### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

# **Proposed Multiple Technology Appraisal (MTA)**

## Pharmalgen for the treatment of venom allergy

### Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
<ul> <li>Manufacturers/sponsors</li> <li>ALK-Abello (pharmalgen)</li> <li>Patient/carer groups</li> <li>Action Against Allergy</li> <li>Action for Children</li> <li>Action for Sick Children</li> <li>Afiya Trust</li> <li>Allergy UK</li> <li>Anaphylaxis Campaign</li> <li>Black Health Agency</li> <li>Children's Society</li> <li>Chinese National Healthy Living Centre</li> <li>Confederation of Indian Organisations</li> <li>Counsel and Care</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>General</li> <li>Board of Community Health Councils in Wales</li> <li>British National Formulary</li> <li>Commissioning Support Appraisals Service</li> <li>Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland</li> <li>Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency</li> <li>National Association of Primary Care</li> <li>National Public Health Service for Wales</li> <li>NHS Alliance</li> <li>NHS Confederation</li> <li>NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency</li> <li>NHS Quality Improvement Scotland</li> </ul>
Equalities National Council	Scottish Medicines Consortium
MedicAlert Foundation	Possible comparator manufacturor(s)
<ul><li>Muslim Council of Great Britain</li><li>Muslim Health Network</li></ul>	Possible comparator manufacturer(s)  None
National Children's Bureau	
National Parent Partnership Network	Relevant research groups
South Asian Health Foundation	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
<ul><li>Specialised Healthcare Alliance</li><li>WellChild</li></ul>	<ul> <li>National Institute for Health Research</li> <li>Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity</li> </ul>
Professional groups     British Association for Services to the	Research Institute for the Care of Older People
<ul> <li>Elderly</li> <li>British Geriatric Society</li> <li>British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology</li> <li>Royal College of General Practitioners</li> <li>Royal College of Nursing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assessment Group</li> <li>Assessment Group tbc</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme</li> </ul>
Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health	Associated Guideline Groups  National Clinical Guidelines Centre

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of pharmalgen for the treatment of venom allergy Issue date: January 2010 Page 1 of 3

Page 2 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul> <li>Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li> <li>Royal Society of Medicine –         <ul> <li>Intellectual Disabilities Forum</li> </ul> </li> <li>United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy         <ul> <li>Association</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Associated Public Health Groups  • tbc
<ul> <li>Others</li> <li>Department of Health</li> <li>Neath Port Talbot LHB</li> <li>NHS Tower Hamlets</li> <li>Welsh Assembly Government</li> </ul>	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

#### **Definitions:**

#### Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

#### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

## Assessment team

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.