NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Ipilimumab for previously untreated unresectable malignant melanoma

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|---|
| Manufacturers/sponsors Bristol-Myers Squibb (ipilimumab) Patient/carer groups Action for Children Action for Sick Children Afiya Trust Black Health Agency Cancer 52 Cancer Equality Children's Society CLIC Sargent Counsel and Care Equalities National Council Factor 50 Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity Help Adolescents with Cancer Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network National Alliance of Childhood Cancer Patient Organisations National Children's Bureau Rarer Cancers Foundation Skcin - Karen Clifford Skin Cancer Charity Skin Care Campaign South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Teenage Cancer Trust Tenovus Together for Short Lives | General • Allied Health Professional Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • NHS Alliance • NHS Confederation • Public Health Wales NHS • Scottish Medicines Consortium Comparator manufacturer(s) • Bayer (dacarbazine) • Medac UK (dacarbazine) • PLIVA Pharma (dacarbazine) • Relevant research groups • British Society for Dermatological Surgery • Cochrane Skin Group, Centre of Evidencebased Dermatology, University of Nottingham • Institute of Cancer Research • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • Melanoma Study Group • Myfanwy Townsend Melanoma Research Fund • National Cancer Research Institute |
| Well Child <u>Professional groups</u> British Association for Services to the Elderly | National Cancer Research Network Research Institute of the Care of Older People Skin Cancer Research Fund |

Matrix of consultees and commentators

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|---|
| British Association of Dermatologists British Association of Skin Cancer | Skin Research Centre Skin Treatment & Research Trust Evidence Review Group National Institute for Health Research |
| Specialist British Dermatological Nursing Group British Dermatological Nursing Group British Ceriatrics Society British Institute for Radiology British Institute for Radiology Society British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Skin Foundation Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK National Pharmacy Association Primary Care Dermatology Society Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy | Health Technology Assessment |
| Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing | Programme NHS Centre for Reviews & Dissemination |
| Society Others Bedfordshire and Luton PCT Cluster Department of Health Welsh Government West Mercia PCT Cluster | and Centre for Health Economics -York Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups None |

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Matrix for the appraisal of ipilimumab for previously untreated unresectable stage III or IV malignant melanoma. Issue date: October 2012 Page 2 of 3

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland ; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.