# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

# Equality impact assessment

# IPG683 Balloon cryoablation for squamous dysplasia of the oesophagus

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

### Briefing

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the briefing process (development of the brief or discussion at the committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

Age: Prevalence increases with age.

Gender: Oesophageal cancer is more common in men than in women.

**Ethnicity:** Squamous cell carcinoma is more frequent in people of African descent.

**Disability:** All people with cancer are covered by the disability provision of the Equality Act 2010 from the point of diagnosis.

**Socioeconomic status**: There is evidence for an association between oesophageal cancer incidence and deprivation for both males and females in England.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the brief (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

© NICE 2020. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3. Has any change to the brief (such as additional issues raised during the committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?

No

4. Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?'

No

#### Kevin Harris

#### Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

Date: 08/09/2020

#### Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

The literature indicated that the condition was less common in men than in women. No specific data relating to other issues mentioned earlier were identified in the literature presented in the overview.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

Equality impact assessment IP: IPG683

© NICE 2020. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

No

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?

Not applicable

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?

Equality impact assessment IP: IPG683

© NICE 2020. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

No

#### Kevin Harris

#### Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

Date: 08/09/2020

#### Final interventional procedures document

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Not applicable

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access

Equality impact assessment IP: IPG683

# identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

#### Not applicable

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

#### **Kevin Harris**

#### **Programme Director and Clinical Advisor**

21/10/2020