# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# **Review Proposal Project**

# NICE Technology Appraisal No.263; Bevacizumab in combination with capecitabine for the first-line treatment of metastatic breast cancer

# Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company	<u>General</u>
Roche Products (bevacizumab)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Afiya Trust	British National Formulary
Black Health Agency	Care Quality Commission
Breakthrough Breast Cancer	Department of Health, Social Services
Breast Cancer Campaign	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Breast Cancer Care	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Breast Cancer UK	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Cancer Black Care	Regulatory Agency
Cancer Equality	<ul> <li>National Association of Primary Care</li> </ul>
Equalities National Council	<ul> <li>National Pharmacy Association</li> </ul>
Haven	NHS Alliance
HAWC	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	NHS Confederation
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Macmillan Cancer Support	
Maggie's Centres	Comparator manufacturers
Marie Curie Cancer Care	A A H Pharmaceuticals (capecitabine)
Muslim Council of Britain	Accord Healthcare (capecitabine)
South Asian Health Foundation	Actavis UK (capecitabine, vinorelbine)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Eisai (eribulin)
Tenovus	Medac GmbH (capecitabine,
Women's Health Concern	vinorelbine)
	Pierre Fabre (vinorelbine)
Professional groups	Roche Products (capecitabine)
Association of Cancer Physicians	Sun Pharmaceuticals UK (capecitabine)
British Geriatrics Society	Zentiva (capecitabine)
British Institute of Radiology	
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	Relevant research groups
Cancer Research UK	Against Breast Cancer
Royal College of General Practitioners	Breast Cancer Hope
Royal College of Nursing	Breast Cancer Research Trust
Royal College of Pathologists	Cochrane Breast Cancer Group
Royal College of Physicians	Institute of Cancer Research
	MRC Clinical Trials Unit

- Royal College of Radiologists
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Society and College of Radiographers
- UK Health Forum
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
- United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society

#### Others

- Department of Health
- NHS England
- NHS North East Hampshire and Farnham CCG
- NHS South Kent Coast CCG
- Welsh Government

- National Cancer Research Institute
- National Cancer Research Network
- National Institute for Health Research
- Pro-Cancer Research Fund

#### **Assessment Group**

- Assessment Group tbc
- National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

# Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

#### **Definitions:**

#### **Consultees**

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

#### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

# Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.