NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 235; Mifamurtide for the treatment of osteosarcoma

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Consultees Manufacturers/sponsors Takeda UK (mifamurtide) Patient/carer groups Action for Children Action on Pain Adam Dealey Foundation for Ewing Sarcoma Afiya Trust Anthony Pilcher Bone Cancer Trust Black Health Agency Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer Equality Cancer S2 Children with Cancer Children's Cancer & Leukaemia Group Children's Society CLIC Sargeant Equalities National Council Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Limb Loss Information Centre (LLIC) Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network National Children's Bureau Paget's Association (formerly National Association for the Relief of Paget's Disease) Pain Concern Pain Relief Foundation	
Pain UKRarer Cancers Foundation	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> Bone Cancer Research Trust Bone Research Society

 Sarcoma UK South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Teenage Cancer Trust Tenovus Together for Shorter Lives (previously ACT & Children's Hospices UK) WellChild Professional groups Association of Anaesthetists Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine British Orthopaedic Association British Orthopaedic Oncology Society (BPOS) British Sarcoma Group Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons Royal Society of Medicine UK Health Forum United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	 Christopher Hardman Osteosarcoma Research Fund Health Research Authority Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Research Institute for the Care of Older People Assessment Group Assessment Group tbc Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales NHS Trust
Others Department of Health NHS Camden CCG NHS England NHS Salford CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary. All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.