NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Secukinumab for treating active psoriatic arthritis following inadequate response to disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs [ID720]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company(ies)	General
Novartis (secukinumab)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Patient/carer groups	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Action Against Allergy	British National Formulary
Action Against Allergy Action on Pain	 Care Quality Commission
Action of Fain Afiya Trust	 Department of Health, Social Services
Allergy UK	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Arthritis Action	 Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Arthritis & Musculoskeletal Alliance	 Medicines and Healthcare products
(ARMA)	Regulatory Agency
Arthritis Care	 National Association of Primary Care
Black Health Agency	National Pharmacy Association
Disability Rights UK	NHS Alliance
Equalities National Council	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Leonard Cheshire Disability	NHS Confederation
Muslim Council of Britain	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Muslim Health Network	
Pain Concern	Possible Comparator companies
Pain Relief Foundation	Abbvie (adalimumab)
Pain UK	 Accord Healthcare (methotrexate)
 Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis 	 Actavis UK (azathioprine)
Alliance	Almus (azathioprine)
Psoriasis Association	Amco (methotrexate)
Psoriasis Help Organisation	Aspen (azathioprine)
 South Asian Health Foundation 	 B&S Colorama Pharmaceuticals
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	(ciclosporin)
-	 Co-pharma (azathioprine)
Professional groups	 Cubic Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin)
British Association of Dermatologists	 Dexcel Pharma (ciclosporin)
•	Hameln pharmaceuticals (methotrexate)
 British Dermatological Nursing Group 	Hospira UK (methotrexate)
 British Geriatrics Society 	 Janssen (ustekinumab)
 British Health Professionals in 	 Medac UK (leflunomide, methotrexate)
Rheumatology	 Merck Sharp & Dohme (golimumab,

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of secukinumab for treating active psoriatic arthritis following inadequate response to disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs [ID720]

Issue date: TBC

Page 1 of 4

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) British Institute of Musculoskeletal infliximab) Morningside Pharmaceuticals Medicine (ciclosporin, methotrexate) **British Orthopaedic Association British Pain Society** Mylan UK (azathioprine, ciclosporin) Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin) **British Skin Foundation** Orion Pharma UK (methotrexate) British Society for Rheumatology Pfizer (etanercept, methotrexate, British Society of Rehabilitation sulfasalazine) Medicine Rosemont Pharmaceuticals Physiotherapy Pain Association (sulfasalazine) Primary Care Dermatology Society Sandoz (azathioprine, methotrexate, Primary Care Rheumatology Society leflunomide) Rheumatoid Arthritis Surgical Society Sanofi (leflunomide) Royal College of General Practitioners Teva UK (azathioprine, leflunomide Royal College of Nursing methotrexate) Royal College of Pathologists Waymade Healthcare (azathioprine, Royal College of Physicians methotrexate, sulfasalazine) Royal Pharmaceutical Society Wockhardt UK (methotrexate) Royal Society of Medicine Zentiva UK (leflunomide) **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association** Relevant research groups Others Arthritis Research UK Department of Health Bone Research Society NHS England British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society NHS North West Surrey CCG Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology. NHS Thanet CCG University of Nottingham Welsh Government Chronic Pain Policy Coalition Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group Cochrane Skin Group MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Skin Research Centre Skin Treatment and Research Trust **Evidence Review Group** Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Clinical Guideline Centre Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of secukinumab for treating active psoriatic arthritis following inadequate response to disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs [ID720]

Issue date: TBC

Page 2 of 4

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.