NICE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE

Strategies to prevent unintentional injuries among children

4th Meeting of the Programme Development Group

Thursday 30 July 2009

NICE MidCity Place 71 High Holborn London WC1V 6NA

Final Minutes

Attendees:	PDG Members	
	Caroline Bradbury-Jones (CBJ), Andrew Chick (AC), Carolyn Cripps (CC), Davina Hartley (DH), Mike Hayes (MH), Nicky Houghton (NH), Lisa Irving (LI), Julie Mytton (JM), Amanda Roberts (AR), Tanja Stocks (TS), Rob Taylor (RT), Liz Towner (LT), Heather Ward (HW) – Chair	
	NICE Team	
	Hilary Chatterton (HJC), Hugo Crombie (HC), Simon Ellis (SE), James Jagroo (JJ), Patricia Mountain (PM), Louise Millward (LM), Lesley Owen (LO), Peter Shearn (PS)	
	Review Team – PenTAG	
	Rob Anderson (RA) Ruth Garside (RG)	
	Expert witness Anthony Smythe – from DCSF (AS)	
	Co-opted members Denise Kendrick (DK)	
Apologies:	PDG Members Amy Aeron-Thomas (AAT), Shelley Mason (SM), Jenny McWhirter (JMc), Jerry Moore (JMo), Ceri Phillips (CP), Ralph Saunders (RS),Julia Verne (JV).	
Authors	Patricia Mountain, Louise Millward, Simon Ellis	
File Ref		
Version	Final version (PM, LM, SE) 230909	
Audience	PDG members, NICE team, the public (via web publication)	

1 Welcome, Introductions and Aims of the Meeting

The Chair welcomed everyone to the fourth meeting. PDG members, NICE staff and collaborators introduced themselves and the Chair conveyed apologies from members who could not attend. The Chair introduced Anthony Smythe from the Department of Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) as an expert witness and Professor Denise Kendrick as a co-opted member.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the day:

- To discuss the findings of Review 4: Strategic and regulatory frameworks for guiding, enforcing or promoting activities to prevent unintentional injury in children and young people in the home environment
- To hear expert testimony from the Department for Children, Schools and Families about the statistics and policies related to unintentional injury in children in the home
- To develop draft recommendations based on Review 4
- To hear feedback from the PHIAC meeting about development of the PUIC Road Intervention guidance

2 Declarations of Interests

The Chair explained that verbal declarations of interest are a standing item on every agenda and a matter of public record and asked the PDG for any additional declarations of interest to their previous written declarations, and any declarations that might be specific to the topics for discussion today.

The potential conflicts of interest declared today and previously were:

PDG members

- Heather Ward: Non personal pecuniary interest author of two papers in the review presented at PDG 1; Pecuniary interest – consultant in injury field; academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area
- Liz Towner: Non personal pecuniary interest author of three papers in the review presented at PDG 1; academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area
- Carolyn Cripps: Personal pecuniary interest consultant trainer
- Jenny McWhirter*: Non personal pecuniary interest RoSPA may undertake future work as a result of any recommendations;
 Pecuniary interest – freelance consultant. Also grant from DCSF to undertake work on practical safety education for children
- Amanda Roberts: Personal pecuniary interest paid work in accident prevention field
- Lisa Irving: Personal pecuniary interest paid work in accident prevention field
- Andrew Chick: Non personal pecuniary interest works for the fire service
- Jerry Moore*: Non personal pecuniary interest works for the police service and is seconded to DfT
- Davina Hartley: Non personal pecuniary interest member of a

	 Children Safeguarding board in Bradford Julia Verne*: Non personal pecuniary interest – department may in future receive research grants related to this area Ceri Phillips*: Non personal pecuniary interest – academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area Julie Mytton: Personal pecuniary interest – for potential research; also post part funded by NHS. Mike Hayes: Non personal pecuniary interest – CAPT may undertake future work as a result of any recommendations Ralph Saunders*: Non personal pecuniary interest – post in NHS Amy Aeron-Thomas*: Non personal pecuniary interest – community member but organisation she is a member of has received government funding Caroline Bradbury-Jones: Non personal pecuniary interest – academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area Tanja Stocks: Non personal pecuniary interest – works for NHS Nicky Houghton: Non personal non pecuniary interest – commissioner in a PCT Rob Taylor: none to declare Shelley Mason*: none to declare 	
	 Review team Rob Anderson: Non personal pecuniary interest – academic work in this area may lead to future research contracts 	
	Expert witnessAnthony Smythe: none to declare	
	 Co-opted members Denise Kendrick: Personal non pecuniary interest – conclusions drawn from research projects in relation to topic area. 	
	The Chair ruled that none of these interests prevented anyone from taking full part in the meeting.	
3	Minutes of last meeting	
	The PDG were asked to review the minutes from PDG 3. These were approved. The Chair requested a minor amendment to the minutes of PDG 2 to clarify that the Department of Transport were to submit figures of young passengers injured by young drivers. These figures have now been received. NICE team to circulate to PDG by email.	NICE team
	The Chair asked the PDG to send their biography to Melinda if they had not already done so.	PDG
4	Review 4: Strategic and regulatory frameworks for guiding, enforcing or promoting activities to prevent unintentional injury in children and young people in the home environment — Presentation of key findings	

Final minutes of PDG 4 meeting on 30th July 2009 – PDG and Chair approved 18th September 2009

	Ruth Garside from PenTAG gave a presentation focusing on the key findings.	
5	Review 4: Questions of clarification Before the questions to PenTAG, the Chair asked James Jagroo from NICE to give the PDG an update on the review work that is being done by NICE on a related piece of intervention guidance <i>Preventing unintentional injuries among under 15s in the home.</i> A paper containing extracted evidence statements was tabled.	
	The Chair thanked James from NICE and the review team from PenTAG, and asked for questions and points of clarification.	
	The PDG requested clarification on the definition of portable equipment. The PDG discussed the potential for using the evidence from the intervention review for the programme guidance. Simon Ellis said that at the September meeting this will be discussed.	
6	Preventing unintentional injuries among under 15s	
	Anthony Smythe, Policy Advisor from the Child Safety Unit at DCSF gave a presentation which outlined current and forthcoming relevant national strategies, policies and initiatives.	
7	Inequities in child injuries	
	Professor Denise Kendrick, from University of Nottingham gave a presentation on Inequities and inequalities in child injuries.	
8	Questions and whole group discussion	
	The Chair thanked Anthony and Denise and invited questions from the PDG. A discussion followed which referred to the following areas:	
	 the relative merits of targeting interventions rather than a universal approach to prevent unintentional injury the benefits of receiving a safety message from a 'trusted' source some disadvantaged groups having a higher risk of injury compared to other groups and the role of policy making. 	
10	Discussion of evidence	
	The committee split into three small groups, each to discuss the evidence statements that had been generated by the review and the content of the speaker sessions. Each group was led by a member of the PDG.	
11	Review 4: Developing recommendations	
	The committee split into the same three groups to consider how the	

	evidence might be used to formulate some provisional recommendations. The group were also given a copy of the two home-related draft recommendations developed from the second PDG meeting. The Chair asked that each group produce at least one draft recommendation to feed back to the whole group and outline one or more other ideas for recommendations. The PDG was advised to focus on specific actions for particular groups, such as practitioners,	
	professionals or policy makers. Again, each group was led by a member of the PDG.	
12	Review 4: Plenary report back from groups	
	A PDG member from each group reported back to the whole PDG: i) details of their discussion of the evidence; and ii) details of their development of provisional recommendations. A plenary discussion followed.	
	The Chair thanked the groups for their work and asked the NICE team to consolidate these draft recommendations for circulation to the PDG for comments.	NICE team
13	PUIC Road Intervention guidance: feedback from the PHIAC meeting	
	The Chair explained that she, and two other PDG members, (AR, AAT) had attended the NICE Public Health Intervention Advisory Committee (PHIAC) meeting on <i>Preventing unintentional road injuries among under 15s: road design</i> on 17th July. The Chair informed the PDG that there will be representation from the PDG at the next PHIAC meeting in September which will discuss the intervention work on <i>Preventing unintentional injuries among under 15s in the home.</i>	
14	Summary of the day, agreed action and next steps	
	The Chair thanked the PDG for their active participation throughout the day and thanked Denise and Anthony for their useful contributions.	
	The next meeting is to be held Friday 18 th September 2009 at the NICE offices, Mid City Place, 71 High Holborn, London WC1V 6NA.	
15	Any Other Business	
	 PDG biographies – Members were requested to send information to Melinda Kay at NICE. melinda.kay@nice.org.uk 	PDG members
Close	The Chair thanked all attendees and closed the meeting at 4.15pm.	