NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Nanoliposomal irinotecan for treating pancreatic cancer after prior treatment with gemcitabine [ID778]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

•	appeal)
Company	General
Baxalta (nanoliposomal irinotecan)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
,	 Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Black Health Agency	 British National Formulary
Cancer Black Care	 Care Quality Commission
Cancer Equality	 Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer52	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
• HAWC	 Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	 Medicines and Healthcare products
 Independent Cancer Patients Voice 	Regulatory Agency
Macmillan Cancer Support	 National Association of Primary Care
Maggie e Certifice	 National Pharmacy Association
Marie Curie Cancer Care	NHS Alliance
Widdin Courion of Britain	 NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Tariordatio Garioti Attion	NHS Confederation
I difficatio darioci di	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
 Rarer Cancers Foundation 	D 11
	Possible comparator companies
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Accord (capecitabine, fluorouracil, according availabile)
• Tenovus	gemcitabine, oxaliplatin)
	 Actavis UK (capecitabine, gemcitabine, irinatagen avaliglatin)
Professional groups	irinotecan, oxaliplatin)Dr. Reddy's Laboratories
Association of Cancer I hysicians	 Dr. Reddy's Laboratories (capecitabine)
British Geriatrics Society	Eli Lilly (gemcitabine)
Difficility of Nationaly	 Hospira (calcium folinate, fluorouracil,
British Psychosocial Officiogy Society	gemcitabine, irinotecan, oxaliplatin)
British Society of Gastroenterology	 Medac GmbH (capecitabine,
Cancer Research UK	fluorouracil, folinic acid, gemcitabine,
 Pancreatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland 	irinotecan)
	Pfizer (irinotecan)
Royal College of General Fracillioners	Roche Products (capecitabine)
	Sun Pharmaceuticals (capecitabine,
Royal College of Physicians	gemcitabine, oxaliplatin)

Issue date: August 2015 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS Cumbria CCG NHS England NHS Mansfield & Ashfield CCG Welsh Government Welsh Government	 Zentiva (capecitabine) Relevant research groups Cochrane Upper Gastrointestinal and Pancreatic Diseases Group CORE (The Digestive Disorders Foundation) Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute of Health Research Pancreatic Cancer Research Fund Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: August 2015 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Issue date: August 2015 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.