (RECIST criteria) were 36% (8/22) for partial response, 46% (10/22) for stable disease and 18% (4/22) for progressed disease.⁷

Downstaging

In the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients, downstage to surgical resection was reported in 10% (7/73) of patients from 3 studies.²

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

In the case series of 45 patients, downstage to surgical resection was reported in 20% (9/45) of patients.⁴

In the case series of 29 patients, downstage to surgical resection was reported in 1 patient.⁶

Quality of life

In the UK registry of 61 patients, there was no statistically significant change in EQ-5D-5L score (0.84 to 0.86; n=15 patients) or EQ-VAS score (72 to 77; n=16 patients) from baseline to 3 months after the procedure.⁸

Change in tumour biomarker (CA19.9) level

In the case series of 29 patients, there was a tumour biomarker response (defined as a decline in carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9 level from the pre-SIRT baseline) in 86% (12/14) of patients (these values were only available for 48% [14/29] of patients).⁶

In the case series of 24 patients, the tumour biomarker (CA19.9) was positive in 11 patients. The tumour biomarker response 1 month after the procedure was complete in 18% (2/11) of patients and partial in 18% (2/11); there was no response in 45% (5/11) and there was disease progression in 18% (2/11).⁷

Safety summary

Total rate of adverse events

In the systematic review and meta-analysis of 224 patients from 9 observational studies, the clinical adverse events rate (which did not included biochemical toxicities) was 68% (95% CI 53% to 80%, I²=70%).¹

In the systematic review and meta-analysis of 657 patients comparing Y-90 SIRT (n=127) with HAI (n=62), transcatheter arterial chemoembolisation (TACE, n=431) or drug-eluting bead TACE (DEB-TACE, n=37), the rate of hepatic toxicity events per patient was highest for HAI (0.75; 95% CI 0.65 to 0.86) compared with Y-90 SIRT (0.64; 95% CI 0.55 to 0.72), TACE (0.09; 95% CI 0.06 to 0.12) or DEB-TACE (0.08; 95% CI 0.0 to 0.17).³

In the case series of 24 patients, 21% (5/24) of patient had grade 3 side effects. The rest of the reported side effects were either grade 1 or $2.^{7}$

In the UK registry of 61 patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, 49 all causality adverse events were reported in 49% (30/61) of patients (4 of these events were grade 3 or more).⁸

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Death

One patient died in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients from 12 non-comparative studies.²

Two patients died in the UK registry of 61 patients. The first patient died 15 days after the procedure from tumour lysis syndrome, and the other patient died 45 days after the procedure from portal vein thrombosis and liver decompensation.⁸

One patient died within 30 days of the procedure in a case series of 25 patients.9

Post-radioembolisation syndrome

Fatigue

Fatigue was reported in none to 64% of patients in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients (based on 7 studies).²

Fatigue was reported in 7% (2/30) of procedures within 30 days of treatment in the case series of 29 patients.⁶

Fatigue was reported in 88% (21/24) of patients within 3 months of the procedure in the case series of 24 patients.⁷

Fatigue was reported in 33% (16/49) of all adverse events across all follow-ups in the UK registry of 61 patients (2 of these events were grade 3 or more).⁸

Pain

Abdominal pain was reported in none to 85% of patients in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients (based on 8 studies).²

Abdominal pain was reported in 58% (10/24) of patients within 3 months of the procedure in the case series of 24 patients (it was a grade 3 side effect in 4 of the patients).⁷

Severe abdominal pain was reported in 1 patient on the day of the procedure in the UK registry of 61 patients. In the same registry, 22% (11/49) of all adverse events across all follow-ups was reported as abdominal pain.⁸

Moderate pain was reported in 2 patients during the procedure in a case series of 20 patients.¹⁰

Fever

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Fever was reported in none to 3% of patients in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients (based on 3 studies).²

Fever was reported in 13% (3/24) of patients within 3 months of the procedure in the case series of 24 patients.⁷

Fever accounted for 4% (2/49) of all adverse events across all follow-ups in the UK registry of 61 patients (1 of these events was grade 3 or more).⁸

Nausea

Nausea was reported in none to 61% of patients in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients (based on 5 studies).²

Nausea was reported in 63% (15/24) of patients within 3 months of the procedure in the case series of 24 patients.⁷

Nausea accounted for 4% (2/49) of all adverse events across all follow-ups in the UK registry of 61 patients (none of these events were grade 3 or more).⁸

Vomiting

Vomiting was reported in 17% (4/24) of patients within 3 months of the procedure in the case series of 24 patients (it was a grade 3 side effect in 1 of the patients).⁷

Anorexia

Anorexia was reported in 79% (19/24) of patients within 3 months of the procedure in the case series of 24 patients.⁷

Radiation-induced disease and liver toxicity

Radiation-induced liver disease accounted for 2% (1/49) of all adverse events across all follow-ups in the UK registry of 61 patients.⁸

Acute radiation hepatitis was reported in 2 patients and chronic radiation hepatitis was reported in 1 patient in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients (based on 1 study of 40 patients).²

Radiation cholecystitis accounted for 2% (1/49) of all adverse events across all follow-ups in the UK registry of 61 patients.⁸

Jaundice was reported in none to 5% of patients in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients (based on 3 studies).²

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Hepatic abscess was reported in 1 patient 5 months after the procedure in the case series of 20 patients.¹⁰

Hepatic encephalopathy was reported in 1 patient in the case series of 45 patients. The patient died 5 months after the procedure.⁴

Ascites was reported in 15% (11/71) of patients in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients (based on 2 studies).²

Gastroduodenal or duodenal ulcer

A gastroduodenal ulcer and 2 duodenal ulcers were reported in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients (based on 3 studies).²

An oesophagogastric junction ulcer was reported in 1 patient 18 days after the procedure in the case series of 24 patients; it was treated and healed after 6 months.⁷

Diarrhoea

Diarrhoea and abdominal cramping (grade 3 or more) were reported once across all follow-ups in the UK registry of 61 patients.⁸

Pleural effusion

Pleural effusion was reported in 6% (4/71) of patients in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients (based on 2 studies).²

Pulmonary embolism

Pulmonary embolism was reported in 1 patient in the systematic review and pooled analysis of 298 patients.²

Sepsis

Sepsis was reported in 2 patients within 30 days of the procedure in the case series of 25 patients.⁹

Anecdotal and theoretical adverse events

In addition to safety outcomes reported in the literature, specialist advisers are asked about anecdotal adverse events (events which they have heard about) and about theoretical adverse events (events which they think might possibly occur, even if they have never happened). For this procedure, specialist advisers listed the following anecdotal adverse events: non-target embolisation causing nonradiation-induced pain and cachexia. They considered that the following was a

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

theoretical adverse event: exposure to ionising radiation increasing the risk of cancer.

The evidence assessed

Rapid review of literature

The medical literature was searched to identify studies and reviews relevant to SIRT for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. The following databases were searched, covering the period from their start to 8 January 2018: MEDLINE, PREMEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library and other databases. Trial registries and the Internet were also searched. No language restriction was applied to the searches (see Literature search strategy for details of search strategy). Relevant published studies identified during consultation or resolution that are published after this date may also be considered for inclusion.

The following selection criteria (table 1) were applied to the abstracts identified by the literature search. Where selection criteria could not be determined from the abstracts the full paper was retrieved.

Characteristic	Criteria
Publication type	Clinical studies were included. Emphasis was placed on identifying good quality studies.
	Abstracts were excluded where no clinical outcomes were reported, or where the paper was a review, editorial, or a laboratory or animal study.
	Conference abstracts were also excluded because of the difficulty of appraising study methodology, unless they reported specific adverse events that were not available in the published literature.
Patient	Patients with unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.
Intervention/test	Selective internal radiation therapy.
Outcome	Articles were retrieved if the abstract contained information relevant to the safety and/or efficacy.
Language	Non-English-language articles were excluded unless they were thought to add substantively to the English-language evidence base.

Table 1 Inclusion criteria for identification of relevant studies

List of studies included in the IP overview

This IP overview is based on 1,216 patients from 3 systematic reviews and metaanalyses¹⁻³, 6 case series^{4-7,9,10} (including 2 conference abstracts^{9,10}) and 1 UK registry⁸.

Other studies that were considered to be relevant to the procedure but were not included in the main extraction table (table 2) have been listed in the appendix.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Table 2 Summary of key efficacy and safety findings on SIRT for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Study 1 Cuchetti A (2017)

Details

Study type	Systematic review and meta-analysis
Country	Italy
Recruitment period	Literature search done on 1/09/2016 (PubMed and Scopus databases)
Study population and number	n=224 patients from 9 observational (6 prospective and 3 retrospective) studies with intra-hepatic cholangiocarcinoma
Age and sex	Mean 61 years; 53% (118/224) male
Patient selection criteria	Inclusion criteria: Study population formed by patients treated for cholangiocarcinoma or extractable from studies in which SIRT was done also for other clinical malignancies; studies with a sufficient description of the study population; a description of patient survival rates for at least 1 year after SIRT.
	Exclusion criteria: studies which were reviews, letters, case reports, editorials, or comments, or were in languages other than English.
	If a study was followed by a more complete study or studies that included the original data set, the most recent and complete report was chosen.
Technique	SIRT with yttrium-90.
	Glass micro-spheres were used in 2 studies (Edeline and Mouli; n=70) and resin-based micro-spheres were used in the other 7 studies (n=154).
Follow-up	Not reported
Conflict of interest/source of funding	None

Analysis

Study design issues:

- The primary outcome measure was patient survival.
- A meta-analysis was done using a random-effects model. Meta-regression was applied to investigate relationships between clinical and tumour features and the primary outcome.
- If additional data or results were needed, the corresponding author of each report was contacted.

Study population issues:

- The proportion of naïve patients was 20%.
- The proportions of infiltrative tumours and of bilobar tumours, and the mean delivered activity with 90-Yttrrium, accounted for a degree of heterogeneity (I²)>75%. The proportions of naïve patients, of extra-hepatic metastases, of tumour burden>25% and of patients having SIRT in combination with chemotherapy accounted for a degree of heterogeneity between 50 and 75%.

Other issues:

- 12 studies were originally identified; of these, 2 (Haug 2011 and Ibrahim 2008) were excluded because they were subsequently updated and 1 (Rayar 2015) because it duplicated another more complete report.
- The Mouli (2013), Camacho (2014), Saxena (2010) and Hoffmann (2012) studies were also included in the Al-Adra (2015) systematic review and pooled analysis.
- The Saxena (2010), Hoffmann (2012) and Rafi (2013) studies were also included in the Boehm (2015) systematic review and meta-analysis.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy and Safety

Number of patients analysed: 224 from 9 studies

Characteristics of the 9 studies included in the meta-analysis

Author (year)	Number of patients	Extra- hepatic lesions	Concomitant CHT	Naïve	Objective response RECIST*	Clinical adverse events	1-, 2-, 3-year survival (%)
Mosconi (2016)	23	9% (2)	52% (12)	17% (4)	15% (3/20)	43% (10/23)	68; 21; 12
Soydal (2016)	16	31% (5)	56% (9)	25% (4)	31% (5/16)	NA	24; 10; NA
Edeline (2015)	24	21% (5)	100% (24)	100% (24)	25% (6/24)	83% (20/24)	68; 53; NA
Filippi (2015)	17	24% (4)	88% (15)	12% (2)	NA	53% (9/17)	59; NA; NA
Camacho (2014)	21	NA	100% (21)	0	0%	NA	62; 33; 20
Mouli (2013)	46	35% (16)	NA	39% (18)	NA	54% (25/46)	43; 36; 16
Rafi (2013)	19	58% (8)	NA	0	11% (2/19)	89% (17/19)	56; 10; NA
Hoffmann (2012)	33	24% (8)	NA	0	36% (12/33)	85% (28/33)	57; 43; 25
Saxena (2010)	25	48% (12)	NA	24% (6)	26% (6/23)	64% (16/25)	56; 40; 27

*Defined as complete response+partial response according to the RECIST criteria.

Meta-analysis results for patient survival after SIRT

	1-year survival	2-year survival	3-year survival
Number of patients	224	207	148
Number of studies	9	8	5
Survival (95% CI)	55.7% (48.2% to 63.2%)	33.1% (24.1% to 42.1%)	20.2% (14.4% to 26.0%)
Heterogeneity (I ²)	17%	48.9%	0%

Survival (median): 14.9 months

Tumour overall response rate (complete response+partial response according to the RECIST criteria): 24.1% (95% CI 16.4% to 34.0%, I²=27.7%)

Clinical adverse events rate (not including biochemical toxicities): 68.1% (95% Cl 53.4% to 80.0%, l²=70.3%)

Meta-regression analysis showed that determinants of best survival were:

- The presence of mass-forming intra-hepatic cholangiocarcinoma type (median survival: 19.9 months compared with 8.1 months for the infiltrative type, p=0.002) that also accounted for most of the heterogeneity between included studies (residual I²=0)
- SIRT as first-line therapy (median survival: 24 months compared with 11.5 months for non-naïve patients, p=0.048)
- The adoption of concomitant chemotherapy (median survival 19.5 months compared with 5.5 months in patients not having chemotherapy, p=0.042)

Abbreviations used: CHT, chemotherapy; CI, confidence interval; NA, not available; SIRT, selective internal radiation therapy.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Study 2 Al-Adra D P (2015)

Details

Study type	Systematic review and pooled analysis
Country	Systematic review: Canada/ UK
	Studies included: Australia (2), USA (7), Germany (1), Turkey (1), NA (1)
Recruitment period	Search covering years 2000-13
Study population and number	n=298 patients from 12 non-comparative studies (including 7 conference abstracts and 3 studies with less than 10 patients) with unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma
	There were 7 prospective case series and 5 retrospective cohort studies.
Age and sex	Mean 62 years; gender not available for all the included studies
Patient selection criteria	Study selection: Human case-series with more than 1 patient, randomised controlled trials, non- randomised controlled trials and prospective cohort series were included. The target population consisted of adult (>18 years old) male or female patients with unresectable ICC. Manuscripts published in abstract form were included.
Technique	Radioembolisation therapy with yttrium-90 microspheres (glass or resin). The yttrium-90 microsphere treatment may be done before, synchronously, or after systemic chemotherapy.
Follow-up	Median 11 months
Conflict of interest/source of funding	None

Analysis

Study design issues:

- The primary outcomes are overall survival and radiological response to radioembolisation therapy with yttrium-90 microspheres.
- Pooled analysis was done on the data from included studies. Due to the high heterogeneity among the studies and lack of randomised controlled trials, a meta-analysis was not deemed appropriate.
- A PRISMA flow diagram showing the selection of the studies for the review was included in the paper.
- The quality of the studies included was not assessed.

Study population issues: The majority of patients had had previous treatment for their ICC; 54% had had chemotherapy or surgical resection (33%).

Other issues:

- Of the studies that were reported in full-text, Hyder et al. (2013) did not provide patient details for each intraarterial therapy (IAT; 46/198 patients were SIRT) that was investigated, and Mouli et al. (2013) did not present overall survival for the whole group only by tumour morphology. Not all the studies reported the type of SIRT that was used.
- The Mouli (2013), Camacho (2014), Saxena (2010) and Hoffman (2012) studies were also included in the Cuchetti (2017) systematic review and meta-analysis.
 The Saxena (2010) and the Hoffman (2012) were also included in the Boehm (2015) systematic review and metaanalysis.

Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy									Safety		
lumber of pa	atients ana	lysed: 298	from 12 stud	ies					Complications		
Radiological systematic r Reference		es and surv	vival of the		dies ir			1e Survival	Complication	Study reference	% of patients or number of events
	of patients	up (median, months)	criteria			%)		(median, months)	Mortality	Saxena (2010)	1 death
		,		С	Ра	S	Pr	7		Hoffmann (2012);	0%
Bower (2013)	23	NA	NA	NA	35	22	NA	7		Bower (2013)	
Camacho (2013)	21	NA	mRECIST	NA	NA	NA	NA	16 (7–25)	Fatigue	Saxena (2010)	64%
Camacho (2013)	9	NA	PERCIST	22	33	33	11	22		Mouli (2013) Chaiteerakij	54% 39%
Chaiteerakij (2011)	20	NA	mRECIST	0	10)0 ^b	0	15 ± 4 (SE)		(2011) Hyder*	17%
Hoffmann (2012)	33	10	RECIST	0	36	52	15	22		(2013); Prajapati	1770
Hyder (2013)	46	NA	mRECIST	3ª	22ª	62ª	13ª	11		(2012) Shridhar	15%
Martinez (2013)	2	NA	RECIST	NAª	NAª	NAª	NAª	NA		(2012) Bower	0%
Mouli (2013)	46	29	WHO	0	25	73	2	5 (n=10), 14 (n=36)	Abdominal pain	(2013) Hoffmann	85%
Prajapati (2012)	24	NA	RECIST	NA	NA	NA	NA	12		(2012) Saxena	40%
Saxena (2010)	25	8	RECIST	NA	24	48	20	9		(2010) Mouli (2013)	28%
Shridhar (2012)	40	6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	7		Prajapati (2012)	17%
Turkmen (2013)	9	NA	NA	NA ^a	NAª	NAª	NAª	mean±SE 18± 3		Chaiteerakij (2011)	13%
Radiological Partial and s			th other intra	a-arteri	al trea	tment	modal	ities.		Hyder* (2013)	12%
	·		•							Shridhar (2012)	8%
Veighted me studies).	edian ovei	rall surviva	al: 15.5 mont	hs (rai	nge 7-:	22.2, t	based	on 11		Bower (2013)	0%
umour resr	oonse at 3	months (F	RECIST crite	eria or	mRF	CIST/F	PERCI	ST) based	Fever	Hyder* (2013)	3%
n 6 studies • Weig	ghted mea	n partial re	sponse rate: sease rate: 5	28%				.,		Bower (2013); Chaiteerakij (2011)	0%
)ownetage t		Irocotica	· 100/ (7/72)	ofpot	tionto f	rom 3	otudio	6	Nausea	Hoffmann (2012)	61%
ownstage t	o surgica	resection	: 10% (7/73)	o pa	uents I	10111 3	รเนนเย	э.		Mouli (2013)	22%
										Saxena (2010)	16%
										Hyder* (2013)	6%
										Bower (2013)	0%

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Jaundice	Shridhar (2012)	5%
	Hyder* (2013)	2%
	Bower (2013)	0%
Gastroduodenal or duodenal	Mouli (2013)	1 GD ulcer
ulcer	Prajapati (2012)	1 duodenal ulcer
	Saxena (2010)	1 duodenal ulcer
Pleural effusion	Mouli (2013)	4% (2/46)
	Saxena (2010)	8% (2/25)
Ascites	Mouli (2013)	15% (7/46)
	Saxena (2010)	16% (4/25)
Pulmonary embolism	Saxena (2010)	1
Radiation hepatitis	Shridhar (2012)	Acute (2), chronic (1)
Bilirubin increase	Hoffmann (2012)	70%
	Chaiteerakij (2011)	35%
	Prajapati (2012); Saxena (2010)	8%
	Mouli (2013)	7%
AST increase	Hoffmann (2012)	55%
	Saxena (2010)	0%
Alk Phos increase	Chaiteerakij (2011)	35%
	Saxena (2010)	4%
*Complications gr intra-arterial thera		er modes of

Abbreviations used: Alk Phos, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate transaminase; C, complete; GD, gastroduodenal; ICC, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; (m) RECIST, (modified) response evaluation criteria in solid tumours; NA, not available; Pa, partial; PERCIST, PET response evaluation criteria in solid tumours; Pr, progress; SIRT, selective internal radiation therapy; S, stable; SE, standard error; WHO, World Health Organization.

Study 3 Boehm L M (2015)

Details

Study type	Systematic review and meta-analysis
Country	USA
Recruitment period	Search covering years 1990-2013 (from the PubMed database only)
Study population and number	n=657 patients with ICC (127 Y-90 [from 5 non-comparative studies] versus 62 HAI [from 4 studies] versus 431 TACE [from 10 studies] versus 37 DEB-TACE [from 2 studies]) 60% of the studies were prospective and 40% were retrospective. In the 5 studies using Y-90 included in the meta-analysis, 4 were prospective and 1 was retrospective.
Age and sex	Mean 63 years; gender not reported
Patient selection criteria	<u>Criteria for study inclusion</u> : clinical trials, prospective cohort studies and retrospective studies of human subjects, published in English. Studies reporting the primary outcome of interest on patients with unresectable ICC having hepatic artery based therapies.
	<u>Criteria for study exclusion</u> : case reports or case series of less than 10 patients, studies including patients having concomitant systemic chemotherapy, and patients having concomitant radiotherapy; studies on patients with resectable tumour and studies reporting outcomes of patients with mixed histology such as ICC with hepatocellular carcinoma.
Technique	Y-90 (4 studies involving SIR-spheres and 1 study involving TheraSpheres), HAI, TACE or DEB-TACE
Follow-up	Not reported
Conflict of interest/source of funding	None

Analysis

Study design issues:

- This review examined the comparative effectiveness of hepatic artery based therapies, including 5 studies using Y-90 microspheres, for unresectable ICCs.
- The primary outcome of interest was overall survival.
- A PRISMA flow diagram showing the selection of the studies for the review was included in the paper.
- The quality of the studies included for data analysis was assessed with the Centre for evidence-based medicine guidelines. 70% of the studies were assigned as level 2b and 30% were level 4. The confounding factors were described adequately only in 24% of the papers and therefore could not be used for analysis.
- There was heterogeneity in the studies in the reporting of outcomes.

Study population issues:

- Details of previous treatment regimens were not provided.
- Extra hepatic disease was present in 44% of all patients. Rates of extra hepatic disease were highest in the TACE arm (48%) versus DEB-TACE (42%) versus Y-90 (37%) versus HAI (36%).

• There was heterogeneity in the patient populations and selection criteria for hepatic artery based therapies.

Other issues:

- It is possible that 2 of the studies (Haug et al. 2011; Hoffman et al. 2012) included overlapping patient populations.
- The Saxena (2010), Hoffmann (2012) and Rafi (2013) studies were also included in the Cuchetti (2017) systematic review and meta-analysis.
- The Saxena (2010) and the Hoffman (2012) were also included in the Al-Adra (2015) systematic review and pooled analysis.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Key efficacy and safety findings

fficacy		1.057			Safety			(N	
		ed: 657 patients (12 sus 62 HAI [from 4			Toxicity (events			-	
from 10 studie	es] versus (udies selected for		Y-90 (95% Cl)	HAI (95% CI)	TACE (95% Cl)	DEB- TACE (95% CI)		
-	Sample	RECIST response complete+partial response; number of patients)	Median survival (months)	Toxicities ^a	Hepatic complications None of the studie complications acc				0.08 (0.0 to 0.17) ia and
Ibrahim (2008)	24	6 ^b	15	5	could not be used complications for o			synthesis	of
Saxena (2010)	25	6	9	5					
Haug (2011)	26	5	12	NR					
Hoffman (2012)	33	12	22	NR					
Survival calcul	ated from th	ne date of diagnosis		-					
(2013) NCI/WHO Gra Survival calcula esults of the i	ade III/IV tox lated from th meta-analy ng a randor	ricities. The date of diagnosis Vsis of median ove n effect model)	in the Ibrahim	n 2008 study. Ind tumour					
(2013) NCI/WHO Gra Survival calcula esults of the r esponse (usin	ade III/IV tox lated from th meta-analy	vicities. The date of diagnosis rsis of median ove n effect model) HAI	in the Ibrahim	n 2008 study.					
(2013) NCI/WHO Gra Survival calcula esults of the r esponse (usin Cumulative median OS	ade III/IV tox lated from th meta-analy ng a randon Y-90	ticities. The date of diagnosis The date of	in the Ibrahim rall survival a	n 2008 study. Ind tumour DEB-TACE					
(2013) NCI/WHO Gra Survival calcula esults of the r esponse (usin Cumulative median OS (months) RECIST tumour	ade III/IV tox lated from the meta-analy ng a randor Y-90 (95% C 13.9	ticities. The date of diagnosis The date of	in the Ibrahim rall survival a TACE (95% CI) 12.4	DEB-TACE (95% CI) 12.3 (11.0 to					
(2013) NCI/WHO Gra Survival calcula esults of the response (usin Cumulative median OS (months) RECIST tumour response Complete/ partial	ade III/IV tox ated from the meta-analy ng a random Y-90 (95% C 13.9 (9.5 to 18 (9.5 to 18) 27.4 (17.4 to	ticities. The date of diagnosis rsis of median ove n effect model) HAI (95% CI) 22.8 3.3) (9.8 to 35.8) 56.9	in the Ibrahim rall survival a TACE (95% CI) 12.4	DEB-TACE (95% CI) 12.3 (11.0 to 13.5)					
(2013) NCI/WHO Gra Survival calcula esponse (usin Cumulative median OS (months) RECIST tumour response Complete/	ade III/IV tox ated from the meta-analy ng a random Y-90 (95% C 13.9 (9.5 to 18 (9.5 to 18) 27.4 (17.4 to	ticities. The date of diagnosis rsis of median ove n effect model) HAI (95% CI) 22.8 3.3) (9.8 to 35.8) 56.9 (41.0 to 72.8) 42.2	in the Ibrahim rall survival a TACE (95% CI) 12.4 (10.9-13.9)	DEB-TACE (95% CI) 12.3 (11.0 to 13.5)					

Study 4 Beuzit L (2016)

Details

Study type	Retrospective case series
Country	France
Recruitment period	2010-14
Study population and number	n=45 patients with unresectable ICC (ECOG 0-2)
Age and sex	Median 64 years; 53% (24/45) male
Patient selection criteria	Inclusion criteria: histologically-proven ICC, at least 6 months follow-up after the treatment by Y-90 SIRT and available baseline contrast-enhanced computed tomography scan obtained within 2 months before the first Y-90 SIRT injection and at least 1 CT done up to 3 months following the treatment.
	Exclusion criteria: Child-Pugh class B cirrhosis, total bilirubin>35 µmol/L, involvement by the tumour of more than 70% of the liver (50% in case of cirrhosis) and performance status>2; extra-hepatic spread and a concomitant active neoplasia.
Technique	TheraSphere
Follow-up	Not reported
Conflict of interest/source of funding	The authors of this manuscript declared relationships with the following companies: Etienne GARIN and Yan ROLLAND—Consultant, BTG International Ltd.

Analysis

Follow-up issues: The median time between the baseline pre-treatment scan and Y-90 SIRT was 17 days (range 1–44 days); it was 49 days (range 27–77 days) between Y-90 SIRT and the first post-treatment scan. Thirty-five patients (78%) had 2 or more post-treatment scans. The median time between Y-90 SIRT and the second post-treatment scan was 133 days (range 83–343 days).

Study design issues: The objective of this study was to compare Choi criteria with RECIST for the prediction of overall survival, in patients treated with Y-90 SIRT for ICC.

Study population issues

- Y-90 SIRT was done for recurrent cholangiocarcinoma in 18 patients (40%). A total of 8 (18%) patients had cirrhosis, always classified Child-Pugh A.
- Forty-one patients (91%) had prior chemotherapy, and 13 (29%) had concomitant chemotherapy (i.e. started at a maximum of 3 months before Y-90 SIRT, and continued following Y-90 SIRT).
- Other previous treatments were chemoembolisation (1 patient) and percutaneous radiofrequency ablation (2 patients), but in all patients other lesions were used for response evaluation in this study.
- 22% of patients had 2 sessions of SIRT and 4% had 3 sessions.
- Extra –hepatic metastases were present in none of the patients.

Other issues: None.

Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy	Safety
Number of patients analysed: 45	Hepatic encephalopathy: 2% (1/45) The patient died 5 months after treatment.
Median overall survival: 19 months (95% CI 9 months to 29 months)	
1-year overall survival: 54%	
2-year overall survival: 41%	
Downstage to surgical resection: 20% (9/45)	
Tumour response (RECIST criteria):	
Complete response: 0% (best response)	
Partial response: 13% (6/45) (best response)	
Stable disease: 71% (32/45) (best response)	
Progressed disease: 16% (7/45) (best response)	
Progression of the disease at the time of analysis: 56% (25/45)	
Abbreviations used: ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ICC,	 intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; Y-90, Yttrium ⁹⁰ .

Study 5 Paprottka K J (2017)

Details

Study type	Retrospective case series
Country	Germany (single centre)
Recruitment period	2013
Study population and number	n=389 consecutive patients who had radioembolisation including 35 patients with cholangiocarcinoma
Age and sex	Median 64 years; 60% (232/389) male
Patient selection criteria	Inclusion criteria: absence of significant progressive extrahepatic disease, remaining liver function considered to be sufficient, and hepatic arterial anatomy allowing safe delivery of the Y-90 resin microspheres to the liver only.
	Exclusion criteria: limited hepatic reserve, ascites or other clinical signs of liver failure, compromised bone marrow or renal function, or other severe comorbidities.
Technique	Y-90 resin microspheres
Follow-up	Minimum 3 months
Conflict of interest/source of funding	None

Analysis

Follow-up issues: The mean length of follow-up was not reported.

Study design issues:

- The aim of this study was to determine pre-therapeutic predictive factors for overall survival after Y-90 radioembolisation.
- Some of the patients initially recruited were excluded after the baseline evaluation.

Study population issues:

- Extra-hepatic disease was present in 69% (267/389) of the patients.
- 10% (40/389) of patients had multiple SIRT treatments.

Other issues: None.

Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy	Safety
Number of patients analysed: 35 patients with cholangiocarcinoma	No safety events reported.
Median survival time after radioembolisation (days): 429 (95% CI 272 to 586)	
Abbreviations used: CI, confidence interval; Y-90, Yttrium ⁹⁰ .	

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Study 6 Swinburne N C (2017)

Details

Study type	Retrospective case series
Country	USA (single centre)
Recruitment period	2008-15
Study population and number	n=29 consecutive patients with unresectable ICC (ECOG 0-2)
Age and sex	Mean 66 years; 48% (14/29) male
Patient selection criteria	Consecutive patients with unresectable ICC treated with SIRT using Y-90.
Technique	SIRT using Y-90. 17 patients were treated with SIR-Spheres and 12 patients with TheraSpheres.
Follow-up	Mean 8 months
Conflict of interest/source of funding	3 of the authors disclosed the following potential conflicts of interest: consulting work for Sirtex or BTG International or sponsored lectures by BTG International.

Analysis

Follow-up issues:

- All patients had an initial outpatient office visit which included laboratory value assessment and imaging review. Treatment efficacy was determined by single observer blinded review of baseline and 90-day post-SIRT follow-up computed tomography and MRI using RECIST criteria version 1.1
- Post-procedural adverse effects were assessed through 30 days from the date of Y-90 administration by review of
 outpatient and inpatient medical records.
- Overall survival was determined from medical records and calculated using Kaplan-Meier methodology.
- Survival data were censored for patient loss to follow-up or liver resection following SIRT.
- Median survival between subgroups was determined using the log-rank test.
- Baseline and post-SIRT follow-up imaging were available in 90% (26/29) of patients. The patients lacking
 available baseline and follow-up imaging could not be assessed with respect to RECIST 1.1 tumour response to
 Y-90 treatment but were included for the evaluation of safety and median overall survival.

Study design issues:

• 34 patients with unresectable ICC were treated with SIRT during the study period. Of these, 5 patients without histopathological confirmation of ICC were excluded.

Study population issues:

- 28% (8/29) of patients were treatment naïve before the procedure. The remaining 21 patients had collectively had 25 prior treatment modalities before SIRT: 52% (15/29) of patients had chemotherapy treatment before having SIRT. 24% (7/29) had prior surgery, 7% (2/29) had external radiation and 3% (1/29) had transarterial chemoembolisation.
- Extra-hepatic metastasis was present in 38% (11/29) of patients (baseline imaging was unavailable in 1 patient).
- A total of 30 SIRT treatments were done, with 1 patient having 2 separate SIRT procedures.

Other issues: The authors wrote that "the toxicity rates might be underestimated due to incomplete documentation in patient medical records, although it is less likely that severe (CTCAE grade 3 or 4) toxicities would have gone unrecorded."

Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy	Safety	
Number of patients analysed: 29	Toxicity within 30 days of SIRT	
	Variable	% of treatments (n=30)
Tumour response at 3 months (RESIST 1.1 criteria, n=26 patients):	Bilirubin ^a	13% (4/30)
Complete response: 0%	AST ^a	3% (1/30)
Partial response: 12% (3/26)	Alkaline	3% (1/30)
• Stable disease: 62% (16/26)	phosphatase ^a	
 Progressed disease: 27% (7/26) 	Fatigue ^a	7% (2/30)
	^a Grade1 by National	Cancer Institute CTCAE Criteria
Tumour biomarker (CA19.9) response (defined as a decline in CA 19-9 level from the pre-SIRT baseline; these values were available for 48% (14/29) of patients).		
• Yes: 86% (12/14)		
• No: 14% (2/14)		
Median time to progression (months): 5.6 (95% CI 0 to 12.0)		
Median overall survival (months)		
• From SIRT: 9.1 (95% CI 1.7 to 16.4)		
• From diagnosis: 26.1 (95% CI 12.8 to 39.4)		
• Median overall survival was longer in patients with intrahepatic- only disease at baseline (13.9 months) compared with patients with extrahepatic disease (6.8 months, p=0.04).		
Downstage to surgical resection: 3% (1/29)		
Abbreviations used: CA, carbohydrate antigen; CI, confidence interval; CTC ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ICC, intrahepatic cholangioc		

Study 7 Jia Z (2017)

Details

Study type	Retrospective case series
Country	USA (single centre)
Recruitment period	2006-15
Study population and number	n=24 patients with unresectable, chemorefractory ICC. ECOG 0-1.
Age and sex	Mean 62 years; 33% (8/24) male
Patient selection criteria	All patients with unresectable, chemorefractory ICC who had resin-based Y-90 microspheres were included in this study.
Technique	SIRT using Y-90 SIR-spheres.
Follow-up	Mean 11 months
Conflict of interest/source of funding	None

Analysis

Follow-up issues:

- Follow-up was conducted systematically with a pre-established protocol using telephone surveys, outpatient visits, and bedside visits if the patient was hospitalised. All patients had a minimum of 2 calls, on the first day after the treatment and 1 week later. Subsequent calls were made as needed until resolution of symptoms.
- The first outpatient clinical follow-up visit was scheduled 1 month after the treatment with subsequent visits at 3-month intervals, or sooner if clinically necessary. Visits included laboratory testing, contrast-enhanced MRI, and the evaluation of bone, chest, etc., as necessary.

Study design issues:

- Tumour response was measured according to mRECIST criteria on follow-up MRI. Contrast-enhanced MR scans were obtained before Y-90 treatment to serve as a baseline. After treatment follow-up, imaging was obtained at 1 and 3 months.
- Carbohydrate antigen199 (CA199, normal <37 Ul/ml) levels were analysed at baseline and after therapy. The type of response was defined as complete if the levels normalised, partial if the decrease was>20% from baseline, no response if the decrease was <20% or increase was <20%, or progression if the elevation was>20%.
- The severity of side effects was assessed using Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.03.

Study population issues:

- All patients had had first-line cisplatin and gemcitabine.
- Extra-hepatic metastasis was present in 13% (3/24) of patients.
- Previous liver-directed therapy was not reported.
- A total of 27 Y-90 treatments were administered in 24 patients with 3 patients having a second treatment.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Key efficacy and safety findings

Median overall survival from the time of first Y-90 procedure: 9 months (95% CI 6 months to 12 months) % 6-month survival: 70% Post-radioembolisation syndrome 1-year overall survival: 20% Anorexia 2-year overall survival: 20% Nausea 0-month overall survival: 20% Nausea 1-year overall survival: 20% Nausea 0-month overall survival: 20% Nausea 1-year overall survival: 20% Nausea 0-month overall survival: 20% Nausea 1-year overall survival: 20% Nausea 0-month overall survival: 20% Nausea 1-year overall survival: 20% Nomith post-freatment 2 Partial response: 68% (8/22) • Progressed disease: 18% Yhite blood cell (X10 ⁵ /µL)* 2 Pre-treatment 6. 1-month post-treatment 0. 2 Anorexia 3 months post-treatment 1 Pre-treatment 0. 2 Anore	Efficacy	of motionsta analysis of 24	Safety	/ + + ++
Anorexia Post-radioembolisation syndrome Post-radioe	INUTIDEL (or patients analysed: 24	Side effects and complications within 3 months of 90-	
Statigue Fatigue 6-month survival: 70% 1-year overall survival: 33% 2-year overall survival: 20% 30-month overall survival: 20% Tumour response at 3 months (RECIST criteria): • Complete response: 0% • Partial response: 36% (8/22) • Stable disease: 46% (10/22) • Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) • Complete: 18% (2/11) • Complete: 18% (2/11) • Partial: 18% (2/11) • Progression: 18% (2/11) • Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child-Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), Itymph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).				Patients
3-month survival: 70% Patigue (c) 1-year overall survival: 33% Anorexia (c) 2-year overall survival: 20% Nausea (c) 30-month overall survival: 20% Nausea (c) Tumour response at 3 months (RECIST criteria): • Complete response: 0% • Vomiting 17' • Partial response: 36% (8/22) • Fever 13' • Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) 1 month post-treatment 6. 22 patients were available to evaluate the umour biomarker (CA19.9) changes between pre- and 1-month post Y-90 reatment: • Complete: 18% (2/11) • Complete: 18% (2/11) • Pre-treatment 0. • Complete: 18% (2/11) • Pre-treatment 0. • Progression: 18% (2/11) • Pre-treatment 41. • Progression: 18% (2/11) • Pre-treatment 41. • Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child-Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), Jymph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).		• •	Post-radioembolisation syndrome	
1-year overall survival: 33% Anorexia (1) 2-year overall survival: 20% Nausea (1) 30-month overall survival: 20% Nausea (1) 1-year overall survival: 20% Nausea (1) 2-year overall survival: 20% Nausea (1) 1-year overall survival: 20% Nausea (1) 2-year overall survival: 20% Nausea (1) 1-year overall survival: 20% Nausea (1) 2-year overall survival: 20% Nausea (1) 1-year overall survival: 20% Nausea (1) 2-year overall survival: 20% Year overall survival: 20% Nausea (1) 2-year overall survival: 20% Year overall survival: 20% Year overall survival: 20% Year overall survival: 20% 2- partial response: 36% (8/22) Stable disease: 18% (2/12) Year overation overall survival: 20% Year overation		,	Fatigue	88%
2-year overall survival: 20% Nausea 30-month overall survival: 20% Nausea (() Nausea (() Nausea (() Abdominal pain (() Abdominal pain (() Nausea (() Abdominal pain (() Partial response at 3 months (RECIST () Partial response: 36% (8/22) • Stable disease: 46% (10/22) Fever • Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) Pre-treatment 22 patients were available to evaluate the umour response. 1 month post-treatment Tumour biomarker (CA19.9) changes between pre- and 1-month post Y-90 reatment: 9 months post-treatment • Complete: 18% (2/11) Pre-treatment • None: 45% (5/1) 1 month post-treatment • Progression: 18% (2/11) 3 months post-treatment • Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment • Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child-Pugh class (p=0.001). ECOC performance status (p < 0.001). (COC CP performance status (p < 0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically significant influence on survival.			An annais	(21/24)
30-month overall survival: 20% Nausea 10-month overall survival: 20% Abdominal pain 11-month post-response at 3 months (RECIST criteria): Abdominal pain • Complete response: 0% • Partial response: 36% (8/22) • Stable disease: 46% (10/22) • Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) • Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) • Pre-treatment 22 patients were available to evaluate the umour response. 1 month post-treatment 11-month post Y-90 reatment: • Complete: 18% (2/11) • Partial: 18% (2/11) • Pre-treatment • Complete: 18% (2/11) • Pre-treatment • Progression: 18% (2/11) • Pre-treatment • Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001). ECOC performance status (p < 0.001).	•		Anorexia	79% (19/24)
Abdominal pain (() Abdominal pain (() Complete response: 0% Partial response: 36% (8/22) Stable disease: 46% (10/22) Fever 13° Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) Pre-treatment 6. 22 patients were available to evaluate the umour response. Pre-treatment 6. Tumour biomarker (CA19.9) changes between pre- and 1-month post Y-90 reatment: Pre-treatment 0. Complete: 18% (2/11) Pre-treatment 0. Complete: 18% (2/11) Pre-treatment 0. None: 45% (5/11) Pre-treatment 3. None: 45% (5/11) Pre-treatment 40. Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 40. Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 51. Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 51. Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 64. Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 64.<	-		Nausea	63%
Complete response: 0% Partial response: 36% (8/22) Stable disease: 46% (10/22) Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) Tumour biomarker (CA19.9) changes pertual: 18% (2/11) Partial: 18% (2/11) Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment Alanine phosphatase (U/L)* Pre-treatment Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 2 Mittivariate analysis found that ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), lymph node metastases (p=0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically significant influence on survival.				(15/24)
 Partial response: 36% (8/22) Stable disease: 46% (10/22) Stable disease: 46% (10/22) Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) Tumour biomarker (CA19.9) changes between pre- and 1-month post Y-90 reatment: Complete: 18% (2/11) Partial: 18% (2/11) None: 45% (5/11) Progression: 18% (2/11) Progression: 11 patients. Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors satus (p = 0.001), ECOC performance status (p = 0.002) and lumour response (p < 0.001). Winth node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically significant influence on survival. 			Abdominal pain	58% (10/24)
 Partial response: 36% (8/22) Stable disease: 46% (10/22) Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) 1 month post-treatment 3 months post-treatment 3 months post-treatment Complete: 18% (2/11) None: 45% (5/11) Progression: 18% (2/11) Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 3 months post-treatment Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment Significant factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis dentified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001). Mph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001). Multivariate analysis found that ECOG performance status (p=0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically significant influence on survival. 	•	Complete response: 0%	Vomiting	17% (4/24
Stable disease: 46% (10/22) Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) White blood cell (X10 ³ /µL)* Pre-treatment 6. 1 month post-treatment 6. 1 month post-treatment 6. Total bilirubin (mg/dL)* Pre-treatment 0. Total bilirubin (mg/dL)* Pre-treatment 0. Total bilirubin (mg/dL)* Pre-treatment 0. Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Partia: 18% (2/11) Partia: 18% (2/11) None: 45% (5/11) Progression: 18% (2/11) None: 45% (5/11) Progression: 18% (2/11) Progression: 18% (2/11) Progression: 18% (2/11) Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 41. Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 51. Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child-Pughe class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001). Multivariate analysis found that ECOG performance status (p = 0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.002) a	•		Fever	13% (3/24
Progressed disease: 18% (4/22) 22 patients were available to evaluate the umour response. Fumour biomarker (CA19.9) changes between pre- and 1-month post Y-90 reatment: Complete: 18% (2/11) Partial: 18% (2/11) None: 45% (5/11) None: 45% (5/11) Progression: 18% (2/11) Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 39. CA19.9 was positive in 11 patients. Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), lymph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001). Multivariate analysis found that ECOG performance status (p < 0.001). Multivariate analysis found that ECOG performance status (p < 0.001). Multivariate analysis found that ECOG performance status (p < 0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically significant influence on survival.	•	· · · · /	White blood cell (X10 ³ /µL)*	
(4/22) 1 month post-treatment 6. 22 patients were available to evaluate the umour response. 3 months post-treatment 6. Tumour biomarker (CA19.9) changes between pre- and 1-month post Y-90 treatment: 9 Pre-treatment 0. • Complete: 18% (2/11) 9 month post-treatment 0. • None: 45% (5/11) 9 Pre-treatment 0. • None: 45% (5/11) 1 month post-treatment 3. • Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), Imph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).	•		Pre-treatment	6.8 ± 1.7
umour response. Total bilirubin (mg/dL)* Fumour biomarker (CA19.9) changes between pre- and 1-month post Y-90 reatment: 1 month post-treatment 0. • Complete: 18% (2/11) 1 month post-treatment 0. • Partial: 18% (2/11) 9 Pre-treatment 0. • None: 45% (5/11) 1 month post-treatment 39. • None: 45% (5/11) 1 month post-treatment 40. CA19.9 was positive in 11 patients. 1 month post-treatment 40. CA19.9 was positive in 11 patients. Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 51. • Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001).			1 month post-treatment	6.2 ± 1.8
Tumour biomarker (CA19.9) changes Pre-treatment 0 • Complete: 18% (2/11) 1 month post-treatment 0 • Complete: 18% (2/11) 9 anoths post-treatment 0 • None: 45% (5/11) Pre-treatment 3 • None: 45% (5/11) 1 month post-treatment 40 • Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Pre-treatment 51 • Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child-Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), Imph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).			3 months post-treatment	6.2 ± 1.4
Tumour biomarker (CA19.9) changes 1 month post-treatment 0 1 month post-treatment 0 • Complete: 18% (2/11) 3 months post-treatment 0 • None: 45% (5/11) Pre-treatment 39 • None: 45% (5/11) 1 month post-treatment 39 • Progression: 18% (2/11) 1 month post-treatment 39 • Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), Iymph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).	umour re	esponse.	Total bilirubin (mg/dL)*	
a month post Y-90 reatment: a Complete: 18% (2/11) b Partial: 18% (2/11) c None: 45% (5/11) c Progression: 18% (2/11) c Progression: 18% (2/11) c Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* a month post-treatment d Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)* Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Cht he 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child-Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), lymph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).	_		Pre-treatment	0.6 ± 0.2
reatment: 3 months post-treatment 0. • Complete: 18% (2/11) • Partial: 18% (2/11) • Pre-treatment 39. • None: 45% (5/11) • 1 month post-treatment 41. • Progression: 18% (2/11) 1 month post-treatment 41. • Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), Ivmph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).			1 month post-treatment	0.7 ± 0.3
 Partial: 18% (2/11) None: 45% (5/11) Progression: 18% (2/11) Arborn 11 patients. Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), Imph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001). Multivariate analysis found that ECOG performance status (p=0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically significant influence on survival. 			3 months post-treatment	0.8 ± 0.5
 None: 45% (5/11) Progression: 18% (2/11) CA19.9 was positive in 11 patients. Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), lymph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001). Multivariate analysis found that ECOG performance status (p=0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically significant influence on survival. Mutrix influence on survival. 	•	Complete: 18% (2/11)	Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)*	
Progression: 18% (2/11) CA19.9 was positive in 11 patients. Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), Iymph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001). Multivariate analysis found that ECOG performance status (p=0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically significant influence on survival. Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Aspartate amino	•	Partial: 18% (2/11)	Pre-treatment	39.2 ± 16.
CA19.9 was positive in 11 patients. Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)* Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), ICOG performance status (p < 0.001), Iwnph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).	•	None: 45% (5/11)	1 month post-treatment	41.8 ± 19.1
Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), ICOG performance status (p < 0.001), Impun node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).	•	Progression: 18% (2/11)	3 months post-treatment	40.2 ± 16.0
Of the 10 factors analysed by Cox regression model, univariate analysis identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), IVMPh node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).	CA19.9 v	vas positive in 11 patients.	Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)*	
regression model, univariate analysis 3 months post-treatment 66. associated with survival: Child–Pugh Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)* 66. class (p=0.001), ECOG performance Pre-treatment 2 status (p < 0.001), lymph node			Pre-treatment	51.9 ± 23.
identified 4 significant factors associated with survival: Child–Pugh class (p=0.001), ECOG performance status (p < 0.001), lymph node metastases (p=0.002) and tumour response (p < 0.001).			1 month post-treatment	64.1 ± 40.
associated with survival: Child–Pugh Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)* class (p=0.001), ECOG performance Pre-treatment status (p < 0.001), lymph node			3 months post-treatment	66.1 ± 42.
status (p < 0.001), lymph node			Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)*	
response (p < 0.001).	state	us (p < 0.001), lymph node	Pre-treatment	212.1 ± 200.9
ECOG performance status (p=0.002) 3 months post-treatment 2 and lymph node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically 6 significant influence on survival. 7 4% The ulcer was diagnosed at day 18 and it took 6 months to heal with medical treatment. 4%	resp	oonse (p < 0.001).	1 month post-treatment	249.8 ± 172.8
(p=0.019) had a statistically significant influence on survival. Oesophagogastric junction ulcer 4%The ulcer was diagnosed at day 18 and it took 6 months to heal with medical treatment.4%	ECOG performance status (p=0.002) and lymph node metastases (p=0.019) had a statistically		3 months post-treatment	231.3 ± 156.7
			The ulcer was diagnosed at day 18 and it took 6	4% (1/24)
* <i>p</i> value not statistically significant			* p value not statistically significant	
There were 21% (5/24) of patients who had Grade 3 side effect including abdominal pain (n=4) and vomiting (n=1). The rest of reported side effects were either Grade 1 or 2.			including abdominal pain (n=4) and vomiting (n=1). The re-	

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Study 8 SIRT Commissioning through Evaluation (CtE) registry (2017)

Details

Study type	Registry (SIRT CtE registry)
Country	England (10 NHS centres)
Recruitment period	2013-17
Study population and number	n=61 patients with ICC (ECOG 0-2) and 388 patients with CRC
Age and sex	Mean 62 years; 53% (32/61) male
Patient selection criteria	Adults with unresectable, chemotherapy-refractory primary ICC.
Technique	SIRT using Y-90 microspheres (SIR-spheres [74% of ICC patients] or TheraSpheres).
Follow-up	Median 14 months
Conflict of interest/source of funding	The SIRT procedure (including related care) and data submission activities were funded by NHS England through the CtE scheme.

Analysis

Follow-up issues:

- Patients treated shortly before the data was extracted for analysis had very short follow-up periods.
- Sites were expected to follow patients up every 2 to 3 months after their SIRT procedure until progression was detected (and later for survival data). Follow-up appointments would usually consist of an abdominal CT scan and in some cases an MRI or PET scan was also carried out. In addition, sites invited patients to complete the generic HRQoL questionnaire, EQ-5D-5L (Herdman et al. 2011), before SIRT and every 3 months until progression. Follow-up assessments after progression were occasionally recorded in the registry.

Study design issues:

- Pseudonymised data relating to patient characteristics, treatment planning, the SIRT procedure, safety
 and adverse events, imaging results, survival, and HRQoL were prospectively collected by the clinical
 teams and submitted to a pre-existing online SIRT registry (hosted by the British Society of
 Interventional Radiology [BSIR]).
- The criteria used for grading the adverse events was not prescribed although it was assumed that most centres would use the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) system.
- Patients who did not have a date of death were censored at the latest point they were known to be alive, and patients missing this information were excluded from the analysis.
- A total of 514 patients were treated under the scheme until close of data entry at the end of February 2017. A total of 474 patients were added to the SIRT registry, of which 460 were valid data entries.

• Across the 10 SIRT centres in England, the numbers of patients treated ranged from 15 to 120.

Study population issues:

- Most ICC patients (81%) had had 1 or 2 lines of chemotherapy before SIRT.
- Most patients had a single SIRT procedure of palliative intent.
- A minority of patients had concomitant chemotherapy (12% in ICC cohort) with SIRT. Some patients also had chemotherapy following SIRT.
- Extra-hepatic metastasis was present in 41% of patients with ICC.
- Most patients had not had prior hepatic procedures (84% ICC).
- The median duration from primary diagnosis to the first SIRT procedure was 1.1 years in the in the ICC cohort.

Other issues:

 Relatedness of complications or adverse events to the SIRT intervention was not recorded in the registry.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

• External validation of each patient against the CtE eligibility criteria was not possible, and from the information gathered in the SIRT registry it was not possible to confirm that patients who had only 1 previous line of chemotherapy were in fact intolerant to standard chemotherapy.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy		Safety		
Number of patients analysed: 61		Deaths related to con	•	
Survival		One patient had "tumo days following SIRT.		
Outcome (median in months)ICC cohort (95% CIs)		One patient had "portal vein thrombosis and liver decompensation" recorded and died 45 days after SIRT.		
OS from first SIRT procedure to death	8.7 (5.3 to 12.1) (33 events)	Number of patients w product incidents rec causality adverse eve	orded at time of pro	
PFS from first SIRT	2.8 (2.6 to	Event type	Number of patients	S
procedure to earliest	3.1)	Severe day-of-treatr	ment complications	
date of hepatic or extrahepatic disease	40 (66%) progressed	Yes	2% (1/61) Severe abdominal p	ain
progression (or death)	7 (11%)	No	95% (58/61)	
	died	Missing	3% (2/61)	
LPFS survival from first	3.1 (1.3-4.8)	Product incident		
SIRT procedure to earliest date of hepatic	33 (54%)	Yes	0	
disease progression	progressed 13 (21%)	No	64% (39/61)	
(or death)	died	Missing	36% (22/61)	
	1]	All causality advers		event)
3-month overall survival: 89	9%	Yes	49% (30/61)	
6-month overall survival: 85	5%	No	51% (31/61)	
2-year overall survival: 7%		Total number of all-ca recorded across all fo	ollow-ups (does not	include day of
2-year overall survival : 7% No patients survived to 36	months		ollow-ups (does not	include day of
2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cens	months	recorded across all fo treatment complication	ollow-ups (does not ons recorded in proc	include day of cedural data)
2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cens	i months sored).	recorded across all fo treatment complication	ollow-ups (does not ons recorded in proc All adverse events	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse
2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cens Quality of life • EQ-5D-5L at bas	i months sored). eline: 0.84	recorded across all fo treatment complication Category of event	ollow-ups (does not ons recorded in proc All adverse events (% of all AEs)	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse events
 2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cension) Quality of life EQ-5D-5L at bas EQ-5D-5L at 3 m 	eline: 0.84	recorded across all fo treatment complication Category of event Fatigue Abdominal pain Nausea	Solition Construct of the second diagram All adverse events (% of all AEs) 33% (16/49) 22% (11/49) 4% (2/49) 4% (2/49)	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse events 2 0 0
2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cens Quality of life • EQ-5D-5L at bas	eline: 0.84	recorded across all fo treatment complication Category of event Fatigue Abdominal pain Nausea Fever	Second System Second System All adverse events (% of all AEs) 33% (16/49) 22% (11/49) 4% (2/49) 4% (2/49)	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse events 2 0 0 1
2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cens Quality of life • EQ-5D-5L at bas • EQ-5D-5L at 3 m • p=NS, n=15 patie • EQ-VAS at basel • EQ-VAS at 3 mo	i months sored). eline: 0.84 ionths: 0.86 ents line: 72 nths: 77	recorded across all fo treatment complication Category of event Fatigue Abdominal pain Nausea	Solition Construct of the second dimension All adverse events (% of all AEs) 33% (16/49) 22% (11/49) 4% (2/49) 4% (2/49) 2% (1/49) 2% (1/49)	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse events 2 0 0
 2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cens) Quality of life EQ-5D-5L at bas EQ-5D-5L at 3 m p=NS, n=15 patie EQ-VAS at based 	i months sored). eline: 0.84 ionths: 0.86 ents line: 72 nths: 77	recorded across all fo treatment complication Category of event Fatigue Abdominal pain Nausea Fever Radiation- induced liver	All adverse events (% of all AEs) 33% (16/49) 22% (11/49) 4% (2/49) 4% (2/49) 2% (1/49) 2% (1/49)	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse events 2 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cens Quality of life EQ-5D-5L at bas EQ-5D-5L at 3 m p=NS, n=15 patie EQ-VAS at basel EQ-VAS at 3 mo	i months sored). eline: 0.84 ionths: 0.86 ents line: 72 nths: 77	recorded across all fo treatment complication Category of event Fatigue Abdominal pain Nausea Fever Radiation- induced liver disease Radiation	Solition Construct of the second dimension All adverse events (% of all AEs) 33% (16/49) 22% (11/49) 4% (2/49) 4% (2/49) 2% (1/49) 2% (1/49)	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse events 2 0 0 1 1 0
 2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cens) Quality of life EQ-5D-5L at bas EQ-5D-5L at 3 m p=NS, n=15 patie EQ-VAS at basel EQ-VAS at 3 mo 	i months sored). eline: 0.84 ionths: 0.86 ents line: 72 nths: 77	recorded across all fo treatment complication Category of event Fatigue Abdominal pain Nausea Fever Radiation- induced liver disease Radiation cholecystitis Other Total	All adverse events (% of all AEs) 33% (16/49) 22% (11/49) 4% (2/49) 2% (1/49) 2% (1/49) 33% (16/49)*	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse events 2 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 (diarrhoea and abdominal cramping) 4
 EQ-5D-5L at 3 m p=NS, n=15 patie EQ-VAS at basel EQ-VAS at 3 mo 	i months sored). eline: 0.84 ionths: 0.86 ents line: 72 nths: 77	recorded across all fo treatment complication Category of event Fatigue Abdominal pain Nausea Fever Radiation- induced liver disease Radiation cholecystitis Other	Solidow-ups (does not cons recorded in processing events (% of all AEs) 33% (16/49) 22% (11/49) 4% (2/49) 2% (1/49) 2% (1/49) 33% (16/49)* 100% (49/49) vents were gastrointer, anorexia, and indiged	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse events 2 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 (diarrhoea and abdominal cramping) 4 estinal-related such as estion/reflux.
 2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cens) Quality of life EQ-5D-5L at bas EQ-5D-5L at 3 m p=NS, n=15 patie EQ-VAS at basel EQ-VAS at 3 mo 	i months sored). eline: 0.84 ionths: 0.86 ents line: 72 nths: 77	recorded across all fo treatment complication Category of event Fatigue Abdominal pain Nausea Fever Radiation- induced liver disease Radiation cholecystitis Other Total *In most cases these e diarrhoea, constipation Total number of abnor laboratory result events	All adverse events (% of all AEs) 33% (16/49) 22% (11/49) 4% (2/49) 4% (2/49) 2% (1/49) 2% (1/49) 33% (16/49)* 100% (49/49) vents were gastrointe , anorexia, and indige	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse events
 2-year overall survival: 7% No patients survived to 36 (although some were cens) Quality of life EQ-5D-5L at bas EQ-5D-5L at 3 m p=NS, n=15 patie EQ-VAS at basel EQ-VAS at 3 mo 	i months sored). eline: 0.84 ionths: 0.86 ents line: 72 nths: 77	recorded across all fo treatment complication Category of event Fatigue Abdominal pain Nausea Fever Radiation- induced liver disease Radiation cholecystitis Other Total *In most cases these e diarrhoea, constipation Total number of abnor	All adverse events (% of all AEs) 33% (16/49) 22% (11/49) 4% (2/49) 4% (2/49) 2% (1/49) 2% (1/49) 33% (16/49)* 100% (49/49) vents were gastrointe , anorexia, and indige	include day of cedural data) Grade ≥3 adverse events 2 0 0 1 0 1 (diarrhoea and abdominal cramping) 4 estinal-related such as estion/reflux.

Hypoalbuminemia	16% (12/77)	0
Hyperbilirubinemia	13% (10/77)	2
Neutrophil count decreased	1% (1/77)	0
Platelet count decreased	16% (12/77)	0
Other	14% (11/77)	0
TOTAL	100% (77/77)	3

Abbreviations used: AST, aspartate transaminase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; CI, confidence interval; CtE, commissioning through evaluation; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EQ-5D-5L, ICC, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; LPFS: liver-specific progression-free survival; NS, not statistically significant; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Study 9 Shridhar R (2017) – Conference abstract only

Details

Study type	Case series
Country	Not reported
Recruitment period	2010-13
Study population and number	n=25 patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma
Age and sex	Median 76 years; gender not reported
Patient selection criteria	No evidence of extrahepatic metastases, Childs-Pugh A, without main portal vein thrombus, bilirubin < 2mg/dL, ECOG 0-2, and no prior chemotherapy, liver embolisation or radiation therapy for ICC.
Technique	SIRT using Y-90 glass microspheres
Follow-up	Median 13 months
Conflict of interest/source of funding	Not reported.

Analysis

Follow-up issues: 20 patients came off study because they died or because the disease progressed.

Study design issues:

- Phase 2 study.
- The primary endpoint was progression-free survival.

Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy	Safety
Efficacy findings from conference abstracts are not normally considered adequate to support	No grade 3 gastrointestinal or general disorder toxicities.
decisions on efficacy and are not generally	• Grade 3 ALT increase: 4% (1/25)
selected for presentation in the overview.	• Grade 3 AST increase: 4% (1/25)
	Grade 3 alkaline phosphatase increase: 8% (2/25)
	• Grade 4 hyperbilirubinemia: 4% (1/25)
	• Grade 4 thrombocytopenia: 4% (1/25)
	• Sepsis within 30 days of treatment: 8% (2/25)
	• Death within 30 days of treatment: 4% (1/25)
Abbreviations used: AST, aspartate transaminase; AL cholangiocarcinoma	T, alanine aminotransferase; ICC, intrahepatic

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Study 10 Muli Jogi R K (2015) - Conference abstract only

Details

Study type	Case series
Country	Australia (single centre)
Recruitment period	2009-14
Study population and number	n=20 patients (21 treatments) with unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma
Age and sex	Not reported
Patient selection criteria	Patient with unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.
Technique	Radioembolisation with yttrium-90
Follow-up	Not reported
Conflict of interest/source of funding	Not reported

Analysis

Study design issues: The primary end points were overall survival, tumour response and safety.

Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy	Safety
Efficacy findings from conference abstracts are not normally considered adequate to support decisions on efficacy and are not generally selected for presentation in the overview.	 Moderate pain during treatment: 10% (2/20) Hepatic abscess at 5 months: 5% (1/20)

Validity and generalisability of the studies

- In the studies included in Table 2, 2 brands of CE-marked microspheres were used for the SIRT procedure: SIR-spheres (Sirtex Medical Ltd) and TheraSpheres (Biocompatibles UK Ltd). Both are Y-90 microspheres. A third medical device, QuiremSpheres (Quirem Medical) which uses poly-L-lactic acid microspheres containing holmium-166 has recently been CE marked for use in SIRT and is available in the UK but none of the studies included in Table 2 report the use of this device for the procedure.
- The longest follow-up for the studies included in Table 2 was a median of 14 months⁸.
- 3 systematic reviews and meta-analyses were included in Table 2: Cuchetti (2017)¹ was the most recent one, Al-Adra (2015)² included data from conference abstracts and reported data on safety and the Boehm (2015)³ study compared SIRT with other hepatic artery based therapies.
- 2 conference abstracts^{9,10} were included for safety data.
- Data from the SIRT UK registry⁸ was also included in table 2.
- There were no randomised controlled trials identified.
- Studies included a mixed group of patients with regard to chemotherapy history. Patients who were chemotherapy-naive and patients with chemotherapy-refractory disease were included.
- Quality of life outcomes were only reported in the UK registry⁸.
- Only the studies that reported on outcomes specifically for patients with primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma were included.
- Studies included a very heterogeneous group of patients with a wide range of tumour sizes.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Existing assessments of this procedure

 The European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) published guidelines for the diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of biliary cancer in 2016¹¹. They stated:

"Radioembolisation may be considered in patients with inoperable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, usually after first-line chemotherapy; patients should be encouraged to participate in clinical trials."

 The European association for the study of the liver published guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in 2014¹². They stated:

"Transarterial chemoembolization and transarterial radioembolization have shown anti-tumor effects with acceptable toxicities in patients with iCCA but require further examination in appropriately designed clinical trials and therefore cannot be recommended as standard therapy for patients with unresectable iCCA."

Related NICE guidance

Below is a list of NICE guidance related to this procedure.

Interventional procedures

- Endoscopic bipolar radiofrequency ablation for treating biliary obstruction caused by cholangiocarcinoma or pancreatic adenocarcinoma. NICE Interventional Procedures Guidance 464 (2013). Available from http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg464
- Selective internal radiation therapy for primary hepatocellular carcinoma. NICE Interventional Procedures Guidance 460 (2013). Available from <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg460</u>
- Selective internal radiation therapy for non-resectable colorectal metastases in the liver. NICE Interventional Procedures Guidance 401 (2011). Available from <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg401</u>
- Photodynamic therapy for bile duct cancer. NICE Interventional Procedures Guidance 134 (2005). Available from http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg134

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Medtech innovation briefings

- SIR-Spheres for treating inoperable hepatocellular carcinoma. NICE Medtech Innovation Briefing 63 (2016). Available from https://www.nice.org.uk/advice/mib63
- TheraSphere for treating operable and inoperable hepatocellular carcinoma. NICE Medtech Innovation Briefing 62 (2016). Available from <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/advice/mib62</u>

Additional information considered by IPAC

Specialist advisers' opinions

Specialist advice was sought from consultants who have been nominated or ratified by their Specialist Society or Royal College. The advice received is their individual opinion and is not intended to represent the view of the society. The advice provided by Specialist Advisers, in the form of the completed questionnaires, is normally published in full on the NICE website during public consultation, except in circumstances but not limited to, where comments are considered voluminous, or publication would be unlawful or inappropriate. Five Specialist Advisor Questionnaires for selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma were submitted and can be found on the <u>NICE website</u>.

Patient commentators' opinions

NICE's Public Involvement Programme will send questionnaires to NHS trusts for distribution to patients who had the procedure (or their carers). When NICE has received the completed questionnaires, these will be discussed by the committee.

Company engagement

A structured information request was sent to 3 companies who manufacture a potentially relevant device for use in this procedure. NICE received 3 completed submissions. These were considered by the IP team and any relevant points have been taken into consideration when preparing this overview.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Issues for consideration by IPAC

- Ongoing studies:
 - NCT01798147: Selective Internal Radiotherapy (SIRT) Versus Transarterial Chemoembolisation (TACE) for the Treatment of Cholangiocellular Carcinoma (CCC); Single centre pilot RCT (doxorubicin drug-eluting bead trans arterial chemo-embolization to SIRT in chemotherapy naïve; location: Germany; estimated enrolment: 24; estimated primary completion date: June 2018
 - NCT02807181: SIRT Followed by CIS-GEM Chemotherapy Versus CIS-GEM Chemotherapy Alone as 1st Line Treatment of Patients With Unresectable Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma; multicentre open label RCT (SIRT prior to chemotherapy (cisplatingemcitabine) or chemotherapy alone); location: international; estimated enrolment: 180; estimated completion date: 2019
 - NCT02512692: 90Y Transarterial Radioembolization (TARE) Plus Gemcitabine and Cisplatin in Unresectable Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma; location: USA; estimated enrolment: 24; estimated Primary Completion Date: August 2018
 - NCT02167711: Treatment for Bile Duct Cancer in the Liver; location: Hong Kong; estimated enrolment: 30; estimated Primary Completion Date: October 2018

- NCT01912053: Efficacy Study of Intra-hepatic Administration of Therasphere in Association With Intravenous Chemotherapy to Treat Cholangiocarcinoma; location: France; estimated enrolment: 41; estimated completion date: April 2018
- NCT00858429: Yttrium Y 90 Glass Microspheres and Capecitabine in Treating Patients With Liver Cholangiocarcinoma or Liver Metastases; location: USA; estimated enrolment: 30; estimated completion date: December 2018
- Registries:
 - NCT02305459: CIRSE registry for SIR-Spheres Therapy (CIRT); location: Europe (Austria); estimated enrolment; 1200; estimated completion date: November 2020
 - NCT02685631: Yttrium Y 90 Resin Microspheres Data Collection in Unresectable Liver Cancer: the RESIN Registry; location: USA; estimated enrolment: 1000; estimated completion date: August 2021

References

- Cucchetti Alessandro, Cappelli Alberta, Mosconi Cristina et al. (2017) Improving patient selection for selective internal radiation therapy of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: A meta-regression study. Liver International 37, 1056-1064
- Al-Adra D P, Gill R S, Axford S J et al. (2014) Treatment of unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with yttrium-90 radioembolization: A systematic review and pooled analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology
- Boehm L, Thayyil Jayakrishnan, T, Miura J et al. (2015) A systematic review and meta-analyses of hepatic artery based therapies for unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. HPB 16, 98-99
- Beuzit L, Edeline J, Brun V et al. (2016) Comparison of Choi criteria and Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma treated with glass-microspheres Yttrium-90 selective internal radiation therapy (SIRT). European Journal of Radiology 85, 1445-1452
- Paprottka K J, Schoeppe F, Ingrisch M et al. (2017) Pre-therapeutic factors for predicting survival after radioembolization: a single-center experience in 389 patients. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine & Molecular Imaging 44, 1185-1193
- Swinburne Nathaniel C, Biederman Derek M, Besa Cecilia et al. (2017) Radioembolization for Unresectable Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma: Review of Safety, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors 1.1 Imaging Response and Survival. Cancer Biotherapy & Radiopharmaceuticals 32, 161-168
- 7. Jia Z, Paz-Fumagalli R, Frey G et al. (2017) Resin-based Yttrium-90 microspheres for unresectable and failed first-line chemotherapy intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: preliminary results. Journal of Cancer Research & Clinical Oncology 143, 481-489
- 8. White J, Dale M, Morgan H et al. (2017) Commissioning through Evaluation: Selective internal radiation therapy (SIRT) - Evaluation report. Cedar Healthcare Technology Research Centre.
- 9. Shridhar R, Frakes J M, Yue B et al. (2017) Phase II study of first-line radioembolization with yttrium-90 glass microspheres for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology 35(4 Supplement 1) http://ascopubs.org/doi/abs/10.1200/JCO.2017.35.4 suppl.482
- 10. Muli Jogi RK, Tibballs J, Samuelson S. (2015) Selective internal radiation therapy (SIRT) with yttrium-90 for unresectable intrahepatic

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

cholangiocarcinoma: Survival, efficacy and safety. Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology in Europe (CIRSE); Abs. 2206.4.

- Valle J M, Borbath I, Khan S A et al. (2016) Biliary cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of Oncology. Volume 27, Issue suppl_5, 1 September 2016, Pages v28–v37, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdw324</u>
- 12. Bridgewater J, Galle P R, Khan S A et al. (2014) Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Journal of Hepatology 60(6):1268-89. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.01.021.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Literature search strategy

Databases	Date searched	Version/files
Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews – CDSR (Cochrane Library)	08/01/2018	Issue 1 of 12, January 2018
Cochrane Central Database of Controlled Trials – CENTRAL (Cochrane Library)	08/01/2018	Issue 12 of 12, December 2017
HTA database (Cochrane Library)	08/01/2018	Issue 4 of 4, October 2016
MEDLINE (Ovid)	08/01/2018	1946 to present with daily update
MEDLINE In-Process (Ovid)	08/01/2018	January 05, 2018
MEDLINE Epubs ahead of print (Ovid)	08/01/2018	January 05, 2018
EMBASE (Ovid)	08/01/2018	1974 to 2018 January 05

The following search strategy was used to identify papers in MEDLINE. A similar strategy was used to identify papers in other databases.

- 1 SIRT.tw.
- 2 (selective* adj4 internal* adj4 radiotherap*).tw.
- 3 (selective* adj4 internal* adj4 radiation* adj4 therap*).tw.
- 4 (internal* adj4 radiation* adj4 therap*).tw.
- 5 (internal* adj4 irradiat*).tw.
- 6 Brachytherapy/
- 7 brachytherap*.tw.
- 8 (radioemboli?ation or radio-emboli?ation).tw.
- 9 (intravascular adj4 radiation).tw.
- 10 (local adj4 radioablation).tw.
- 11 (radionuclide adj4 therap*).tw.
- 12 (targeted adj4 hepatic adj4 therap*).tw.
- 13 (transarterial adj4 radiotherap*).tw.
- 14 or/1-13
- 15 Yttrium/
- 16 exp Yttrium Radioisotopes/
- 17 yttrium*.tw.
- 18 (90Y or Y-90).tw.
- 19 or/15-18
- 20 microsphere*.tw.
- 21 Microspheres/

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

- 22 or/20-21
- 23 SIR-Sphere*.tw.
- 24 TheraSphere*.tw.
- 25 (sirtex or nordion).tw.
- 26 19 and 22
- 27 or/23-26
- 28 Cholangiocarcinoma/
- 29 Cholangiocarcinoma\$.tw.
- 30 Bile Duct Neoplasms/
- 31 (bile adj4 duct* adj4 (cancer* or neoplasm* or carcinom*)).tw.
- 32 or/28-31
- 33 14 and 32
- 34 27 and 32
- 35 or/33-34
- 36 animals/ not humans/
- 37 35 not 36
- 38 limit 37 to ed=20170712-20181231

Appendix

The following table outlines the studies that are considered potentially relevant to the IP overview but were not included in the main data extraction table (table 2). It is by no means an exhaustive list of potentially relevant studies.

Article	Number of patients/follow-up	Direction of conclusions	Reasons for non- inclusion in table 2
Camacho J C, Kokabi N, Xing M et al. (2014) Modified response evaluation criteria in solid tumors and European Association for The Study of the Liver criteria using delayed-phase imaging at an early time point predict survival in patients with unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma following yttrium-90 radioembolization. Journal of Vascular & Interventional Radiology 25, 256-65	Case series n=9 FU=3 months	Median overall survival (OS) from Y-90 therapy was 21.7 months. At 3 months, PERCIST objective response rate of target lesions was 77.7%, and target objective response on PERCIST correlated significantly to prolonged OS (p=0.022). Overall objective PERCIST response at 3 months had significant correlation with OS (p=0.011). Probability of death was significantly higher in overall non- responders by PERCIST (hazard ratio, 12.3). No objective response was seen with RECIST.	This study was included in 1 of the systematic reviews and meta-analyses included in table 2.
Camacho J C, Kokabi N, Xing M, Schuster D M et al. (2014) PET response criteria for solid tumors predict survival at three months after intra-arterial resin-based 90Yttrium radioembolization therapy for unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Clinical Nuclear Medicine 39, 944-50	Prospective case series n=21 FU=3 months	Median overall survival from the time of (90)Y therapy was 16.3 months. Significant differences between mRECIST and European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) versus RECIST were found when categorising patients into responders and non- responders (p < .001). Significantly prolonged OS was observed for patients with targeted objective response based on modified mRECIST and EASL criteria (p=.005 and p=.001, respectively) at 3 months. RECIST was not found to correlate with survival at 1- or 3-month follow-up.	This study was included in 2 of the systematic reviews and meta-analyses included in table 2.

Edeline J, Du F L, Rayar M, Rolland Y et al. (2015) Glass Microspheres 90Y Selective Internal Radiation Therapy and Chemotherapy as First-Line Treatment of Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. Clinical Nuclear Medicine 40, 851-5	Retrospective case series n=24 FU=not reported	Selective internal radiation therapy combined with concomitant chemotherapy seems a promising strategy as first- line treatment for unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.	This study was included in the Cuchetti (2017) systematic review and meta-analysis included in Table 2.
Filippi L, Pelle G, Cianni R et al. (2015) Change in total lesion glycolysis and clinical outcome after (90)Y radioembolization in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Nuclear Medicine & Biology 42, 59-64	Prospective case series n=17 FU=6 weeks	According to PET Response Criteria in Solid Tumors, partial response was found in 14 patients (82%), stable disease in 3 (18%). No patient showed complete metabolic response. The mean OS for all patients was 64.5+/- 5.0 weeks. Time to progression resulted of 28.9+/-3.8 weeks for the whole cohort.	This study was included in the Cuchetti (2017) systematic review and meta-analysis included in Table 2.
Gaba R C, Lewandowski R J, Kulik L M et al. (2009) Radiation lobectomy: preliminary findings of hepatic volumetric response to lobar yttrium-90 radioembolization. Annals of Surgical Oncology 16, 1587-96	Case series n=20 (cholangiocarcinoma, n=3) Follow up= median 19 months (whole cohort)		Larger studies or studies with longer follow-up are already included in table 2.
Gaba R C, Riaz A, Lewandowski R J et al. (2010) Safety of yttrium-90 microsphere radioembolization in patients with biliary obstruction. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology 21, 1213-1218	Retrospective case series n=12 (1 cholangiocarcinoma) FU=median 23 months (whole cohort)	With 90-Y glass microspheres, there was a good safety profile in the setting of tumour-related biliary obstruction in patients with normal or near-normal bilirubin levels in this series, without evidence of therapy-related progressive leucocytosis, bilirubin increase, or infectious or biliary complications after treatment.	Larger studies or studies with longer follow-up are already included in table 2.
Haug A R, Heinemann V, Bruns C J et al. (2011) 18F- FDG PET independently predicts survival in patients with cholangiocellular carcinoma treated with 90Y microspheres. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine & Molecular Imaging 38, 1037-45	Case series n=26 Follow up=unclear	Of 23 patients in whom follow-up MRI was available, 5 (22%) showed a partial response, 15 (65%) stable disease and 3 (13%) progressive disease. FDG PET/CT was able to predict patient outcome after radioembolisation	This study was included in the Boehm (2015) systematic review and meta-analysis included in Table 2.

		treatment, with the change in metabolically active tumour volume at 3 months being the best independent predictor.	
Hoffmann R T, Paprottka P M, Schon A et al. (2012) Transarterial hepatic yttrium-90 radioembolization in patients with unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: factors associated with prolonged survival. Cardiovascular & Interventional Radiology 35, 105-16	Retrospective case series n=33 FU=median 10 months	Radioembolisation is an effective and safe option for patients with unresectable ICC. Predictors for prolonged survival are performance status, tumour burden, and RECIST response.	This study was included in the 3 systematic reviews and meta-analyses included in table 2 and in the Table 2 of the previous overview.
Hyder O, Marsh J W, Salem R et al. (2013) Intra-arterial therapy for advanced intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a multi-institutional analysis. Annals of Surgical Oncology 20, 3779-86	Retrospective case series n=198 (46 SIRT) FU=not reported	Median overall survival was 13.2 months and did not differ on the basis of the type of intra-arterial therapy (cTACE, 13.4 months vs. DEB 10.5 months vs. TAE, 14.3 months vs. yttrium-90, 11.3 months; p=0.46).	This study was included in the Al- Adra (2015) systematic review and meta-analysis included in Table 2.
Ibrahim S M, Mulcahy M F, Lewandowski R J et al. (2008) Treatment of unresectable cholangiocarcinoma using yttrium-90 microspheres: results from a pilot study. Cancer 113, 2119-28	Prospective case series n=24 FU: median 18 months	Radioembolisation with (90)Y may be a therapeutic option for the treatment of unresectable ICC.	This study was included in the Boehm (2015) systematic review and meta-analysis included in table 2 and in the Table 2 of the previous overview.
Kuei A, Saab S, Cho S K et al. (2015) Effects of Yttrium- 90 selective internal radiation therapy on non- conventional liver tumors. World Journal of Gastroenterology 21, 8271- 83	Systematic review n=8 studies	Although the indications for yttrium-90 SIRT in non- conventional liver metastases are less well- defined, initial results of small studies are largely favourable.	No new study included in this systematic review.
Lam M G. E. H, Louie J D, lagaru A H et al. (2013) Safety of repeated yttrium- 90 radioembolization. CardioVascular and Interventional Radiology 36, 1320-1328	Case series n=8 (2 cholangiocarcinoma) FU=median 752 days after second treatment (whole cohort)	The risk of radioembolisation - induced liver disease appears to be elevated for repeated radioembolisation. Objective tumour responses were observed, but establishment of safety limits will need improvement in dosimetric measurement and prediction.	Larger studies or studies with longer follow-up are already included in table 2.
Mosconi C, Gramenzi A, Ascanio S et al. (2016)	Case series	In unresectable ICC, (90)Y-TARE is safe and	This study was included in the

	ſ		
Yttrium-90 radioembolization for unresectable/recurrent intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a survival, efficacy and safety study. British Journal of Cancer 115, 297-302	n=23 FU=16 months	offers a survival benefit in naive patients, as well as in responders.	Cuchetti (2017) systematic review and meta-analysis included in Table 2.
Mouli S, Memon K, Baker T et al. (2013) Yttrium-90 radioembolization for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: safety, response, and survival analysis. Journal of Vascular & Interventional Radiology 24, 1227-34	Prospective case series n=46 FU=29 months	Radioembolisation with (90)Y is safe and shows antitumoural response and survival benefit in select patients with ICC. Results are most pronounced in patients with solitary tumors, for whom conversion to curative resection is possible.	This study was included in the Cuchetti (2017) and in the Al-Adra (2015) systematic reviews and meta- analyses included in Table 2.
Pieper C C, Willinek W A, Thomas D et al. (2016) Incidence and risk factors of early arterial blood flow stasis during first radioembolization of primary and secondary liver malignancy using resin microspheres: an initial single-center analysis. European Radiology 26, 2779-2789	Retrospective case series n=362 (26 chloangiocarcinoma) FU=unclear	Early stasis was observed in 24.8 % of resin-based REs. In the presence of the identified risk factors, extra care should be taken during microsphere administration.	Study objective was to determine the incidence of early arterial blood flow stasis and its influencing factors during resin-based radioembolization (RE) of liver tumours.
Rafi S, Piduru SM, El-Rayes B et al. (2012) Yttrium-90 radioembolization for unresectable standard- chemorefractory intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: Survival, efficacy, and safety study. Cardiovascular Interventional Radiology ePub doi: 10.1007/s00270- 012-0463-4	Case series n=19 FU=median 15 months	Y-90 radioembolisation is effective for unresectable standard-chemorefractory ICC.	This study was included in the Cuchetti (2017) and in the Boehm (2015) systematic reviews and meta- analyses included in Table 2. It was also included in the previous overview.
Saxena A, Bester L, Chua T C et al. (2010) Yttrium-90 radiotherapy for unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a preliminary assessment of this novel treatment option. Annals of Surgical Oncology 17, 484-91	Prospective case series n=25 FU=8 months	(90)Y radioembolisation may be a relatively safe and efficacious treatment for unresectable ICC. In the absence of other effective therapeutic options, this treatment warrants further investigation.	This study was included in the 3 systematic reviews and meta-analyses included in table 2 and in the Table 2 of the previous overview.
Servajean C, Gilabert M, Piana G et al. (2014) One case of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma amenable to resection after	Case report n=1	Radioembolisation can be useful in the treatment of cholangiocarcinoma, allowing in some cases a secondary resection.	Larger studies or studies with longer follow-up are already included in table 2.

IP 1081/2 [IPGXXX]

		Γ	
radioembolization. World Journal of Gastroenterology 20, 5131-4	FU=1 year		
Soydal C, Kucuk O N, Bilgic S et al. (2016) Radioembolization with (90)Y resin microspheres for intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma: prognostic factors. Annals of Nuclear Medicine 30, 29-34	Case series n=16 FU=243 days	Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) avidity and the dimension of the largest liver lesion, tumour load, and radiological response are prognostic factors in patients having radioembolisation for cholangiocellular carcinoma. Patients with lower tumour load, FDG- negative tumors, and smaller tumors seem to survive longer after radioembolisation.	This study was included in the Cuchetti (2017) systematic review and meta-analysis included in Table 2.
Sperling J, Justinger C, Schuld J et al. (2014) Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in a transplant liver - Selective internal radiation therapy followed by right hemihepatectomy: Report of a case. World Journal of Surgical Oncology 12, 198	Case report n=1 FU=4 years	Because of a recurrent intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after liver transplantation, a selective internal radiation therapy with yttrium-90 microspheres was done followed by right hemihepatectomy. Four years later, the patient is tumour-free and in a healthy condition.	Larger studies or studies with longer follow-up are already included in table 2.
Sun B, Lapetino S R, Diffalha S A. L et al. (2016) Microvascular injury in persistent gastric ulcers after yttrium-90 microsphere radioembolization for liver malignancies. Human Pathology 50, 11-14	Case series n=3 (2 cholangiocarcinoma) FU=13 months	These findings suggest that the persistent gastric ulceration is a result of localised ischemic injury in response to Y-90 MRE- induced vascular damage.	Larger studies or studies with longer follow-up are already included in table 2.
Vouche M, Lewandowski R J, Atassi R et al. (2013) Radiation lobectomy: time- dependent analysis of future liver remnant volume in unresectable liver cancer as a bridge to resection. Journal of Hepatology 59, 1029-36	Case series n=83 (8 cholangiocarcinoma) FU=9 months	Radiation lobectomy by Y- 90 is a safe and effective technique to hypertrophy the future liver remnant. Volumetric changes are comparable to portal vein embolisation while the right lobe tumour is treated synchronously. This novel technique is of particular interest in the bridge-to-resection setting.	Larger studies or studies with longer follow-up are already included in table 2.
Wijlemans J W, van Erpecum , K J, Lam M G. E. H et al. (2011) Trans-arterial 90yttrium radioembolization for patients with unresectable tumors	Case reports n=2	The presented cases show the potential of yttrium-90 radioembolisation as a palliative treatment option	Larger studies or studies with longer follow-up are already included in table 2.

IP overview: Selective internal radiation therapy for unresectable primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

originating from the biliary tree. Annals of Hepatology 10, 349-354	FU=9 months and 2 years	for malignant tumours of the biliary tree.	
Yang L, Shan J, Shan L, et al. (2015) Transarterial embolisation therapies for unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a systematic review. J Gastrointest Oncol. 2015 Oct;6(5):570-588. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2078- 6891.2015.055.	Systematic review n=20 studies (7 SIRT)	Trans-arterial therapies are safe and effective treatment options which should be considered routinely for unresectable ICC. Consistent and standardised methodology and data collection is needed for a meta- analysis. Randomised controlled trials will be valuable in the future.	All the studies were already included in at least 1 systematic review and meta-analysis included in table 2.