

NICE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE

Preventing and Reducing Domestic Violence

5th Meeting of the Programme Development Group

Thursday 6th December 2012

NICE Offices

Final Minutes

Attendees:	<p><i>PDG Members</i> Gene Feder (Chair), Zlakha Ahmed, Diana Barran, Bushara Bostan, Adrian Boyle, Lori Busch, Linda Davies, Chris Green, Rita Hirani, Davina James-Hanman, Marianne Hester, Jane Lewis, Maureen Noble, Amanda Robinson, Nicky Stanley</p> <p><i>NICE Team</i> Antony Morgan, Chris Carmona, Charlotte Haynes, Andrew Hoy, Kim Jeong, Victoria Axe,</p> <p><i>SCIE Team</i> Jen Francis</p> <p><i>Review Team</i> Lorraine Greaves via TC (BCCEWH), Nancy Poole via TC, (BCCEWH), Jacque Mallender (Matrix), Obina Onwude (Matrix), Meena Venkatachalam (Matrix)</p> <p><i>Experts</i> Thangam Debbonaire (Respect), Rita Hirani (Broken Rainbow), Hannana Siddiqui (Southall Black Sisters)</p> <p><i>Observers</i> Obinna Ogbonna Anuruegbe (Glasgow Caledonian University London), Charles Osita Okolli (Glasgow Caledonian University London)</p>
Apologies:	<p><i>PDG Members</i> Rahila Ameen, Louise Howard, Gillian Mezey, Pamela Richardson, David Sloan, Karen Williams</p> <p><i>NICE Team</i> Una Canning</p> <p><i>Independent Co-optee for Health Economics</i> Sarah Byford (Kings College)</p>
Author	Victoria Axe
File Ref	Final minutes of PDG 5 meeting 6 th December 2012
Version	Final
Audience	PDG members, NICE team, the public (via web publication)

Item		Action
<p>1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting</p>	<p>The Chair welcomed the PDG to the fifth meeting on preventing and reducing domestic violence. Due to adverse weather conditions many members of the PDG were delayed so the meeting was not quorate. As no recommendation decisions would be made in the morning session the meeting was able to continue and Nicky Stanley took on the position of Chair in Gene Feder's absence.</p> <p>The Chair introduced the observers, Obinna Ogbonna Anuruegbe and Charles Osita Okolli to the PDG.</p> <p>The Chair informed the PDG that apologies had been received from Rahila Ameen, Louise Howard, Gillian Mezey, Pamela Richardson, David Sloan and Karen Williams.</p> <p>The Chair informed the group of the objectives of the day. These included hearing a draft review relating to the interventions or approaches that are effective in helping those working in health and social care to respond to domestic violence prepared by the British Columbia Centre for Women's Excellence (BCCEWH). The group would continue revising recommendations and draft recommendations relating to the review. There would also be presentation on the NICE Equality Scheme; expert testimony from Respect, Southall Black Sisters and Broken Rainbow and a presentation from Matrix and the economics sub group on the economic appraisal work. Finally there would be an update on the conceptual framework.</p>	
<p>2. Declarations of Interests</p>	<p>The Chair asked the PDG to declare their conflicts of interest and to continue to keep these updated throughout the guidance development.</p> <p>Maureen Noble had previously declared that she provides independent services to Community Safety Partnerships as a Domestic Homicide Review Author and Chair. She is currently commissioned by Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council as Independent Author of a Domestic Homicide Review. She receives an hourly rate payment for this work. Her membership of the NICE PDG could be deemed to enhance her reputation as an author/chair and increase the likelihood of commissioners contracting with her to provide this service.</p> <p>There were no further conflicts of interest.</p>	

<p>3. Notes of the last meeting</p>	<p>The minutes of the last meeting were reviewed, the actions were discussed and the minutes were agreed to be an accurate record.</p> <p>The Chair asked for further volunteers to work with the NICE team on the broader narrative (considerations section of the guidance). Maureen Noble volunteered to do this.</p> <p>Action: AM to contact Maureen Noble to discuss her contribution to developing the considerations section</p>	<p>AM</p>
<p>4. Presentation of Evidence – Responding to DV – perpetrators, couples and elder abuse</p>	<p>BCCEWH gave a presentation entitled Effectiveness Review of Interventions for Domestic Violence for Abusers, Elders & Couples.</p> <p>Gene Feder and Marianne Hester arrived at 10.20am.</p> <p>Amanda Robinson and Diana Barran arrived at 10.30am.</p> <p>Lori Busch arrived at 10.45am.</p>	
<p>5. Discussion</p>	<p>The PDG were invited to ask BCCEWH questions in regards to their review.</p> <p>BCCEWH will look at the primary studies again to help answer some of the issues raised.</p> <p>The Matrix team arrived at 11am.</p> <p>BCCEWH asked the committee for to email through the grey literature that currently influences their thinking.</p> <p>Action: PDG to email the NICE Team to say what three items (documents, reports, studies) have influenced their thinking around violence prevention and partnership work.</p> <p>Action: BCCEWH to organise meetings to discuss this grey literature if needed.</p>	<p>PDG</p> <p>BCCEWH</p>
<p>6. Health economics update</p>	<p>Thangam Debonnaire and Hannana Siddiqui arrived at 11.30am.</p> <p>Jacque Mallender introduced Obina Onwude to the group. Matrix gave a presentation entitled Economic analysis of domestic violence prevention and reduction.</p>	
<p>7. Discussion</p>	<p>As Matrix gave their presentation the PDG asked questions.</p>	

	<p>Action: NICE team to email out a revised version of the Matrix presentation to the PDG</p> <p>The lack of evidence was discussed among the group.</p> <p>Action: Matrix to do an update on the search of economic evidence reviews prior to the submission of their draft report to NICE.</p> <p>Action: Matrix to review papers identified from an updated search.</p> <p>Action: PDG to urgently send through any economic papers they feel should be included in the review and Matrix to consider these.</p> <p>Action: NICE team to re-circulate the criteria for inclusion and exclusion to the PDG.</p> <p>Action: NICE team to ask the costing department at NICE to give a presentation at the next meeting</p> <p>Matrix left the meeting at 12.20pm.</p>	<p>NICE Team</p> <p>Matrix</p> <p>Matrix</p> <p>PDG/Matrix</p> <p>NICE Team</p> <p>NICE Team</p>
<p>8. NICE Equalities Scheme</p>	<p>Andrew Hoy (AH) gave a presentation on the equality impact assessment/equality analysis process.</p>	
<p>9. Expert Testimony 1: Men as perpetrators and victims</p>	<p>Thangam Debonnaire (TD) had no conflicts of interest to declare. TD was asked to focus on the issues facing male victims of domestic violence and what an effective domestic violence perpetrator programme should consist of. TD gave a presentation entitled NICE PDG hearings on health responses to domestic violence.</p> <p>The Chair thanked TD for her presentation and the PDG were invited to ask her questions.</p>	
<p>10. Expert Testimony 2: Honour Based Violence and Forced Marriage</p>	<p>Hannana Siddiqui (HS) declared that she is expressing an opinion based on 25 years of work at Southall Black Sisters, established in 1979, which works on domestic violence/harmful practices and health and mental health issues. HS gave a presentation to the PDG focusing on the details of the service Southall Black Sisters provides to black and minority ethnic group women; and the specific issues associated with addressing the needs of black and minority ethnic group women.</p> <p>The Chair thanked HS for her presentation and the PDG were invited to ask her questions.</p>	
<p>11. Expert</p>	<p>Rita Hirani (RH) gave a presentation entitled Broken</p>	

<p>Testimony 3: Lesbian, gay, bisexual and trans communities issues (LGBT)</p>	<p>Rainbow (UK) National LGBT Domestic Violence Service.</p> <p>RH was asked to focus on the following questions with respect to lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender people: what types of intervention or approach are effective in preventing domestic violence from happening, what types of intervention or approach are effective in helping all those working in health and social care to safely identify and intervene to prevent domestic violence and what types of intervention or approach are effective in helping all those working in health and social care respond to domestic violence.</p> <p>The Chair thanked RH for her presentation however due to time restrictions the PDG were not invited to ask questions.</p>	
<p>12. Agreeing areas for recommendations – plenary discussion perpetrators, elder abuse</p>	<p>The Chair informed the group that they would deviate from the original agenda due to time restrictions.</p> <p>Andrew Hoy (AH) briefly discussed his paper on content of selected UK Domestic Violence Guidelines which were grouped by theme.</p> <p>Action: PDG to send AH any further guidelines they feel should be considered for inclusion in the document.</p>	<p>PDG</p>
<p>13. Update on Conceptual Framework</p>	<p>Charlotte Haynes (CH) gave a presentation on the updated conceptual framework. CH informed the group of the changes that she had made.</p> <p>Action: NICE team to add prison health care and sexual health/reproductive services to the framework.</p> <p>The area of education was also flagged as an issue that might need revisiting.</p>	<p>NICE Team</p>
<p>14. Revising draft recommendations</p>	<p>Revising the draft recommendations from PDG 4 was deferred to a future meeting due to time restrictions.</p> <p>The Chair asked the PDG instead to discuss the economic models further. The PDG were asked individually which intervention they would choose to create an economic model for.</p> <p>The PDG noted a number of areas including safe housing; training and care pathways; identification in complex situations; short term groups for abusers; advice, advocacy and support services (the SPS model); support for children; treatment; and education.</p>	

	<p>Gene noted that recommendations would be based on a totality of evidence i.e. effectiveness and cost effectiveness evidence, systematic reviews, grey literature, expert testimony and the input of PDGs.</p> <p>From the evidence review effective and costly interventions would be carefully selected for economic modelling to examine cost-effectiveness.</p>	
<p>15. Drafting recommendations plenary feedback</p>	<p>The PDG began to discuss the evidence they had heard from Q3b – perpetrators, couples and elder abuse.</p> <p>Action: The review team should make explicit the information retrieved from grey literature and include a summary of this in their review. NICE team to feedback</p> <p>The PDG discussed areas for recommendations in plenary.</p> <p>Action: The NICE Team to ask BCCEWH if they can add in data about the intensity of perpetrator groups as well as the data about duration.</p> <p>Diana Barran left the meeting at 4pm.</p>	<p>BCCEWH/ NICE Team</p> <p>NICE Team/ BCCEWH</p>
<p>16. Next steps and running issues</p>	<p>Action: The NICE Team to draft some recommendations that will be emailed to the PDG on the 20th December</p> <p>AM informed the group that the next meeting will take place in the Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE).</p>	<p>NICE Team</p>
<p>17. Any other business</p>	<p>There was no other business.</p>	
<p>18. Close</p>	<p>The meeting closed at 4.10pm.</p>	