NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Appraisal

Docetaxel for hormone-refractory prostate cancer

Response to consultee and commentator comments on the provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Technical Lead:			Executive Lead:			Project Manager:			
Zoe Charles			Peter Littlejohns			Nina Pinwill			
Versi	Version of matrix of consultees and commentators reviewed:								
Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators sent for consultation									
Summary of comments, action taken, and justification of action:									
	Proposed consultee/commentator:	Proposal m	nade by:	Action taken:	Justifi	cation:			
1.	Royal College of Radiologists	British Onc	cology Pharmacy n (BOPA)	Added	with ra repres	suggested that if the treatment is compared adiotherapy then sentation from the Royal College of logists would be appropriate. The Institute d with this proposal			

2.	British Association of Urological Surgeons	The Prostate Cancer Charity	Added	The Prostate Cancer Charity advised that some urologists [currently a small number]are becoming interested in administering chemotherapy to men with prostate cancer, and therefore it is relevant to involve this organisation. The Institute agreed with this proposal.
3.	British Association of Urological Nurses	The Prostate Cancer Charity	Added	The Prostate Cancer Charity advised that some urologists [currently a small number]are becoming interested in administering chemotherapy to men with prostate cancer, and therefore it is relevant to involve this organisation. The Institute agreed with this proposal.
4.	BUG, a new special interest grouping of oncologists with an interest in urological cancers	The Prostate Cancer Charity	Queried	The Institute will contact this group to find out if they meet the criteria for inclusion as a consultee or commentator.