NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Appraisal

Stapled haemorrhoidectomy for the treatment of haemorrhoids

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Manufacturers/sponsors Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Johnson & Johnson Medical Ltd 	 General Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
 Tyco Healthcare UK Ltd Patient/carer groups Continence Foundation CORE (previously known as: Digestive Disorders Foundation) Hirschsprung's & Motility Disorders Support Network IBS Network InContact National Childbirth Trust Specialised Healthcare Alliance The Gut Motility Disorders Support Network 	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) National Public Health Service for Wales NHS Confederation NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency NHS Quality Improvement Scotland Scottish Medicines Consortium Comparator manufacturers None
Women's Health Concern	Relevant research groups None
 Professional groups Association for Continence Advice Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland Association of Perioperative Practice Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland National Association of Primary Care Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh Royal College of Surgeons Others 	 Assessment team National Coordinating Centre for Health Technology Assessment Centre for Reviews and Dissemination and Centre for Health Economics, University of York Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Acute Care

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Consultation on the draft scope and provisional matrix for the appraisal of stapled haemorrhoidectomy for the treatment of haemorrhoids

Issue date: June 2006 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Department of Health Plymouth PCT South Leeds PCT Welsh Assembly Government 	

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Consultation on the draft scope and provisional matrix for the appraisal of stapled haemorrhoidectomy for the treatment of haemorrhoids

Issue date: June 2006 Page 2 of 3

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government; relevant NHS organisations in England and local health boards in Wales.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and consultee organisations representing patient/carers and professionals can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

Assessment team

An independent academic group (commissioned by the NHS Research and Development Health Technology Assessment Programme [HTA Programme] to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

Issue date: June 2006 Page 3 of 3