NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

Technology Appraisal guidance no. 100; Capecitabine and oxaliplatin in the adjuvant treatment of stage III (Dukes' C) colon cancer

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Manufacturers/sponsorsG• Actavis UK (oxaliplatin)•• Hospira UK (oxaliplatin)•• Medac UK (oxaliplatin)•• Mylan UK (oxaliplatin)•• Roche Products (capecitabine)•• Sanofi Aventis (oxaliplatin)•	General Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary
 Teva UK (oxaliplatin) Winthrop (oxaliplatin) Wockhardt (oxaliplatin) Patient/carer groups Afiya Trust Beating Bowel Cancer Black Health Agency Bladder and Bowel Foundation Bowel Cancer UK CANCERactive Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Chinese National Healthy Living Centre Colostomy Association Counsel and Care Equalities National Council Europacolon Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity IA: Ileostomy and Internal Pouch 	 Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium Possible comparator manufacturer(s) Goldshields Pharmaceuticals (calcium folinate) Hospira UK (calcium folinate, fluorouracil, calcium levofolinate) Medac UK (disodium folinate, fluorouracil)

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Technology Appraisal guidance no.100; Capecitabine and oxaliplatin in the adjuvant treatment of stage III (Dukes' C) colon cancer Issue Date: April 2011

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Ostomy Lifestyle Centre South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Sue Ryder Care Tenovus Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Coloproctologists of Great Britain Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Association for Services to the Elderly British Association of Surgical Oncology British Geriatrics Society British Cological Association (BOA) British Psychosocial Oncology Society (BPOS) British Society of Gastroenterology Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Pelican Cancer Foundation Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons Royal College of Surgeons Royal College of Surgeons Royal College of Radiologists Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	Relevant research groups Bowel & Cancer Research CORE (Digestive Disorders Foundation) Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Research Institute for Health Research Research Institute for Health Research Research Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups tbc
Others Department of Health NHS Bedfordshire NHS City and Hackney 	

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Technology Appraisal guidance no.100; Capecitabine and oxaliplatin in the adjuvant treatment of stage III (Dukes' C) colon cancer Issue Date: April 2011

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Welsh Assembly Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Definitions:

<u>Consultees</u>

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, the *British National Formulary*, and the British Medical Association.

Assessment Group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the NHS Research and Development Health Technology Assessment Programme [HTA Programme] to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Technology Appraisal guidance no.100; Capecitabine and oxaliplatin in the adjuvant treatment of stage III (Dukes' C) colon cancer Issue Date: April 2011

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Appendix A

systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).