NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

QUALITY STANDARDS PROGRAMME

Quality standard topic: Acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding **Output:** Equality analysis form – Topic Expert Group one

Introduction

As outlined in the <u>Quality Standards Programme interim process guide</u> (available from <u>www.nice.org.uk</u>), NICE has a duty to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations between people from different groups. The purpose of this form is to document the consideration of equality issues in each stage of the development process before reaching the final output that will be approved by the NICE Guidance Executive. This equality analysis is designed to support compliance with NICE's obligations under the Equality Act 2010 and Human Rights Act 1998.

Table 1 lists the equality characteristics and other equality factors NICE needs to consider, i.e. not just population groups sharing the 'protected characteristics' defined in the Equality Act but also those affected by health inequalities associated with socioeconomic factors or other forms of disadvantage. The table does not attempt to provide further interpretation of the protected characteristics. This is because it is likely to be simpler, and more efficient, to use the evidence underpinning the quality standard to define population groups within the broad protected characteristic categories rather than to start with possibly unsuitable checklists created for other purposes, such as social surveys or HR monitoring tools.

The form should be used to:

- confirm that equality issues have been considered and identify any relevant to the topic
- ensure that the quality standards outputs do not discriminate against any of the equality groups
- highlight planned action relevant to equality
- highlight areas where quality standards may advance equality of opportunity.

This form is completed by the NICE quality standards internal team at each stage within the development process:

• Topic Expert Group meeting one - Scoping

- Topic Expert Group meeting two creation of draft quality standard
- Topic Expert Group meeting three creation of final quality standard.

Table 1

Protected characteristics
Age
Disability
Gender reassignment
Pregnancy and maternity
Race
Religion or belief
Sex
Sexual orientation
Other characteristics
Socio-economic status
Depending on policy or other context, this may cover factors such as social exclusion and deprivation associated with geographical areas or inequalities or variations associated with other geographical distinctions (e.g. the North/South divide, urban versus rural).
Other categories
Other groups in the population experience poor health because of circumstances often affected by, but going beyond, sharing a protected characteristic or socioeconomic status. Whether such groups are identifiable depends on the guidance topic and the evidence. The following are examples of groups covered in NICE guidance:
Refugees and asylum seekers
Migrant workers
Looked after children
Homeless people.

Quality standards equality analysis

Stage: Topic Expert Group one

Topic: Acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding

- 1. Have any equality issues impacting upon equality groups been identified during this stage of the development process?
 - Please state briefly any relevant equality issues identified and the plans to tackle them during development.

People with alcohol dependence may be susceptible to acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding, therefore the published quality standard on alcohol dependence and harmful alcohol use will be cross-referred to.

- 2. Have relevant bodies and stakeholders been consulted, including those with a specific interest in equalities?
 - Have comments highlighting potential for discrimination or advancing equality been considered?

To gain multiple perspectives from all stages of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding, representation within the Topic Expert Group was sought from a variety of audiences including patient, gastroenterologist, intensivist, general practitioner, hepatologist, surgeon, interventional radiologist, pharmacist, clinical nurse specialist in hepatology, and acute physician.

- 3. Have any population groups, treatments or settings been excluded from coverage by the quality standard at this stage in the process? Are these exclusions legal and justified?
 - Are the reasons for justifying any exclusion legitimate?

The quality standard will not cover children and young people (younger than 16) or people with chronic upper gastrointestinal bleeding. These exclusions are justified and legitimate, as this quality standard is focused on acute not chronic bleeding, and incidence of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding rises sharply with age.

- 4. If applicable, do any of the quality statements make it impossible or unreasonably difficult in practice for a specific group to access a service or element of a service?
 - Does access to a service or element of a service depend on membership of a specific group?
 - Does a service or element of the service discriminate unlawfully against a group?
 - Do people with disabilities find it impossible or unreasonably difficult to receive a service or element of a service?

The statements do not prevent any specific groups from accessing services (including tests and other interventions which are part of services).

5. If applicable, does the quality standard advance equality?

• Please state if the quality standard, including statements, measures and indicators, as described will advance equality of opportunity, for example by making access more likely for certain groups, by tailoring the service to certain groups, or by making reasonable adjustments for people with disabilities?

A positive impact is expected. We believe this quality standard will promote equality.