NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

Technology Appraisal guidance No. 165; Machine perfusion systems and cold static storage of kidneys from deceased donors

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Baxter Healthcare (Soltran)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Bristol-Myers Squibb/University of	Association of British Healthcare Industries
Wisconsin (Viaspan)	Association of Renal Industries
Essential Pharmaceuticals (HTK)	Board of Community Health Councils in
Organ Recovery Systems (LifePort	Wales
Kidney Transport)	
1 ' '	British National Formulary One Overline Commission
Sanofi (Celsior) Material Madical Contage (DMC Barrel	Care Quality Commission
Waters Medical Systems (RM3 Renal	Commissioning Support Appraisals Service
Control)	Department of Health, Social Services and
	Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Patient/carer groups	EUCOMED
Afiya Trust	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Black Health Agency	Medicines and Healthcare Products
British Kidney Patient Association	Regulatory Agency
Equalities National Council	National Association of Primary Care
Independent Age	National Pharmacy Association
Kidney Alliance	NHS Alliance
Kidney Research UK	NUIO 0 1 1 NA 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Muslim Council of Britain	NII 10 0 () ()
Muslim Health Network	
	Public Health Wales NHS Trust
National Kidney Federation Pales and Charite Observed Obse	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Polycystic Kidney Disease Charity	Welsh Kidney Patients Association
South Asian Health Foundation	Welsh Urological Society
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	
Transplant Support Network	Comparator manufacturers
	None
Professional groups	
 Association of Renal Technologists 	Relevant research groups
 Association of Surgeons of Great Britain 	Cochrane Renal Group
and Ireland	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
British Association for Services to the	National Institute for Health Research
Elderly	Research Institute for the Care of Older
British Association of Urological Nurses	People
British Association of Urological Surgeons	
British Geriatrics Society	Assessment Group
British Renal Society	Assessment Group tbc
British Transplantation Society	National Institute for Health Research
Kidney Research UK	Health Technology Assessment
Renal Association	Programme
Nendi Association	1 10granino

Royal College of Anaesthetists

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Technology Appraisal guidance No.165; Machine perfusion systems and cold static storage of kidneys from deceased donors

Issue date: December 2012

Page 2 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons of England Royal Society of Medicine Society for DGH Nephrologists The Urology Foundation UK Renal Pharmacy Group United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association 	Associated Guideline Groups National Clinical Guideline Centre Associated Public Health Groups None
 Others Department of Health Gloucestershire and Swindon PCT Cluster Somerset PCT Cluster Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO for definitions of commentators and consultees

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Assessment Group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.