

NICE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE

Preventing and Reducing Domestic Violence

8th Meeting of the Programme Development Group

Wednesday 17th April 2013

The Commonwealth Club, London

Final Minutes

Attendees:	<p><i>PDG Members</i> Gene Feder (Chair), Zlakha Ahmed, Rahila Ameen, Diana Barran, Adrian Boyle, Lori Busch, Linda Davies, Marianne Hester, Davina James-Hanman, Louise Howard, Jane Lewis, Gillian Mezey, Maureen Noble, Federico Podeschi, Pamela Richardson, Amanda Robinson, David Sloan, Nicky Stanley</p> <p><i>NICE Team</i> Antony Morgan, Chris Carmona, Andrew Hoy, Kim Jeong, Una Canning, Victoria Axe</p> <p><i>SCIE Team</i> Jen Francis</p> <p><i>Review Team</i> Lorraine Greaves (BCCEWH), Nancy Poole, (BCCEWH)</p> <p><i>Experts</i> Susan Bewley (Kings College London), Angela Everson (Evolve), David Gadd (University of Manchester), Clare Jones (Evolve), Catherine Stephens (National Union of Sex Workers), Hannah Wharf (Against Violence and Abuse)</p> <p><i>Observers</i> Anna Sarkadi (Uppsala University, Sweden), Megan Hall (Medical Student, University of KCL)</p>
Apologies:	<p><i>PDG Members</i> Chris Green</p> <p><i>NICE Members</i> Charlotte Haynes</p> <p><i>Independent Co-optee for Health Economics</i> Sarah Byford (Kings College)</p>
Author	Victoria Axe
File Ref	Final minutes of PDG 8 and 9 meeting 17 th /18 th April 2013
Version	Final
Audience	PDG members, NICE team, the public (via web publication)

Item		Action
<p>1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting</p>	<p>The Chair welcomed the PDG to the eighth meeting on preventing and reducing domestic violence.</p> <p>The Chair informed the PDG that apologies had been received from Sarah Byford, Chris Green and Charlotte Haynes and that sadly Rita Hirani, Bushara Boston and Karen Williams had resigned from the group. The Chair formally thanked them for their work on the guidance.</p> <p>The Chair introduced the observer Megan Hall to the group and noted that another observer, Anna Sarkadi, would be joining later.</p> <p>The Chair informed the group of the objectives of the day. These included: considering expert testimony in the areas of sexual health; prevention; offenders and sexual assault referral centres; drafting recommendations relating to expert witness topics; and revising recommendations based on lead PDG member presentations.</p>	
<p>2. Declarations of Interests</p>	<p>The Chair asked the PDG to declare their conflicts of interest and to continue to keep these updated throughout the guidance development.</p> <p>Davina James-Hanman declared the following interests: she has received a DH Innovation and Excellence Fund grant of circa £300K to continue the work of the Stella Project Mental Health Initiative. The money is for a three year project with a full time Coordinator who would undertake intensive work with two mental health trusts. She has also received a second funding bid to the DH Innovation and Excellence Fund was also successful. This was submitted by Next Link in partnership with AVA and NIA. The money will go towards setting up a social enterprise model for the delivery of the IRIS project, a GP based domestic violence training and referral programme. AVA will provide support to the two IRIS national implementation leads to develop and test a model based on sustainable income generation, which if successful, would be managed and taken forward by AVA at the end of year 3.</p> <p>Nicky Stanley declared that she has a new contract to review domestic violence services and services of sexual violence in Wales.</p> <p>There were no further conflicts of interest.</p>	

<p>3. Notes of the last meeting</p>	<p>The minutes of the last meeting were reviewed, the actions were discussed and, subject to one amendment, the minutes were agreed to be an accurate record.</p> <p>Action: Victoria Axe to amend Southwark Black Sisters to Southall Black Sisters.</p> <p>Action: The NICE Team to send the Matrix presentation to BCCEWH.</p> <p>There was a comment around the conceptual framework, and it was noted that BAMER should now be used instead of BAME.</p> <p>Action: The NICE team to use the term BAMER in future documents.</p> <p>It was noted that the group would not hear any expert testimony around the area of dentistry, however if it was felt to be needed at the end of both meetings, this could be arranged.</p> <p>AM noted that if there are still areas the group need to have further discussions around then the group should raise this at the end of the second meeting.</p>	<p>VA</p> <p>NICE Team</p> <p>NICE Team</p>
<p>4. Expert Testimony – Sexual Health</p>	<p>The Chair introduced Susan Bewley (SB) to the group.</p> <p>SB declared the following interests: she has received a fee (£900) for expert advisory work from Marie Stopes International. She may receive royalties from a textbook on domestic and sexual violence (as yet unpublished). She is a trustee of the Sophia Forum (UK arm of global coalition on women and AIDS) that campaigns about human rights, and the links between HIV and gender based violence. She has received a number of charitable grants to study violence in pregnancy and has published on the topic.</p> <p>SB had been asked to answer the following questions in regard to sexual health: what role can, and do, sexual health services play in preventing and raising awareness of domestic violence amongst teenagers; what are the challenges that face sexual health services in identifying and responding to domestic violence in teenagers. She had also been asked to provide details of the policies, strategies, and protocols (including information sharing and partnership working) for identifying and responding to domestic violence in teenagers in sexual health services.</p>	

<p>5. Discussion</p>	<p>Gillian Mezey arrived at 10.30am.</p> <p>The Chair invited the PDG to ask SB questions in regard to her presentation and a number of comments were made.</p> <p>SB and Megan Hall left the meeting at 10.45am.</p>	
<p>6. Expert Testimony – Prevention – Mass Media</p>	<p>The Chair introduced David Gadd (DG) to the group.</p> <p>DG had no conflicts of interest to declare.</p> <p>DG has been asked to answer the following questions in regard to mass media: what types of intervention or approach are effective and cost effective in preventing domestic violence from ever happening in the first place (i.e. primary prevention); what role can local government, social services, the NHS, community and voluntary sector play in primary prevention campaigns; and what is the evidence of effectiveness of such campaigns at preventing domestic violence? He was also asked to provide information on media campaigns to prevent domestic violence: their content, processes, outcomes</p>	
<p>7. Expert Testimony – Prevention - Schools</p>	<p>The Chair introduced Hannah Wharf (HW) to the group.</p> <p>HW declared that she is an independent consultant working directly on the prevention of violence against women and girls and the role of education to stop the violence. Therefore she does have a financial interest in prevention programmes. She has never received any funding from NICE or the health service. She has also been part of a research team looking at a whole-school approach to end violence against women and girls in secondary school education. Some of her testimony will be from the research findings.</p> <p>HW had been asked to answer the following questions in regard to prevention in schools: what types of intervention or approach are effective and cost effective in preventing domestic violence from ever happening in the first place (i.e. primary prevention) and what role does/can local government, social services, the NHS, community and voluntary sector play in school-based primary prevention domestic violence interventions/programmes? She was also asked to provide information on school interventions/programmes that aim to prevent domestic violence: their content, processes, short-term and long-term outcomes (knowledge, awareness and behaviour).</p>	

<p>8. Discussion</p>	<p>Anna Sarkadi, Angela Everson and Clare Jones arrived at 11.30am.</p> <p>The Chair invited the PDG to ask the two previous presenters questions and a number of comments were made.</p> <p>Louise Howard left the meeting at 11.45am.</p> <p>Diana Barran and Catherine Stephens arrived at 12.05pm.</p>	
<p>9. Expert Testimony - Offenders</p>	<p>The Chair introduced Angela Everson (AE) and Clare Jones (CJ) to the group.</p> <p>AE and CJ had no interests to declare.</p> <p>AE and CJ had been asked to answer the following questions in regard to offenders: what are the needs of offenders who are victims of domestic violence; how are these identified and addressed by prisoner healthcare and offender health teams and what services do prisoner healthcare and offender health teams provide to domestic violence perpetrators? They were also asked to provide details of the policies, strategies, and protocols (including information sharing and partnership working) for identifying and responding to domestic violence victims and perpetrators.</p>	
<p>10. Expert Testimony - Domestic Violence services and sex workers</p>	<p>The Chair introduced Catherine Stephens (CS) to the group.</p> <p>CS had no interests to declare.</p> <p>CS had been asked to answer the following question in regard to domestic violence services and sex workers: what are the challenges that face sexual assault referral centres in identifying and responding to domestic violence? She had also been asked to give details of the service sexual assault referral centres provide and the policies, strategies, and protocols (including information sharing and partnership working) for identifying and responding to domestic violence.</p>	
<p>11. Discussion</p>	<p>The Chair invited the PDG to ask the two previous presenters questions and a number of comments were made.</p> <p>It was noted that the group had not heard expert testimony around male offenders and their risk of experiencing and perpetrating abuse.</p> <p>The many barriers for sex workers and offenders</p>	

	<p>experiencing domestic violence were also discussed.</p> <p>Action: Angela Everson to send through information in regard to the power to change programme and the freedom programme.</p> <p>The Chair thanked all of the presenters for their time and expertise.</p> <p>All the remaining experts left the meeting at 1.30pm.</p> <p>Sue Jelley (SJ) arrived at 1.30pm.</p>	<p>Angela Everson</p>
<p>12. The role of editing</p>	<p>SJ gave a presentation highlighting the role of editing within NICE.</p> <p>SJ left the meeting at 1.50pm.</p>	
<p>13. Drafting recommendations arising from Expert Testimony</p>	<p>The Chair invited the PDG to begin drafting recommendations and considerations in regard to the expert testimony they had heard.</p> <p>Action: The NICE team to write up the draft recommendation and circulate them to the PDG</p>	<p>NICE Team</p>
<p>14. Revising recommendations - Strategic</p>	<p>The PDG members had previously been split into small groups to draft specific recommendations.</p> <p>The first group presented their thoughts around strategic recommendations and the PDG commented on these. These included partnership working, access to services and care pathways.</p> <p>Action: The NICE Team to split up the components of the recommendations between commissioning and provision.</p> <p>The PDG discussed integrating an equity framework and the definition of trauma informed was discussed.</p>	<p>NICE Team</p>
<p>15. Revising recommendations – Children</p>	<p>The second group presented their thoughts around recommendations on children and young people and the PDG commented on these.</p> <p>Diana Barran left the meeting at 4pm.</p>	
<p>16. Revising recommendations - equality</p>	<p>The third group presented their thoughts around recommendations on equality and the PDG commented on these.</p> <p>The language associated with equality was discussed and the PDG noted the importance of the glossary page and prefix in regard to equality terms within this guidance.</p>	

	Action: Federico Podeschi to forward the Scottish Best practice Guide to Interpretation to the NICE Team.	FP
17. Round up	<p>The Chair thanked the group for their work during the day.</p> <p>The Chair informed the group that NICE had received a coroner's report which they had been asked to consider. The PDG would not use this as testimony in regard to the recommendations, however it was noted that the PDG would receive a redacted copy for reading over the evening and they were asked to return it at the next meeting. The high confidentiality of this document was reiterated.</p>	
16. Close	The meeting closed at 4.35pm.	

NICE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE

Preventing and Reducing Domestic Violence

9th Meeting of the Programme Development Group

Thursday 18th April 2013

NICE Offices

Final Minutes

Attendees:	<p><i>PDG Members</i> Gene Feder (Chair), Zlakha Ahmed, Rahila Ameen, Diana Barran, Adrian Boyle, Lori Busch, Linda Davies, Davina James-Hanman, Louise Howard, Jane Lewis, Gillian Mezey, Pamela Richardson, Amanda Robinson, David Sloan, Nicky Stanley</p> <p><i>NICE Team</i> Antony Morgan, Chris Carmona, Andrew Hoy, Kim Jeong, Una Canning, Victoria Axe</p> <p><i>Review Team</i> Lorraine Greaves (BCCEWH), Nancy Poole (BCCEWH), Jacque Mallender (Matrix), Meena Venkatachalam (Matrix), Tracey Jhita (Matrix)</p> <p><i>Independent Co-optee for Health Economics</i> Sarah Byford (Kings College)</p>
Apologies:	<p><i>PDG Members</i> Chris Green, Marianne Hester, Maureen Noble</p> <p><i>SCIE Team</i> Jen Francis</p> <p><i>NICE Team</i> Charlotte Haynes</p>

Author	Victoria Axe
File Ref	Final minutes of PDG 8 and 9 meeting 17 th /18 th April 2013
Version	Final
Audience	PDG members, NICE team, the public (via web publication)

Item		Action
<p>1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting</p>	<p>The Chair welcomed the PDG to the ninth meeting on preventing and reducing domestic violence.</p> <p>The Chair informed the PDG that apologies had been received from Chris Green, Charlotte Haynes, Jen Francis, Marianne Hester and Maureen Noble.</p> <p>The Chair informed the group of the objectives of the day. These included: discussing the draft modelling report from Matrix on the first phase of economic modelling work and revising recommendations based on lead PDG member presentations.</p>	
<p>2. Declarations of Interests</p>	<p>The Chair asked the PDG to again declare their conflicts of interest and to continue to keep these updated throughout the guidance development.</p>	
<p>3. Economic modelling report</p>	<p>Meena Venkatachalam (MV) gave a presentation entitled Economic analysis of domestic violence prevention and reduction.</p> <p>Nicky Stanley and Diana Barran arrived at 10am.</p>	
<p>4. Discussion</p>	<p>The Chair invited the PDG to ask MV questions in regard to the presentation.</p> <p>It was noted that homicide has not been included in the model and that extending the horizon past 3 months would incorporate further assumptions. It was also noted that age cannot be incorporated into the model.</p> <p>Action: Matrix to make a number of areas clearer, for example to explain that the data from 2004 is the same as at present; and to explain the level of severity that the IDVA would become involved with. Matrix to also show assumptions on self resolution for engaged and non engaged groups.</p> <p>The PDG agreed to add in a commentary around the model explaining: the issues with the data; the cost efficiencies after 3 months; the length of the intervention from the IDVAs; that the model showed the minimum costs; and self resolution and relapse.</p> <p>There was a further discussion around the involvement of post traumatic stress disorder.</p> <p>Sarah Byford gave a short view on the economics</p>	<p>Matrix</p>

	<p>model.</p> <p>The Chair noted that the PDG needed to begin thinking about drafting the commentary.</p> <p>The PDG asked if the guidance and model could avoid the term “counselling”.</p> <p>Action: Matrix to remove the term counselling from their model.</p> <p>Sarah Byford and the Matrix team left the meeting at 11.30am.</p>	Matrix
5. Coroner Report	<p>The PDG discussed the coroner’s report and made comments around how this case might affect the guidance.</p>	
6. Revising recommendations - Training	<p>The fourth group presented their thoughts around recommendations on training process and content and the PDG commented on these.</p> <p>Action: The NICE team to amend the “third sector” to “voluntary sector” in the guidance.</p> <p>Action: The NICE team to redraft all recommendations of the guidance and return these to the specific groups for further amendments.</p> <p>Action: The NICE team to email the fourth group a list of training needs so they can incorporate it into their recommendations.</p>	<p>NICE Team</p> <p>NICE Team</p> <p>NICE Team</p>
7. Revising recommendations - Counselling	<p>The fifth group presented their thoughts around recommendations on mental health interventions and the PDG commented on these.</p> <p>Action: The NICE team to amend any abbreviations, i.e. IPV should be written as Intimate Partner Violence.</p> <p>The PDG discussed mental health interventions for perpetrators as well as victims.</p> <p>Rahila Ameen arrived at 12.30pm.</p>	NICE Team
8. Revising recommendations – specific services	<p>The sixth group presented their thoughts around recommendations on specific services, including: advocacy; skills building; IDVAs and perpetrator programmes. The PDG commented on these.</p> <p>Action: The NICE team to fully define what advocacy support means.</p>	NICE Team

	Action: The NICE team to write a consideration which points out the lack of evidence in regard to RESPECT principles around perpetrator programmes.	NICE Team
9. Revising recommendations – information sharing/ routine enquiry	The seventh group presented their thoughts around recommendations on information sharing and routine and targeted enquiry. The PDG commented on these. Action: The NICE team to merge the routine and targeted enquiry recommendations.	NICE Team
10. Revising recommendations – service principles	The eighth group presented their thoughts around recommendations on service principles including: creating an environment for disclosure; organisational structures and prioritising appropriate levels of support. The PDG commented on these.	
11. Plenary round up	The Chair asked the PDG what evidence they felt was currently missing in order to continue to draft recommendations. Action: The fourth group to draft a recommendation around sustainability and supervision. It was noted that there were no recommendations around enquiries, reviews, lessons learned, honour based violence, military health and the “by stander” culture.	PDG
12. Planning the May meeting	The Chair asked if the PDG would like any further experts to attend the May meeting. It was asked if the PDG could have a slot at the beginning of the meeting to feedback any further ideas. Action: The Chair and the NICE Team to ask Emma XXX and Simon Wesley to send through the necessary material XXX.	The Chair and NICE Team
13. Next Steps	Antony Morgan (AM) informed the group that the draft recommendations would be edited before the meeting in May. The PDG returned all of the copies of the coroner’s report to the NICE team.	
14. Any other business	There was no other business.	
15. Close	The meeting closed at 4.05pm.	