NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment

IPG703 Laparoscopic removal of uterine fibroids with power morcellation

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

Briefing

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the briefing process (development of the brief or discussion at the committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

Age: Fibroids are common in people between 25 to 45 years of age and most common toward the end of the reproductive years, although they can occur at any age. The overall incidence of uterine fibroids is 5.8 per 1,000 women-years.

Gender: This condition only occurs in people with a uterus.

Ethnicity: Uterine fibroids are more common in people of Afro-Caribbean origin. People of Asian origin have a lower incidence of symptomatic uterine fibroids.

Socioeconomic status: Fibroids are more common in people with a higher body mass index (higher prevalence of obesity in people from more deprived socioeconomic groups).

Disability: People with uterine fibroids may be covered under disability in the Equality Act 2010 if their symptoms have a substantial adverse effect on day to day activities for longer than 12 months.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the committee? (If there are

exclusions listed in the brief (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3. Has any change to the brief (such as additional issues raised during the committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?

No

4. Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?'

No

Kevin Harris

Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

Date: 25/06/2021

Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

No specific data relating to the potential issues mentioned earlier were identified in the literature presented in the overview.

2.	Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?	
No		
3.	Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?	
No		
4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?	
No		
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?	
Not a	applicable	
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?	
Not a	Not applicable	

7.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	
No		
Cevin Harris		
Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor		
Date: 25/06/2021		
inal	interventional procedures document	
1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?	
No		
2.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?	
Not a	applicable	
3.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?	
Not a	applicable	

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

Kevin Harris

Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

Date: 25/06/2021