## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

## Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

# Bevacizumab for the treatment of recurrent or relapsed advanced ovarian cancer

## Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators (pre-referral)

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Roche Products (bevacizumab)	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	British National Formulary
Afiya Trust	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	Commissioning Support Appraisals
CANCERactive	Service
Cancer Black Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Equality	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer 52	Health Improvement Scotland
<ul> <li>Chinese National Healthy Living</li> </ul>	Medicines and Healthcare products
Centre	Regulatory Agency
Counsel and Care	National Association of Primary Care
<ul> <li>Equalities National Council</li> </ul>	NHS Alliance
Gynae C	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	NHS Confederation
<ul> <li>Macmillan Cancer Support</li> </ul>	Public Health Wales NHS Trust
<ul> <li>Maggie's Centres</li> </ul>	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Marie Curie Cancer Care	
<ul> <li>Muslim Council of Britain</li> </ul>	Possible Comparator manufacturer(s)
Muslim Health Network	Actavis (paclitaxel)
Ovacome	Bristol-Myers Squibb (paclitaxel,
Ovarian Cancer Action	carboplatin)
Rarer Cancer Foundation	<ul> <li>Hospira UK (paclitaxel, cisplatin, carboplatin)</li> </ul>
South Asian Health Foundation	<ul> <li>Janssen-Cilag (pegylated liposomal</li> </ul>
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	doxorubicin hydrochloride)
Sue Ryder Care	<ul> <li>Medac UK (paclitaxel)</li> </ul>
Target Ovarian Cancer	<ul> <li>Pfizer (cisplatin)</li> </ul>
Tenovus	<ul> <li>Teva UK (paclitaxel, cisplatin,</li> </ul>
Wellbeing of Women	

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of bevacizumab for the treatment of recurrent or relapsed advanced ovarian cancer

Issue date: May 2011

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul> <li>Women's Health Concern</li> <li><u>Professional groups</u></li> <li>Association of Cancer Physicians</li> <li>Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland</li> <li>British Association for Services to the Elderly</li> <li>British Association of Surgical Oncology</li> <li>British Geriatrics Society</li> <li>British Geriatrics Society</li> <li>British Institute of Radiology</li> <li>British Psychosocial Oncology Society</li> <li>Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum</li> <li>Cancer Research UK</li> <li>National Pharmacy Association</li> <li>Royal College of General Practitioners</li> <li>Royal College of Nursing</li> <li>Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>Royal College of Surgeons</li> <li>Royal College of Surgeons</li> <li>Royal College of Surgeons</li> <li>Royal College of Surgeons</li> <li>Royal Society of Medicine</li> <li>Society and College of Radiographers</li> <li>United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>carboplatin)</li> <li>Wockhardt UK (paclitaxel, cisplatin, carboplatin)</li> <li><u>Relevant research groups</u></li> <li>Eve appeal</li> <li>Institute of Cancer Research</li> <li>MRC Clinical Trials Unit</li> <li>National Cancer Research Institute</li> <li>National Cancer Research Network</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research</li> <li>Research Institute for the Care of Older People</li> </ul> Evidence Review Group <ul> <li>Evidence Review Group tbc</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme</li> </ul> Associated Guideline Groups <ul> <li>National Collaborating Centre for Cancer</li> </ul> Associated Public Health Groups <ul> <li>tbc</li> </ul>
Others Department of Health NHS Halton and St. Helens NHS North Lincolnshire Welsh Assembly Government	

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of bevacizumab for the treatment of recurrent or relapsed advanced ovarian cancer Issue date: May 2011 Page 2 of 5 'NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.'

#### PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

# **Definitions:**

## Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

## **Commentators**

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

## Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of bevacizumab for the treatment of recurrent or relapsed advanced ovarian cancer Issue date: May 2011

<sup>1</sup> Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.