

Professional Expert Questionnaire

Technology/Procedure name & indication: Ex-situ machine perfusion for extracorporeal preservation of lungs for transplantation

Your information

Name:	Prof Ulrich Stock
Job title:	Consultant Cardiothoracic and Transplant Surgeon, Interim Director Transplantation
Organisation:	Harefield Hospital
Email address:	
Professional organisation or society membership/affiliation:	Royal College of Surgeons(RCS), Society Cardiothoracic Surgery (SCTS, UK), European Society Cardiothoracic Surgery (EACTS), German Society Cardiothoracic Surgery, Society Heart Valve Disease
Nominated/ratified by (if applicable):	Click here to enter text.
Registration number (e.g. GMC, NMC, HCPC)	GMC 6137201

How NICE will use this information: the advice and views given in this questionnaire will form part of the information used by NICE and its advisory committees to develop guidance or a medtech innovation briefing on this procedure/technology. Information may be disclosed to third parties in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the Data Protection Act 2018, complying with data sharing guidance issued by the Information Commissioner's Office. Your advice and views represent your individual opinion and not that of your employer, professional society or a consensus view. Your name, job title, organisation and your responses, along with your declared interests will also be published online on the NICE website as part of the process of public consultation on the draft guidance, except in circumstances but not limited to, where comments are considered voluminous, or publication would be unlawful or inappropriate.

For more information about how we process your data please see our privacy notice.

	I give my consent for the information in this questionnaire to be used and may be published on the NICE website as outlined above. If consent is NOT given, please state reasons below:				
CI	ick here to enter text.				
	lease answer the following questions as nd/or your experience	fully as possible to provide further information about the procedure/technology			
1	Please describe your level of experience with the procedure/technology, for example:	We use Ex-situ machine perfusion for extracorporeal preservation of hearts and lungs for transplantation			
	 Are you familiar with the procedure/technology? 	Yes			
	Have you used it or are you currently using it?				
	 Do you know how widely this procedure/technology is used in the NHS or what is the likely speed of uptake? 	Yes			
	 Is this procedure/technology performed/used by clinicians in specialities other than your own? 	In heart and liver transplantation as well			
	 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure/technology, please indicate your experience with it. 	We use this technology in border line lungs for transplantation for assessment and optimization			
2	Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure	I have done bibliographic research on this procedure. I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).			

I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.

(please choose one or more if

relevant):

3	How innovative is this procedure/technology, compared to the current standard of care? Is it a minor variation or a novel approach/concept/design?	Very innovative technology
4	Does this procedure/technology have the potential to replace current standard care or would it be used as an addition to existing standard care?	Technology has a potential tom replace current the standard porcedure

Current management

5	Please describe the current standard of care that is used in the NHS.	Lungs are currently procured on ice for transfer and subsequent transplantation
6	Are you aware of any other competing or alternative procedure/technology available to the NHS which have a similar function/mode of action to this?	Ex-situ machine perfusion can be used as 1. an alternative transport technology or 2. for assessment and optimization of border line lungs (ex vivo lung perfusion)
	If so, how do these differ from the procedure/technology described in the briefing?	Requires complex perfusion and ventilation technology

Potential patient benefits and impact on the health system

7 What do you consider to be the potential benefits to patients from using this procedure/technology? 1. potentially better outcome after lung transplantation 2. Higher utilization rate of lungs for transplantation

8	Are there any groups of patients who would particularly benefit from using this procedure/technology?	End stage lung failure patients waiting for lung transplantation
9	Does this procedure/technology have the potential to change the current pathway or clinical outcomes to benefit the healthcare system?	Yes
	Could it lead, for example, to improved outcomes, fewer hospital visits or less invasive treatment?	Improved outcome and more organs suitable for transplantation
10 - MTEP	Considering the care pathway as a whole, including initial capital and possible future costs avoided, is the procedure/technology likely to cost more or less than current standard care, or about the same? (in terms of staff, equipment, care setting etc)	Will cost considerable more
11 - MTEP	What do you consider to be the resource impact from adopting this procedure/technology (is it likely to cost more or less than standard care, or about same-in terms of staff, equipment, and care setting)?	Will cost considerable more
12	What clinical facilities (or changes to existing facilities) are needed to do this procedure/technology safely?	Highly specialized transplant centres
13	Is any specific training needed in order to use the procedure/technology with respect to efficacy or safety?	Complex training with dedicated operators

Safety and efficacy of the procedure/technology

What are the potential harms of the procedure/technology?		Damage to perfused lungs
	Please list any adverse events and potential risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence:	Oedema of the lung
	Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible, please cite literature)	Ischemia reperfusion injury after transplantation
	Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)	Damage to left atrial cuff
	Theoretical adverse events	
15	Please list the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure/technology?	Better lung performance, higher organ utilization, expansion of DCD organ donation
16	Please list any uncertainties or concerns about the efficacy and safety of this procedure/?	Clinical efficiency, cost effectiveness,
17	Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the procedure/technology?	Expensive technology evolving evidence about clinical potential

Abstracts and ongoing studies

18	Please list any abstracts or conference proceedings that you are aware of that have been recently presented / published on this procedure/technology (this can include your own work).	Not aware about any in 2020
	Please note that NICE will do a comprehensive literature search; we are only asking you for any very recent	

	abstracts or conference proceedings which might not be found using standard literature searches. You do not need to supply a comprehensive reference list but it will help us if you list any that you think are particularly important.	
19	Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure/technology currently in progress? If so, please list.	OCS™ Lung TOP Registry For Donor Lungs for Transplantation (TOP)

Other considerations

20	Approximately how many people each year would be eligible for an intervention with this procedure/technology, (give either as an estimated number, or a proportion of the target population)?	In Harefield about 10-20% of our 50-60 lung transplants. Assume similar numbers in the entire UK
21	Are there any issues with the usability or practical aspects of the procedure/technology?	Complex technology with considerable need for qualified operators
22	Are you aware of any issues which would prevent (or have prevented) this procedure/technology being adopted in your organisation or across the wider NHS?	Costs
23	Is there any research that you feel would be needed to address uncertainties in the evidence base?	Interim analysis of OCS™ Lung TOP Registry For Donor Lungs for Transplantation (TOP)
24	Please suggest potential audit criteria for this procedure/technology. If known, please describe: - Beneficial outcome measures. These should include short- and long-term	Beneficial outcome measures: Less complication rate, less primary graft dysfunction. Higher organ utilization

clinical outcomes, quality-of-life
measures and patient-related
outcomes. Please suggest the most
appropriate method of measurement
for each and the timescales over
which these should be measured

Adverse outcome measures:

 Adverse outcome measures. These should include early and late complications. Please state the post procedure timescales over which these should be measured:

Higher complication rate, higher primary graft dysfunction. Similar or Lower organ utilization

Further comments

Please add any further comments on your particular experiences or knowledge of the procedure/technology,

Harefield is the worldwide leading centre in OCS for heart transplantation



Declarations of interests

Please state any potential conflicts of interest relevant to the procedure/technology (or competitor technologies) on which you are providing advice, or any involvements in disputes or complaints, in the previous **12 months** or likely to exist in the future. Please use the <u>NICE policy on declaring and managing interests</u> as a guide when declaring any interests. Further advice can be obtained from the NICE team.

Type of interest *	Description of interest	Relevant dates			
		Interest arose	Interest ceased		
Choose an item.					
Choose an item.					
Choose an item.					
course of my that if I do no Please note	I confirm that the information provided above is complete and correct. I acknowledge that any changes in these declarations during the course of my work with NICE, must be notified to NICE as soon as practicable and no later than 28 days after the interest arises. I am aware that if I do not make full, accurate and timely declarations then my advice may be excluded from being considered by the NICE committee. Please note, all declarations of interest will be made publicly available on the NICE website.				
Print name: C	lick here to enter text.				
Dated: Click here to enter text.					