NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

Technology Appraisal No.'s 124, 162 and 175; pemetrexed, erlotinib and gefitinib for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
AstraZeneca UK (gefitinib)	Board of Community Health Councils in
Eli Lillly (pemettrexed)	Wales
Roche Products (erlotinib)	 British National Formulary
	Care Quality Commission
Patient/carer groups	Commissioning Support Appraisals
Afiya Trust	Service
Black Health Agency	Department of Health, Social Services
British Lung Foundation	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
CANCERactive	Medicines and Healthcare products
Cancer Black Care	Regulatory Agency
Cancer Equality	National Association of Primary Care
Chinese National Healthy Living	 NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
CentreCounsel and Care	
	 NHS Confederation NHS Quality Improvement Scotland
Equalities National CouncilHelen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	 Public Health Wales NHS Trust
	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
 Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres 	
 Marie Curie Cancer Care 	Comparator manufacturers
 Muslim Council of Britain 	Actavis UK (docetaxel)
Muslim Health Network	Hospira UK (docetaxel)
Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation	Sanofi-Aventis (docetaxel)
South Asian Health Foundation	
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Relevant research groups
Sue Ryder Care	British Thoracic Oncology Group
 Tenovus 	Cochrane Lung Cancer Group
UK Lung Cancer Coalition	Institute of Cancer Research
č	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Professional groups	National Cancer Research Institute
Association of Cancer Physicians	National Cancer Research Network
Association of Respiratory Nurse	National Institute for Health Research

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Technology Appraisal No.'s 124, 162 and 175; pemetrexed, erlotinib and gefitinib for the treatment of nonsmall cell lung cancer Issue date: June 2011

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Specialists British Association for Services to the Elderly British Geriatrics Society British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Thoracic Society, Lung Cancer and Mesothelioma Working Party Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK National Lung Cancer Forum for Nurses National Pharmacy Association Primary Care Respiratory Society UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health Bexley Care NHS Trust NHS Gateshead Welsh Government 	 Research Institute for the Care of Older People <u>Assessment Group</u> Assessment Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Collaborating Centre for Cancer <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> tbc

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues

Definitions:

Consultees

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Technology Appraisal No.'s 124, 162 and 175; pemetrexed, erlotinib and gefitinib for the treatment of nonsmall cell lung cancer Issue date: June 2011 Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Assessment Group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Technology Appraisal No.'s 124, 162 and 175; pemetrexed, erlotinib and gefitinib for the treatment of nonsmall cell lung cancer Issue date: June 2011