Development of a systemic anti-cancer therapy algorithm

2 Introduction

1

- 3 The following review questions were identified and included in the scope for the 4 update of Lung cancer: diagnosis and management guideline (CG 121):
- 5 What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of the following systemic anti-cancer 6 therapy regimens for treating NSCLC?
- platinum combinations compared with non-platinum combinations in people
 with advanced NSCLC (stage III or IV)
- non-platinum monotherapy compared with non-platinum combinations in
 people with advanced NSCLC (stage III or IV) who cannot tolerate platinum
 combinations
- 12 New evidence was identified during the 4-year surveillance process that may change
- 13 current recommendations. This included systematic review evidence on gemcitabine
- 14 plus paclitaxel and docetaxel-based doublet therapy and new RCT evidence on
- 15 third-generation drugs plus platinum drugs.
- 16 The guideline committee discussed the review questions and the need for clinical
- 17 guidance in this area and agreed that instead of updating the chemotherapy for
- 18 NSCLC recommendations (recommendations 1.4.40 1.4.43) the guideline update
- 19 should develop an algorithm outlining the treatment pathway for systemic anti-cancer
- 20 therapy treatments. This algorithm would provide a clear overview and
- 21 contextualisation of systemic anti-cancer therapy treatments.

22 Methods and Process

- 23 The algorithms were drafted based on effectiveness and cost effectiveness
- 24 recommendations from all relevant Technology Appraisals (TAs) for non-small cell
- 25 lung cancer alongside expert clinical knowledge provided by the guideline
- 26 committee. Additionally systematic anti-cancer therapy recommendations to support
- the algorithms were agreed based on recommendations from the relevant TAs.

28 Targeted Expert Engagement

- A pre-consultation engagement exercise was agreed to support the development of
- 30 the algorithms by providing selected expert groups the opportunity to review the draft
- 31 algorithms ahead of the formal public stakeholder consultation.
- 32 The targeted engagement exercise is summarised below:

- The guideline committee identified the British Thoracic Oncology Group and
 the British Thoracic Society as professional bodies whose membership has
 expert knowledge of systemic anti-cancer therapy.
- Ten survey questions were drafted including seven closed and three open questions.
- The survey was administered online with a web link sent to participating
 organisations requesting that the survey be shared with their membership and
 completed online. The survey was open from 11th May to 3rd June 2018, with
 reminder emails, to promote a greater response.
- Additionally the Lung Cancer Clinical Expert Group (CEG) were contacted.
 Rather than completing the survey this stakeholder provided a series of
 individual comments which contributed towards the development of the
 algorithm.
- A second round of engagement with the Lung Cancer CEG was conducted
 following revision of the draft algorithm.
- 16 Results
- British Thoracic Oncology Group and the British Thoracic Society (online
 survey)
- 19 A total of 3 responses were received from the online survey.
- 20

| | L | ung cancer | - targetted consu | ultation - 3 | survey res | ults receiv | ved | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Q2 | Do you think the algorithm is organised in a helpful and understandable way? | | | | | | | | |
| RESPONSES | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Yes 2 | | | | | No 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Do you think t | the algorith | m is organised in | a helpful a | and unders | tandable | way? | | |
| Q3 | Please explain | n your respo | onse | | | | | | |
| | | | RES | PONSES | | | | | |
| its quite o algorithm | confusing in the n with targetable | top algorith e mutations | nm with the arrow and one without | /s switchin ? Each indi | g sides. Wo vidual side | ould it be t is easy to | oetter for follow | 1 | No |
| There are | e a few omission | is and inacc | uracies which hav | e been cor | rected. | | | | Yes |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 04 | (docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel or vinorelbine) plus a platinum drug. Either carboplatin or cisplatin may be administered, taking account of their toxicities, efficacy and convenience. [2005 CGC 121 recommendation] The update committee would like to remove docetaxel from this recommendation as they are of the view that docetaxel should not be used with a platinum drug. Do you agree? | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | RES | PONSES | | | | | |
| | | Yes 1 | RES | PONSES | | | No 1 | | |
| | | Yes 1 | RES | PONSES | | | No 1 | | |
| 04 | If you disagree | Yes 1 e, please ex | RES | PONSES | | | No 1 | | |
| Q4 Docetaxe included | If you disagree and carboplati as an option | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid o | RES plain why? combination licen | SPONSES | opraised. No | ot used ve | No 1 | but shou | ld be |
| Q4 Docetaxe included | If you disagree el and carboplati as an option | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid o | RES plain why? combination licen | sed and ap | praised. No | ot used ve | No 1 | but shou | ld be |
| Q4 Docetaxe included | If you disagree and carboplati as an option Please review disagree 5 be | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem | RES combination licen | sed and ap ate them c | opraised. No on your lev | ot used ve el of agree | No 1 ery much l | but shou being str | ld be |
| Q4 Docetaxe included Q5 Q5 | If you disagree el and carboplati as an option Please review disagree, 5 be The algorithm | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem ing strongly and recom | RES aplain why? combination licen ents below and r y agree. mendations refle | sed and ap ate them c | opraised. No on your lev | ot used ve el of agree | No 1 erry much l | but shou being str | ld be rongly |
| Q4 Docetaxe included Q5 Q5a | If you disagree and carboplati as an option Please review disagree, 5 be The algorithm | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem ing strongly and recom | RES combination licen eents below and r y agree. mendations refle | sed and ap ate them o ect best clir | opraised. No on your lev | ot used ve el of agrec ce | No 1 Pry much l | but shou being st | ld be rongly |
| Q4 Docetaxe included Q5 Q5a | If you disagree and carboplati as an option Please review disagree, 5 be The algorithm Strongly disagree | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem ing strongly and recom | RES aplain why? combination licen eents below and r y agree. mendations refle RES Neither agree/disagree | sed and ap ate them c ect best clin PONSES Agree | opraised. No on your lev nical praction Strongly agree | ot used ve el of agrec ce | No 1 ery much l | but shou | ld be |
| Q4 Docetaxe included Q5 Q5a | If you disagree el and carboplati as an option Please review disagree, 5 be The algorithm Strongly disagree | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem ing strongly and recom | RES cplain why? combination licen ents below and r y agree. mendations refle RES Neither agree/disagree 1 | sed and ap ate them o ate them o ate thest clin sponses Agree 1 | opraised. No on your lev nical praction Strongly agree 1 | ot used ve el of agrec ce | No 1 Pry much I Pry mu | but shou | Id be |
| Q4 Docetaxe included Q5 Q5a Q5a | If you disagree and carboplati as an option Please review disagree, 5 be The algorithm Strongly disagree The algorithm area to date | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem ing strongly and recom | RES aplain why? combination licen eents below and r y agree. mendations refle RES Neither agree/disagree 1 mendations refle | sed and ap ate them c ate them c ate thest clin PONSES Agree 1 act the wor | opraised. No on your lev nical praction Strongly agree 1 ding and in | ot used ve el of agree ce | No 1 erry much l ement, 1 of NICE's | but shou being str | ld be rongly e in this |
| Q4 Docetaxe included Q5 Q5a Q5a | If you disagree el and carboplati as an option Please review disagree, 5 be The algorithm Strongly disagree The algorithm area to date | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem ing strongly and recom | RES combination licen eents below and r y agree. mendations refle RES Neither agree/disagree 1 mendations refle | sed and ap ate them of ect best clin PONSES Agree 1 ect the wor | opraised. No on your lev nical praction Strongly agree 1 ding and in | ot used ve el of agrec ce | No 1 Pry much I Pry mu | but shou being str guidance | Id be rongly e in this |
| Q4 Docetaxe included Q5 Q5a Q5a | If you disagree and carboplati as an option Please review disagree, 5 be The algorithm Strongly disagree The algorithm area to date | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem ing strongly and recom | RES aplain why? combination licen ents below and r y agree. mendations refle RES Neither agree/disagree 1 mendations refle RES | sed and ap ate them c ate them c ate them c ate the wor Agree 1 ate the wor SPONSES | opraised. No on your lev nical praction Strongly agree 1 rding and in | ot used ve el of agree ce | No 1 erry much l ement, 1 of NICE's | but shou being str guidanc | ld be rongly e in this |
| Q4 Docetaxe included Q5 Q5a Q5a | If you disagree el and carboplati as an option Please review disagree, 5 be The algorithm Strongly disagree The algorithm area to date | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem ing strongly and recom | RES cplain why? combination licen ents below and r y agree. mendations refle RES Neither agree/disagree 1 mendations refle RES Neither | sed and ap ate them of ate them of ate them of ate the st clin sponses Agree 1 act the wor | opraised. No on your lev nical praction Strongly agree 1 ding and in Strongly | ot used ve el of agree ce | No 1 Pry much I Pry mu | but shou being str guidance | Id be rongly e in this |
| Q4 Docetaxe included Q5 Q5a Q5a | If you disagree and carboplati as an option Please review disagree, 5 be The algorithm Strongly disagree The algorithm area to date | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem ing strongly and recom Disagree and recom | RES aplain why? combination licen ments below and r y agree. mendations refle RES Neither agree/disagree 1 mendations refle RES | sed and ap ate them of ate them of ate them of ate the set clin sponses Agree 1 ate the wor sponses Agree | praised. No on your lev nical praction Strongly agree 1 ding and in Strongly agree | ot used ve el of agree ce | No 1 erry much l ement, 1 of NICE's | but shou being str guidance | Id be rongly e in this |
| Q4 Docetaxe included Q5 Q5a Q5a | If you disagree el and carboplati as an option Please review disagree, 5 be The algorithm Strongly disagree The algorithm area to date Strongly disagree | Yes 1 e, please ex in is a valid of the statem ing strongly and recom Disagree and recom | RES cplain why? combination licen ents below and r y agree. mendations refle RES Neither agree/disagree 1 mendations refle RES | sed and ap ate them of ate them of ate them of ate the st clin sponses Agree 1 act the wor sponses Agree 1 | opraised. No on your lev nical praction Strongly agree 1 ding and in Strongly agree 2 | ot used ve | No 1 Pry much I Pry mu | but shou being str guidance | Id be rongly e in this |

| 06 | Please explain your ratings for the above statements | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | RESPONSES | | | | |
| Consider | carboplatin as well as cisplatin in recommending plating | um based chemotherapy | | | |
| Generally | / fit for purpose and reflect NICE guidance but some ina | ccuracies which have been corrected on behalf | | | |
| of BTOG | and returned to NICE | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Are you aware of any regional variation in the implementation of systematic anti-cancer therapy | | | | |
| Q7 | guideline recommendations? | | | | |
| | RESPONSES | | | | |
| | Yes 2 | No 1 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Q7a | If yes, please explain | | | | |
| | RESPONSES | | | | |
| Access to better diagnostics and pathology. Knowledge of access of drugs through cdf as very frequent changes | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Finally, how can the algorithm be improved (if at all)? Please use the box to suggest any changes | | | | | |
| Q8 | you think should be made? | | | | |
| RESPONSES | | | | | |
| Algorithm amended on behalf of BTOG Main division should be squamous vs nonsquamous PDL1 >50% and >1- 49% and unknown Nivolumab TA484 omitted please see amendments | | | | | |

1

2 Lung Cancer Clinical Expert Group (CEG)

- Comments from the first round of engagement with the Lung Cancer (CEG) are
 summarised below:
- There is a clear need for the algorithms to reflect current clinical practice
 alongside the recommendations from relevant technology appraisal (TA)
 guidance.
- The algorithms will require updating as new evidence emerges either through
 research or the publication of further TA guidance.
- Identification of a number of factual errors in the initial draft algorithms particularly in relation to genetic markers for disease, the possible variations in 1st, 2nd and 3rd line treatment based on clinical practice and the overall presentation of the algorithms e.g. by histology or squamous/ non-squamous sub-type.

Comments from the second round of engagement with the Lung Cancer (CEG)are summarised below:

- The systematic anti-cancer therapy recommendations were considered 2 repetitive for the reader and would be better paraphrased.
- The need to keep the algorithm updated was again emphasised.
- The recommendations need to include the caveats imposed on the Cancer
 Drug Fund.
- The need to take into account the further restrictions in practice imposed by accessing therapies through the Blueteq system.
- The algorithm does not reflect current practice as this is driven by the NHS
 England's permissions stated by each CDF drug indication which is usually a
 more limited remit of the NICE approved indication.

11 **Committee Discussion**

- 12 The committee discussed the outcome of the online survey and the feedback
- 13 received from the CEG. It was agreed that the results from the online survey were
- 14 limited and mixed. Therefore they provided no clear direction for further development
- 15 of the algorithms.
- 16 The Lung Cancer CEG comments were discussed by the guideline committee and
- 17 further revisions made. The committee had initially proposed removing
- 18 recommendation 1.4.41 which recommends docetaxel combined with a platinum
- 19 drug for advanced NSCLC as they considered this combination to be toxic and
- 20 poorly tolerated by patients. However comments from the lung cancer CEG indicated
- 21 that experts were content to keep docetaxel combined with a platinum drug for
- 22 advanced NSCLC as an option for systemic therapy. This treatment option has
- therefore remained.
- 24 The committee discussed the difficulties in developing a set of algorithms which are
- 25 able to reflect NICE's TAs in the context of clinical practice and recommended that
- the algorithm be adapted from a published algorithm developed by Rhiannon
- 27 Walters-Davies and Anthony Pope (<u>Clinical Pharmacist</u>, June 2018, Volume 10 (6)).
- 28 Copyright permission was obtained by NICE to use this published algorithm although
- 29 some modifications were made in line with comments received and using the wider
- 30 experience and expertise of the committee.
- A set of written recommendations were also discussed and agreed by the committeeto support the algorithms.
- 33
- 34

- 1 Appendix 1 Systemic anti-cancer therapy algorithms non-squamous and
- 2 squamous

3

Systemic anti-cancer therapy: management options for people with non-squamous (adenocarcinoma, large cell undifferentiated) carcinoma and non-small cell carcinoma (non-otherwise specified)



and therapy. Clinical Pharmacist 2018;10(6):174–183. DOI: 10.1211/CP.2018.20204871

Systemic anti-cancer therapy:

management options for people with squamous non-small cell carcinoma



1 Appendix 2 – Systemic anti-cancer therapy recommendations

2

19

3 Non-squamous NSCLC

4 EGFR-TK mutation

- 5 1. For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people with the 6 epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase (EGFR-TK) mutation: 7 o for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on afatinib, 8 erlotinib and gefitinib. 9 on progression for people with the EGFR T790M mutation, see the NICE technology 10 appraisal guidance on osimertinib. 11 on progression, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology 0 12 appraisal guidance on pemetrexed 13 if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE 0 14 technology appraisal guidance on pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed and
- pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy
 on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see
 the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u>, <u>nivolumab</u>,
 pembrolizumab and <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u>

20 ALK gene rearrangement

| anaplastic lymphoma kinase-positive gene rearrangement: o for first-line systemic treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on crizotinib, ceritinib and alectinib o on progression after first-line crizotinib, ceritinib or alectinib, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on crizotinib and ceritinib for second line treatment o on progression, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pemetrexed o if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed and pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy o on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on atezolizumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab and docetaxel with nintedanib PDL1>=50% For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: o no progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumate | 21 | 2. | For gui | dance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people with the |
|---|----|--------|---------|---|
| o for first-line systemic treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on crizotinib, ceritinib and alectinib o on progression after first-line crizotinib, ceritinib or alectinib, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on crizotinib and ceritinib for second line treatment o on progression, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pemetrexed o if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed and pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy o on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on atezolizumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab and docetaxel with nintedanib | 22 | | anaplas | stic lymphoma kinase-positive gene rearrangement: |
| crizotinib, ceritinib and alectinib on progression after first-line crizotinib, ceritinib or alectinib, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on crizotinib and ceritinib for second line treatment on progression, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pemetrexed if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed and pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on atezolizumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab and docetaxel with nintedanib | 23 | | 0 | for first-line systemic treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on |
| on progression after first-line crizotinib, ceritinib or alectinib, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>crizotinib</u> and <u>ceritinib</u> for second line treatment on progression, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed</u> if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed</u> maintenance after pemetrexed and <u>pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy</u> on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u>, <u>nivolumab</u>, <u>pembrolizumab</u> and <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u> PDL1>=50% 3. For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see | 24 | | | crizotinib, ceritinib and alectinib |
| technology appraisal guidance on <u>crizotinib</u> and <u>ceritinib</u> for second line treatment on progression, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed</u> if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed</u> and <u>pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy</u> on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u>, <u>nivolumab</u>, <u>pembrolizumab</u> and <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u> PDL1>=50% For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pembrolizumab</u> on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see | 25 | | 0 | on progression after first-line crizotinib, ceritinib or alectinib, see the NICE |
| on progression, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed</u> if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed</u> and <u>pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy</u> on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u>, <u>nivolumab</u>, <u>pembrolizumab</u> and <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u> PDL1>=50% For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pembrolizumab</u> on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see | 26 | | | technology appraisal guidance on <u>crizotinib</u> and <u>ceritinib</u> for second line treatment |
| appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed</u> if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed</u> and <u>pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy</u> on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u>, <u>nivolumab</u>, <u>pembrolizumab</u> and <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u> PDL1>=50% 3. For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pembrolizumab</u> | 27 | | 0 | on progression, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology |
| o if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed and pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy o on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on atezolizumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab and docetaxel with nintedanib PDL1>=50% For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: o for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumab on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see | 28 | | | appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed</u> |
| technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed</u> and <u>pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy</u> on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u>, <u>nivolumab</u>, <u>pembrolizumab</u> and <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u> PDL1>=50% For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pembrolizumak</u> | 29 | | 0 | if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE |
| pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on atezolizumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab and docetaxel with nintedanib PDL1>=50% For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumab on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see | 30 | | | technology appraisal guidance on pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed and |
| on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u>, <u>nivolumab</u>, <u>pembrolizumab</u> and <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u> PDL1>=50% For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pembrolizumab</u> on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumab | 31 | | | pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy |
| the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u>, <u>nivolumab</u>, <u>pembrolizumab</u> and <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u> PDL1>=50% For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: o for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumab o n progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumab | 32 | | 0 | on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see |
| 34 pembrolizumab and docetaxel with nintedanib 35 36 PDL1>=50% 37 3. For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: 39 o for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumab on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumab | 33 | | | the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u> , <u>nivolumab</u> , |
| 35 36 PDL1>=50% 37 3. For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: 39 o for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumak on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumab | 34 | | | pembrolizumab and docetaxel with nintedanib |
| 36 PDL1>=50% 37 3. For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: 39 of for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumate on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumate techn | 35 | | | |
| 37 3. For guidance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose 38 tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: 39 o for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumak 40 o n progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see 41 the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumak | 36 | PDL1>= | =50% | |
| 38 tumours express PD-L1 at or above 50%: 39 o for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumate 40 o progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see 41 the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pemptroved | 37 | 3. | For gui | dance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose |
| 39 o for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pembrolizumate</u> 40 o progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see 41 the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pemetreved | 38 | | tumour | rs express PD-L1 at or above 50%: |
| 40 on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see | 39 | | 0 | for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pembrolizumab |
| 41 the NICE technology appraical guidance on permetroyed | 40 | | 0 | on progression after Pembrolizumab, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see |
| +1 the Nice technology appraisal guidance on <u>periferrexed</u> | 41 | | | the NICE technology appraisal guidance on pemetrexed |

| 1 2 3 4 | 0 | if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed</u> and <u>pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy</u> on progression after first-line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see |
|--|--|--|
| 5 6 | ROS-1 | the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u> |
| 7 8 9 10 11 | For guid O O | dance on treatment for ROS1-positive non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer: for initial treatment, the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>crizotinib</u> on progression, offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed</u> if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 0 | technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed</u> and <u>pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy</u> on progression after first line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u> , <u>nivolumab</u> , <u>pembrolizumab</u> and <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u> |
| 18 | No gene mutat | ion, fusion protein and PD-L1<50% |
| 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | 5. For guid not hav otherw 0 0 | dance on treatment for non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people who do e a gene mutation, fusion protein or biomarker or in whom chemotherapy is ise indicated: offer platinum doublet chemotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed</u> if patients do not immediately progress after chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pemetrexed maintenance after pemetrexed</u> and <u>pemetrexed maintenance after other platinum doublet chemotherapy</u> on progression after first line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy or see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u> , <u>nivolumab</u> , <u>pembrolizumab</u> and <u>docetaxel with nintedanib</u> |
| 32 33 | Squamous N PDL1>=50% | <u>ISCLC</u> |
| 34 35 36 37 38 | For guid tumour 0 0 0 | dance on treatment for squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose rs express PD-L1 at or above 50%: for initial treatment, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>pembrolizumab</u> on progression, offer gemcitabine or vinorelbine and cisplatin or carboplatin on progression after first line chemotherapy, offer docetaxel monotherapy |
| 39 | PDL1<50% | |
| 40 41 42 | 7. For guid tumour o | dance on treatment for squamous non-small cell lung cancer in people whose is express PD-L1 below 50% and are ROS-1 negative: for initial treatment, offer gemcitabine or vinorelbine and cisplatin or carboplatin |

- on progression after first line chemotherapy, see the NICE technology appraisal guidance on <u>atezolizumab</u>, <u>nivolumab</u> and <u>pembrolizumab</u> or offer docetaxel monotherapy
 4
- 5