NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Appraisal

Cetuximab, bevacizumab and panitumumab monotherapy for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer that has progressed after first line chemotherapy (review of technology appraisal 150 and part-review of technology appraisal 118)

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors Merck Serono (cetuximab) Roche Products (bevacizumab) Manufacturer Serono (cetuximab) Manufacture Serono (cetuximab) Manufacture Serono (cetuximab) Manufacture Serono (cetuximab) Manufacture Serono (cetuximab) Manufacturer Serono	` _
 la: Ileostomy and Internal Pouch Support Group Lynn's Bowel Cancer Campaign Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain 	 Actavis UK (oxaliplatin irinotecan) Hospira UK (oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, irinotecan, calcium folinate, calcium levofolinate) Goldshield (fluorouracil) Medac UK (oxaliplatin irinotecan, disodium levofolinate, fluorouracil)
Muslim Health NetworkOstomy Lifestyle Centre	Merck Serono (tegafur uracil)Pfizer (irinotecan, calcium levofolinate,

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Colorectal cancer (metastatic) following the failure of first line chemotherapies- bevacizumab, cetuximab and (additionally) panitumumab (review of TA118 to also include terminated appraisal TA150)

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) calcium folinate) South Asian Health Foundation Roche Products (capecitabine) Specialised Healthcare Alliance Sue Ryder Care Sandoz (irinotecan) Tenovus Sanofi-Aventis (oxaliplatin) Winthrop Pharmaceuticals (oxaliplatin) Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians Relevant research groups **Bowel & Cancer Research** Association of Coloproctologists of **Great Britain CORE** - The Digestive Disorders Association of Surgeons of Great Foundation Britain and Ireland Institute of Cancer Research British Association for Services to MRC Clinical Trials Unit the Elderly National Cancer Research Institute British Association of Surgical National Cancer Research Network Oncology National Institute for Health Research **British Geriatrics Society** Policy Research Institute on Ageing **British Oncological Association** and Ethnicity British Psychosocial Oncology Research Institute for the Care of Society Older People British Society of Gastroenterology Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum Assessment Group National Institute for Health Research Cancer Research UK Health Technology Assessment **Pelican Cancer Foundation** Programme Royal College of Anaesthetists Peninsula Technology Assessment Royal College of General Group, University of Exeter (PenTAG) **Practitioners** Royal College of Nursing **Associated Guideline Groups** Royal College of Pathologists National Collaborating Centre for Royal College of Physicians Cancer Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Associated Public Health Groups Royal Pharmaceutical Society none Royal Society of Medicine United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health Heart of Birmingham Teaching

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Colorectal cancer (metastatic) following the failure of first line chemotherapies- bevacizumab, cetuximab and (additionally) panitumumab (review of TA118 to also include terminated appraisal TA150)

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Primary Care Trust	
NHS Telford and Wrekin	
Welsh Assembly Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Colorectal cancer (metastatic) following the failure of first line chemotherapies- bevacizumab, cetuximab and (additionally) panitumumab (review of TA118 to also include terminated appraisal TA150)

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Assessment team

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Colorectal cancer (metastatic) following the failure of first line chemotherapies- bevacizumab, cetuximab and (additionally) panitumumab (review of TA118 to also include terminated appraisal TA150)