NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Bevacizumab in combination with a taxane for the first-line treatment of metastatic breast cancer

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Roche Products (bevacizumab)	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	British National Formulary
Afiya Trust	Department of Health, Social Services
Age Concern & Help the Aged	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Black Health Agency	Medicines and Healthcare products
Breakthrough Breast Cancer	Regulatory Agency
Breast Cancer Campaign	National Association for Primary Care National Bublish Health Commiss for
Breast Cancer Care	National Public Health Service for Welce
Breast Cancer Haven	Wales
Breast Cancer UK ANGER	NHS Alliance NHS Confederation
CANCERactive	NHS Confederation NHS Durchasing and Supply Agency
Cancer Black Care	NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency NHS Quality Improvement Sections
Cancer Equality	NHS Quality Improvement Scotland Section Medicines Connections
 Chinese National Healthy Living Centre 	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Confederation of Indian	
Organisations	Comparator manufacturers
Counsel and Care	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals (a political)
Equalities National Council	(paclitaxel)
Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	Eli Lilly & Co (gemcitabine)
Macmillan Cancer Support	Hospira (paclitaxel) Constitution (depotation)
Maggie's Centres	Sanofi-Aventis (docetaxel)
Marie Curie Cancer Care	Wockhardt UK (paclitaxel)
Muslim Council of Great Britain	Polovant recognish groups
Muslim Health Network	Relevant research groups
National Cancer Alliance	Against Breast Cancer Breast Cancer Llane
 National Council for Palliative Care 	Breast Cancer HopeBreast Cancer Research Trust
South Asian Health Foundation	
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Cochrane Collaboration – Cochrane

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of bevacizumab in combination with a taxane for the first-line treatment of metastatic breast cancer

Issue date: September 2009

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Sue Ryder Care Tenovus Cancer Information Centre Women's Health Concern Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Association for Services to the Elderly British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Oncological Association British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians, Medical Oncology Joint Special Committee Royal College of Radiologists Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health Kensington & Chelsea PCT Milton Keynes PCT Welsh Assembly Government 	 Breast Cancer Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Institute for Health Research Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity Pro-Cancer Research Fund Research Institute for the Care of Older People Evidence Review Group National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme NHS Centre for Reviews & Dissemination and Centre for Health Economics -York Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups None

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination.

Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of bevacizumab in combination with a taxane for the first-line treatment of metastatic breast cancer

Issue date: September 2009

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.