NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 32; Multiple sclerosis - beta interferon and glatiramer acetate, No. 127; Natalizumab for the treatment of adults with highly active relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis, No. 254; Fingolimod for the treatment of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis, and PEGylated interferon-beta 1a

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
Manufacturara/ananaara	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Bayer (interferon beta 1b)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Biogen Idec (interferon beta 1a,	Board of Community Health Councils in
natalizumab, PEGylated interferon	Wales
beta 1a)	British National Formulary
 Merck Serono (interferon beta 1a) 	Care Quality Commission
 Novartis (interferon beta 1b, 	 Commissioning Support Appraisals
fingolimod)	Service
Teva (glatiramer acetate)	Department of Health, Social Services
,	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Patient/carer groups	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Afiya Trust	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Black Health Agency	Regulatory Agency
Brain and Spine Foundation	Multiple Sclerosis Society Wales
Disability Rights UK	National Association of Primary Care
Equalities National Council	National Pharmacy Association
Independent Age	NHS Alliance
 Leonard Cheshire Disability 	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Multiple Sclerosis National Therapy	NHS Confederation
Centres	BIRLINI MINT
Mark Louis D	
	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Multiple Sclerosis Society Multiple Sclerosis Trust	Wales Neurological Alliance
Multiple Sclerosis Trust Musting Council of Britain	O
Muslim Council of Britain	Comparator manufacturers
Muslim Health Network	None
Neurological Alliance	
South Asian Health Foundation	Relevant research groups
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	British Neurological Research Trust
	Health Research Authority
Professional groups	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
 Association of British Neurologists 	National Institute for Health Research
British Association for Services to the	Research Institute for the Care of Older
Elderly	People
British Geriatrics Society	
British Neuropathological Society	Assessment Group
_	Assessment Group tbc

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 32; Multiple sclerosis - beta interferon and glatiramer acetate, No. 127; Natalizumab for the treatment of adults with highly active relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis, No. 254; Fingolimod for the treatment of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis, and PEGylated interferon-beta 1a Issue date: April 2013 Page 1 of 2

- British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine
- Chartered Society of Physiotherapy
- Institute of Neurology
- Neurosupport
- Primary Care Neurology Society
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Therapists in MS
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
- United Kingdom Multiple Sclerosis Specialist Nurse Association

Others

- Department of Health
- NHS England
- NHS Norwich CCG
- NHS Wirral CCG
- Welsh Government

 National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

Associated Guideline Groups

National Clinical Guidelines Centre

Associated Public Health Groups

None

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.