

Professional Expert Questionnaire

Technology/Procedure name & indication: Endoscopic full thickness removal of gastrointestinal stromal tumours of the stomach
Your information

Name:	<input type="text" value="Dr Vinay Sehgal"/>
Job title:	<input type="text" value="Consultant Gastroenterologist & Interventional Endoscopist"/>
Organisation:	<input type="text" value="University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust"/>
Email address:	<input type="text" value="vinay.sehgal@nhs.net"/>
Professional organisation or society membership/affiliation:	<input type="text" value="British Society of Gastroenterology"/>
Nominated/ratified by (if applicable):	<input type="text" value="Click here to enter text."/>
Registration number (e.g. GMC, NMC, HCPC)	<input type="text" value="GMC: 6163659"/>

How NICE will use this information: the advice and views given in this questionnaire will form part of the information used by NICE and its advisory committees to develop guidance or a medtech innovation briefing on this procedure/technology. Information may be disclosed to third parties in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the Data Protection Act 2018, complying with data sharing guidance issued by the Information Commissioner's Office. Your advice and views represent your individual opinion and not that of your employer, professional society or a consensus view. Your name, job title, organisation and your responses, along with your declared interests will also be published online on the NICE website as part of the process of public consultation on the draft guidance, except in circumstances but not limited to, where comments are considered voluminous, or publication would be unlawful or inappropriate.

For more information about how we process your data please see [our privacy notice](#).

I give my consent for the information in this questionnaire to be used and may be published on the NICE website as outlined above. If consent is NOT given, please state reasons below:

Please answer the following questions as fully as possible to provide further information about the procedure/technology and/or your experience.

Please note that questions 10 and 11 are applicable to the Medical Technologies Evaluation Programme (MTEP). We are requesting you to complete these sections as future guidance may also be produced under their work programme.

<p>1 Please describe your level of experience with the procedure/technology, for example:</p> <p>Are you familiar with the procedure/technology?</p> <p>Have you used it or are you currently using it?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Do you know how widely this procedure/technology is used in the NHS or what is the likely speed of uptake?- Is this procedure/technology performed/used by clinicians in specialities other than your own?- If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this	<p>I currently perform FTRD in my clinical practice after completing formal hands-on-training both in the UK and Germany.</p> <p>I use this technology to remove small sub-epithelial lesions in the stomach which would otherwise require periodic surveillance with endoscopic ultrasound.</p> <p>I am entirely proficient with the device and technology behind it as well.</p>
---	--

	procedure/technology, please indicate your experience with it.	
2	<p>- Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):</p>	<p>I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.</p> <p>I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).</p> <p>I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.</p> <p>I have published this research.</p> <p>I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.</p> <p>Other (please comment)</p>
3	<p>How innovative is this procedure/technology, compared to the current standard of care? Is it a minor variation or a novel approach/concept/design?</p> <p>Which of the following best describes the procedure (please choose one):</p>	<p>The FTRD device provides an entirely different approach to managing these lesions whose natural history and malignant potential has remained relatively unclear for some time to date.</p> <p>Established practice and no longer new.</p> <p>A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.</p> <p>Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.</p> <p>The first in a new class of procedure.</p>
4	Does this procedure/technology have the potential to replace current standard care or	Yes

would it be used as an addition to existing standard care?	
--	--

Current management

5	Please describe the current standard of care that is used in the NHS.	Periodic endoscopic ultrasound +/- fine needle biopsy
6	Are you aware of any other competing or alternative procedure/technology available to the NHS which have a similar function/mode of action to this? If so, how do these differ from the procedure/technology described in the briefing?	No

Potential patient benefits and impact on the health system

7	What do you consider to be the potential benefits to patients from using this procedure/technology?	More accurate diagnosis and staging Possible curative resection
8	Are there any groups of patients who would particularly benefit from using this procedure/technology?	Small sub-epithelial lesions of the upper digestive tract
9	Does this procedure/technology have the potential to change the current pathway or clinical outcomes to benefit the healthcare system? Could it lead, for example, to improved outcomes, fewer hospital visits or less invasive treatment?	Yes Reduced need for repeat endoscopic ultrasound Less diagnostic uncertainty
10 - MTEP	Considering the care pathway as a whole, including initial capital and possible future costs avoided, is the procedure/technology likely to cost more or less than current standard care, or about the same? (in terms of staff, equipment, care setting etc)	Overall reduced costs compared to repeated visits to hospital for EUS
11 - MTEP	What do you consider to be the resource impact from adopting this procedure/technology (is it likely to cost more or less than standard care, or about same-in terms of staff, equipment, and care setting)?	Up front cost would be more but over time, less.
12	What clinical facilities (or changes to existing facilities) are needed to do this procedure/technology safely?	Interventional endoscopy suite. On-site surgical support.

13	Is any specific training needed in order to use the procedure/technology with respect to efficacy or safety?	Dedicated hands-on ex-vivo training and then case observation thereafter.
-----------	--	---

Safety and efficacy of the procedure/technology

14	<p>What are the potential harms of the procedure/technology?</p> <p>Please list any adverse events and potential risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence:</p> <p>Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible, please cite literature)</p> <p>Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)</p> <p>Theoretical adverse events</p>	<p>Perforation with intra-abdominal sepsis</p> <p>Bleeding</p> <p>Incomplete/inadequate resection</p>
15	Please list the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure/technology?	Positive diagnosis, completeness of resection
16	Please list any uncertainties or concerns about the efficacy and safety of this procedure/?	Ability to achieve full-thickness resection
17	Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the procedure/technology?	
18	If it is safe and efficacious, in your opinion, will this procedure be carried out in (please choose one):	<p>Most or all district general hospitals.</p> <p>A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.</p> <p>Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.</p>

	Cannot predict at present.
--	----------------------------

Abstracts and ongoing studies

19	<p>Please list any abstracts or conference proceedings that you are aware of that have been recently presented / published on this procedure/technology (this can include your own work).</p> <p>Please note that NICE will do a comprehensive literature search; we are only asking you for any very recent abstracts or conference proceedings which might not be found using standard literature searches. You do not need to supply a comprehensive reference list but it will help us if you list any that you think are particularly important.</p>	<p>Endoscopic full-thickness resection of gastric subepithelial tumors with the gFTRD-system: a prospective pilot study (RESET trial) <i>Surgical Endoscopy, 2019</i></p>
20	<p>Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure/technology currently in progress? If so, please list.</p>	<p>RESET Trial, Germany</p>

Other considerations

21	<p>Approximately how many people each year would be eligible for an intervention with this procedure/technology, (give either as an estimated number, or a proportion of the target population)?</p>	
22	<p>Are there any issues with the usability or practical aspects of the procedure/technology?</p>	<p>Need for dedicated training</p>

23	Are you aware of any issues which would prevent (or have prevented) this procedure/technology being adopted in your organisation or across the wider NHS?	No
24	Is there any research that you feel would be needed to address uncertainties in the evidence base?	Safety and histological outcomes
25	<p>Please suggest potential audit criteria for this procedure/technology. If known, please describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Beneficial outcome measures. These should include short- and long-term clinical outcomes, quality-of-life measures and patient-related outcomes. Please suggest the most appropriate method of measurement for each and the timescales over which these should be measured. - Adverse outcome measures. These should include early and late complications. Please state the post procedure timescales over which these should be measured: 	<p>Beneficial outcome measures:</p> <p>Proportion of cases in whom a positive diagnosis was reached</p> <p>Proportion of patients who had a full-thickness resection</p> <p>Proportion of patients with an R0 resection</p> <p>Adverse outcome measures:</p> <p>Perforation</p> <p>Bleeding</p> <p>Infection</p> <p>Incomplete resection</p>

Further comments

26	Please add any further comments on your particular experiences or knowledge of the procedure/technology,	
-----------	--	--

Declarations of interests

Please state any potential conflicts of interest relevant to the procedure/technology (or competitor technologies) on which you are providing advice, or any involvements in disputes or complaints, in the previous **12 months** or likely to exist in the future. Please use the [NICE policy on declaring and managing interests](#) as a guide when declaring any interests. Further advice can be obtained from the NICE team.

Type of interest *	Description of interest	Relevant dates	
		Interest arose	Interest ceased
Choose an item.			
Choose an item.			
Choose an item.			

I confirm that the information provided above is complete and correct. I acknowledge that any changes in these declarations during the course of my work with NICE, must be notified to NICE as soon as practicable and no later than 28 days after the interest arises. I am aware that if I do not make full, accurate and timely declarations then my advice may be excluded from being considered by the NICE committee.

Please note, all declarations of interest will be made publicly available on the NICE website.

Print name:	<input type="text" value="VINAY SEHGAL"/>
Dated:	<input type="text" value="07/07/2021"/>