Monday $22^{\text {nd }}$ March 2010

Rebecca Trowman
Technology Appraisal Project Manager
National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence
MidCity Place
71 High Holborn
LONDON
WC1V 6NA
BY E-MAIL

Dear Rebecca,
SINGLE TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL -

## Bevacizumab in combination with oxaliplatin and either 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer

The revised PAS template dated the $9^{\text {th }}$ March 2010 contains the deterministic results of the economic analysis with the changes as described in Roche's response to the ACD incorporated in the analysis.

For completeness the probabilistic ICER's are now provided below alongside the deterministic results that were previously reported in the aforementioned PAS template. It can be seen that the mean probabilistic ICERs mirror those of the deterministic analysis.

| Analysis | Deterministic <br> ICERs | Probabilistic ICERs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| With APAS applied: |  |  |
| B-XELOX vs XELOX | $£ 29,975$ | $£ 29,625$ |
| B-FOLFOX-6 vs FOLFOX-6 | $£ 24,604$ | $£ 24,315$ |
| Without APAS applied: |  |  |
| B-XELOX vs XELOX | $£ 104,870$ | $£ 104,578$ |
| B-FOLFOX-6 vs FOLFOX-6 | $£ 108,267$ | $£ 108,346$ |

Figures 1 to 3 below display graphically the probabilistic sensitivity analysis results when the APAS is applied.
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Figure 1: B-XELOX vs XELOX with APAS


Figure 2: B-FOLFOX-6 vs FOLFOX-6

Figure 3: CEAC


Regards,

