NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.163; Infliximab for acute exacerbations of ulcerative colitis

Company/sponsor Ger Janssen Biologics (infliximab) • Celltrion Healthcare (infliximab biosimilar) • Hospira UK Limited (infliximab biosimilar) • Samsung Bioepis UK (infliximab biosimilar) • Patient/carer groups • Bladder and Bowel Community • Colostomy Association •	eal) eral commentators Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency
Group•Muslim Council of Britain•Ostomy Lifestyle•South Asian Health FoundationCorSpecialised Healthcare Alliance•Ulcerative Colitis UK•Professional groups•Association of Anaesthetists•Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland•Association of Surgeons of Great British Association of Urological Surgeons•	National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium <u>Aparators</u> Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin) <u>evant research groups</u> Cochrane Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Functional Bowel Disorders Group CORE (Digestive Disorders Foundation) MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research <u>ociated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

Matrix of consultees and commentators

NICE Technology Appraisal No.163; Infliximab for acute exacerbations of ulcerative colitis Issue date: July 2017

Royal College of Pathologists	
Royal College of Physicians	
Royal College of Surgeons	
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	
Royal Society of Medicine	
UK Clinical Pharmacy Association	
-	
<u>Others</u>	
Department of Health	
NHS England	
NHS Harrow CCG	
NHS Redbridge CCG	
Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

<u>Commentators</u>

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.