List of results from putting PenTAG's parameters into the Lundbeck model

Parameter change	PenTAG parameter values for memantine in the
	Lundbeck model
Set behavioural effect to	This analysis is in text in the TAR. Section 6.2.5. Setting
zero	the value 0 had negligible effects on the results
Set behavioural effect (NPI)	Weighted mean change from baseline
to PenTAG effectiveness	-1.608 (95% CI: -4.739, 1.523)
review estimate	
	This had negligible effects on the results. In general the
	results are not driven by consideration of the effects on
	NPI
Reduce industry institutional	£2352
care costs by 28% (PenTAG	
assumption that 28% are not	Changing the mean value to the above and using the
funded by NHS/PSS)	previous SE, the incremental costs reduce to $\pm 521 - but$
	memantine remained dominant
Assume PenTAG	MMSE = 0.7
effectiveness review	(95% CI: 0.02, 1.38)
estimates for MMSE and	ADL = 1.41
ADL	(95% CI: 0.04, 2.78)
	MMSE is not included in the risk equations. But changing
	the mean ADL (total) value to 1.41 had negligible effects
	on the results.
Assume PenTAG	£2117 (= £2,941 $*$ 0.72). For memantine, when this mean
institutional care NHS/PSS	cost is used, the incremental costs reduces to £210, with
costs	memantine remaining dominant.
Assume PenTAG	0.33 – the base case mean value in the memantine model is

institutional care utility	0.336, so this change has almost no impact on the results