

## Antenatal care

### [K] Identification of hypertension in pregnancy

*NICE guideline <number>*

*Evidence reviews underpinning recommendations 1.2.21 to 1.2.24*

*February 2021*

*Draft for consultation*

*These evidence reviews were developed by the National Guideline Alliance which is a part of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists*



## **Disclaimer**

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or service users. The recommendations in this guideline are not mandatory and the guideline does not override the responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or their carer or guardian.

Local commissioners and/or providers have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual health professionals and their patients or service users wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the [Welsh Government](#), [Scottish Government](#), and [Northern Ireland Executive](#). All NICE guidance is subject to regular review and may be updated or withdrawn.

## **Copyright**

© NICE 2021. All rights reserved. Subject to [Notice of Rights](#).

ISBN:

# Contents

<b>Contents</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Identification of hypertension in pregnancy</b> .....	<b>6</b>
Review question .....	6
Introduction .....	6
Summary of the protocol .....	6
Methods and process .....	7
Clinical evidence .....	7
Summary of studies included in the evidence review.....	7
Quality assessment of studies included in the evidence review .....	8
Economic evidence .....	8
Summary of included economic evidence.....	8
Economic model.....	9
Evidence statements .....	9
The committee’s discussion of the evidence.....	12
References.....	14
<b>Appendices</b> .....	<b>15</b>
Appendix A – Review protocol.....	15
Review protocol for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? ....	15
Appendix B – Literature search strategies .....	16
Literature search strategies for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? ....	16
Appendix C – Clinical evidence study selection .....	17
Study selection for: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? .....	17
Appendix D – Clinical evidence tables .....	18
Evidence tables for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? ....	18
Appendix E – Forest plots.....	19
Forest plots for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? .....	19
Appendix F – GRADE tables .....	20
GRADE tables for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? ....	20
Appendix G – Economic evidence study selection.....	21
Economic evidence study selection for review question: What is the most	

effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? .....	21
Appendix H – Economic evidence tables.....	22
Economic evidence tables for review question: What is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? ....	22
Appendix I – Economic evidence profiles .....	23
Economic evidence profiles for review question: What is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? .....	23
Appendix J – Economic analysis .....	24
Economic analysis for review question: What is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? ....	24
Appendix K – Excluded studies .....	25
Excluded studies for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? ....	25
Appendix L – Research recommendations .....	26
Research recommendations for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy? .....	26

# 1 Identification of hypertension in pregnancy

## 2 Review question

3 What is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique)  
4 using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?

## 5 Introduction

6 Hypertension in pregnancy is common but if not managed appropriately can lead to adverse  
7 outcomes. Thus, it is important to accurately and quickly identify hypertension during  
8 pregnancy. This allows for appropriate treatment and monitoring of conditions like pre-  
9 eclampsia, and timely plans to be made to expedite birth where indicated. Blood pressure  
10 measurement can be done in a wide range of settings and using a variety of techniques.  
11 Each of these may have an impact on accuracy (and therefore downstream clinical  
12 consequences for women) and the burden involved with regular testing. The aim of this  
13 review is to find out what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure to identify  
14 hypertensive disorder of pregnancy.

## 15 Summary of the protocol

16 See **Table 1** for a summary of the Population, Intervention, Comparison and Outcome  
17 (PICO) characteristics of this review.

18 **Table 1: Summary of the protocol (PICO table)**

<b>Population</b>	All pregnant women
<b>Intervention</b>	<b>Setting</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Self or home measurement (automated monitoring or non-automated monitoring)</li><li>• Ambulatory blood pressure (BP) measurement</li></ul> <b>Technique</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Auscultatory</li><li>• Korotkoff phase IV to represent diastolic BP</li><li>• Korotkoff phase V to represent diastolic BP</li><li>• Resting for at least 5 minutes from arrival at clinic prior to measurement</li><li>• Single measurement</li><li>• Multiple measurements</li><li>• Appropriate cuff size</li><li>• Universal cuff size</li></ul>
<b>Comparison</b>	Any of the above compared with any other, alone or in combination
<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Critical</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Systolic BP <math>\geq 150</math> mmHg</li><li>• Maternal mortality</li><li>• Perinatal mortality (neonatal death/stillbirth)</li><li>• Preterm birth</li></ul> <b>Important</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Maternal morbidities (e.g. pre-eclampsia)</li><li>• Measures of maternal quality of life<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>◦ Maternal experiences and views of the interventions</li></ul></li></ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Maternal anxiety</li><li>○ Maternal self-confidence</li><li>● Maternal use of health service resources<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Number of clinic visits</li><li>○ Number of antenatal hospital admissions</li><li>○ Induction of labour</li><li>○ Operative delivery</li><li>○ Intensive care admission</li><li>○ Ventilation</li><li>○ Dialysis</li></ul></li><li>● Neonatal use of health service resources<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Admission to special care nursery and length of stay</li><li>○ Endotracheal intubation and use of mechanical ventilation</li></ul></li></ul>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1 *BP: blood pressure*

2 For further details see the review protocol in appendix A.

### 3 **Methods and process**

4 During the development of this guideline, a registered Cochrane protocol was identified  
5 which matched the committee's intended PICO. The Cochrane review team completed their  
6 review (Ashworth 2020) during guideline development and presented their results to the  
7 guideline committee which used them to make recommendations. Cochrane's methods are  
8 closely aligned to standard NICE methods, minor deviations (the use of the original  
9 Cochrane risk of bias tool, use of GRADE only on main outcomes with no overall quality  
10 rating for those with zero events in either arm, defining primary and secondary outcomes as  
11 opposed to critical and important and including countries from a broader range of income  
12 categories than the majority of the other reviews in the guideline) relevant to the topic area  
13 were highlighted to the committee and taken into account in discussions of the evidence.

14 Declarations of interest were recorded according to [NICE's conflicts of interest policy](#).

### 15 **Clinical evidence**

#### 16 **Included studies**

17 One Cochrane review (Ashworth 2020) including 3 randomised controlled trials (Brown 1998,  
18 Peeling 2019, Vousden 2019) was considered in this report. This review was used for  
19 recommendation making by the committee as it was considered sufficiently relevant, high  
20 quality and up to date.

21 The Cochrane review is summarised in Table 2 and the results of the review summarised in  
22 evidence statements in this report, however full details of the Cochrane review including  
23 methods are available here

24 <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD012739.pub2/full>.

25 See the Cochrane review for the literature search strategy, study selection flow chart, forest  
26 plots and GRADE tables.

#### 27 **Excluded studies**

28 See the Cochrane review for the list of excluded studies with reasons for their exclusions

### 29 **Summary of studies included in the evidence review**

30 Summaries of the studies that were included in this review are presented in Table 2.

1 **Table 2: Summary of included studies**

Study	Population	Comparisons	Outcomes
Ashworth 2020	Number of studies = 3	<u>Self-measurement versus conventional clinic measurement</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Systolic BP greater than or equal to 150mmHg</li> <li>• Maternal death</li> <li>• Maternal morbidity</li> <li>• Neonatal death</li> <li>• Neonatal morbidity</li> <li>• Antenatal clinic visits</li> <li>• Antenatal hospital admissions</li> <li>• Induction of labour</li> <li>• Operative delivery</li> <li>• Maternal admission to intensive care</li> <li>• Maternal length of stay in intensive care</li> <li>• Ventilation</li> <li>• Dialysis</li> <li>• Neonatal unit admission</li> <li>• Neonatal unit length of stay</li> <li>• Endotracheal intubation and use of mechanical ventilation</li> <li>• Maternal experiences and views of the interventions</li> <li>• Maternal anxiety</li> <li>• Maternal self-confidence</li> </ul>
Systematic review	Number of women = 536,607	1 RCT, N = 154 women, UK, Pealing 2019  <u>Korotkoff phase IV versus Korotkoff phase V to represent diastolic BP</u> 1 RCT, N = 220 women, Australia, Brown 1998  <u>Auscultatory technique versus automated technique to measure BP</u> 1 RCT, Ethiopia, Sierra Leone, Zimbabwe, Uganda, Zambia, Malawi, India and Haiti, N = 536,233 deliveries, Vousden 2019	

2 *BP: blood pressure*

3 See the Cochrane review for full evidence tables.

#### 4 **Quality assessment of studies included in the evidence review**

5 See the Cochrane review for GRADE tables.

#### 6 **Economic evidence**

##### 7 **Included studies**

8 A systematic review of the economic literature was conducted but no economic studies were  
 9 identified which were applicable to this review question.

10 A single economic search was undertaken for all topics included in the scope of this  
 11 guideline. See supplementary material 2 for details.

##### 12 **Excluded studies**

13 There was no economic evidence identified for this review question and therefore there is no  
 14 excluded studies list in appendix K.

##### 15 **Summary of included economic evidence**

16 No economic studies were identified which were applicable to this review question.

## 1 Economic model

- 2 No economic modelling was undertaken for this review because the committee agreed that  
3 other topics were higher priorities for economic evaluation.

## 4 Evidence statements

### 5 Clinical evidence statements

- 6 The evidence statements below correspond to the outcomes assessed using the GRADE  
7 approach in the Cochrane review. For all other outcomes, none of which showed a  
8 statistically significant or clinically important difference, see the full Cochrane review:  
9 <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD012739.pub2/full>

### 10 **Comparison 1. Self-measurement (using an automated BP device at home) versus** 11 **conventional clinic measurement**

#### 12 **Critical outcomes**

13

#### 14 **Systolic BP $\geq 150$ mmHg**

- 15 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.

#### 16 **Maternal death**

- 17 • One RCT (N=154) showed no clinically important difference in maternal deaths between  
18 those self-measuring BP versus conventional clinic measurement (none in either arm).

#### 19 **Neonatal death**

- 20 • Low quality evidence from 1 RCT (N=154) showed no clinically important difference in  
21 neonatal death between those self-measuring BP versus conventional clinic  
22 measurement: RR 1.54 (95% CI 0.06 to 37.25).

#### 23 **Stillbirth**

- 24 • Low quality evidence from 1 RCT (N=154) showed no clinically important difference in  
25 stillbirth between those self-measuring BP versus conventional clinic measurement: RR  
26 2.57 (95% CI 0.13 to 52.63).

#### 27 **Preterm birth**

- 28 • Low quality evidence from 1 RCT (N=154) showed no clinically important difference in  
29 preterm birth between those self-measuring BP versus conventional clinic measurement:  
30 RR 1.15 (95% CI 0.37 to 3.55).

#### 31 **Important outcomes**

#### 32 **Pre-eclampsia**

- 33 • Low quality evidence from 1 RCT (N=154) showed no clinically important difference in  
34 pre-eclampsia between those self-measuring BP versus conventional clinic measurement:  
35 RR 1.49 (95% CI 0.87 to 2.54).

#### 36 **Maternal admission to intensive care**

- 37 • Low quality evidence from 1 RCT (N=154) showed no clinically important difference in  
38 maternal admission to intensive care between those self-measuring BP versus  
39 conventional clinic measurement: RR 1.54 (95% CI 0.06 to 37.25).

#### 40 **Induction of labour**

- 1 • Low quality evidence from 1 RCT (N=154) showed no clinically important difference in  
2 induction of labour between those self-measuring BP versus conventional clinic  
3 measurement: RR 1.09 (95% CI 0.82 to 1.45).
- 4 **Neonatal unit admission**
- 5 • Low quality evidence from 1 RCT (N=154) showed no clinically important difference in  
6 neonatal unit admission between those self-measuring BP versus conventional clinic  
7 measurement: RR 1.53 (95% CI 0.65 to 3.62).
- 8 **Number of maternal antenatal hospital admissions**
- 9 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 10 **Neonatal unit length of stay**
- 11 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 12 **Neonatal endotracheal intubation and use of mechanical ventilation**
- 13 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 14
- 15 **Outcomes reported, showing no difference but not assessed with GRADE**
- 16 Eclampsia, HELLP syndrome, operative delivery
- 17
- 18 **Comparison 2. Korotkoff phase IV versus Korotkoff phase V to represent diastolic BP**
- 19 **Critical outcomes**
- 20 **Systolic BP  $\geq$ 150 mmHg**
- 21 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 22 **Maternal death**
- 23 • One RCT (N=220) showed no clinically important difference in deaths between using  
24 Korotkoff phase IV and Korotkoff phase V to represent diastolic BP (none in either arm).
- 25 **Stillbirth**
- 26 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 27 **Neonatal death**
- 28 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 29 **Perinatal mortality**
- 30 • Low quality evidence from 1 RCT (N=220) showed no clinically important difference in  
31 perinatal mortality between using Korotkoff phase IV and Korotkoff phase V to represent  
32 diastolic BP: RR 1.14 (95% CI 0.16 to 7.92).
- 33 **Preterm birth**
- 34 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 35 **Important outcomes**
- 36 **Pre-eclampsia**
- 37 • Low quality evidence from 1 RCT (N=220) showed no clinically important difference in  
38 pre-eclampsia between using Korotkoff phase IV and Korotkoff phase V to represent  
39 diastolic BP: RR 1.16 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.49).
- 40 **Number of maternal antenatal hospital admissions**

- 1 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 2 **Maternal admission to intensive care**
- 3 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 4 **Induction of labour**
- 5 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 6 **Neonatal unit admission**
- 7 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 8 **Neonatal unit length of stay**
- 9 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 10 **Neonatal endotracheal intubation and use of mechanical ventilation**
- 11 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 12 **Comparison 3. Semi-automated BP monitor and education package (CRADLE**
- 13 **intervention) versus usual care**
- 14 **Critical outcomes**
- 15 **Systolic BP  $\geq$ 150 mmHg**
- 16 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 17 **Maternal death**
- 18
  - Low quality evidence from 1 RCT (N=536,233) showed no clinically important difference in
- 19
  - deaths between the CRADLE package and usual care: RR 0.80 (95% CI 0.30 to 2.11).
- 20 **Stillbirth**
- 21 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 22 **Neonatal death**
- 23 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 24 **Perinatal mortality**
- 25 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 26 **Preterm birth**
- 27 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 28 **Important outcomes**
- 29 **Pre-eclampsia**
- 30 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 31 **Number of maternal antenatal hospital admissions**
- 32 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.
- 33 **Maternal admission to intensive care**
- 34 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.

1 **Induction of labour**

2 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.

3 **Neonatal unit admission**

4 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.

5 **Neonatal unit length of stay**

6 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.

7 **Neonatal endotracheal intubation and use of mechanical ventilation**

8 No evidence was identified to inform this outcome.

9

10 **Outcomes reported, showing no difference but not assessed with GRADE**

11 Eclampsia, cerebrovascular event, operative delivery

12 **Economic evidence statements**

13 No economic evidence was identified which was applicable to this review question.

14 **The committee's discussion of the evidence**

15 **Interpreting the evidence**

16 ***The outcomes that matter most***

17 The Cochrane protocol's primary outcome was a systolic BP greater than or equal to  
18 150mmHg, in addition to this the committee agreed that the critical outcomes were maternal  
19 death, perinatal death and preterm birth as these are the most impactful possible  
20 consequences of poorly managed hypertension during pregnancy. All other outcomes listed  
21 in the Cochrane protocol (maternal morbidities, maternal quality of life, maternal and  
22 neonatal use of health service resources) were agreed to be important outcomes by the  
23 committee.

24 ***The quality of the evidence***

25 There was no evidence available for the majority of comparisons that the committee were  
26 interested in. For the comparisons where there was evidence that the Cochrane team  
27 applied GRADE to, it was low quality and downgraded typically due to imprecision (small  
28 sample size and the 95% confidence intervals spanned possible benefit and possible harm)  
29 and in the case of the CRADLE trial due to indirectness. The study of the CRADLE device  
30 may only have limited applicability to UK recommendations. The device was compared with  
31 standard care and the standard care in the mostly low-income countries that the study took  
32 place in, may have varied from site to site and be significantly different from the UK. For the  
33 outcomes that were included in GRADE tables in the Cochrane review but not given formal  
34 GRADE ratings (due to zero events occurring in either arm), the committee considered the  
35 evidence to be of very low quality in terms of the certainty of effect due to the likely  
36 underpowering of the studies to detect an effect..

37 The committee noted that many studies were excluded from the Cochrane review due to the  
38 paucity of devices that are validated for use in pregnancy. They agreed this was appropriate  
39 as it is important that devices are validated in the specific population, they were aware that  
40 devices previously validated in the general population have been shown to be inaccurate in  
41 pregnant women.

## 1 **Benefits and harms**

2 There was no evidence of any important difference for any outcome in the 3 comparisons.  
3 The recommendations were therefore based predominantly on the committee's knowledge  
4 and experience. In the absence of evidence to justify deviations, the committee were also  
5 keen to make recommendations consistent with existing NICE guidance on [hypertension in](#)  
6 [pregnancy](#).

7 In the committee's experience and in line with the NICE guideline on [hypertension in adults](#),  
8 using a device that is validated for use in pregnancy, has the correct cuff size for the woman  
9 and is only used once a woman has had time to settle down and relax will have benefits in  
10 terms of increasing the accuracy of testing and reducing false positive and negatives. The  
11 British and Irish Hypertension Society lists the devices validated for pregnancy on their  
12 [website](#) and the committee agreed this is a useful resource.

13 Monitoring of blood pressure at every routine antenatal visit enables early identification and  
14 management of hypertension and pre-eclampsia which can have severe consequences if not  
15 diagnosed and managed. While it does involve a small burden and demands for time within  
16 appointments, the committee agreed this was an appropriate trade-off and represents current  
17 practice.

18 The committee agreed it was important to differentiate between women with hypertension  
19 under 20+0 weeks and over 20+0 weeks. Gestational hypertension only occurs after 20+0  
20 weeks and therefore any hypertension detected under 20+0 weeks is likely to be pre-existing  
21 chronic hypertension.

22 The NICE guideline on [hypertension in pregnancy](#) uses a sustained blood pressure of 140/90  
23 mmHg as a threshold for hypertension and for initiating treatment in secondary care and  
24 135/85 mmHg as a target blood pressure when on antihypertensive treatment, therefore the  
25 committee used similar thresholds to guide the early identification and assessment  
26 recommendations they made.

27 In line with the definition of severe hypertension as blood pressure of 160/110 mmHg or over  
28 in the NICE guideline on [hypertension in pregnancy](#), the committee agreed that women with  
29 severe hypertension (160/110 mmHg or higher) or signs and symptoms of pre-eclampsia will  
30 need immediate assessment in secondary care and treatment to reduce the risk of adverse  
31 clinical outcomes. However, women with milder hypertension (140/90 mmHg to 159/109  
32 mmHg) and no signs or symptoms of pre-eclampsia may not need treatment, as it is possible  
33 the finding was spurious or the pressure may return to normal spontaneously. Therefore, the  
34 committee agreed in order to avoid overtreatment and diagnosis for women meeting these  
35 criteria, timely assessment in secondary care within 24 hours is appropriate. The assessment  
36 would need to be by someone with appropriate skills and training to consider whether  
37 treatment was required, typically this would be a specialist midwife or obstetrician. The  
38 committee specified the 24 hour timeframe based on their experience. While this will create  
39 additional burden for the healthcare system and the woman (including likely a repeat visit to  
40 some healthcare site), the committee agreed it was important to recommend a timeframe as  
41 a balance between avoiding overtreatment and preventing undiagnosed hypertension from  
42 being missed. The committee also cross-referred to the recommendations on diagnosing  
43 hypertension in the NICE guideline on [hypertension in adults](#) as they include other steps that  
44 may avoid overtreatment or diagnosis (for example recording multiple times in case of an  
45 elevated reading and recording only the lowest reading to avoid nervousness impacting  
46 results).

## 47 **Cost effectiveness and resource use**

48 A systematic review of the economic literature was conducted but no relevant studies were  
49 identified which were applicable to this review question.

1 The recommendations made by the committee are in accordance with current NICE  
2 guidance and will reinforce best practice. Therefore, no resource impact is expected. It was  
3 noted that there is a wide variation in the types of device used by trusts in the UK.  
4 Consequently, there may be wide variation in the cost of different devices and thought it was  
5 important this was a consideration for purchasers within trusts. The committee highlighted  
6 though it was important to specify that medical professionals should use a device that is  
7 validated for use in pregnancy as this would lead to a more accurate diagnosis and thereby  
8 improved health outcomes. If consideration is given to these things there may be a modest  
9 decrease in costs and improvement in pregnancy outcomes from these recommendations.

## 10 **References**

### 11 **Ashworth 2020**

12 Ashworth DC, Maule SP, Stewart F, Nathan HL, Shennan AH, Chappell LC. Setting and  
13 techniques for monitoring blood pressure during pregnancy. Cochrane Database of  
14 Systematic Reviews 2020, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD012739. DOI:  
15 10.1002/14651858.CD012739.pub2.

### 16 **Brown 1998**

17 Brown MA, Buddle ML, Farrell T, Davis G, Jones M. Randomised trial of management of  
18 hypertensive pregnancies by Korotkoff phase IV or phase V. *Lancet* 1998;352(9130):777-81.

### 19 **Peeling 2019**

20 Peeling L, Crawford C, Wilson H, Tucker K, MacKillop L, Churchill D, et al. Self-monitoring  
21 blood pressure in hypertensive pregnancies: the optimum-BP pilot randomised controlled  
22 trial. *BJOG* 2019;126(6):e139.

### 23 **Vousden 2019**

24 Vousden N, Lawley E, Nathan HL, Seed PT, Gidiri MF, Goudar S, et al, CRADLE Trial  
25 Collaborative Group. Effect of a novel vital sign device on maternal mortality and morbidity in  
26 low-resource settings: a pragmatic, stepped-wedge, cluster-randomised controlled  
27 trial. *Lancet Global Health* 2019;7(3):e347-56.

1 **Appendices**

2 **Appendix A – Review protocol**

3 **Review protocol for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and**  
4 **technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**

5

6 See Cochrane review: <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD012739.pub2/full>

7

8

## 1 **Appendix B – Literature search strategies**

2 **Literature search strategies for review question: what is the most effective way of**  
3 **measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated**  
4 **equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**

5 See Cochrane review:

6 <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD012739.pub2/full>

7

## 1 **Appendix C – Clinical evidence study selection**

2 **Study selection for: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure**  
3 **(including setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a**  
4 **hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**

5 See Cochrane review:

6 <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD012739.pub2/full>

7

8

## 1 **Appendix D – Clinical evidence tables**

2 **Evidence tables for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and**  
3 **technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**

4 See Cochrane review: <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD012739.pub2/full>

5

## 6 **Appendix E – Forest plots**

7 **Forest plots for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring**  
8 **blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated equipment to**  
9 **identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**

10 See Cochrane review:

11 <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD012739.pub2/full>

12

## 1 **Appendix F – GRADE tables**

2 **GRADE tables for review question: what is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and**  
3 **technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**

4 See Cochrane review: <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD012739.pub2/full>

5

## 1 **Appendix G – Economic evidence study selection**

- 2 **Economic evidence study selection for review question: What is the most**
- 3 **effective way of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique)**
- 4 **using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**
- 5 A single economic search was undertaken for all topics included in the scope of this
- 6 guideline. No economic studies were identified which were applicable to this review question.
- 7 See supplementary material 2 for details.
- 8 No economic evidence was identified which was applicable to this review question.

## 1 **Appendix H – Economic evidence tables**

- 2 **Economic evidence tables for review question: What is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including**
- 3 **setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**
- 4 No economic evidence was identified which was applicable to this review question.

## 1 **Appendix I – Economic evidence profiles**

- 2 **Economic evidence profiles for review question: What is the most effective way of measuring blood pressure (including**
- 3 **setting and technique) using validated equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**
- 4 No economic evidence was identified which was applicable to this review question.

## 1 **Appendix J – Economic analysis**

2 **Economic analysis for review question: What is the most effective way of**  
3 **measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated**  
4 **equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**

5 No economic analysis was conducted for this review question.

6

## 1 **Appendix K – Excluded studies**

2 **Excluded studies for review question: what is the most effective way of**  
3 **measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated**  
4 **equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**

5 See Cochrane review:

6 <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD012739.pub2/full>

### 7 **Economic studies**

8 A single economic search was undertaken for all topics included in the scope of this  
9 guideline. No economic studies were identified which were applicable to this review question.  
10 See supplementary material 2 for details.

11

## 1 **Appendix L – Research recommendations**

- 2 **Research recommendations for review question: what is the most effective way**
- 3 **of measuring blood pressure (including setting and technique) using validated**
- 4 **equipment to identify a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy?**
- 5 No research recommendations were made for this review question.