# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# **Review Proposal Project**

NICE Technology Appraisal No.380; Panobinostat for treating multiple myeloma in people who have received at least one prior therapy

# Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commontators (no right to submit or
Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General commentators
<u>Company</u> ■ Novartis	<ul> <li>All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology</li> </ul>
INOVALUS	Centre
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust	<ul> <li>Board of Community Health Councils in</li> </ul>
Black Health Agency	Wales
Bloodwise	British National Formulary
Cancer Black Care	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Equality	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer52	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
DKMS	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
HAWC	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	Regulatory Agency
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	<ul> <li>National Association of Primary Care</li> </ul>
Leukaemia Cancer Society	<ul> <li>National Pharmacy Association</li> </ul>
Leukaemia CARE	NHS Alliance
Lymphoma Action	NHS Confederation
Macmillan Cancer Support	<ul> <li>Scottish Medicines Consortium</li> </ul>
Maggie's Centres	<ul> <li>Welsh Health Specialised Services</li> </ul>
Marie Curie	Committee
Muslim Council of Britain	
Myeloma UK	<u>Comparators</u>
South Asian Health Foundation	<ul> <li>Accord Healthcare (doxorubicin)</li> </ul>
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	<ul> <li>Advanz Pharma (dexamethasone)</li> </ul>
Tenovus Cancer Care	<ul> <li>Aspen (melphalan, dexamethasone)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Aspire Pharma (dexamethasone)</li> </ul>
Professional groups	<ul> <li>Baxter (cyclophosphamide)</li> </ul>
Association of Cancer Physicians	Celgene (lenalidomide, thalidomide)
British Committee for Standards in	Consilient Health (dexamethasone)
Haematology (BCSH)	Ethypharm UK (dexamethasone)
British Geriatrics Society	Glenmark Pharmaceuticals
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	(dexamethasone)
British Society for Haematology	Hameln Pharmaceuticals
Cancer Research UK	(dexamethasone)
Royal College of General Practitioners	Hospira (dexamethasone, vincristine)
Royal College of Nursing	Janssen-Cilag (bortezomib,
Royal College of Pathologists	doxorubicin)

NICE Technology Appraisal No.380; panobinostat for treating multiple myeloma in people who have received at least one prior therapy

Issue date: January 2019 Page 1 of 3

- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal Society of Medicine
- **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association**
- UK Health Forum
- UK Myeloma Forum
- **UK Oncology Nursing Society**

#### **Others**

- Department of Health and Social Care
- NHS England
- Welsh Government
- NHS East Riding of Yorkshire CCG
- NHS Castle Point and Rochford CCG

- Martindale Pharma (dexamethasone)
- Medac GmbH (doxorubicin)
- Panpharma UK (dexamethasone)
- Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin)
- **Rosemont Pharmaceuticals** (dexamethasone)
- **Seacross Pharmaceuticals** (doxorubicin)
- Teva UK (doxorubicin, vincristine)
- Wockhardt UK (dexamethasone)

#### Relevant research groups

- Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group
- Genomics England
- Leuka
- Leukaemia Busters
- Institute of Cancer Research
- MRC Clinical Trials Unit
- National Cancer Research Institute
- National Cancer Research Network
- National Institute for Health Research

### Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- **Public Health Wales**

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

NICE Technology Appraisal No.380; panobinostat for treating multiple myeloma in people who have received at least one prior therapy Issue date: January 2019 Page 2 of 3

#### **Definitions:**

#### **Consultees**

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

#### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.