## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## Multiple Technology Appraisal (MTA)

# Adalimumab, etanercept and ustekinumab for treating plaque psoriasis in children and young people

## Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	арреалу
Company	General
AbbVie (adalimumab)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Pfizer (etanercept)	Board of Community Health Councils in
Janssen (ustekinumab)	Wales
	British National Formulary
Patient/carer groups	Care Quality Commission
Action Against Allergy	Department of Health, Social Services
Action for Sick Children	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Afiya Trust	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Allergy UK	Medicines and Healthcare products
Black Health Agency	Regulatory Agency
British Skin Foundation	National Association of Primary Care
Changing faces	National Pharmacy Association
Equalities National Council	NHS Alliance
Let's Face it	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Muslim Council of Britain	NHS Confederation
National Children's Bureau	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Psoriasis Association	Comparator companies
Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis	Comparator companies
Alliance	Accord Healthcare (methotrexate)  AMCs (methotrexate)
Psoriasis Help Organisation     South Asian Health Foundation	AMCo (methotrexate)     Devel Pharma (sigle-parin)
South Asian Health Foundation	Dexcel Pharma (ciclosporin)     Hospira LIK (infliximals, methotroyate)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	<ul><li>Hospira UK (infliximab, methotrexate)</li><li>Merck Sharp &amp; Dohme (infliximab)</li></ul>
Professional groups	Napp Pharmaceuticals (infliximab)
British Association of Dermatologists	Novartis (ciclosporin)
British Association of Dermatologists     British Dermatological Nursing Group	Orion Pharma (methotrexate)
<ul> <li>British Geriatrics Society</li> </ul>	Rosemont Pharmaceuticals
British Skin Foundation	(methotrexate)
British Society for Cutaneous Allergy	Sandoz (methotrexate)
British Society for Rheumatology	Santen UK (ciclosporin)
Primary Care Dermatology Society	, , ,
Royal College of General Practitioners	Relevant research groups
Royal College of Nursing	British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society
Royal College of Paediatrics & Child	Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology,
Health	University of Nottingham

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of adalimumab, etanercept and ustekinumab for treating plaque psoriasis in children and young people [ID854]

Issue date: April 2016 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul> <li>Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li> <li>Royal Society of Medicine</li> <li>UK Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cochrane Skin Group</li> <li>MRC Clinical Trials Unit</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research</li> <li>Skin Research Centre</li> <li>Skin Treatment &amp; Research Trust</li> </ul>
Others	Associated Guideline groups
<ul><li>Department of Health</li><li>NHS England</li></ul>	National Clinical Guideline Centre
NHS Bradford Districts CCG	Associated Public Health groups
NHS Scarborough and Ryedale CCG	Public Health England
Welsh Government	Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

### **Definitions:**

#### Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

#### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.