NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Nanoliposomal irinotecan for treating pancreatic cancer after prior treatment with gemcitabine [ID778]

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
CompanyBaxalta (nanoliposomal irinotecan)Patient/carer groupsBlack Health AgencyCancer Black CareCancer EqualityCancer52HAWCHelen Rollason Cancer CharityIndependent Cancer Patients VoiceMacmillan Cancer SupportMaggie's CentresMarie Curie Cancer CareMuslim Council of BritainPancreatic Cancer ActionPancreatic Cancer UKRarer Cancers FoundationSouth Asian Health FoundationSpecialised Healthcare AllianceTenovus Cancer CareProfessional groupsAssociation of Cancer PhysiciansBritish Geriatrics SocietyBritish Institute of RadiologyBritish Psychosocial Oncology SocietyDivid Ocenter of Operation of Lance of Lance of Lance of Lance of Lance	appeal) General • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium Comparator companies • Accord (capecitabine, fluorouracil, oxaliplatin) • Dr. Reddy's Laboratories (capecitabine) • Hospira (calcium folinate, fluorouracil, oxaliplatin) • Medac GmbH (fluorouracil, folinic acid) • Pfizer (folinic acid)
 British Society of Gastroenterology Cancer Research UK Pancreatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland Primary Care Society for 	 Roche Products (capecitabine) Sun Pharmaceuticals (capecitabine, oxaliplatin) Zentiva (capecitabine)
GastroenterologyRoyal College of General PractitionersRoyal College of Nursing	Relevant research groups Cochrane Upper Gastrointestinal and

Matrix of consultees and commentators

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the single technology appraisal of nanoliposomal irinotecan for treating pancreatic cancer after prior treatment with gemcitabine [ID778] Issue date: February 2016

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Pancreatic Diseases Group CORE (The Digestive Disorders Foundation) Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute of Health Research Pancreatic Cancer Research Fund
Others Department of Health NHS Cumbria CCG NHS England NHS Mansfield & Ashfield CCG Welsh Government	 <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.