NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Denosumab for preventing bone metastases in castrate resistant prostate cancer

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees		Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors		General
•	Amgen (denosumab) <u>atient/carer groups</u> Afiya Trust Black Health Agency Bob Champion Cancer Trust Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Equalities National Council Everyman Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity Independent Age Independent Cancer Patients' Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network Orchid	 <u>General</u> Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium
•	PCaSO – Prostate Cancer Network	
•	Prostate Cancer UK Prostate Cancer Support Federation	 Possible comparator manufacturer(s) None
•	Prostate Help Association	
•	South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> Bone Cancer Research Trust Bone Research Society Cochrane Prostatic Diseases and
Pr	ofessional groups	Urologic Cancers Group
•	Association of Cancer Physicians	Institute of Cancer Research
•	British Association for Services to the	 MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute
•	Elderly British Association of Urological Nurses British Association of Urological Surgeons British Geriatrics Society	 National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Ovarian and Prostate Cancer Research Trust Pro Cancer Research Fund

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of Denosumab for preventing bone metastases in castrate resistant prostate cancer Issue date: April 2013 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine British Institute of Radiology British Prostate Group British Prostate Group British Orthopaedic Association British Orthopaedic Oncology Society British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Skeletal Radiologists British Uro-Oncology Group Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Pelican Cancer Foundation Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Urology Foundation 	 Prostate Cancer Research Centre Research Institute for the Care of Older People <u>Evidence Review Group</u> Warwick Evidence National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Collaborating Centre for Cancer <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> None

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland ; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.